

CMS-EXO-16-018

CERN-EP/2016-154
2016/06/14

Search for resonant production of high-mass photon pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ and 13 TeV

The CMS Collaboration^{*}

Abstract

A search for the resonant production of high-mass photon pairs is presented. The analysis is based on samples of proton-proton collision data collected by the CMS experiment at center-of-mass energies of 8 and 13 TeV, corresponding to integrated luminosities of 19.7 and 3.3 fb^{-1} , respectively. The search focuses on spin-0 and spin-2 resonances with masses between 0.5 and 4 TeV and with widths, relative to the mass, between 1.4×10^{-4} and 5.6×10^{-2} . Limits are set on scalar resonances produced through gluon-gluon fusion, and on Randall-Sundrum gravitons. A modest excess of events compatible with a narrow resonance with a mass of about 750 GeV is observed. The local significance of the excess is approximately 3.4 standard deviations. The significance is reduced to 1.6 standard deviations once the effect of searching under multiple signal hypotheses is considered. More data are required to determine the origin of this excess.

Submitted to Physics Letters B

The resonant production of high-mass photon pairs is a prediction that arises in several extensions of the standard model (SM) of particle physics. The spin of a resonance decaying to two photons must be either 0 or an integer greater than or equal to 2 [1, 2]. Spin-0 resonances decaying to two photons are predicted by models with nonminimal Higgs sectors [3, 4], while spin-2 resonances decaying to two photons arise in models with additional space-like dimensions [5].

In this Letter, we report on a search for high-mass resonances that decay to photon pairs. The search is based on proton-proton (pp) collision data collected in 2012 and 2015 by the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ and 13 TeV, respectively, corresponding to integrated luminosities of 19.7 and 3.3 fb^{-1} . Events with at least two reconstructed photon candidates are selected and a search is performed in the diphoton mass spectrum for a localized excess of events consistent with the resonant production of a photon pair. The results are obtained through a combined analysis of the 8 and 13 TeV data. The data are interpreted in terms of spin-0 resonances produced through gluon-gluon fusion and in terms of spin-2 graviton resonances in Randall-Sundrum (RS) models [6]. The spin-2 resonances are produced through both gluon-gluon fusion and quark annihilation, with the first mechanism accounting for roughly 90% of the production cross section. A portion of the 13 TeV data (0.6 fb^{-1}) was collected when the CMS magnet was off (0 T), because of an intermittent problem, subsequently rectified, with the cryogenic system. The remainder of the 13 TeV data, and all of the 8 TeV data, were recorded with the magnet at its operational field strength (3.8 T).

Previous LHC searches for spin-0 resonances decaying to two photons were performed at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [7, 8], and for spin-2 resonances decaying to a pair of photons, leptons, jets, or vector bosons at $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8$, and 13 TeV [8–24]. The results presented in this Letter exceed the sensitivity of these previous studies for resonance masses above 500 GeV.

A detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found elsewhere [25]. The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Muons are measured in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. The ECAL consists of about 76 000 PbWO₄ crystals that have transverse sizes approximately matching the Molière radius of the material. The ECAL barrel (EB), covering the pseudorapidity (η) region $|\eta| < 1.45$, has a granularity $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.0174 \times 0.0174$, with ϕ the azimuthal angle. The ECAL endcaps (EE), which extend the coverage to $|\eta| < 3.0$, have a granularity that increases progressively up to $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.05 \times 0.05$. The particle-flow algorithm [26, 27] reconstructs and identifies each individual particle with an optimised combination of information from the various elements of the CMS detector. Particle candidates are classified as either muons, electrons, photons, τ leptons, charged hadrons, or neutral hadrons.

Simulated signal samples of spin-0 and spin-2 resonances decaying to two photons are generated at leading order (LO) with the PYTHIA 8.2 [28] event generator, using the NNPDF2.3 [29] parton distribution functions (PDFs), with values of the resonance mass m_X in the range $0.5 < m_X < 4$ TeV and for three values of the relative width Γ_X/m_X : $1.4 \times 10^{-4}, 1.4 \times 10^{-2}$ and 5.6×10^{-2} . For the RS graviton model, where $\Gamma_X/m_X = 1.4 \tilde{k}^2$ [6], this corresponds to dimensionless coupling values $\tilde{k} = 0.01, 0.1$, and 0.2. The chosen relative widths correspond, respectively, to resonances much narrower than, comparable to, and significantly wider than the detector resolution. The principal SM background processes, namely the direct production of two photons ($\gamma\gamma$), the production of $\gamma+\text{jets}$ events in which jet fragments are misidentified as

photons, and the production of multijet events with misidentified jet fragments, are simulated with the SHERPA 2.1 [30], MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO 2.2 [31] (interfaced with PYTHIA 8.2 for parton showering and hadronization), and PYTHIA 8.2 generators, respectively. For all simulated samples, the detector response is modeled with the GEANT4 package [32]. The kinematic requirements and the identification criteria described below are determined using the simulated signal and background samples and are finalized prior to inspecting the diphoton invariant mass distribution in the search region.

For the 8 TeV data, the results of Ref. [8] are used in the present study to place limits on resonances with $m_X \leq 850$ GeV. In this paper, we extend these 8 TeV limits to masses $m_X > 850$ GeV using an analysis similar to the 13 TeV one. In the following, we first describe the 13 TeV analysis, then the manner in which the 8 TeV analysis differs.

For the $B = 3.8$ (0) T data at 13 TeV, the trigger selection requires at least two photon candidates, each with transverse momentum p_T above 60 (40) GeV. For each photon candidate, the ratio of the energy deposited in the hadron calorimeter to the photon energy (H/E ratio) is required to be less than 0.15. For resonances with $m_X > 0.5$ TeV, the trigger selection is fully efficient.

In the subsequent analysis, photons are reconstructed by clustering spatially correlated energy deposits in the ECAL. To obtain the best energy resolution, the ECAL signals are calibrated and corrected for the variation of the crystal transparency during the data collection period [33]. The energies of the photon candidates are estimated with a multivariate regression technique [33]. For the 3.8 T data, the interaction vertex, i.e., the pp collision point from which the photons are assumed to originate, is selected using the algorithm described in Ref. [34]. For resonances with $m_X > 500$ GeV, the fraction of events in which the interaction vertex is correctly assigned is estimated from simulation to be approximately 90%. For the 0 T data, the interaction vertex is identified as the reconstructed vertex with the largest number of charged tracks, yielding an estimated probability for the correct assignment of about 60%. The direction of a photon candidate's momentum is computed taking as the origin the position of the chosen interaction vertex. Corrections to account for residual differences in the photon energy scale and resolution between the data and simulation are determined using $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ events, through the procedure described in Ref. [33]. For the 3.8 (0) T data, energy scale and resolution corrections are derived in eight (four) bins defined in terms of the R_9 variable, which is the ratio of the energy deposited in the central 3x3 crystal matrix to the full cluster energy, and of the $|\eta_C|$ variable, which is the absolute value of the pseudorapidity of the cluster with respect to the center of the detector. The energy scale correction factors measured for the 3.8 T data are found to be about 1% higher than the 0 T factors, while similar values are measured for the resolution corrections. The variation of the corrections in the EB (EE) region is assessed as a function of p_T up to $p_T \approx 150$ (100) GeV using $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ data, and is found to be 0.5 (0.7)% or less for both the 3.8 and 0 T data.

Photon candidates are subject to additional identification requirements. The H/E ratio of the candidates must lie below 0.05. For the 3.8 (0) T data, the size of the electromagnetic clusters in η (η and ϕ) [33] is required to be compatible with that expected for a prompt photon, i.e., a photon produced directly in a hard-scattering process. For candidates in the 3.8 T sample, the scalar p_T sum of additional photons in a cone of radius $R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} = 0.3$ around the photon direction, corrected to account for the contributions from extraneous pp collisions in the same or nearby proton bunch crossing, must be less than 2.5 GeV. For the 0 T sample, the analogous sum must be less than 3.6 (3.0) GeV for the EB (EE) candidates. For the 3.8 T data, we additionally require the scalar p_T sum of the charged hadrons within a cone of radius $R = 0.3$ around the photon direction to be less than 5 GeV, and for the 0 T data the number of

charged hadrons within this cone, excluding an inner cone of radius $R = 0.05$, to be 3 or less. Photon candidates associated with an electron track that itself is not consistent with a photon conversion are rejected.

