



# Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

FERMILAB-Pub-85/62-A  
April 1985

THE PHOTINO, THE SUN AND HIGH ENERGY NEUTRINOS

Joseph Silk  
Department of Astronomy  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

Keith Olive  
Theoretical Astrophysics Group  
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory  
P.O. Box 500  
Batavia, IL 60510

Mark Srednicki  
Physics Department  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106



### Abstract

If the universe contains a near critical density of photinos which are also assumed to constitute the dark matter in our galactic halo, then gravitational trapping by the sun and ensuing annihilation in the solar core yields a significant flux of  $\sim 250$  MeV neutrinos. This results in  $\sim 2$  neutrino-induced events per kton-year in an underground proton decay detector.

The photino, expected to be a stable, massive relic of supersymmetry, is a promising dark matter candidate.<sup>1</sup> The photino mass range that may be relevant to interpretations<sup>2</sup> of CERN monojets (1-10 GeV) also can yield a critical closure density for the universe.<sup>3</sup> A critical density, spatially flat Friedmann cosmological model dominated by massive, weakly interacting particles reconciles several outstanding cosmological issues, including the isotropy of the microwave background,<sup>4</sup> the light element abundances,<sup>5</sup> and predictions of inflationary cosmology.<sup>6</sup> However a plausible weakly interacting dark matter candidate is required, and the case for the photinos seems especially intriguing.

Two of us have recently noted<sup>7</sup> that if our galactic halo, known to largely consist of dark matter, is plausibly assumed to consist of the same dark matter that binds the universe, then the identification of the photino as the dark matter candidate leads to a unique, observable signature. For a photino mass  $m_{\tilde{\gamma}} \sim 3$  GeV, photino annihilations in our halo were found to lead to a detectable flux of low energy cosmic ray

antiprotons, below the kinematic threshold for secondary production by high energy cosmic ray interaction with interstellar matter.

In this letter, we point out another signature of photino annihilations. The sun gravitationally traps halo photinos,<sup>8,9</sup> which subsequently lose energy elastically and annihilate in the solar core. The yield of ~250 MeV neutrinos resulting from trapped photino annihilations in the sun is appreciable: it may be detectable in ongoing underground experiments.

In the ensuing discussion, we will be interested in two cross-sections involving photinos; the elastic scattering cross-section  $\sigma_E$  and the annihilation cross-section  $\sigma_A$ . The present mass density of photinos is basically determined by  $\sigma_A$  at the time annihilations freeze out. In order to achieve a cosmological mass density corresponding<sup>10</sup> to  $\Omega=1$ , we need  $\langle\sigma v\rangle_{A,F} = 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$  (for  $h=1/2$ ) where  $\langle\sigma v\rangle_{A,F}$  is the thermally averaged product of the annihilation cross-section and relative velocity at the freezeout temperature. At lower velocities, the p-wave annihilation channel is suppressed and

$$\langle\sigma v\rangle_A = \langle\sigma v\rangle_{A,F} (1 + 0.04 m_{\tilde{\gamma}}^2)^{-1} \quad (1)$$

where  $m_{\tilde{\gamma}}$  is in GeV units and we have assumed that all scalar quark and lepton masses are equal. In terms of the low energy annihilation cross-section (Eq. 1), we determine the elastic scattering cross-section<sup>11</sup>

$$\langle\sigma v\rangle_E = 0.17 \beta \langle\sigma v\rangle_A \quad (2)$$

$$= 1.7 \times 10^{-27} \beta (1 + 0.04 m_{\tilde{\gamma}}^2)^{-1} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$$

where  $\beta = v/c$ .

Photino annihilations in the sun are of course subject to photinos first hitting the sun and becoming trapped. Press and Spergel<sup>9</sup> have calculated the trapping rate and find that

$$R_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\text{trap}} = 9 \times 10^{28} n_{\tilde{\gamma}} \sigma_{36} \bar{v}_{300}^{-1} m_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (3)$$

where  $n_{\tilde{\gamma}} = 0.3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and the average halo density of photinos  $n_H = n_{\tilde{\gamma}} m_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{-1}$ ,  $\sigma_{36} = \sigma_E / 10^{-36} \text{ cm}^2$  and  $\bar{v}_{300} = \bar{v} / 300 \text{ km s}^{-1} = 0.9$  is the rms velocity of a halo photino in the solar neighborhood ( $= \sqrt{3/2} \times$  galactic rotation velocity in the solar neighborhood for an isothermal dark halo model).

The number of photinos in the sun can diminish in one of two ways: evaporation and/or annihilation. Steigman et al.<sup>8</sup> and Press and Spergel<sup>12</sup> have shown that unless  $m_{\tilde{\gamma}} \leq 5 \text{ GeV}$ , evaporation is not important. Given the annihilation cross-section (Eq. 1) the annihilation rate is

$$R_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\text{ann}} = (4/3) \pi R_{\odot}^3 n_{\tilde{\gamma}}^2 \langle \sigma v \rangle_A f = 5 \times 10^{54} (n_{\tilde{\gamma}} / n_p)^2 \langle \sigma v \rangle_{A,26} f \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (4)$$

where  $n_{\tilde{\gamma}(p)}$  is the mean photino (proton) density in the sun,  $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{A,26} = \langle \sigma v \rangle_A / 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $f$  is a density weighting factor ( $f = \int n^2 dV / \bar{n}^2 V$ ). Hence trapping can maintain a mean solar photino abundance

$$(n_{\bar{\nu}}/n_p) = 1.3 \times 10^{-13} n_{\nu}^{1/2} \left( \frac{\sigma_{36}}{\langle \sigma v \rangle_{A,26}} \right)^{1/2} m_{\nu}^{-1/2} \bar{v}_{300}^{-1/2} r^{-1/2} \quad (5)$$

The annihilation rate is thus set by the trapping rate.

