

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

FERMILAB-Pub-97/122-E

CDF

**Search for New Gauge Bosons Decaying into Dileptons in $\bar{p}p$
Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8 \text{ TeV}$**

F. Abe et al.

The CDF Collaboration

*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510*

May 1997

Submitted to *Physical Review Letters*

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Distribution

Approved for public release; further dissemination unlimited.

Search for New Gauge Bosons Decaying into Dileptons in $\bar{p}p$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV

F. Abe,¹⁷ H. Akimoto,³⁶ A. Akopian,³¹ M. G. Albrow,⁷ S. R. Amendolia,²⁷ D. Amidei,²⁰ J. Antos,³³ S. Aota,³⁶ G. Apollinari,³¹ T. Asakawa,³⁶ W. Ashmanskas,¹⁸ M. Atac,⁷ F. Azfar,²⁶ P. Azzi-Bacchetta,²⁵ N. Bacchetta,²⁵ W. Badgett,²⁰ S. Bagdasarov,³¹ M. W. Bailey,²² J. Bao,³⁹ P. de Barbaro,³⁰ A. Barbaro-Galtieri,¹⁸ V. E. Barnes,²⁹ B. A. Barnett,¹⁵ M. Barone,⁹ E. Barzi,⁹ G. Bauer,¹⁹ T. Baumann,¹¹ F. Bedeschi,²⁷ S. Behrends,³ S. Belforte,²⁷ G. Bellettini,²⁷ J. Bellinger,³⁸ D. Benjamin,³⁵ J. Benloch,¹⁹ J. Bensinger,³ D. Benton,²⁶ A. Beretvas,⁷ J. P. Berge,⁷ J. Berryhill,⁵ S. Bertolucci,⁹ B. Bevensee,²⁶ A. Bhatti,³¹ K. Biery,⁷ M. Binkley,⁷ D. Bisello,²⁵ R. E. Blair,¹ C. Blocker,³ A. Bodek,³⁰ W. Bokhari,¹⁹ V. Bolognesi,² G. Bolla,²⁹ D. Bortoletto,²⁹ J. Boudreau,²⁸ L. Breccia,² C. Bromberg,²¹ N. Bruner,²² E. Buckley-Geer,⁷ H. S. Budd,³⁰ K. Burkett,²⁰ G. Busetto,²⁵ A. Byon-Wagner,⁷ K. L. Byrum,¹ J. Cammerata,¹⁵ C. Campagnari,⁷ M. Campbell,²⁰ A. Caner,²⁷ W. Carithers,¹⁸ D. Carlsmith,³⁸ A. Castro,²⁵ D. Cauz,²⁷ Y. Cen,³⁰ F. Cervelli,²⁷ P. S. Chang,³³ P. T. Chang,³³ H. Y. Chao,³³ J. Chapman,²⁰ M. -T. Cheng,³³ G. Chiarelli,²⁷ T. Chikamatsu,³⁶ C. N. Chiou,³³ L. Christofek,¹³ S. Cihangir,⁷ A. G. Clark,¹⁰ M. Cobal,²⁷ E. Cocca,²⁷ M. Contreras,⁵ J. Conway,³² J. Cooper,⁷ M. Cordelli,⁹ C. Couyoumtzelis,¹⁰ D. Crane,¹ D. Cronin-Hennessy,⁶ R. Culbertson,⁵ T. Daniels,¹⁹ F. DeJongh,⁷ S. Delchamps,⁷ S. Dell'Agnello,²⁷ M. Dell'Orso,²⁷ R. Demina,⁷ L. Demortier,³¹ M. Deninno,² P. F. Derwent,⁷ T. Devlin,³² J. R. Dittmann,⁶ S. Donati,²⁷ J. Done,³⁴ T. Dorigo,²⁵ A. Dunn,²⁰ N. Eddy,²⁰ K. Einsweiler,¹⁸ J. E. Elias,⁷ R. Ely,¹⁸ E. Engels, Jr.,²⁸ D. Errede,¹³ S. Errede,¹³ Q. Fan,³⁰ G. Feild,³⁹ C. Ferretti,²⁷ I. Fiori,² B. Flaucher,⁷ G. W. Foster,⁷ M. Franklin,¹¹ M. Frautschi,³⁵ J. Freeman,⁷ J. Friedman,¹⁹ H. Frisch,⁵ Y. Fukui,¹⁷ S. Funaki,³⁶ S. Galeotti,²⁷ M. Gallinaro,²⁶ O. Ganel,³⁵ M. Garcia-Sciveres,¹⁸ A. F. Garfinkel,²⁹ C. Gay,¹¹ S. Geer,⁷ D. W. Gerdes,¹⁵ P. Giannetti,²⁷ N. Giokaris,³¹ P. Giromini,⁹ G. Giusti,²⁷ L. Gladney,²⁶ D. Glenzinski,¹⁵ M. Gold,²² J. Gonzalez,²⁶ A. Gordon,¹¹ A. T. Goshaw,⁶ Y. Gotra,²⁵ K. Goulianatos,³¹ H. Grassmann,²⁷ L. Groer,³² C. Gross-Pilcher,⁵ G. Guillian,²⁰ R. S. Guo,³³ C. Haber,¹⁸ E. Hafen,¹⁹ S. R. Hahn,⁷ R. Hamilton,¹¹ R. Handler,³⁸ R. M. Hans,³⁹ F. Happacher,⁹ K. Hara,³⁶ A. D. Hardman,²⁹ B. Harral,²⁶ R. M. Harris,⁷ S. A. Hauger,⁶ J. Hauser,⁴ C. Hawk,³² E. Hayashi,³⁶ J. Heinrich,²⁶ B. Hinrichsen,¹⁴ K. D. Hoffman,²⁹ M. Hohlmann,⁵ C. Holck,²⁶ R. Hollebeek,²⁶ L. Holloway,¹³ S. Hong,²⁰ G. Houk,²⁶ P. Hu,²⁸ B. T. Huffman,²⁸ R. Hughes,²³ J. Huston,²¹ J. Huth,¹¹ J. Hylen,⁷ H. Ikeda,³⁶ M. Incagli,²⁷ J. Incandela,⁷ G. Introzzi,²⁷ J. Iwai,³⁶ Y. Iwata,¹² H. Jensen,⁷ U. Joshi,⁷ R. W. Kadel,¹⁸ E. Kajfasz,²⁵ H. Kambara,¹⁰ T. Kamon,³⁴ T. Kaneko,³⁶ K. Karr,³⁷ H. Kasha,³⁹ Y. Kato,²⁴ T. A. Keaffaber,²⁹ K. Kelley,¹⁹ R. D. Kennedy,⁷ R. Kephart,⁷ P. Kesten,¹⁸ D. Kestenbaum,¹¹ H. Keutelian,⁷