For the 3.8 T data, the efficiency of the identification criteria for prompt isolated photon candidates in the barrel (endcaps) is above 90 (85)% for the kinematic range considered in the analysis. For the 0 T data, the corresponding efficiency exceeds 85 (70)%. The identification and trigger efficiencies are measured, as a function of p_T , using data events containing a Z boson decaying to a pair of electrons, or to a pair of electrons or muons in association with a photon [33]. The efficiencies from data are found to be consistent with those from simulation.

In each event, photon candidates with $p_T > 75 \text{ GeV}$ are grouped in all possible pairs. We require $|\eta_C| < 2.5$ for each candidate in the pair and $|\eta_C| < 1.44$ for at least one of them. Candidates in the region $1.44 < |\eta_C| < 1.57$ are rejected because of difficulties in modeling the photon reconstruction efficiency in the transition region between the barrel and endcap detectors. The invariant mass $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ of the pair is required to exceed 230 GeV . For events in which one photon candidate is reconstructed in an endcap, $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ must exceed 330 GeV . The fraction of events in which more than one photon pair satisfies all the selection criteria is roughly 1%. In these cases, only the pair with the largest photon scalar p_T sum is retained.

Photon pairs are divided into two categories, denoted by “EBEB” when both photons are reconstructed in the ECAL barrel and by “EBEE” when one of the two photons is reconstructed in an ECAL endcap. Each category is further divided into events recorded at 3.8 and 0 T.

For the 3.8 (0) T analysis, the overall signal selection efficiency varies between 0.5–0.7 (0.4–0.5), depending on the signal hypothesis. Because of the different angular distribution of the decay products, for $m_X < 1 \text{ TeV}$, the kinematic acceptance for the RS graviton resonances is approximately 20% lower than for scalar resonances. The two acceptances become similar for $m_X > 3 \text{ TeV}$. About 90 (80)% of the background events in the EBEB (EBEE) sample arises from the $\gamma\gamma$ process. These results, estimated from simulation, are validated for the 3.8 T analysis using the method described in Ref. [35].

The principal difference between the 8 TeV analysis described in Ref. [8] (used here in the search for resonances with $m_X \leq 850 \text{ GeV}$) and the 13 TeV analysis described above is that, in the former, the events are further categorized according to the R_9 value of the photon candidates. Specifically, events are categorized as having either $\min(R_9) > 0.94$ or $\min(R_9) \leq 0.94$, where $\min(R_9)$ is the smaller of the two R_9 values in the photon pair. To search for resonances with $m_X > 850 \text{ GeV}$ in the 8 TeV data, we select photons with $p_T > 80 \text{ GeV}$ that satisfy the “loose” identification criteria of Ref. [33] and require that there be an EBEB photon pair with $m_{\gamma\gamma} > 300 \text{ GeV}$.

The $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ distributions of the events selected in the 13 TeV analysis are shown in Fig. 1. The corresponding 8 TeV results used for the $m_X \leq 850 \text{ GeV}$ search are shown in Fig. 2 [8]. The $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ distributions of 8 TeV events used for the $m_X > 850 \text{ GeV}$ search are available in Appendix A.

The results of the search are interpreted in the framework of a composite statistical hypothesis test. For each signal hypothesis, a simultaneous unbinned extended maximum likelihood fit to the $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ spectra observed in all categories is performed and the likelihood function used to construct the test statistic. The modified frequentist method [36, 37] is utilized to set upper limits on the production of diphoton resonances, following the prescription described in Ref. [38]. The compatibility of the observation with the background-only hypothesis is evaluated by computing the background-only p -value [38], denoted p_0 in the following. Asymptotic formulas [39] are used in the calculations. The accuracy of the formulas in the estimation of




Figure 1: Observed diphoton invariant mass $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ spectra for the event categories used in the analysis of the 13 TeV data: (upper row) magnetic field strength $B = 3.8$ T; (lower row) $B = 0$ T; (left column) both photons in the ECAL barrel detector, (right column) one photon in the ECAL barrel detector and the other in an ECAL endcap detector. The results of a likelihood fit to the background-only hypothesis are also shown. The shaded regions show the 1 and 2 standard deviation uncertainty bands. The lower panels show the difference between the data and fit, divided by the statistical uncertainty in the data points.

limits and significance is studied for a subset of the hypothesis tests and is found to be about 10%. Thus the upper limits on the production cross section times branching fraction for the resonant production of two photons could be up to 10% higher, and the significance of an excess over the SM up to 10% lower, than the results presented below.

The shape of the signal distribution in the likelihood function is given by the convolution of the intrinsic shape, taken from the PYTHIA generator, with a function characterizing the CMS detector response. The normalization is a free parameter of the fit. The intrinsic shape is generated for various m_X values. The detector response is derived from a PYTHIA sample including GEANT4 modeling using a coarser spacing in m_X , assuming a small intrinsic width, and incorporating corrections derived from $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ data. The intrinsic width and detector response are interpolated to intermediate points using the “moment morphing” technique of Ref. [40]. At 13 TeV, the signal mass resolution, defined as the ratio of the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the distribution, divided by 2.35, to the peak position, is roughly 1.0 (1.5)% for the EBEB (EBEE) categories.




Figure 2: Observed diphoton invariant mass $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ spectra for the event categories used in the analysis of the 8 TeV data for resonance mass $m_X \leq 850$ GeV: (upper row) $\min(R_9) > 0.94$, (lower row) $\min(R_9) \leq 0.94$; (left column) both photons in the ECAL barrel detector; (right column) one photon in the ECAL barrel detector and the other in the ECAL endcap detector. The results of background-only parametric fits to the data corresponding to the fit range used for the $m_X = 750$ GeV hypothesis test are also shown [8]. The shaded regions show the 1 and 2 standard deviation uncertainty bands. The lower panels show the difference between the data and fit, divided by the statistical uncertainty in the data points.

The background $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ spectra are described by parametric functions of $m_{\gamma\gamma}$. The coefficients are obtained from a fit to the data events, and considered as unconstrained nuisance parameters in the fit. In this manner, the description of the background is derived from data. For the 13 TeV data and for the 8 TeV data in the $m_X > 850$ GeV search, a parametrization of the form $f(m_{\gamma\gamma}) = m_{\gamma\gamma}^{a+b \log(m_{\gamma\gamma})}$ is chosen, where a and b are parameters determined independently for each of the five event categories: the four shown in Fig. 1 plus that of the 8 TeV $m_X > 850$ GeV search. The validity of the procedure is tested, using simulated background samples, by examining the difference between the true and predicted numbers of background events in 14 contiguous intervals in $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ within the search region. For each interval, a sampling distribution of the pull variable is constructed using pseudo-experiments with the same sample size as the data. Here the pull is the difference between the true and predicted numbers of events divided by the estimated statistical uncertainty. If the absolute value $|m|$ of the median of the sampling distribution exceeds 0.5 in any interval, the statistical uncertainty in the predicted number of

background events is increased by an additional term, denoted the “bias term”, which is parameterized as a continuous function of $m_{\gamma\gamma}$. The bias term is tuned in such a manner that the sampling distribution of a pull distribution that includes the bias term yields $|m| < 0.5$ for all intervals. The additional uncertainty is then included in the likelihood function by adding to the background model a component having the same shape as the signal, with a normalization coefficient distributed as a Gaussian of mean zero and width equal to the integral of the bias term over the FWHM of the tested signal shape. The inclusion of the additional uncertainty degrades the analysis sensitivity by up to 5%.

For the 8 TeV data in the $m_X \leq 850$ GeV search, the background shape is parameterized as $g(m_{\gamma\gamma}) = m_{\gamma\gamma}^{-c} e^{-dm_{\gamma\gamma}}$, where c and d are parameters fit independently for each event category of Fig. 2, and different $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ intervals are used for each m_X . The intervals are chosen by comparing the results of the nominal parameterization with those obtained using alternative parameterizations of the background, with the intervals determined to minimize differences in the predicted background yields [8]. The method used for 13 TeV and the one of Ref. [8] yield similar levels of uncertainty in the background estimation. The latter approach, however, is not easily applicable when only a small number of events populate the $m_{\gamma\gamma} > m_X$ region, which is why this approach is not adopted for the 13 TeV analysis or for the 8 TeV search with $m_X > 850$ GeV.

