

Coherent Optical Transition Radiation Imaging for Laser-driven Plasma Accelerator Electron-Beam Diagnostics

**Alex H. Lumpkin, Fermilab
Workshop on Beam Acceleration
in Crystals and Nanostructures
June 25, 2018
Batavia, IL USA**

This manuscript has been authored by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11359 with the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics.



Introduction and Context



- Recent reports of quasi-monoenergetic laser plasma accelerator (LPA) beams at 2 GeV and 100 MeV demonstrated normalized transverse emittances below 1 mm-mrad and divergences less than $1/\gamma$ in both cases [1,2].
- Such unprecedented LPA beam parameters can, in principle, be addressed by utilizing the properties of coherent optical transition radiation (COTR).
- Practical challenges of utilizing these techniques with the LPA configurations will also be discussed.


1. Xiaoming Wang et al., Nature Communications, June 11, 2013.
2. Hai-En Tsai, Chih-Hao Pai, and M.C. Downer, AIP Conf. Proc. **1507**, 330 (2012).



HZDR LPA Setup



- Use 1 mm and 0.5 mm wheels
- Al foil in front, Al coated Kapton tape back
- Microscope Objective ~4 cm from foil for near field (NF).
- **4 cameras to measure 2 polarizations and unpolarized signal at 600 nm plus far field (FF) at 633 nm**
- Ability to move the wheel & objective along beam axis
- **Two COTR sources at $L=18.5$ mm form interference fringes in FF.**



Courtesy of M. LaBerge, rev

Optical Transition Radiation In Laser Plasma Accelerators

Coherent Optical Transition Radiation (COTR)

- Coherent signal $\propto N^2$ as opposed to N
- Level of coherence related to Fourier transform of longitudinal bunch profile




Coherent Point Spread Function

- Single electron E-field pattern

$$E \propto \sin \varphi \int_0^{\theta_{lens}} \frac{\theta^2}{\theta^2 + 1/(\beta \gamma)^2} J_1 \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \frac{\rho \theta}{M} \right) d\theta$$

- Central minimum never fills in
- Highly sensitive to skew
- Only samples coherent portion of beam
- Multiple colors + CTR spectra could be used to create a full bunch reconstruction

Courtesy of M. LaBerge












Adding Coherence to PSF Model







- Previous NF OTR work has been on incoherent electron bunches
- Lobe separation does not greatly increase in incoherent model
- Lobe separation increases significantly in coherent model. 600 nm cases below.



Summing Intensities
(incoherent model)



Summing Fields
(coherent model)



Courtesy of M. LaBerge

- KEK staff used vertical polarizer and small beam to observe PSF and suggested potential use of structure.
 - Use PSF valley for profile measurements at the PSF limit.




Figure 3: CCD image of the OTR taken with linear polarizer and 500 nm optical filter (a) and two image projections: horizontal (b) and vertical (c).




Figure 4: Horizontal RMS beam size as a function of the QF19X strength (a) and the dependence of the smoothing parameter σ (Eq. 1) versus QD18X quadrupole magnet strength. SAD predictions of the vertical beam size for the same magnet strengths are also shown in the picture.

$$f(x) = a + \frac{b}{1 + [c(x - \Delta x)]^4} \left[1 - e^{-2c^2\sigma^2} \cos[c(x - \Delta x)] \right] \quad (1)$$






where a , b , c , σ , and Δx are free parameters of the fit function, namely: a is the vertical offset of the distribution with respect to zero which included a constant background; b is the amplitude of the distribution; c is the distribution width; σ is the smoothing parameter dominantly defined by the beam size; and Δx is the horizontal offset of the distribution with respect to zero

A. Aryshev et al., IPAC10






Coherent Optical Transition Radiation Observed at HZDR (LaBerge)

- Significant sub-structure evident across multi-color images
- Structure not apparent on electron spectrometer



- Shot #115, Far Field, 633 x10 nm BPF, ND2.6, 215 MeV, L=18.5mm, 100 pC, 9-10 fringes, consistent with OTRI/COTRI.
- Asymmetric divergences and/or beam sizes indicated. Unpolarized COTR>
- Last 8 peaks match model to ~5% with 0.35 mrad/pixel. Delta main peaks= 23.5 pix.
- COTR enhancements of about 10^5 due to microbunching.







Fringe Peak Positions Checked



- Experimental fringe peak positions were compared to the OTRI model which are very close to COTRI model.
- Parameters: 215 MeV, 633 nm, $L=18.5$ mm,
- Angular calibration factor: 0.35 ± 0.05 mrad/pixel







Fermilab

Laser Modulation of the Beam Structure in the Bubble



Fermilab

Laser



Courtesy of Y. Li,
A. Lumpkin in FEL07



SUMMARY



- For the first time electron-beam **divergence** information at sub-mrad range was obtained just outside the plasma bubble using COTRI imaging. Hot Foil scattering issue.
- A model of the COTR PSF shows **beam size** dependencies in the lobe separation and lobe width.
- **COTR PSF plus COTRI techniques provide emittance estimates of microbunched electron beamlets uniquely.**
- Signal **enhancements are in 10^4 to 10^5 range** indicating significant microbunching occurred at visible wavelengths within the LPA process. **New insights!**
- The COTR provides a unique way of measuring the microbunching in the beam: **single shot, minimally invasive, and high resolution.** **LPA Simulations needed.**



Microbunching Mechanisms



- Microbunching of an electron beam, or a z-dependent density modulation with a period λ , can be generated by several mechanisms:
 - In self-amplified spontaneous emission or (SASE) induced microbunching (SIM) the electron beam is bunched at resonant wavelength and harmonics. This is narrow band.
 - The LSC-induced microbunching (LSCIM) starts from noise fluctuations in the charge distribution which causes an energy modulation that converts to density modulation following Chicane compression. This is a broadband case.
 - The laser-induced microbunching (LIM) occurs at the laser resonant wavelength (and harmonics) as the e-beam co-propagates through a wiggler with the laser beam followed by Chicane compression. This is narrow-band. (LPA case new.)
- A microbunched beam will radiate coherently.(COTR)




Fermilab

COTRI Cofactors: HZDR Case



- Beam sizes 2,5,7,10 μm , 100 pC, $N_b=2\%$





Fermilab

Draco Laser and LPA at HZDR





Fermilab



Draco Laser Parameters

- $\lambda_0 = 800$ nm
- up to 4 J on target
- 27 fs pulse width (FWHM)
- Strehl-ratio > 0.9
- 20 μm FWHM

Parameters	Mean \pm Shot-to-shot jitter
Mean peak energy	250 MeV \pm 22.5 MeV
Charge in fwhm	220 pC \pm 40 pC
Abs. energy width	36 MeV \pm 11 MeV
Divergence	7 mrad \pm 1 mrad



Courtesy J. Couperus at HZDR

14

Coherent Optical Transition Radiation Observed at HZDR (LaBerge)

Evidence of Coherence Dominated OTR

- The level of signal: Radiation split across eight cameras with narrow bandpass
- Central minimum still approximately zero despite the 'donut' size