F. Keyvan,⁴ B. Kharadia,¹³ B. J. Kim,³⁰ D. H. Kim,^{7a} H. S. Kim,¹⁴ S. B. Kim,²⁰ S. H. Kim,³⁶ Y. K. Kim,¹⁸ L. Kirsch,³ P. Koehn,²³ K. Kondo,³⁶ J. Konigsberg,⁸ S. Kopp,⁵ K. Kordas,¹⁴ A. Korytov,⁸ W. Koska,⁷ E. Kovacs,^{7a} W. Kowald,⁶ M. Krasberg,²⁰ J. Kroll,⁷ M. Kruse,³⁰ T. Kuwabara,³⁶ S. E. Kuhlmann,¹ E. Kuns,³² A. T. Laasanen,²⁹ S. Lami,²⁷ S. Lammel,⁷ J. I. Lamoureux,³ M. Lancaster,¹⁸ T. LeCompte,¹ S. Leone,²⁷ J. D. Lewis,⁷ P. Limon,⁷ M. Lindgren,⁴ T. M. Liss,¹³ J. B. Liu,³⁰ Y. C. Liu,³³ N. Lockyer,²⁶ O. Long,²⁶ C. Loomis,³² M. Loreti,²⁵ J. Lu,³⁴ D. Lucchesi,²⁷ P. Lukens,⁷ S. Lusin,³⁸ J. Lys,¹⁸ K. Maeshima,⁷ A. Maghakian,³¹ P. Maksimovic,¹⁹ M. Mangano,²⁷ J. Mansour,²¹ M. Mariotti,²⁵ J. P. Marriner,⁷ A. Martin,³⁹ J. A. J. Matthews,²² R. Mattingly,¹⁹ P. McIntyre,³⁴ P. Melese,³¹ A. Menzione,²⁷ E. Meschi,²⁷ S. Metzler,²⁶ C. Miao,²⁰ T. Miao,⁷ G. Michail,¹¹ R. Miller,²¹ H. Minato,³⁶ S. Miscetti,⁹ M. Mishina,¹⁷ H. Mitsushio,³⁶ T. Miyamoto,³⁶ S. Miyashita,³⁶ N. Moggi,²⁷ Y. Morita,¹⁷ A. Mukherjee,⁷ T. Muller,¹⁶ P. Murat,²⁷ H. Nakada,³⁶ I. Nakano,³⁶ C. Nelson,⁷ D. Neuberger,¹⁶ C. Newman-Holmes,⁷ C-Y. P. Ngan,¹⁹ M. Ninomiya,³⁶ L. Nodulman,¹ S. H. Oh,⁶ K. E. Ohl,³⁹ T. Ohmoto,¹² T. Ohsugi,¹² R. Oishi,³⁶ M. Okabe,³⁶ T. Okusawa,²⁴ R. Oliveira,²⁶ J. Olsen,³⁸ C. Pagliarone,²⁷ R. Paoletti,²⁷ V. Papadimitriou,³⁵ S. P. Pappas,³⁹ N. Parashar,²⁷ S. Park,⁷ A. Parri,⁹ J. Patrick,⁷ G. Paulette,²⁷ M. Paulini,¹⁸ A. Perazzo,²⁷ L. Pescara,²⁵ M. D. Peters,¹⁸ T. J. Phillips,⁶ G. Piacentino,²⁷ M. Pillai,³⁰ K. T. Pitts,⁷ R. Plunkett,⁷ L. Pondrom,³⁸ J. Proudfoot,¹ F. Ptahos,¹¹ G. Punzi,²⁷ K. Ragan,¹⁴ D. Reher,¹⁸ A. Ribon,²⁵ F. Rimondi,² L. Ristori,²⁷ W. J. Robertson,⁶ T. Rodrigo,²⁷ S. Rolli,³⁷ J. Romano,⁵ L. Rosenson,¹⁹ R. Roser,¹³ T. Saab,¹⁴ W. K. Sakumoto,³⁰ D. Saltzberg,⁵ A. Sansoni,⁹ L. Santi,²⁷ H. Sato,³⁶ P. Schlabach,⁷ E. E. Schmidt,⁷ M. P. Schmidt,³⁹ A. Scribano,²⁷ S. Segler,⁷ S. Seidel,²² Y. Seiya,³⁶ G. Sganos,¹⁴ M. D. Shapiro,¹⁸ N. M. Shaw,²⁹ Q. Shen,²⁹ P. F. Shepard,²⁸ M. Shimojima,³⁶ M. Shochet,⁵ J. Siegrist,¹⁸ A. Sill,³⁵ P. Sinervo,¹⁴ P. Singh,²⁸ J. Skarha,¹⁵ K. Sliwa,³⁷ F. D. Snider,¹⁵ T. Song,²⁰ J. Spalding,⁷ T. Speer,¹⁰ P. Sphicas,¹⁹ F. Spinella,²⁷ M. Spiropulu,¹¹ L. Spiegel,⁷ L. Stanco,²⁵ J. Steele,³⁸ A. Stefanini,²⁷ K. Strahl,¹⁴ J. Strait,⁷ R. Ströhmer,^{7a} D. Stuart,⁷ G. Sullivan,⁵ K. Sumorok,¹⁹ J. Suzuki,³⁶ T. Takada,³⁶ T. Takahashi,²⁴ T. Takano,³⁶ K. Takikawa,³⁶ N. Tamura,¹² B. Tannenbaum,²² F. Tartarelli,²⁷ W. Taylor,¹⁴ P. K. Teng,³³ Y. Teramoto,²⁴ S. Tether,¹⁹ D. Theriot,⁷ T. L. Thomas,²² R. Thun,²⁰ R. Thurman-Keup,¹ M. Timko,³⁷ P. Tipton,³⁰ A. Titov,³¹ S. Tkaczyk,⁷ D. Toback,⁵ K. Tollefson,³⁰ A. Tollesstrup,⁷ H. Toyoda,²⁴ W. Trischuk,¹⁴ J. F. de Troconiz,¹¹ S. Truitt,²⁰ J. Tseng,¹⁹ N. Turini,²⁷ T. Uchida,³⁶ N. Uemura,³⁶ F. Ukegawa,²⁶ G. Unal,²⁶ J. Valls,^{7a} S. C. van den Brink,²⁸ S. Vejcik,^{III},²⁰ G. Velev,²⁷ R. Vidal,⁷ R. Vilar,^{7a} M. Vondracek,¹³ D. Vucinic,¹⁹ R. G. Wagner,¹ R. L. Wagner,⁷ J. Wahl,⁵ N. B. Wallace,²⁷ A. M. Walsh,³² C. Wang,⁶ C. H. Wang,³³ J. Wang,⁵ M. J. Wang,³³ Q. F. Wang,³¹ A. Warburton,¹⁴ T. Watts,³² R. Webb,³⁴ C. Wei,⁶ H. Wenzel,¹⁶ W. C. Wester,^{III},⁷ A. B. Wicklund,¹ E. Wicklund,⁷ R. Wilkinson,²⁶