The only annihilation products that can escape from the solar interior are the high energy neutrinos. These typically are expected to have energies  $\leq 0.05 m_{\nu}$ . It is now relatively straightforward to compute the expected flux of energetic neutrinos of type  $\nu_1$  on earth

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\nu_1} &= \frac{1}{2} N_{\nu_1} N_{\bar{\nu}}^{trap} / 4\pi (1A.U.)^2 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \\ &\approx 16 N_{\nu_1} n_{\nu} \sigma_{36}^{-1} \bar{v}_{300}^{-1} m_{\nu}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $N_{\nu_1}$  is the number of neutrinos of type  $\nu_1$  produced in each annihilation.

The most easily detectable process is the reaction  $\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow n + e^+$  which has a cross-section  $\sigma = 7.5 \times 10^{-38} (E_{\nu}/\text{GeV})^2 \text{ cm}^2$ . Given the flux from Eq. 6 we estimate the number of events in a water detector per kton-year,

$$N_E = \phi \sigma N_p \quad (7)$$

where  $N_p = 6.7 \times 10^{31}$  is the number of protons in one kton of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Thus we expect

$$N_E = 0.44 n_{\nu} \bar{\nu}_{300} m_{\tilde{\nu}} \text{ events/kton year} \quad (8)$$

for  $\tilde{\nu}_e$ 's with energy  $E_{\tilde{\nu}} = 0.05 m_{\tilde{\nu}}$  where  $N_{\tilde{\nu}_e} = 2.5$  and  $\sigma_{36} = 0.028$ . Hence for  $m_{\tilde{\nu}} > 5$  GeV we expect  $N_E > 2.2$  events/kton year. This is comparable to the expected event rate from atmospheric neutrinos<sup>13,14,15</sup> for  $E = 250$  MeV. We note that there has been reported an excess of neutrino events around the 100-200 MeV range by the Kamiokande group<sup>13</sup>. Other sources of high energy neutrinos have been discussed by Dar<sup>16</sup>.

It has been suggested<sup>9,10,12</sup> that a population of weakly interacting particles inside the sun could solve the solar neutrino problem. However in the case of photinos, the elastic scattering cross-section appears to be too small for this effect to work.<sup>11,18</sup>

In summary, we predict that the existence of a dark galactic halo consisting of photinos leads to an appreciable flux of high energy neutrinos on earth due to photino annihilations in the sun. We have estimated the event rate in a proton-decay type water detector and find that the predicted event rate is comparable to atmospheric backgrounds. This effect depends only on the existence of dark matter composed of heavy ( $m > 5$  GeV) weakly interacting particles, in the galactic halo. Our results carry uncertainties primarily in the estimate of the cross-section and the number of neutrinos per decay channel, both of which depend on the masses of scalar quarks and leptons. We consider this in more detail elsewhere<sup>11</sup>. Here we specialized by considering photinos in particular but these results can be generalized to other forms of dark matter such as sneutrinos where this effect may be enhanced. In a forthcoming publication<sup>11</sup> we look at these other

possibilities as well as a more detailed examination at the capability of detection.

M.S. is supported in part by NSF grant PHY83-13324. J.S. is supported in part by DOE grant 84-ER40161.

#### References

1. S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 387 (1983).
2. R. M. Barnett, H. E. Haber, and G. L. Kane, LBL preprint 18990, and references therein.
3. H. Goldberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1419 (1983); L. Krauss, Nucl. Phys. B227, 556 (1983); J. Ellis, J. S. Hagelin, D. V. Nanopoulos, K. A. Olive, and M. Srednicki, Nucl. Phys. B238, 453 (1984).
4. N. Vittorio and J. Silk, Ap. J. 285 L39 (1984); J. R. Bond and G. Efstathiou, Ap. J. 285 L44 (1984).
5. J. Yang, M. Turner, G. Steigman, D. Schramm and K. Olive, Ap. J. 281 493 (1984).
6. A. Guth, Phys. Rev. D 23, 347 (1981).
7. J. Silk and M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. Lett 53, 624 (1984).
8. Trapping of weakly interacting dark matter by the sun was first discussed by G. Steigman, C. L. Sarazin, H. Quintana, and J. Faulkner, Astron. Journ. 83, 1050 (1978).
9. W. H. Press and D. N. Spergel, Astrophys. Journ. (submitted, 1984); CFA preprint 2121.

10.  $\Omega$  is the ratio of the cosmological density to the critical closure value in an Einstein-de Sitter cosmological model;  $h$  is Hubble's constant in units of  $100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ .
11. M. Srednicki, K. A. Olive, and J. Silk, in preparation 1985.
12. W. H. Press and D. N. Spergel, *Astrophys. Journ.* (submitted 1984); CFA preprint 2107.
13. Y. Totusa, Proc. of the XIXth Rencontre de Moriond (ed.) J. Tran Thanh Van, Vol. 1 p. 625 (Kim Hup Lee Printing Co, 1984); T. Suda in Proc. of v'84 Int. Conf. Dortmund 1984).
14. A. Dar, Technion preprint PH-84-54 (1984).
15. T. Gaisser, T. Stanev, S. Bludman, and H. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 51 223 (1983); A. Dar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 51 227 (1983).
16. We understand that this question has also been considered by L. Krauss.