We evaluate systematic uncertainties in the signal model predictions. For the 8 TeV data, these are discussed in Ref. [8]. For the 13 TeV analysis they are as follows. For 3.8 (0) T, a 2.7 (12)% uncertainty is due to the limited knowledge of the total integrated luminosity [41]. An 8 (16)% uncertainty is attributed to the selection efficiency and a 6 (6)% uncertainty to the PDFs. An uncertainty of 1% is assigned to the absolute photon energy scale, with an additional 1% to account for possible differences between the energy scales of the 3.8 and 0 T samples. An uncertainty in the signal mass resolution is assessed by varying the photon energy resolution corrections derived from $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ events by $\pm 0.5\%$. Energy resolution uncertainties are taken to be uncorrelated between the 8 and 13 TeV data, while a linear correlation of 0.5 is assumed for the energy scale. Taking the value of the linear correlation to be 0 or 1 leads to negligible changes in the results. Other systematic uncertainties are taken to be uncorrelated between the two data sets, except for the one associated with the PDFs, which is taken to be fully correlated.

The ratio of the 8 TeV to the 13 TeV production rates is determined from simulation and is held constant in the fit. For the scalar (RS graviton) resonance, this ratio decreases from 0.27 (0.29) at $m_X = 500$ GeV to 0.03 (0.04) at $m_X = 4$ TeV and equals 0.22 (0.24) for $m_X = 750$ GeV. The uncertainty in this ratio, determined by varying the PDFs, is found to have a negligible impact on the results and is therefore ignored.

The median expected and observed 95% confidence level (CL) exclusion limits on the product of the 13 TeV signal production cross section and decay branching fraction, $\sigma_X^{13\text{TeV}} \mathcal{B}_{\gamma\gamma}$, are presented in Fig. 3 for the combined analysis. The upper (lower) plot shows the results for a broad (narrow) resonance width, $\Gamma_X/m_X = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ (5.6×10^{-2}). The results for $\Gamma_X/m_X = 1.4 \times 10^{-2}$ are shown in the middle plot. The blue-grey (darker) and green (lighter) solid curves indicate the observed limits for a scalar resonance and a RS graviton. The corresponding dashed curves show the expected limits, with their one standard deviation intervals. Using the LO cross sections from PYTHIA 8.2, RS gravitons with masses below 1.6, 3.3, and 3.8 TeV are excluded for $\tilde{k} = 0.01, 0.1$, and 0.2 , respectively, corresponding to $\Gamma_X/m_X = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$, 1.4×10^{-2} , and 5.6×10^{-2} .

The observed value of p_0 as a function of m_X is shown in Fig. 4 for the scalar narrow-width hypothesis ($\Gamma_X/m_X = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$). The largest excess, observed for $m_X \approx 750$ GeV, has a local




Figure 3: The 95% CL upper limits on the production of diphoton resonances as a function of the resonance mass m_X , from the combined analysis of the 8 and 13 TeV data. The 8 TeV results are scaled by the ratio of the 8 to 13 TeV cross sections. The blue-grey (darker) curves and the green (lighter) ones correspond to the scalar and RS graviton signals, respectively. Solid (dashed) curves represent the observed (median expected) exclusion limit. The expected results are shown with their 1 standard deviation dispersion bands. The leading-order RS graviton production cross section is shown by the red dot-dashed curves. The results are shown for (upper) a narrow, (middle) an intermediate-width, and (lower) a broad resonance, with the value of the width Γ_X/m_X , relative to the mass, indicated in the legend of each plot.

significance of approximately 3.4 standard deviations. Similar values are obtained for the two spin hypotheses, while lower values of the local significance are obtained for wider signal hypotheses. For $\Gamma_X/m_X = 5.6 \times 10^{-2}$ a local significance of 2.3 standard deviations is estimated.

Trial factors associated with the test of several mass hypotheses are estimated for fixed width and spin assumptions by counting the number of times the value of p_0 crosses the level corresponding to 0.5 standard deviations and applying the asymptotic formulas of Ref. [42], where a trial factor refers to the ratio of the probability to observe an excess at a given m_X value to the probability to observe it anywhere in the examined m_X range. To account for the different width and spin hypotheses tested, a correction factor is estimated using the 13 TeV event categories, as follows. A sampling distribution of the minimum value of p_0 is generated from an ensemble of background-only pseudo-experiments, testing for all examined spin, width, and mass hypotheses. The correction factor is given by the ratio of the trial factors obtained varying only the signal mass to those obtained also varying the width and spin. A global significance for the 750 GeV excess, taking into account the effect of testing all the signal hypotheses considered, is

thereby estimated to be approximately 1.6 standard deviations.




Figure 4: Observed background-only p -values for narrow-width scalar resonances as a function of the resonance mass m_X , from the combined analysis of the 8 and 13 TeV data. The results for the separate 8 and 13 TeV data sets are also shown. The inset shows an expanded region around $m_X = 750$ GeV.

The excess is primarily due to events in which both photons are in the ECAL barrel. The shape of the associated ECAL clusters is in agreement with the expectation for high- p_T prompt photons. In particular, the R_9 value exceeds 0.94 for most of the candidates, i.e., the showers are compact, having a lateral shape like those of unconverted photons at lower energies. Within the limited statistical precision currently available, the kinematic distributions of the diphoton candidates in the $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ region corresponding to the largest excess, as well as the multiplicity and kinematic distributions of the hadronic jets reconstructed in the same events, do not exhibit significant deviations from the distributions expected for SM processes.

In summary, a search for the resonant production of high-mass photon pairs is presented. The analysis is based on 19.7 and 3.3 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collisions collected at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ and 13 TeV , respectively, by the CMS experiment. Limits on the production cross section of scalar resonances and Randall-Sundrum gravitons for resonance masses $0.5 < m_X < 4 \text{ TeV}$ and relative widths $1.4 \times 10^{-4} < \Gamma_X/m_X < 5.6 \times 10^{-2}$ are determined. Using leading-order cross sections for RS graviton production, RS gravitons with masses below about 1.6 , 3.3 , and 3.8 TeV are excluded at 95% confidence level for $\tilde{k} = 0.01$, 0.1 , and 0.2 , respectively, corresponding to $\Gamma_X/m_X = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$, 1.4×10^{-2} , and 5.6×10^{-2} . A modest excess of events over the background-only hypothesis is observed for $m_X \approx 750 \text{ GeV}$. The local p -value under the narrow-width hypothesis of $\Gamma_X/m_X = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ is 3.4 standard deviations. At $m_X = 750 \text{ GeV}$, the 8 and 13 TeV data contribute with similar weights to the combined result. The significance of the excess is reduced to about 1.6 standard deviations once the effect of searching under multiple signal hypotheses is taken into account. More data are required to determine the origin of this excess. A similar analysis is presented by the ATLAS Collaboration. [43].

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

References

- [1] L. D. Landau, "On the angular momentum of a system of two photons", *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **60** (1948) 207, doi:10.1016/B978-0-08-010586-4.50070-5.
- [2] C. N. Yang, "Selection Rules for the Dematerialization of a Particle into Two Photons", *Phys. Rev.* **77** (1950) 242, doi:10.1103/PhysRev.77.242.
- [3] G. C. Branco et al., "Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models", *Phys. Rept.* **516** (2012) 1, doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2012.02.002, arXiv:1106.0034.
- [4] T. D. Lee, "A Theory of Spontaneous T Violation", *Phys. Rev. D* **8** (1973) 1226, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.8.1226.
- [5] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, "A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 3370, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370, arXiv:hep-ph/9905221.
- [6] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett, and T. G. Rizzo, "Phenomenology of the Randall-Sundrum Gauge Hierarchy Model", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** (2000) 2080, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2080, arXiv:hep-ph/9909255.
- [7] ATLAS Collaboration, "Search for Scalar Diphoton Resonances in the Mass Range 65–600 GeV with the ATLAS Detector in pp Collision Data at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 171801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.171801, arXiv:1407.6583.
- [8] CMS Collaboration, "Search for diphoton resonances in the mass range from 150 to 850 GeV in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV", *Phys. Lett. B* **750** (2015) 494, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.09.062, arXiv:1506.02301.