H. H. Williams,²⁶ P. Wilson,⁵ B. L. Winer,²³ D. Winn,²⁰ D. Wolinski,²⁰ J. Wolinski,²¹ S. Worm,²² X. Wu,¹⁰ J. Wyss,²⁵
A. Yagil,⁷ W. Yao,¹⁸ K. Yasuoka,³⁶ Y. Ye,¹⁴ G. P. Yeh,⁷ P. Yeh,³³ M. Yin,⁶ J. Yoh,⁷ C. Yosef,²¹ T. Yoshida,²⁴
D. Yovanovitch,⁷ I. Yu,⁷ L. Yu,²² J. C. Yun,⁷ A. Zanetti,²⁷ F. Zetti,²⁷ L. Zhang,³⁸ W. Zhang,²⁶ and S. Zucchelli²

(CDF Collaboration)

¹ Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

² Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University of Bologna, I-40127 Bologna, Italy

³ Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02264

⁴ University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90024

⁵ University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60638

⁶ Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 28708

⁷ Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

⁸ University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 33611

⁹ Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, I-00044 Frascati, Italy

¹⁰ University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland

¹¹ Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

¹² Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 724, Japan

¹³ University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801

¹⁴ Institute of Particle Physics, McGill University, Montreal H3A 2T8, and University of Toronto,
Toronto M5S 1A7, Canada

¹⁵ The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

¹⁶ Universität Karlsruhe, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

¹⁷ National Laboratory for High Energy Physics (KEK), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 315, Japan

¹⁸ Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

¹⁹ Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

²⁰ University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

²¹ Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824

²² University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87132

²³ *The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43320*

²⁴ *Osaka City University, Osaka 588, Japan*

²⁵ *Universita di Padova, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova, I-36132 Padova, Italy*

²⁶ *University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104*

²⁷ *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, University and Scuola Normale Superiore of Pisa, I-56100 Pisa, Italy*

²⁸ *University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15270*

²⁹ *Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907*

³⁰ *University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14628*

³¹ *Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10021*

³² *Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854*

³³ *Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 11530, Republic of China*

³⁴ *Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843*

³⁵ *Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409*

³⁶ *University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 315, Japan*

³⁷ *Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155*

³⁸ *University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53806*

³⁹ *Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511*

We have searched for heavy neutral gauge bosons (Z') in dielectron and dimuon decay modes using 110 pb^{-1} of $\bar{p}p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8 \text{ TeV}$ collected with the Collider Detector at Fermilab.

We present a limit on the production cross section times branching ratio of a Z' boson decaying into dileptons as a function of Z' mass. For mass $M_{Z'} > 600 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, the upper limit is 40 fb at 95% confidence level. We set lower mass limits of 690, 590, 620, 595, 565, 630 and $600 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for

Z'_{SM} , Z_ψ , Z_η , Z_χ , Z_I , Z_{LR} and Z_{ALRM} , respectively.

