Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

FERMILAB-Pub-87/124-T
August, 1987

W-Z INTERFERENCE IN ν-NUCLEUS SCATTERING

R. Belusevic[‡]
University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611

and

J. Smith[§]
Institute for Theoretical Physics,
SUNY at Stony Brook, NY 11794-3840

The creation of muon pairs by (anti)neutrinos in the Coulomb field of the nucleus provides a direct test of the interference between the intermediate vector boson amplitudes, as predicted by the weak-interaction theory. This note summarizes the main features of the above process and discusses the feasibility of measuring the W-Z interference by searching for recoilless dimuon events using fine-grained counter neutrino detectors. The result from an earlier experiment which searched for this process is discussed in the context of the present calculation.

Operated by Universities Research Association Inc. under contract with the United States Department of Energy

The cross-section for the reaction

$$\overset{(-)}{\nu} + N \rightarrow \overset{(-)}{\nu} + \mu^{+} + \mu^{-} + N \qquad (1)$$

can be estimated, rather accurately, by applying Feynman rules to Fig. 1 and using simple dimensional analysis. The calculation will be done in two steps and is based upon the neglect of the boson propagator.

First one computes the muon pair production cross-section in the neutrino collision with a real photon. The amplitude for this process is proportional to the Fermi coupling constant G, so that the cross-section must be of the forum

$$\sigma_{\nu\gamma} \sim G^2 S$$

where S, the square of the center-of-mass energy, is a Lorentz invariant variable which has the required dimension:

$$S = (k+q)^2$$

Here q and k are the photon and the neutrino 4-momenta, respectively. This cross-section has to be multiplied by the fine structure constant $4\pi\alpha$ (the $\gamma - \mu$ vertex contributes a factor proportional to the electric charge: $e^2 = 4\pi\alpha$) and, in analogy with the extreme-relativistic case of Compton scattering, by an S-dependent factor $\sim \ln(\frac{S}{S_{min}})$. Including the phase-space factor for a three-body final state, which is proportional to $\frac{1}{\pi^3}$, it follows that

$$\sigma_{\nu\gamma} \approx \frac{\alpha G^2 S}{\pi^2} \, ln\left(\frac{S}{S_{min}}\right) \qquad (2)$$

where $S_{min} = (2m_\mu)^2$, since there are 2 muons in the final state. The exact calculation, which is described in Appendix A, produces the same result, apart from a factor of $\frac{1}{9}$.

The next step is to compute the probability for creating a virtual photon in the Coulomb field of a nucleus (charge Ze), with such a 4-momentum q that the center-of-mass energy squared is S. As shown in Appendix B (eq. B8), this probability is

$$P\left(q^2, S\right) = \frac{Z^2\alpha}{\pi} \cdot \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \cdot \frac{dS}{S} \qquad (3)$$

The cross-section for reaction (1) is therefore

$$\sigma = \frac{Z^2\alpha^2G^2}{9\pi^3} \int_{S_{min}}^{S_{max}} dS \, ln\left(\frac{S}{4m_\mu^2}\right) \int_{Q^2=(\frac{S}{2E})^2}^{Q^2=Q^2_{max}} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \qquad (4)$$



$\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu$
$\mu^{\overset{(+)}{-}}$
$W^{\overset{(-)}{+}}$
$\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu$
$\mu^{\overset{(-)}{+}}$
γ^*
N
a)
$\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu$
$\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu$
Z°
$\mu^\pm$
$\mu^\pm$
γ^*
N
b)

Fig. 1

In this expression Q_{max} is the maximum momentum that can be transfered to the nucleus without causing it to disintegrate. Obviously, $\frac{1}{Q_{max}}$ has to be proportional to the size of the nucleus. Since the strong interaction coupling constant is ~ 1, there is only one fundamental length for the hadrons, which can be taken to be the Compton wavelength of the pion. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{Q_{max}} \sim R_{nucleus} \sim \frac{A^{1/3}}{m_\pi}$$

(A is the total number of nucleons in the nucleus; m_π is the pion mass). Q_{min} is given by the threshold for the interaction of the neutrino with the virtual photon:

$$S_{min} = (k + q_{min})^2 \approx 2EQ_{min}$$

where E is the incident neutrino energy. Therefore,

$$Q_{min} \approx \frac{4m_\mu^2}{2E} << Q_{max}$$

Similarly, $S_{max} = 2EQ_{max}$. Now the total cross-section becomes

$$\sigma = \frac{Z^2\alpha^2G^2}{9\pi^3}\int_{4m_\mu^2}^{2EQ_{max}} dS\ ln\left(\frac{S}{4m_\mu^2}\right) ln\left(\frac{2EQ_{max}}{S}\right)^2$$