- [9] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for high-mass diphoton resonances in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 032004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.032004, arXiv:1504.05511.
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for extra dimensions in diphoton events using proton-proton collisions recorded at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector at the LHC”, *New J. Phys.* **15** (2013) 043007, doi:10.1088/1367-2630/15/4/043007, arXiv:1210.8389.
- [11] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for high-mass dilepton resonances in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 052005, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.052005, arXiv:1405.4123.
- [12] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for dilepton resonances in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 272002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.272002, arXiv:1108.1582.
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for new phenomena in the WW to $\ell\nu\ell'\nu'$ final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Lett. B* **718** (2013) 860, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.11.040, arXiv:1208.2880.
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for resonant diboson production in the WW/WZ $\rightarrow \ell\nu jj$ decay channels with the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ ”, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 112006, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.112006, arXiv:1305.0125.
- [15] CMS Collaboration, “Search for signatures of extra dimensions in the diphoton mass spectrum at the Large Hadron Collider”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 111801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.111801, arXiv:1112.0688.
- [16] CMS Collaboration, “Search for physics beyond the standard model in dilepton mass spectra in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ ”, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 025, doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2015)025, arXiv:1412.6302.
- [17] CMS Collaboration, “Search for heavy narrow dilepton resonances in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ ”, *Phys. Lett. B* **720** (2013) 63, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.02.003, arXiv:1212.6175.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, “Search for narrow resonances decaying to dijets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ ”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 071801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.071801, arXiv:1512.01224.
- [19] CMS Collaboration, “Search for narrow resonances using the dijet mass spectrum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ ”, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 114015, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.114015, arXiv:1302.4794.
- [20] CMS Collaboration, “Search for narrow resonances in dijet final states at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ with the novel CMS technique of data scouting”, (2016). arXiv:1604.08907. Submitted to *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
- [21] CMS Collaboration, “Search for resonances and quantum black holes using dijet mass spectra in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ ”, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 052009, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.052009, arXiv:1501.04198.

- [22] CMS Collaboration, “Search for massive resonances in dijet systems containing jets tagged as W or Z boson decays in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ ”, *JHEP* **08** (2014) 173, doi:[10.1007/JHEP08\(2014\)173](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2014)173), arXiv:[1405.1994](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.1994).
- [23] CMS Collaboration, “Search for exotic resonances decaying into WZ/ZZ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ ”, *JHEP* **02** (2013) 036, doi:[10.1007/JHEP02\(2013\)036](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2013)036), arXiv:[1211.5779](https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.5779).
- [24] CMS Collaboration, “Search for a narrow spin-2 resonance decaying to a pair of Z vector bosons in the semileptonic final state”, *Phys. Lett. B* **718** (2013) 1208, doi:[10.1016/j.physletb.2012.11.063](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.11.063), arXiv:[1209.3807](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.3807).
- [25] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:[10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004).
- [26] CMS Collaboration, “Particle-flow event reconstruction in CMS and performance for jets, taus, and E_T^{miss} ”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-09-001, 2009.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, “Commissioning of the particle-flow event with the first LHC collisions recorded in the CMS detector”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-10-001, 2010.
- [28] T. Sjöstrand et al., “An Introduction to PYTHIA 8.2”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159, doi:[10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024), arXiv:[1410.3012](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.3012).
- [29] NNPDF Collaboration, “Parton distributions with LHC data”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **867** (2013) 244, doi:[10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.10.003), arXiv:[1207.1303](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.1303).
- [30] T. Gleisberg et al., “Event generation with SHERPA 1.1”, *JHEP* **02** (2009) 007, doi:[10.1088/1126-6708/2009/02/007](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/02/007), arXiv:[0811.4622](https://arxiv.org/abs/0811.4622).
- [31] J. Alwall et al., “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations”, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079, doi:[10.1007/JHEP07\(2014\)079](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079), arXiv:[1405.0301](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.0301).
- [32] GEANT4 Collaboration, “GEANT4 — a simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, doi:[10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
- [33] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ ”, *JINST* **10** (2015) P08010, doi:[10.1088/1748-0221/10/08/P08010](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/10/08/P08010), arXiv:[1502.02702](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.02702).
- [34] CMS Collaboration, “Observation of the diphoton decay of the Higgs boson and measurement of its properties”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 3076, doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3076-z](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3076-z), arXiv:[1407.0558](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.0558).
- [35] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of differential cross sections for the production of a pair of isolated photons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ ”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 3129, doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3129-3](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3129-3), arXiv:[1405.7225](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.7225).
- [36] T. Junk, “Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics”, *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A* **434** (1999) 435, doi:[10.1016/S0168-9002\(99\)00498-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(99)00498-2), arXiv:[hep-ex/9902006](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/9902006).

- [37] A. L. Read, "Presentation of search results: the CL_s technique", *J. Phys. G* **28** (2002) 2693, doi:10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/313.
- [38] LHC Higgs Combination Group, "Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in Summer 2011", Technical Report CMS-NOTE-2011-005, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-11, 2011.
- [39] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, "Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1554, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0, arXiv:1007.1727.
- [40] M. Baak, S. Gadatsch, R. Harrington, and W. Verkerke, "Interpolation between multi-dimensional histograms using a new non-linear moment morphing method", *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **771** (2015) 39, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2014.10.033, arXiv:1410.7388.
- [41] CMS Collaboration, "CMS Luminosity Measurement for the 2015 Data Taking Period", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-15-001, CERN, 2016.
- [42] E. Gross and O. Vitells, "Trial factors or the look elsewhere effect in high energy physics", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** (2010) 525, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1470-8, arXiv:1005.1891.
- [43] ATLAS Collaboration, "Search for resonances in diphoton events at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector", (Jun, 2016). Submitted to *J. High Energy Phys.*

A Supplemental material




Figure A.1: Observed diphoton invariant mass $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ spectra for the event categories used in the analysis of the 8 TeV data for the $m_X > 850 \text{ GeV}$ search. The results of a likelihood fit to the background-only hypothesis are also shown. The lower panel shows the difference between the data and fit, divided by the statistical uncertainty in the data points.




Figure A.2: Measured composition of the background for the 13 TeV analysis at 3.8 T for the (upper) EBEB and (lower) EBEE categories.




Figure A.3: Comparison between the measured and the predicted invariant mass spectrum of the nonresonant $\gamma\gamma$ background for the 13 TeV analysis at 3.8 T for the (upper) EBEB and (lower) EBEE categories.




Figure A.4: Observed background-only p -values for spin-0 resonances with $\Gamma_X/m_X = 5.6 \times 10^{-2}$ as a function of the resonance mass m_X from the combined analysis of the 8 and 13 TeV data. The results for the 8 and 13 TeV data sets are also shown separately.




Figure A.5: Observed background-only p -values as a function of the resonance mass m_X from the combined analysis of the 8 and 13 TeV data. Three width hypotheses are shown: (upper) $\Gamma_X/m_X = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$; (middle) $\Gamma_X/m_X = 1.4 \times 10^{-2}$; (lower) $\Gamma_X/m_X = 5.6 \times 10^{-4}$. In each plot, the results obtained under the RS graviton and scalar hypotheses are shown.




Figure A.6: Fraction of events selected by the analysis categories for $0.5 < m_X < 4.5$ TeV and $\Gamma_X/m_X = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$. Curves for both spin-0 and RS graviton resonances are shown, on the left for the 3.8 T sample and on the right for the 0 T one.




Figure A.7: Likelihood scan for the cross section corresponding to the largest excess in the combined analysis of the 8 and 13 TeV data sets. The left (right) plot corresponds to the scalar (RS graviton) signals. The 8 TeV results are scaled by the expected ratio of cross sections in each scenario.