13.85.Rm, 12.38.Qk, 13.85.Qk, 14.70.Pw, 12.60.Cn

Neutral gauge bosons in addition to the Z^0 are expected in many models for physics beyond the Standard Model [1]. These models typically specify the strengths of the couplings of such bosons to quarks and leptons but make no mass predictions [2]. However, some recent theoretical predictions [3] [4] state that in Super String and Super Gravity models, it is more ‘natural’ to expect the mass of the lightest Z' boson to be in the range of $200 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ to $1 \text{ TeV}/c^2$ [5]. To date there is no experimental evidence for the existence of any Z' bosons [6]. In $\bar{p}p$ collisions at the Tevatron, Z' bosons may be produced and observed directly via their decay to lepton pairs. Observation of a Z' boson would provide evidence for physics beyond the Standard Model. Extending the experimental mass and cross section limits on Z' bosons would provide further constraints on these theories. The current best direct experimental Z' mass limit, $M_{Z'} > 505 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ at 95% confidence level (C.L.), was established by the Collider Detector at Fermilab (CDF) [7] with the assumption that the Z' boson (Z'_{SM}) has the same coupling strengths to quarks and leptons as those of the Standard Model (SM) Z^0 [8]. That result was based upon data collected during the 1992-93 run with an integrated luminosity of 19.7 pb^{-1} and used the dielectron decay mode. We report an extension of that search using an additional 90 pb^{-1} of integrated luminosity from the 1994-95 run. Results reported here use both the dielectron (ee) [9] and the dimuon ($\mu\mu$) [10] decay modes. We

present a 95% C.L. upper limit on the production cross section times branching ratio of a Z' boson decaying into dileptons ($\sigma(Z') \cdot B(Z' \rightarrow ll)$, $l = e, \mu$). Mass limits are derived assuming SM coupling strengths. In addition, we present Z' mass limits using several different theoretical models based on the E_6 symmetry group [11] [12] and on an Alternative Left-Right Model [13].

The CDF detector consists of a magnetic spectrometer surrounded by calorimeters and muon chambers and has been described in detail elsewhere [14]. We briefly describe here those aspects of the detector relevant to this analysis. The momenta of electrons and muons are measured in the central tracking chamber (CTC), which is surrounded by a 1.4-T superconducting solenoidal magnet. The energies of electrons are measured in the central electromagnetic calorimeter (CEM) ($|\eta| < 1.1$) and the end-plug electromagnetic calorimeter (PEM) ($1.1 < |\eta| < 2.4$) [15]. Outside the calorimeters, drift chambers in the region $|\eta| < 1.0$ provide muon identification. Dielectron candidate events were collected with an electron trigger. In order to maintain high efficiency for high E_T [15] electrons, we also accepted events from a jet trigger [7]. The electron trigger requires either an energy cluster in the CEM or in the PEM. The jet trigger requires a calorimeter energy cluster with $E_T > 100 \text{ GeV}$. These triggers are fully efficient for the ee decay mode [7]. Dimuon candidate events were collected with a muon trigger that requires a match between a muon chamber track and a

track measured by the CTC with $p_T > 18 \text{ GeV}/c$ [15]. The overall trigger efficiency for the $\mu\mu$ decay mode is $76 \pm 3\%$.

We select ee and $\mu\mu$ candidate events by requiring that one lepton satisfies tight lepton identification cuts and a second lepton satisfies looser identification cuts. The lepton tracks are required to come from an event vertex located within 60 cm of the detector center along the z axis. Dielectron candidate events are selected by requiring at least one electron candidate in the CEM (CEM tight) and a second electron candidate in either the CEM (CEM loose) or PEM (PEM loose). An electron candidate is required to have $E_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$ and be in the good fiducial region of the CEM or PEM. Electron candidates in the CEM are required to have a track with $p_T > 13 \text{ GeV}/c$ matched to the CEM energy cluster in both position and transverse momentum. The electrons are required to be isolated [7]. Muons are required to be consistent with a minimum ionizing signal in the calorimeters and have $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}/c$. One muon (tight muon) is required to be isolated and detected in the central region covered by the muon trigger ($|\eta| < 0.6$). The second muon (loose muon) is required to be in the fiducial volume of the CTC to ensure a good momentum measurement [16].