A straightforward integration yields the following result (the so-called leading log approximation)*:

$$\sigma \approx \frac{2Z^2\alpha^2G^2}{9\pi^3} S_{max}\ ln\left(\frac{S_{max}}{4m_\mu^2}\right) \tag{5}$$

From (5) it follows that the cross-section for muon pair production in the collission of a, say, 50 GeV neutrino with an iron nucleus is roughly

$$\sigma^{\mu\mu} \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-40}\ cm^2 \tag{6}$$

corresponding to

$$R \equiv \frac{\sigma^{\mu\mu}}{\sigma^{cc}}\Big|_{E=50GeV} \approx 1 \cdot 10^{-5} \tag{7}$$

"tridents" per charged current (CC) event. The result (6) is in good agreement with the exact calculation of the cross-section for the reaction (1) in the V-A theory[1,2] (which yields $\sigma \approx$

*The above derivation clarifies some misprints which appear in the literature (see refs. 4 and 8, for example).

$2.5 \cdot 10^{-40}\ cm^2$), taking into account approximations made in our calculation (like the size of the nucleus, for example).

As shown in Fig. 1, the process (1) is actually an admixture of charged and neutral currents. Similar to the case of the neutrino-electron scattering, a Fierz transformation can be performed to relate the two amplitudes, so that the resulting amplitude has the V-A form, but with different values of the vector and axial vector coupling strengths C_V and C_A, respectively†. Consequently, the cross-section for the reaction (1) in the Glashow-Salam-Weinberg (GSW) theory has the following form

$$\sigma^{GSW} = C_A^2\,\sigma_{c_A^2} + C_V^2\,\sigma_{c_V^2} + C_V C_A \sigma_{c_A c_V}$$

where

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} C_V = \frac{1}{2} + 2sin^2\theta_w \approx 1 \\ C_A = \frac{1}{2} \end{array}\right\} GSW \tag{8}$$

($C_V = C_A = 1$ in the V-A theory). According to ref. 1, $\sigma_{c_A c_V}$ is about two orders of magnitude smaller than either of the other two terms. Hence,

$$\frac{\sigma^{V-A}}{\sigma^{GSW}} \approx \frac{\sigma_{c_V^2} + \sigma_{c_A^2}}{\sigma_{c_V^2} + \frac{\sigma_{c_A^2}}{4}} \approx 1.7$$

for a 50 GeV incident neutrino (see Table 2 of ref. 1). This result is almost independent of the neutrino energy, since the actual values of $\sigma_{c_V^2}$ and $\sigma_{c_A^2}$ are comparable in the energy range of interest.

Therefore, the GSW theory predicts

$$R \approx 0.8 \cdot 10^{-5} \tag{9}$$

"tridents" per charged current event. This rate, according to (5), depends only logarithmically on the energy of the incident neutrino.

There has been only one reported search for recoilless dimuon events[3]. A sample of $1.5 \cdot 10^6$ neutrino - and $1.8 \cdot 10^6$ antineutrino-induced charged current events in the CHARM detector

†See ref. 7 concerning the application of a Fiers transformation.

yielded a signal of 1.7 ± 1.7 events. It is claimed in ref. 3 that this result is in agreement with the GSW theory which predicts negative interference between the W and Z amplitudes (?). However, despite an effective muon momentum cut of $p_\mu > 7 \pm 2$ GeV/c in their analysis, one would expect, according to (8) and the predicted muon momentum distribution (see Fig. 17 of ref. 1), to find 10 ± 2.6 "trident" events. It is difficult to think of any experimental bias which would cause such a substantial loss of events, since the selection of recoilless dimuon events is rather straightforward. The discrepancy between the theoretical prediction for the number of "trident" events and the experimental result can only be resolved by the CHARM collaboration redoing the analysis using, in addition, their more recent data.

To conclude, the creation of muon pairs by neutrinos in the Coulomb field of the nucleus provides a direct test of the interference between the charged and neutral current amplitudes in the GSW theory. The predicted rate of "trident" events and their experimental signature render it quite feasible to measure the W-Z interference by searching for recoilless dimuon events using the existing fine-grained counter neutrino detectors (FMMF and CHARM2). For example, because of fine-grain structure of the FMMF detector (polypropylene flash chambers between sand and steel shots: $X_o = 12cm$, $\lambda = 90cm$; sampling thickness is 22%X_o and 3% λ) off-line pictures of neutrino events look like bubble chamber photographs, making the selection of recoilless events straightforward. Possible backgrounds to trident candidates (charged pion decays and charm production with subsequent semileptonic decay) are very small due to the low particle multiplicity (only two well identified muons) and rather large hadronic effective mass, W, in the case of charm production (see ref. 6 for a measured W-distribution from a BEBC sample of dilepton events).