B The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, E. Asilar, T. Bergauer, J. Brandstetter, E. Brondolin, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, M. Flechl, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth¹, V.M. Ghete, C. Hartl, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler¹, A. König, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, T. Matsushita, I. Mikulec, D. Rabady, N. Rad, B. Rahbaran, H. Rohringer, J. Schieck¹, J. Strauss, W. Treberer-Treberspurg, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

S. Alderweireldt, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, J. Lauwers, M. Van De Klundert, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

S. Abu Zeid, F. Blekman, J. D'Hondt, N. Daci, I. De Bruyn, K. Deroover, N. Heracleous, S. Lowette, S. Moortgat, L. Moreels, A. Olbrechts, Q. Python, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, I. Van Parijs

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

H. Brun, C. Caillol, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, H. Delannoy, G. Fasanella, L. Favart, R. Goldouzian, A. Grebenyuk, G. Karapostoli, T. Lenzi, A. Léonard, J. Luetic, T. Maerschalk, A. Marinov, A. Randle-conde, T. Seva, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, R. Yonamine, F. Zenoni, F. Zhang²

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

A. Cimmino, T. Cornelis, D. Dobur, A. Fagot, G. Garcia, M. Gul, D. Poyraz, S. Salva, R. Schöfbeck, M. Tytgat, W. Van Driessche, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

H. Bakhshiansohi, C. Beluffi³, O. Bondu, S. Brochet, G. Bruno, A. Caudron, S. De Visscher, C. Delaere, M. Delcourt, B. Francois, A. Giammanco, A. Jafari, P. Jez, M. Komm, V. Lemaitre, A. Magitteri, A. Mertens, M. Musich, C. Nuttens, K. Piotrzkowski, L. Quertenmont, M. Selvaggi, M. Vidal Marono, S. Wertz

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

N. Belyi

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, F.L. Alves, G.A. Alves, L. Brito, C. Hensel, A. Moraes, M.E. Pol, P. Rebello Teles

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁴, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira⁵, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, L.M. Huertas Guativa, H. Malbouisson, D. Matos Figueiredo, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁴, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade Estadual Paulista ^a, Universidade Federal do ABC ^b, São Paulo, Brazil

S. Ahuja^a, C.A. Bernardes^b, S. Dogra^a, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^b,

P.G. Mercadante^b, C.S. Moon^a, S.F. Novaes^a, Sandra S. Padula^a, D. Romero Abad^b, J.C. Ruiz Vargas

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov, I. Glushkov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

Beihang University, Beijing, China

W. Fang⁶

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

M. Ahmad, J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, Y. Chen⁷, T. Cheng, C.H. Jiang, D. Leggat, Z. Liu, F. Romeo, S.M. Shaheen, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, C. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Zhang, J. Zhao

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

Y. Ban, G. Chen, Q. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Z. Xu

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila, A. Cabrera, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, C. Florez, J.P. Gomez, C.F. González Hernández, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, J.C. Sanabria

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, T. Sculac

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, D. Ferencek, K. Kadija, S. Micanovic, L. Sudic, T. Susa

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Finger⁸, M. Finger Jr.⁸

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

Y. Assran^{9,10}, T. Elkafrawy¹¹, A. Mahrous¹²

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

B. Calpas, M. Kadastik, M. Murumaa, L. Perrini, M. Raidal, A. Tiko, C. Veelken

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

P. Eerola, J. Pekkanen, M. Voutilainen

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

J. Hätkönen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Peltola, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

J. Talvitie, T. Tuuva

DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, C. Favaro, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, S. Ghosh, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, I. Kucher, E. Locci, M. Machet, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov, A. Zghiche

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France

A. Abdulsalam, I. Antropov, S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, L. Cadamuro, E. Chapon, C. Charlot, O. Davignon, R. Granier de Cassagnac, M. Jo, S. Lisniak, P. Miné, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, G. Ortona, P. Paganini, P. Pigard, S. Regnard, R. Salerno, Y. Sirois, T. Strebler, Y. Yilmaz, A. Zabi

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram¹³, J. Andrea, A. Aubin, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, M. Buttignol, E.C. Chabert, N. Chanon, C. Collard, E. Conte¹³, X. Coubez, J.-C. Fontaine¹³, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, A.-C. Le Bihan, J.A. Merlin¹⁴, K. Skovpen, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l’Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

S. Gadrat

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, E. Bouvier, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, B. Courbon, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fan, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, G. Grenier, B. Ille, F. Lagarde, I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, A.L. Pequegnot, S. Perries, A. Popov¹⁵, D. Sabes, V. Sordini, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

T. Toriashvili¹⁶

Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

Z. Tsamalaidze⁸

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

C. Autermann, S. Beranek, L. Feld, A. Heister, M.K. Kiesel, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, A. Ostapchuk, M. Preuten, F. Raupach, S. Schael, C. Schomakers, J.F. Schulte, J. Schulz, T. Verlage, H. Weber, V. Zhukov¹⁵

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

M. Brodski, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Endres, M. Erdmann, S. Erdweg, T. Esch, R. Fischer, A. Güth, M. Hamer, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, S. Knutzen, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, S. Mukherjee, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, T. Pook, M. Radziej, H. Reithler, M. Rieger, F. Scheuch, L. Sonnenschein, D. Teyssier, S. Thüer

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

V. Cherepanov, G. Flügge, W. Haj Ahmad, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, A. Künsken, J. Lingemann, T. Müller, A. Nehrkorn, A. Nowack, I.M. Nugent, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, A. Stahl¹⁴

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, C. Asawatangtrakuldee, K. Beernaert, O. Behnke, U. Behrens, A.A. Bin Anuar, K. Borras¹⁷, A. Campbell, P. Connor, C. Contreras-Campana, F. Costanza, C. Diez

Pardos, G. Dolinska, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, E. Eren, E. Gallo¹⁸, J. Garay Garcia, A. Geiser, A. Gzhko, J.M. Grados Luyando, P. Gunnellini, A. Harb, J. Hauk, M. Hempel¹⁹, H. Jung, A. Kalogeropoulos, O. Karacheban¹⁹, M. Kasemann, J. Keaveney, J. Kieseler, C. Kleinwort, I. Korol, D. Krücker, W. Lange, A. Lelek, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, A. Lobanov, W. Lohmann¹⁹, R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, G. Mittag, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, E. Ntomari, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, B. Roland, M.Ö. Sahin, P. Saxena, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, C. Seitz, S. Spannagel, N. Stefaniuk, K.D. Trippkewitz, G.P. Van Onsem, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

V. Blobel, M. Centis Vignali, A.R. Draeger, T. Dreyer, E. Garutti, D. Gonzalez, J. Haller, M. Hoffmann, A. Junkes, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, N. Kovalchuk, T. Lapsien, T. Lenz, I. Marchesini, D. Marconi, M. Meyer, M. Niedziela, D. Nowatschin, F. Pantaleo¹⁴, T. Peiffer, A. Perieanu, J. Poehlsen, C. Sander, C. Scharf, P. Schleper, A. Schmidt, S. Schumann, J. Schwandt, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, F.M. Stober, M. Stöver, H. Tholen, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderen, A. Vanhoefer, B. Vormwald

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany

C. Barth, C. Baus, J. Berger, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, F. Colombo, W. De Boer, A. Dierlamm, S. Fink, R. Friese, M. Giffels, A. Gilbert, P. Goldenzweig, D. Haitz, F. Hartmann¹⁴, S.M. Heindl, U. Husemann, I. Katkov¹⁵, P. Lobelle Pardo, B. Maier, H. Mildner, M.U. Mozer, Th. Müller, M. Plagge, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, S. Röcker, F. Roscher, M. Schröder, I. Shvetsov, G. Sieber, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, M. Weber, T. Weiler, S. Williamson, C. Wöhrmann, R. Wolf

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, V.A. Giakoumopoulou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, I. Topsis-Giotis

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

A. Agapitos, S. Kesisoglou, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Tziaferi

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

I. Evangelou, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Loukas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradas

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University

N. Filipovic

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath²⁰, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztregombi²¹, A.J. Zsigmond

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi²², A. Makovec, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

M. Bartók²¹, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India

S. Bahinipati, S. Choudhury²³, P. Mal, K. Mandal, A. Nayak²⁴, D.K. Sahoo, N. Sahoo, S.K. Swain

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, R. Chawla, U.Bhawandeep, A.K. Kalsi, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, R. Kumar, A. Mehta, M. Mittal, J.B. Singh, G. Walia

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

Ashok Kumar, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, S. Keshri, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, N. Nishu, K. Ranjan, R. Sharma, V. Sharma

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

R. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dey, S. Dutt, S. Dutta, S. Ghosh, N. Majumdar, A. Modak, K. Mondal, S. Mukhopadhyay, S. Nandan, A. Purohit, A. Roy, D. Roy, S. Roy Chowdhury, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan, S. Thakur

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

P.K. Behera

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

R. Chudasama, D. Dutta, V. Jha, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty¹⁴, P.K. Netrakanti, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, S. Dugad, G. Kole, B. Mahakud, S. Mitra, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, N. Sur, B. Sutar