The ee and $\mu\mu$ invariant mass distribution for events passing these selection criteria are shown in Fig. 1 and 2. The sample contains 7234 ee and 2566 $\mu\mu$ candidate events. The largest observed dilepton invariant mass is

$496 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $320 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for ee and $\mu\mu$ events, respectively.

The efficiencies of the lepton identification cuts are determined using a nearly pure sample of dilepton events from Z^0 decays. This sample is selected using the lepton identification requirements for only one central lepton. The second lepton is only required to satisfy kinematic and geometrical cuts. We also require that the invariant mass of the two leptons be between 70 and $110 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. We estimate the efficiency of the lepton identification requirements using the second lepton candidate. Since leptons from Z' decay may have higher p_T than those from Z^0 decays, the lepton selection cuts should maintain high efficiencies for the higher p_T leptons. This is checked by studying the high p_T leptons from Z^0 and W decays, test beam data, and Monte Carlo simulation. We find that the lepton identification cuts have no significant dependence on the p_T of the lepton. The efficiencies of the CEM tight, CEM loose, and PEM loose electron identification selection cuts are $94.5 \pm 0.4\%$, $96.5 \pm 0.3\%$, and $93.0^{+1.6}_{-1.8}\%$, respectively. The efficiencies of the tight and loose muon cuts are $85.6^{+2.0}_{-2.6}\%$ and $92.9^{+1.2}_{-1.8}\%$ for 1992-93 data, and $80.8^{+1.3}_{-1.2}\%$ and $92.8^{+0.6}_{-0.8}\%$ for the higher instantaneous luminosity 1994-95 data. The geometrical and kinematic acceptance for dilepton events as a function of $M_{Z'}$ is determined by Monte Carlo simulation. We use Martin-Roberts-Stirling set D'_- (MRS D'_-) parton distribution functions (p.d.f.) [17]. Events

are simulated using a parametrized detector response and are corrected for the efficiencies of the selection requirements. The total efficiencies for detecting $Z' \rightarrow ee$ and $Z' \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events are $\approx 47\%$ and $\approx 20\%$, respectively, for $M_{Z'} > 300 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.

The major background contribution is from dilepton events from the Z^0 decay and Drell-Yan production. The contributions from other processes which produce dilepton final states, such as $b\bar{b}$, $t\bar{t}$ and W^+W^- , are found to be negligible. The lepton identification cuts are optimized for high efficiency. As a result, some of the accepted ee events are from non-dielectron sources, predominantly misidentified QCD dijet events. This background is estimated from a sample of QCD events that pass looser identification cuts and by fitting the observed dijet mass distribution to a parametric form as determined in [18]. The dominant non-dimuon background to the dimuon data set is from cosmic rays. The contribution from this background is small and estimated to be less than 0.1 event above an invariant mass of $200 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. In Table I, Fig. 1 and 2 we show the ee and $\mu\mu$ data versus the estimated number of background events from the Z^0 and Drell-Yan production [19]. For the ee background estimate, the QCD dijet contribution is also added. No significant excess of events is observed.

Limits on the Z' production cross section are extracted by comparing the observed dilepton invariant mass distribution to a superposition of the predicted distributions

from Z' production together with Standard Model Drell-Yan and Z^0 production using a binned maximum likelihood method [20]. The ee data includes QCD dijet background events. However, when setting the Z' cross section limit, this background is not subtracted, thus yielding a conservative limit. The expected backgrounds are normalized to the number of events observed in the Z^0 mass region. The fitting process is repeated for a variety of Z' masses in the range 125 to $800 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. Standard model couplings are assumed in generating the Z' events and the Z' width is set equal to the Z^0 width scaled by a factor $M_{Z'}/M_{Z^0}$. We use the leading-logarithmic QCD approximation to calculate Z^0 , Drell-Yan and Z' production cross sections with an overall correction factor K [21]. We take into account systematic uncertainties in the ratio of Z' to Z^0 production, acceptance calculations, lepton identification efficiencies, p_T of the gauge boson, and choice of p.d.f. A large part of the systematic uncertainties cancel because of the normalization to the Z^0 cross section (*e.g.*, luminosity). The systematic uncertainties in $\sigma(Z') \cdot B(Z' \rightarrow ee)$ and $\sigma(Z') \cdot B(Z' \rightarrow \mu\mu)$ are estimated to be 2.6% and 2.9%, respectively.

The 95% C.L. upper limit on $\sigma(Z') \cdot B(Z' \rightarrow ll)$ is shown as the solid line in Fig. 3. At high mass ($M_{Z'} > 600 \text{ GeV}/c^2$) the $\sigma(Z') \cdot B(Z' \rightarrow ll)$ limit is 40 fb. The dashed line in Fig. 3 is the predicted $\sigma \cdot B$ using the MRS D₋' p.d.f. and SM couplings. The intercept of the two curves at $690 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ determines the 95% C.L. lower

limit on the Z' mass, assuming $e\mu$ universality [22]. We also set mass limits on several E_6 models [23], and on a right-handed Z' boson in the Alternative Left-Right Model (ALRM) [24], using the same theory prediction curves given in Fig. 3 of our previous Z' search publication [7] [25]. We extract lower mass limits for Z_ψ , Z_η , Z_χ , Z_I , Z_{LR} and Z_{ALRM} of 590, 620, 595, 565, 630 and 600 GeV/c^2 , respectively, assuming that Z' bosons decay into known fermions only. These limits are lower by 100 to 150 GeV/c^2 when decays to all possible exotic and supersymmetric particles present in those models are allowed. For these calculations we assume the masses of the top quark, supersymmetric fermions, and exotic fermions to be 174 [26], 200 and 45.5 GeV/c^2 , respectively.

In summary, we have performed a search for additional neutral heavy bosons, in the ee and $\mu\mu$ decay modes, using a data sample collected during the CDF 1992-95 run corresponding to 110 pb^{-1} of integrated luminosity. The observed dilepton invariant mass spectra are consistent with expectations from the Z^0 and Drell-Yan productions and other known backgrounds. We combine the ee and $\mu\mu$ decay channels and obtain a 95% C.L. limit on the production cross section times the branching ratio for a Z' boson decaying into dileptons as a function of the dilepton invariant mass. For $M_{Z'} > 600 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ the limit on $\sigma(Z') \cdot B(Z' \rightarrow ll)$ is 40 fb. Assuming SM coupling strengths, we exclude $M_{Z'} < 690 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. In addition, we set Z' mass limits in the 565 - $630 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ range

for several models based on the E_6 symmetry group and the Alternative Left-Right Model.

We thank the Fermilab staff and the technical staffs of the participating institutions for their vital contributions. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy and National Science Foundation; the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare; the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan; the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada; the National Science Council of the Republic of China; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; and the Swiss National Science Foundation.

(a) Visitor.

- [1] G. G. Ross, *Grand Unified Theories* (Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 1987) and references therein.
- [2] There are some bounds which relate M_{top} and $M_{Z'}$. See for example: F. del Aguila, J. M. Moreno and M. Quiros, Nucl. Phys. **B362**, 3 (1992) and references therein.
- [3] M. Cvetic and P. Langacker, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **11**, 1247 (1996).
- [4] J.D. Lykken, FERMILAB-CONF-96-344-T, to appear in the Proceedings of the 1996 DPF/DPB Summer Study on New Directions for High Energy Physics (Snowmass 96), Snowmass, CO, 25 Jun - 12 Jul 1996.
- [5] M. Cvetic, D.A. Demir, J.R. Espinosa, L. Everett, and P. Langacker, UPR-0737-T, Mar 1997.
- [6] Numerous papers have been published setting Z' limits. Many of these are indirect limits inferred from model dependent assumptions. See *Review of Particle Physics* by Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 232 (1996) and references therein.
- [7] CDF collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **51**, R949 (1995).
- [8] D0 collaboration, S. Abachi *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **385**, 471 (1996). The theoretical Z' cross section used in this paper is higher than what we use here.
- [9] E. Hayashi, Ph.D. thesis, University of Tsukuba, UTPP-47 (1996).
- [10] M. Pillai, Ph.D. thesis, University of Rochester, UR-1478 (1996).