APPENDIX A

The process

$$\nu + \gamma \rightarrow \nu + \mu^{+} + \mu^{-} \tag{A1}$$

is described, in the lowest order, by the sum of the two diagrams in Fig. A1. The matrix element, assuming V-A coupling, has the following form:

$$M = M_1 \cdot M_2$$

where

$$M_1 = \frac{Ge}{\sqrt{2}}\,\bar{u}(p_2)\left[\hat{\epsilon}\frac{1}{\hat{p}_2 - q - m}\gamma_\alpha(1+\gamma_5) + \gamma_\alpha(1+\gamma_5)\frac{1}{-\hat{p}_1 + q - m}\hat{\epsilon}\right]v(p_1)$$

and

$$M_2 = \bar{u}(k')\gamma_\alpha(1+\gamma_5)u(k)$$

In the above expression G is the weak coupling sonstant, e is the electric charge, u and v are the lepton spinons and ϵ is the polarization vector of the photon $\hat{\epsilon} \equiv \epsilon^{\alpha}\gamma_{\alpha}$).

In order to compute the spin-averaged probability for the above process Veltman's SCHOONSCHIP alegbraic computer program[9] was used. The calculation produced the following formula for the differential cross-section:

$$d\sigma = \frac{4e^2G^2}{(2\pi)^5(kq)}[\;]\,\delta^4(k + q - k' - p_1 - p_2)\,\frac{d^3p_1}{E_1}\cdot\frac{d^3p_2}{E_2}\cdot\frac{d^3k'}{E'} \tag{A2}$$



Fig. A1

where

$$[\,] = \frac{(p_1q)(kq)(p_2k')}{A^2} + \frac{(p_2q)(k'q)(p_1k)}{B^2}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{A}\cdot\frac{1}{B}[(2p_1p_2 - p_1q - p_2q)(p_1k)(p_2k') - (p_1p_2)(p_1k)(qk')$$

$$- (p_1p_2)(p_2k')(qk) + (qp_1)(kp_2)(k'p_2) + (qp_2)(p_1k)(p_1k')]$$

and A $= [(p_1 - q)^2 - m^2]$, B $= [(p_2 - q)^2 - m^2]$. In arriving at (A2) the terms proportional to m_μ^2 were neglected and V_{rel} E_1 $E_2 = k\cdot q$ was used. Integrating (A2) yields the following cross-section for the process (A1) (see also ref. 4):

$$\sigma \approx \frac{\alpha G^2 S}{9\pi^2}\, ln\left(\frac{S}{4m_\mu^2}\right)$$

where S is the center-of-mass energy squared and $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi}$.

APPENDIX B

In order to compute the probability for creating a virtual photon in the Coulomb field of the nucleus the Weizsäcker-Williams method of equivalent photons[5] will be employed. Conisder a collision between the particle $\imath$ and a virtual photon emitted by the particle p (charge Ze) and having a 4-momentum $q = P - P'$ (Fig. B1). If the particle p is very fast, its electromagnetic field is almost transverse and hence the virtual photon is not very different from a real one, i.e. $q^2 \approx 0$. In that case, $P \approx P'$ and the motion of the particle may be regarded as uniform, quasi-classical motion in a straight line, so that the corresponding current is independent of the particle spin. We choose the coordinate system such that $\vec{q}$ is fixed, $\vec{P} \to \infty$ and $\imath$ is at rest. The amplitude for this scattering process is

$$M \sim \frac{J_\mu}{q^2} < f|\jmath_\mu|\imath > \tag{B1}$$

where $J_\mu = (P + P')_\mu$ and $P' = P + q$. The particle 4-momenta are: $P = (E, P_z,\ 0, 0)$ and $P' = (E', P_z - q_z, q_\perp, 0)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= 2E - q_0 \approx 2P_z - q_0 \\ J_z &= 2P_z - q_z \\ J_\perp &= -\vec{q_\perp} \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$M^2 = E'^2 - (P_z - q_z)^2 - q_\perp{}^2 \tag{B2}$$