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhowmik²⁵, R.K. Dewanjee, S. Ganguly, M. Guchait, Sa. Jain, S. Kumar, M. Maity²⁵, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, T. Sarkar²⁵, N. Wickramage²⁶

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

S. Chauhan, S. Dube, V. Hegde, A. Kapoor, K. Kotheendar, A. Rane, S. Sharma

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

H. Behnamian, S. Chenarani²⁷, E. Eskandari Tadavani, S.M. Etesami²⁷, A. Fahim²⁸, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, S. Pakhtinat Mehdiabadi, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi, B. Safarzadeh²⁹, M. Zeinali

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

INFN Sezione di Bari ^a, Università di Bari ^b, Politecnico di Bari ^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, C. Caputo^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, L. Cristella^{a,b}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, G. Miniello^{a,b}, S. My^{a,b}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, R. Radogna^{a,b}, A. Ranieri^a, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^{a,14}, R. Venditti^{a,b}, P. Verwilligen^a

INFN Sezione di Bologna ^a, Università di Bologna ^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, C. Battilana, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, L. Brigliadori^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, A. Perrotta^a, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^{a,b,14}

INFN Sezione di Catania ^a, Università di Catania ^b, Catania, Italy

S. Albergo^{a,b}, M. Chiorboli^{a,b}, S. Costa^{a,b}, A. Di Mattia^a, F. Giordano^{a,b}, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b}, C. Tuve^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Firenze ^a, Università di Firenze ^b, Firenze, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, V. Gori^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a, L. Viliani^{a,b,14}

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, ItalyL. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo, F. Primavera¹⁴**INFN Sezione di Genova ^a, Università di Genova ^b, Genova, Italy**V. Calvelli^{a,b}, F. Ferro^a, M. Lo Vetere^{a,b}, M.R. Monge^{a,b}, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b}**INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca ^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca ^b, Milano, Italy**L. Brianza¹⁴, M.E. Dinardo^{a,b}, S. Fiorendi^{a,b}, S. Gennai^a, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, P. Govoni^{a,b}, M. Malberti, S. Malvezzi^a, R.A. Manzoni^{a,b,14}, B. Marzocchi^{a,b}, D. Menasce^a, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Pigazzini, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}**INFN Sezione di Napoli ^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II' ^b, Napoli, Italy, Università della Basilicata ^c, Potenza, Italy, Università G. Marconi ^d, Roma, Italy**S. Buontempo^a, N. Cavallo^{a,c}, G. De Nardo, S. Di Guida^{a,d,14}, M. Esposito^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi^{a,c}, A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b}, G. Lanza^a, L. Lista^a, S. Meola^{a,d,14}, P. Paolucci^{a,14}, C. Sciacca^{a,b}, F. Thyssen**INFN Sezione di Padova ^a, Università di Padova ^b, Padova, Italy, Università di Trento ^c, Trento, Italy**P. Azzi^{a,14}, N. Bacchetta^a, L. Benato^{a,b}, D. Bisello^{a,b}, A. Boletti^{a,b}, R. Carlin^{a,b}, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira^{a,b}, P. Checchia^a, M. Dall'Osso^{a,b}, P. De Castro Manzano^a, T. Dorigo^a, U. Dosselli^a, F. Gasparini^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, A. Gozzelino^a, S. Lacaprara^a, M. Margoni^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b}, J. Pazzini^{a,b,14}, N. Pozzobon^{a,b}, P. Ronchese^{a,b}, F. Simonetto^{a,b}, E. Torassa^a, M. Zanetti, P. Zotto^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta^{a,b}, G. Zumerle^{a,b}**INFN Sezione di Pavia ^a, Università di Pavia ^b, Pavia, Italy**A. Braghieri^a, A. Magnani^{a,b}, P. Montagna^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti^{a,b}, V. Re^a, C. Riccardi^{a,b}, P. Salvini^a, I. Vai^{a,b}, P. Vitulo^{a,b}**INFN Sezione di Perugia ^a, Università di Perugia ^b, Perugia, Italy**L. Alunni Solestizi^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^a, D. Ciangottini^{a,b}, L. Fanò^{a,b}, P. Lariccia^{a,b}, R. Leonardi^{a,b}, G. Mantovani^{a,b}, M. Menichelli^a, A. Saha^a, A. Santocchia^{a,b}**INFN Sezione di Pisa ^a, Università di Pisa ^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa ^c, Pisa, Italy**K. Androsov^{a,30}, P. Azzurri^{a,14}, G. Bagliesi^a, J. Bernardini^a, T. Boccali^a, R. Castaldi^a,M.A. Ciocci^{a,30}, R. Dell'Orso^a, S. Donato^{a,c}, G. Fedi, A. Giassi^a, M.T. Grippo^{a,30}, F. Ligabue^{a,c},T. Lomtadze^a, L. Martini^{a,b}, A. Messineo^{a,b}, F. Palla^a, A. Rizzi^{a,b}, A. Savoy-Navarro^{a,31},P. Spagnolo^a, R. Tenchini^a, G. Tonelli^{a,b}, A. Venturi^a, P.G. Verdini^a**INFN Sezione di Roma ^a, Università di Roma ^b, Roma, Italy**L. Barone^{a,b}, F. Cavallari^a, M. Cipriani^{a,b}, G. D'imperio^{a,b,14}, D. Del Re^{a,b,14}, M. Diemoz^a, S. Gelli^{a,b}, C. Jorda^a, E. Longo^{a,b}, F. Margaroli^{a,b}, P. Meridiani^a, G. Organtini^{a,b}, R. Paramatti^a, F. Preiato^{a,b}, S. Rahatlou^{a,b}, C. Rovelli^a, F. Santanastasio^{a,b}**INFN Sezione di Torino ^a, Università di Torino ^b, Torino, Italy, Università del Piemonte Orientale ^c, Novara, Italy**N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c,14}, S. Argiro^{a,b}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, N. Bartosik^a, R. Bellan^{a,b}, C. Biino^a, N. Cartiglia^a, F. Cenna^{a,b}, M. Costa^{a,b}, R. Covarelli^{a,b}, A. Degano^{a,b}, N. Demaria^a, L. Finco^{a,b}, B. Kiani^{a,b}, C. Mariotti^a, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, V. Monaco^{a,b}, E. Monteil^{a,b}, M.M. Obertino^{a,b}, L. Pacher^{a,b}, N. Pastrone^a, M. Pelliccioni^a, G.L. Pinna Angioni^{a,b}, F. Ravera^{a,b}, A. Romero^{a,b}, M. Ruspa^{a,c}, R. Sacchi^{a,b}, K. Shchelina^{a,b}, V. Sola^a, A. Solano^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a, P. Traczyk^{a,b}**INFN Sezione di Trieste ^a, Università di Trieste ^b, Trieste, Italy**S. Belforte^a, M. Casarsa^a, F. Cossutti^a, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, C. La Licata^{a,b}, A. Schizzi^{a,b}, A. Zanetti^a

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, M.S. Kim, S. Lee, S.W. Lee, Y.D. Oh, S. Sekmen, D.C. Son, Y.C. Yang

Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea

A. Lee

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, T.J. Kim

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, D. Gyun, S. Ha, B. Hong, Y. Jo, Y. Kim, B. Lee, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, S. Lee, J. Lim, S.K. Park, Y. Roh

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J. Kim, H. Lee, S.B. Oh, B.C. Radburn-Smith, S.h. Seo, U.K. Yang, H.D. Yoo, G.B. Yu

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

M. Choi, H. Kim, H. Kim, J.H. Kim, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park, G. Ryu, M.S. Ryu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

Y. Choi, J. Goh, C. Hwang, J. Lee, I. Yu

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

V. Dudenas, A. Juodagalvis, J. Vaitkus

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, MalaysiaI. Ahmed, Z.A. Ibrahim, J.R. Komaragiri, M.A.B. Md Ali³², F. Mohamad Idris³³, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah, M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli**Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico**H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz³⁴, A. Hernandez-Almada, R. Lopez-Fernandez, R. Magaña Villalba, J. Mejia Guisao, A. Sanchez-Hernandez**Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico**

S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

S. Carpintero, I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen, C. Uribe Estrada

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

A. Morelos Pineda

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

D. Kofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

P.H. Butler

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górska, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, PolandK. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk³⁵, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, M. Olszewski, M. Walczak

