

Physics Processes Missing from our Current Simulation Tools

This is the current list – Please help us to complete it.

Tom Roberts

Muons, Inc.

July 21, 2009 TJR

Physics Processes Missing from our Current Simulation Tools



Classes of Processes

- Single-particle processes
- Collective effects in vacuum
- Collective effects in matter
- Polarized muon processes
- Normalization
- RF breakdown
- Neutrino processes
- Details

Some of these are implemented in other tools; we need to consider adding to our toolbox and/or enhancing the tools we use.

Single-Particle Processes

- Accurate multiple-scattering model
 - Several are available in ICOOL
 - Coming to G4beamline
- Energy-loss straggling model (incl. length dependence)
 - Vavilov model vs. Striganov model
- Material dependence of energy loss and straggling
 - ICOOL and G4beamline seem to disagree for LiH
- Correlation of energy loss with multiple scattering angle
- Effect of magnetic field on multiple scattering
- Radiative energy loss in matter for high-energy muons (> ~200GeV)
 - May not be needed if no material is in the beam (vacuum windows, instrumentation, residual gas, ...)

Collective Effects in Vacuum

- Space charge
 - Basic computation in ICOOL
 - Coming soon to G4beamline
- Wake fields
- Beam loading
- Beam-beam interactions
- Electron cloud effects
- Decay of macro-particles
- Interactions of macro-particles

Collective Effects in Matter

- Space charge screening by material
- Bunch effect on the density term of the single-particle formula for energy loss (plasma density)
- Bunch-induced polarization of material causing intensitydependent increase in energy loss
 - Can induce an instability
- Effect of matter on wake fields
- For all of the above:
 - Consider dependency on material properties
 - Consider time dependence
 - Head vs. tail of a single bunch
 - Effects involving successive bunches in a train

Muons, Inc. Polarized Muon Processes

- Effect of ionization cooling on polarization.
 - For applications other than a muon collider or neutrino factory
 - Might it be interesting in a collider to trade a factor of ~100 reduction in luminosity for partially polarized beams?



Normalization

- Uncertainties remain about the accuracy of the pion production models used.
- Cavitation and other distortions of the mercury jet production target



RF Breakdown

- While not directly part of the particle simulations, modeling RF breakdown is an important aspect of the overall simulation of a muon collider.
 - Vacuum
 - High-pressure H₂
 - Surface effects and processing
- This is so important that experiments are required.
 - Will affect technology used, so must be done early



Neutrino Processes

- Surface radiation assessment will require accurate simulations of neutrino interactions
 - Need to significantly increase neutrino interaction cross-sections to make simulations feasible



Details

- 3-D effects in RF cavity field models
 - Realistic, non-pillbox cavities
 - Couplers
 - HOM absorbers
- Engineering assessments
 - Thermal loads from the beam
 - Thermal loads from muon decay
 - Radiation levels
 - Surely others...
- Ability to model a large and complex detector for background studies
- Surely more...



Summary

- There are a number of complicated and subtle physics processes not included in our current simulation tools.
- We must expand the list to be as complete as possible.
- There are of course engineering details that won't be needed until accurate simulations of a specific design are needed.
- We ultimately need a set of publications or MuCool notes, estimating the importance of each one.
- We need to implement those that can significantly affect our modeling of facilities.
- There are many other simulation tools available, and we need to assess whether to acquire and use additional tools, or to enhance the ones we already use.