Using $E' = E - q_0$ and $E^2 - P_z^2 = M^2$, $(B2)$ yields

$$0 = -2Eq_0 + q_0^2 + 2P_zq_z - q_z^2 - q_\perp^2 \tag{B3}$$

Since $q^2 = q_0^2 - |\vec{q}|^2$, it follows that

$$q_0 - q_z = \frac{q^2}{2E} \tag{B4}$$



Fig. B1



Fig. B2

The amplitude (B1) reads

$$M \sim \frac{J_0 < f|\jmath_o|\imath > -J_z < f|\jmath_z|\imath > -J_\perp < f|\vec{\jmath}_\perp|\imath >}{q^2}$$

i.e,

$$M \sim \frac{1}{q^2}\left[(2P_z - q_0) < f|\jmath_0|\imath > -(2P_z - q_z) < f|\jmath_z|\imath > + \vec{q_\perp} < f|\vec{\jmath_\perp}|\imath >\right]$$

Since $q_0\jmath_0 = q_z\jmath_z + \vec{q}_\perp \cdot \vec{\jmath}_\perp$ (due to current conservation) the amplitude is

$$M \sim \frac{1}{q^2}\left[2p_z\left(\frac{q_z - q_0}{q_0}\right) < f|\jmath_z|\imath > + \frac{2p_z - q_0}{q_0}\vec{q}_\perp < f|\vec{\jmath}_\perp|\imath > + \vec{q}_\perp < f|\vec{\jmath}_\perp|\imath >\right]$$

From (B4) it follows that the first term can be neglected. The second and third terms partly cancel, so that the amplitude finally reads

$$M \sim \frac{1}{q^2}\left[\frac{2P_z}{q_o} < f|\vec{q}_\perp \cdot \vec{\jmath}_\perp|\imath >\right] \tag{B5}$$

The differential cross-section for the process in Fig. B1 is therefore:

$$d\sigma = \frac{Z^2e^4}{2E}\left(\frac{2P_z}{q_o}\right)^2\frac{1}{q^4}\left|\sum_f < f|\vec{q}_\perp \cdot \vec{\jmath}_\perp|\imath >\right|^2 \frac{d^3P'}{(2\pi)^3 2E'}(2\pi)^4\delta^4(p_f - p_\imath - q)$$

Using the definition of the photoproduction cross-section σ_γ depicted in Fig. B2:

$$\sigma_\gamma = \frac{e^2}{2q_o}\left|\sum_f < f|\jmath \cdot \epsilon|\imath >\right|^2 (2\pi)^4\delta^4(p_f - p_\imath - q)$$

($\jmath \cdot \epsilon = \vec{\jmath}_\perp$), the differential cross-section can be written as

$$d\sigma = \frac{Z^2 e^2}{2E} \left(\frac{2E}{q_o}\right)^2 \frac{q_\perp^2}{q^4} \frac{d^2 q_\perp dq_o}{(2\pi)^3 2E} 2q_o \sigma_\gamma \tag{B6}$$

where we have used $E' \approx E \approx p_z$ and $d^3p' = d^3q = d^2q_\perp dq_o$. Using $d^2q_\perp = \pi dq^2$ and $e^2 = 4\pi\alpha$, it follows that

$$d\sigma = \frac{Z^2 \alpha}{\pi} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \frac{dq_o}{q_o} \sigma_\gamma \tag{B7}$$

since $q^2 \approx q_\perp^2$. From the definition of the square of the center-of-mass energy S:

$$S = (k+q)^2 \approx 2k \cdot q$$

(where q and k are the photon and the neutrino 4-momenta, respectively), it follows that

$$S = 2k \cdot q = 2k_o q_o$$

i.e.

$$\frac{dS}{S} = \frac{dq_o}{q_o}$$

Therefore,

$$d\sigma = \frac{Z^2 \alpha}{\pi} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \frac{dS}{S} \sigma_\gamma$$

and the required probability is

$$P(q^2, S) = \frac{Z^2 \alpha}{\pi} \cdot \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \cdot \frac{dS}{S} \tag{B8}$$

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank J. Bjorken, J. Leon and J. van der Bij for many invaluable discussions and their interest in the work.

‡Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy.
§Work supported in part under NSF grant No. 86-1108E.

References

1. R. W. Brown, et al., Phys. Rev. D6 (1972) 3273 and references herein.
2. W. Czyz et al., Nuovo Cimento 34 (1964) 404.
3. A. Capone, Proc. 1982 Intl. Conf. on Neutrino Physics, Balatonfüred, Hungary, p. 121.
4. M. A. Kozhushner and E. P. Shabalin, Soviet Physics JETP 14 (1962) 676.
5. C. Weizsäcker, Z. Physik 88 (1934) 612; E. Williams, Phys. Rev. 45 (1934) 729.
6. G. Gerbier et al., Z. Physik 29 (1985) 15.
7. B. deWit and J. Smith, *Field Theory in Particle Physics*, North-Holland, 1986.
8. L. B. Okun, *Leptons and Quarks*, North-Holland, 1982.
9. M. Veltman, CERN report, 1967 (unpublished).