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

P. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, A. Di Francesco, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, L. Lloret Iglesias, M.V. Nemallapudi, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas, O. Toldaiev, D. Vadruccio, J. Varela, P. Vischia

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenco, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev^{36,37}, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, N. Voityshin, A. Zarubin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia

L. Chtchipounov, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim³⁸, E. Kuznetsova³⁹, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, V. Sulimov, A. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, M. Toms, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

MIPT

A. Bylinkin³⁷

National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia

R. Chistov⁴⁰, M. Danilov⁴⁰, V. Rusinov

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin³⁷, I. Dremin³⁷, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov³⁷, S.V. Rusakov, A. Terkulov

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

A. Baskakov, A. Belyaev, E. Boos, V. Bunichev, M. Dubinin⁴¹, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Loktin, I. Miagkov, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin

Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia

V. Blinov⁴², Y. Skovpen⁴²

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, D. Elumakhov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkine, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

P. Adzic⁴³, P. Cirkovic, D. Devetak, M. Dordevic, J. Milosevic, V. Rekovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

J. Alcaraz Maestre, M. Barrio Luna, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, A. Escalante Del Valle, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa,

E. Navarro De Martino, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, M.S. Soares

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz, M. Missiroli, D. Moran

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, I. Gonzalez Caballero, J.R. González Fernández, E. Palencia Cortezon, S. Sanchez Cruz, I. Suárez Andrés, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, J.R. Castiñeiras De Saa, E. Curras, M. Fernandez, J. Garcia-Ferrero, G. Gomez, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, N. Trevisani, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, C. Botta, T. Camporesi, R. Castello, M. Cepeda, G. Cerminara, M. D'Alfonso, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, V. Daponte, A. David, M. De Gruttola, F. De Guio, A. De Roeck, E. Di Marco⁴⁴, M. Dobson, B. Dorney, T. du Pree, D. Duggan, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, S. Fartoukh, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, D. Gigi, K. Gill, M. Girone, F. Glege, D. Gulhan, S. Gundacker, M. Guthoff, J. Hammer, P. Harris, J. Hegeman, V. Innocente, P. Janot, H. Kirschenmann, V. Knünz, A. Kornmayer¹⁴, M.J. Kortelainen, K. Kousouris, M. Krammer¹, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, M.T. Lucchini, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, A. Martelli, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, S. Morovic, M. Mulders, H. Neugebauer, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, A. Racz, T. Reis, G. Rolandi⁴⁵, M. Rovere, M. Ruan, H. Sakulin, J.B. Sauvan, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, M. Seidel, A. Sharma, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas⁴⁶, J. Steggemann, M. Stoye, Y. Takahashi, M. Tosi, D. Treille, A. Triossi, A. Tsirou, V. Veckalns⁴⁷, G.I. Veres²¹, N. Wardle, A. Zagozdzinska³⁵, W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, T. Rohe

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, B. Casal, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, P. Eller, C. Grab, C. Heidegger, D. Hits, J. Hoss, G. Kasieczka, P. Lecomte[†], W. Lustermann, B. Mangano, M. Marionneau, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, M. Masciovecchio, M.T. Meinhard, D. Meister, F. Micheli, P. Musella, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, J. Pata, F. Pauss, G. Perrin, L. Perrozzi, M. Quitnat, M. Rossini, M. Schönenberger, A. Starodumov⁴⁸, V.R. Tavolaro, K. Theofilatos, R. Wallny

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Arrestad, C. Amsler⁴⁹, L. Caminada, M.F. Canelli, A. De Cosa, C. Galloni, A. Hinzmann, T. Hreus, B. Kilminster, C. Lange, J. Ngadiuba, D. Pinna, G. Rauco, P. Robmann, D. Salerno, Y. Yang

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

V. Candelise, T.H. Doan, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, M. Konyushikhin, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, Y.J. Lu, A. Pozdnyakov, S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

Arun Kumar, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, C. Dietz,

F. Fiori, W.-S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, Y.F. Liu, R.-S. Lu, M. Miñano Moya, E. Paganis, A. Psallidas, J.f. Tsai, Y.M. Tzeng

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand

B. Asavapibhop, G. Singh, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

S. Cerci⁵⁰, S. Damarseckin, Z.S. Demiroglu, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, Y. Guler, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal⁵¹, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, M. Oglakci, G. Onengut⁵², K. Ozdemir⁵³, D. Sunar Cerci⁵⁰, B. Tali⁵⁰, S. Turkcapar, I.S. Zorbakir, C. Zorbilmez

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, B. Isildak⁵⁴, G. Karapinar⁵⁵, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

E. Gürmez, M. Kaya⁵⁶, O. Kaya⁵⁷, E.A. Yetkin⁵⁸, T. Yetkin⁵⁹

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir, K. Cankocak, S. Sen⁶⁰

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine

B. Grynyov

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

R. Aggleton, F. Ball, L. Beck, J.J. Brooke, D. Burns, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, J. Jacob, L. Kreczko, C. Lucas, D.M. Newbold⁶¹, S. Paramesvaran, A. Poll, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-storey, D. Smith, V.J. Smith

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

D. Barducci, K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁶², C. Brew, R.M. Brown, L. Calligaris, D. Cieri, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

M. Baber, R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, D. Burton, S. Casasso, M. Citron, D. Colling, L. Corpe, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, A. De Wit, M. Della Negra, R. Di Maria, P. Dunne, A. Elwood, D. Futyan, Y. Haddad, G. Hall, G. Iles, T. James, R. Lane, C. Laner, R. Lucas⁶¹, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, L. Mastrolorenzo, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko⁴⁸, J. Pela, B. Penning, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond, A. Richards, A. Rose, C. Seez, S. Summers, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, M. Vazquez Acosta⁶³, T. Virdee¹⁴, J. Wright, S.C. Zenz

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leslie, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

Baylor University, Waco, USA

A. Borzou, K. Call, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, H. Liu, N. Pastika

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

A. Buccilli, O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

Boston University, Boston, USA

D. Arcaro, A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, D. Gastler, D. Rankin, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, L. Sulak, D. Zou

Brown University, Providence, USA

G. Benelli, E. Berry, D. Cutts, A. Garabedian, J. Hakala, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan, O. Jesus, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, Z. Mao, M. Narain, S. Piperov, S. Sagir, E. Spencer, R. Syarif

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

R. Breedon, G. Breto, D. Burns, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, C. Flores, G. Funk, M. Gardner, W. Ko, R. Lander, C. Mclean, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, F. Ricci-Tam, S. Shalhout, J. Smith, M. Squires, D. Stolp, M. Tripathi, S. Wilbur, R. Yohay

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

R. Cousins, P. Everaerts, A. Florent, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, D. Saltzberg, E. Takasugi, V. Valuev, M. Weber

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

K. Burt, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, G. Hanson, J. Heilman, P. Jandir, E. Kennedy, F. Lacroix, O.R. Long, M. Olmedo Negrete, M.I. Paneva, A. Shrinivas, H. Wei, S. Wimpenny, B. R. Yates

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, M. Derdzinski, R. Gerosa, A. Holzner, D. Klein, V. Krutelyov, J. Letts, I. Macneill, D. Olivito, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, M. Tadel, A. Vartak, S. Wasserbaech⁶⁴, C. Welke, J. Wood, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta

University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA

R. Bhandari, J. Bradmiller-Feld, C. Campagnari, A. Dishaw, V. Dutta, K. Flowers, M. Franco Sevilla, P. Geffert, C. George, F. Golf, L. Gouskos, J. Gran, R. Heller, J. Incandela, N. Mccoll, S.D. Mullin, A. Ovcharova, J. Richman, D. Stuart, I. Suarez, C. West, J. Yoo

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

D. Anderson, A. Apresyan, J. Bendavid, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, J. Duarte, J.M. Lawhorn, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Pena, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

M.B. Andrews, V. Azzolini, T. Ferguson, M. Paulini, J. Russ, M. Sun, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, F. Jensen, A. Johnson, M. Krohn, T. Mulholland, K. Stenson, S.R. Wagner

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

J. Alexander, J. Chaves, J. Chu, S. Dittmer, K. Mcdermott, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Rinkevicius, A. Ryd, L. Skinnari, L. Soffi, S.M. Tan, Z. Tao, J. Thom, J. Tucker, P. Wittich, M. Zientek

Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA

D. Winn

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, G. Apollinari, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, G. Bolla, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir[†], M. Cremonesi, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl,

