Proton and Neutral Pion Identification at ME in MINERvA-Scintillator

$$\nu_{\mu} + N \rightarrow \mu^{-} + \pi^{0} + X$$
 (no mesons)

Barbara Yaeggy (06/18/2018)








Motivation

- Give to MINERvA the first semi-exclusive cross-section analysis with neutral pions at medium energy.
- This result will have much more statistics than what was measured in the low energy beam (O. Altinok et al. Phys.Rev. D96 (2017) no.7, 072003).
- Provide constraints in the cross section in a range of energy as will be seeing for DUNE.

My signal definition:

- The interaction vertex is the start point of a track identify as a muon.
- The interaction vertex must be inside the tracker.
- Final state: $\mathbf{1} \mu^{-} + \mathbf{1} \pi^{0} + \mathbf{X}$ (no mesons). π^{0} goes out the nucleus.




$$v_{\mu}$$
 + N $\longrightarrow \mu^{-}$ + π^{0} + X (no mesons)

a) π° goes out the nucleus.

- b) π^{+} exchange charge inside the nucleus $\to \pi^{0}$ goes out the nucleus.
- c) π^0 is absorbed inside the nucleus.

Particle Reconstruction



- **Hits:** every interaction.
- Clusters: nested set of hits.

- **Prongs:** set of trackable clusters, <u>useful for muon, charge pion and proton ID.</u>
- Photon Candidates: set of non-trackable clusters, <u>useful for particle showers studies</u>.


Proton Identification

2 Topologies to study:

- 1Track = No Proton Events (Only Muon Track)
- 2Track = With Proton (Muon + Proton)

Proton Score, two methods were used:


- PID difference = Proton Score Pion Score.
- 2) LLR Method (Log Likelihood Ratio).
- LLR had show to give a better performance than the dE/dX Tool, specially for fully tracked particle (particle that stop and can be tracked to the end).
- The LLR PID tool relies on the PDF's obtained from MC simulation (NIM Paper: Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A743 (2014) 130.)



Neutral Pion Identification

 Look for the available energy to reconstruct the neutral pion.

 At this stage there is a lot of background that shadowed the initial reconstruction.



Angle Scan

Look over the unused clusters inside to a "cone volume" made around the interaction vertex.

Found Photon Candidates

Clusters nested by angle scan:

→ Must have at least 2 views in order to ensure a good direction reconstruction.




Best two Photon Candidates

Take the best 2 candidates to be EM showers according with the value of the invariant mass.

$m_n^2 + 2m_n(E_{\nu} - E_{\mu}) - Q^2$ da/dW_{exp} (10⁻⁴⁰ cm²/nucleon/GeV) POT Normalized Data (3.33e20 POT) π^0 inclusive GENIE w/ FSI + recoil energy GENIE w/o FSI NuWro 20

W_{exp} (GeV)

Invariant Mass calculated with proton and π^0 4-momentums



Ref. O. Altinok et al. Phys.Rev. D96 (2017) no.7, 072003.

Cross section versus $M_{p\pi0}$ for the $p\pi^0$ sample, requiring $T_p > 100$ MeV with $W_{exp} < 1.8$ GeV. Curves predicted by the reference simulation show that hadronic FSI tends to broaden and mute baryon-resonance structures. In the $\Delta(1232)^+$ region however, the data exhibits a resonance shape that is more pronounced than that predicted by either the GENIE or NuWro generators.

Work in progress

- Extracting and analyzing Blob information by particle type (photon, charge pion, neutral pion, neutron and others): number of clusters, dE/dx, total energy deposited, radiation length, width, number of planes, reconstructed energy, etc.
- Photon Identification.

Summary: neutral pion selection.



Thank you!

Barbara Yaeggy - UTFSM (Chile-MINERvA)