- [11] F. del Aguila, M. Quiros, and F. Zwirner, Nucl. Phys. **B287**, 457 (1987).
- [12] D. London and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 1530 (1986); F. del Aguila, J. M. Moreno and M. Quiros, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 134 (1990), and references therein.
- [13] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 274 (1987); Mod. Phys. Lett. A **3**, 319 (1988); K. S. Bau *et al.*, Rev. D **36**, 878 (1987); V. Barger and K. Whisnant, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **3**, 879 (1988); J. F. Gunion *et al.*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **2**, 119 (1987); F. Feruglio, L. Maiani and A. Masiero, Phys. Lett. B **233**, 512 (1989); P. Chiappetta *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **264**, 85 (1991).
- [14] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **271**, 387 (1988).
- [15] The CDF coordinate system has the z (longitudinal) axis along the proton beam axis, r is the transverse coordinate. Pseudorapidity is $\eta \equiv -\ln(\tan(\theta/2))$, where θ is the polar angle relative to the proton-beam direction. The transverse momentum is defined as $p_T \equiv p \sin \theta$, where p is the momentum of the particle. Similarly, $E_T \equiv E \sin \theta$, where E is measured in the calorimeter.
- [16] CDF collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1463 (1992).
- [17] A.D.Martin, R.G. Roberts, and W.J. Stirling, Phys. Lett. B **306**, 145 (1993); **309**, 492(E) (1993).
- [18] CDF collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3538, (1995). The parameterization of the QCD dijet mass distribution that we used for the dijet background is described in this paper.
- [19] Data points are plotted using a method described in G.D. Lafferty and T.R. Wyatt, Nucl. Instrum. Methods, Sect. A **355**, 541 (1995).
- [20] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 664 (1991).
- [21] We use an overall correction factor K given by:

$$K = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{4}{3} \left(1 + \frac{4}{3}\pi^2\right),$$
where α_s is the strong coupling constant. The difference between the leading-logarithmic calculation of the Drell-Yan cross section with the K -factor and the next-to-leading-logarithmic calculation is found to be less than 1% for dilepton masses above $90 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.
- [22] The individual $\sigma(Z') \cdot B_{ll}$ limits from the ee [9] and $\mu\mu$ [10] decay channels are 62 fb and 120 fb at high dilepton invariant mass. The corresponding lower mass limits are 655 and 590 GeV/c^2 , respectively.
- [23] We have calculated the predictions from E_6 models based on reference [11].
- [24] We have used a numerical calculation provided by F. Feruglio (see reference [13]).
- [25] The validity of applying the $\sigma(Z') \cdot B_{ll}$ limit which is derived using SM coupling to set the mass limits on these different models is discussed in reference [16].
- [26] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 225 (1994).

Mass GeV/c^2	ee		$\mu\mu$	
	observed events	expected background	observed events	expected background
$M > 150$	89	86.9 ± 17.4	17	16.51 ± 0.50
$M > 200$	26	27.7 ± 5.2	7	6.24 ± 0.19
$M > 300$	6	4.1 ± 0.6	2	1.45 ± 0.04
$M > 400$	1	0.9 ± 0.1	0	0.43 ± 0.01
$M > 500$	0	0.2 ± 0.0	0	0.14 ± 0.00
$M > 600$	0	0.0 ± 0.0	0	0.05 ± 0.00

TABLE I. Expected number of background events compared with data.




FIG. 1. Comparison of the data (points) with the QCD dijet background prediction (shaded region) and total Drell-Yan/QCD background (solid line) in ee mass distribution for $M_{ee} > 50 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. Inset shows the same ee mass distribution in bins of $5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.




FIG. 2. Comparison of data (points) with Drell-Yan prediction (solid line) in $\mu\mu$ mass distribution for $M_{\mu\mu} > 50 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. Inset shows the same $\mu\mu$ mass distribution in bins of $5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.




FIG. 3. Limits on Z' production. The solid line shows the 95% C.L. upper limit on $\sigma(Z') \cdot B(Z' \rightarrow ll)$ as a function of Z' mass. The dash-dot curve shows our previous limit. The dashed line is the prediction of $\sigma(Z') \cdot B(Z' \rightarrow ll)$ assuming SM couplings and using the MRS D₊ parton distribution functions. The intersection of the curves determines the lower mass limit, $M_{Z'} > 690 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.