O. Gutsche, D. Hare, R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa, J. Hirschauer, Z. Hu, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, B. Klima, B. Kreis, S. Lammel, J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, T. Liu, R. Lopes De Sá, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, N. Magini, J.M. Marraffino, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, C. Newman-Holmes[†], V. O'Dell, K. Pedro, O. Prokofyev, G. Rakness, L. Ristori, E. Sexton-Kennedy, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, S. Stoynev, N. Strobbe, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, C. Vernieri, M. Verzocchi, R. Vidal, M. Wang, H.A. Weber, A. Whitbeck

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, P. Bortignon, D. Bourilkov, A. Brinkerhoff, A. Carnes, M. Carver, D. Curry, S. Das, R.D. Field, I.K. Furic, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, P. Ma, K. Matchev, H. Mei, P. Milenovic⁶⁵, G. Mitselmakher, D. Rank, L. Shchutska, D. Sperka, L. Thomas, J. Wang, S. Wang, J. Yelton

Florida International University, Miami, USA

S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

A. Ackert, J.R. Adams, T. Adams, A. Askew, S. Bein, B. Diamond, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, A. Khatiwada, H. Prosper, A. Santra, M. Weinberg

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.M. Baarmann, V. Bhopatkar, S. Colafranceschi⁶⁶, M. Hohlmann, D. Noonan, T. Roy, F. Yumiceva

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, D. Berry, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, P. Kurt, C. O'Brien, I.D. Sandoval Gonzalez, P. Turner, N. Varelas, H. Wang, Z. Wu, M. Zakaria, J. Zhang

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

B. Bilki⁶⁷, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, S. Durgut, R.P. Gundrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermekaya⁶⁸, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁶⁹, A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, K. Yi

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

I. Anderson, B. Blumenfeld, A. Cocoros, N. Eminizer, D. Fehling, L. Feng, A.V. Gritsan, P. Maksimovic, M. Osherson, J. Roskes, U. Sarica, M. Swartz, M. Xiao, Y. Xin, C. You

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

A. Al-bataineh, P. Baringer, A. Bean, S. Boren, J. Bowen, C. Bruner, J. Castle, L. Forthomme, R.P. Kenny III, A. Kropivnitskaya, D. Majumder, W. Mcbrayer, M. Murray, S. Sanders, R. Stringer, J.D. Tapia Takaki, Q. Wang

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, A. Mohammadi, L.K. Saini, N. Skhirtladze, S. Toda

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

C. Anelli, A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, C. Ferraioli, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, J. Kunkle, Y. Lu, A.C. Mignerey, Y.H. Shin, A. Skuja, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

D. Abercrombie, B. Allen, A. Apyan, R. Barbieri, A. Baty, R. Bi, K. Bierwagen, S. Brandt, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, Z. Demiragli, L. Di Matteo, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Hsu, Y. Iiyama, G.M. Innocenti, M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, K. Krajczar, Y.S. Lai, Y.-J. Lee, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, A.C. Marini, C. McGinn, C. Mironov, S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, C. Roland, G. Roland, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, K. Tatar, M. Varma, D. Velicanu, J. Veverka, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, V. Zhukova

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

A.C. Benvenuti, R.M. Chatterjee, A. Evans, A. Finkel, A. Gude, P. Hansen, S. Kalafut, S.C. Kao, Y. Kubota, Z. Lesko, J. Mans, S. Nourbakhsh, N. Ruckstuhl, R. Rusack, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

E. Avdeeva, R. Bartek, K. Bloom, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, C. Fangmeier, R. Gonzalez Suarez, R. Kamalieddin, I. Kravchenko, A. Malta Rodrigues, F. Meier, J. Monroy, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow, B. Stieger

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

M. Alyari, J. Dolen, J. George, A. Godshalk, C. Harrington, I. Iashvili, J. Kaisen, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, A. Parker, S. Rappoccio, B. Roozbahani

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, A. Hortiangtham, B. Knapp, A. Massironi, D.M. Morse, D. Nash, T. Orimoto, R. Teixeira De Lima, D. Trocino, R.-J. Wang, D. Wood

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

S. Bhattacharya, K.A. Hahn, A. Kubik, A. Kumar, J.F. Low, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, M.H. Schmitt, K. Sung, M. Trovato, M. Velasco

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

N. Dev, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, N. Kellams, K. Lannon, N. Marinelli, F. Meng, C. Mueller, Y. Musienko³⁶, M. Planer, A. Reinsvold, R. Ruchti, G. Smith, S. Taroni, M. Wayne, M. Wolf, A. Woodard

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

J. Alimena, L. Antonelli, J. Brinson, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, B. Francis, A. Hart, C. Hill, R. Hughes, W. Ji, B. Liu, W. Luo, D. Puigh, B.L. Winer, H.W. Wulsin

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

S. Cooperstein, O. Driga, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, P. Hebda, D. Lange, J. Luo, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, K. Mei, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, C. Palmer, P. Piroué, D. Stickland, C. Tully, A. Zuranski

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

S. Malik

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

A. Barker, V.E. Barnes, S. Folgueras, L. Gutay, M.K. Jha, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, K. Jung, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, X. Shi, J. Sun, A. Svyatkovskiy, F. Wang, W. Xie, L. Xu

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA

N. Parashar, J. Stupak

Rice University, Houston, USA

A. Adair, B. Akgun, Z. Chen, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Guilbaud, W. Li, B. Michlin, M. Northup, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Rorie, Z. Tu, J. Zabel

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y.t. Duh, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, J. Han, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, K.H. Lo, P. Tan, M. Verzetti

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

J.P. Chou, E. Contreras-Campana, Y. Gershtein, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, E. Halkiadakis, M. Heindl, D. Hidas, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli, S. Kyriacou, A. Lath, K. Nash, H. Saka, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, D. Sheffield, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

M. Foerster, J. Heideman, G. Riley, K. Rose, S. Spanier, K. Thapa

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

O. Bouhali⁷⁰, A. Celik, M. Dalchenko, M. De Mattia, A. Delgado, S. Dildick, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, E. Juska, T. Kamon⁷¹, R. Mueller, Y. Pakhotin, R. Patel, A. Perloff, L. Perniè, D. Rathjens, A. Rose, A. Safonov, A. Tatarinov, K.A. Ulmer

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Dudero, J. Faulkner, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev, Z. Wang

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

A.G. Delannoy, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, A. Melo, H. Ni, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, Q. Xu

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

M.W. Areントン, P. Barria, B. Cox, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, H. Li, C. Neu, T. Sinhuprasith, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, F. Xia

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

C. Clarke, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, P. Lamichhane, J. Sturdy

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA

D.A. Belknap, S. Dasu, L. Dodd, S. Duric, B. Gomber, M. Grothe, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbers, A. Lanaro, A. Levine, K. Long, R. Loveless, I. Ojalvo, T. Perry, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, T. Ruggles, A. Savin, A. Sharma, N. Smith, W.H. Smith, D. Taylor, N. Woods

†: Deceased

1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria

2: Also at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

3: Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

4: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

5: Also at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil

6: Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

7: Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

8: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

9: Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt

-
- 10: Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
 - 11: Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
 - 12: Now at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt
 - 13: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
 - 14: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
 - 15: Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
 - 16: Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
 - 17: Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
 - 18: Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
 - 19: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
 - 20: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
 - 21: Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
 - 22: Also at University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
 - 23: Also at Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal, India
 - 24: Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
 - 25: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
 - 26: Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka
 - 27: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
 - 28: Also at University of Tehran, Department of Engineering Science, Tehran, Iran
 - 29: Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
 - 30: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
 - 31: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
 - 32: Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
 - 33: Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia
 - 34: Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico
 - 35: Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland
 - 36: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
 - 37: Now at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
 - 38: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
 - 39: Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
 - 40: Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
 - 41: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
 - 42: Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia
 - 43: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
 - 44: Also at INFN Sezione di Roma; Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
 - 45: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy
 - 46: Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
 - 47: Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia
 - 48: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
 - 49: Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland
 - 50: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
 - 51: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
 - 52: Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey
 - 53: Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 54: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey

- 55: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
- 56: Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 57: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- 58: Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 59: Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 60: Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- 61: Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
- 62: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- 63: Also at Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, La Laguna, Spain
- 64: Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA
- 65: Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
- 66: Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
- 67: Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA
- 68: Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey
- 69: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
- 70: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
- 71: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea