Second order Fermi acceleration in pulsar wind

M. Giller and W. Michalak

Division of Experimental Physics, University of Lodz, 90-236 Łódź, Poland

Abstract

Large scale regular magnetic and electric fields are believed to exist in pulsar winds confined by SNR. We consider that cosmic rays accelerated preliminary by SN shocks can enter pulsar wind and be subjected to continuous interactions with Alfven waves in the wind. The rate of this second order Fermi acceleration is estimated.

1 Introduction:

Mechanisms of c.r. acceleration to energies higher than these believed to be attainable in SN shocks (< 10^{15} eV·Z), are not known. According to earlier investigations (Bell 1992, Giller and Michalak 1995,1996) this maximum energy could be increased if a SNR confines a pulsar emitting relativistic magnetized plasma (Rees and Gunn, 1974). Large scale electric fields produced by this wind inside the SNR could accelerate further the most energetic c.r. particles produced at the blast wave in the ISM. We have shown, however, that only a small fraction of SNR accelerated particles could penetrate deep inside the nebula and get a significant energy increase - only those whose strong radial $\vec{B} \times \text{grad}B$ drifts overcome the outward wind velocity \vec{u} , so that the resulting radial velocities of their guiding centres are directed inward in the pulsar frame. Most cosmic rays which enter the nebula (i.e. whose radial $\vec{B} \times \text{grad}B$ drifts are directed inward, so that their guiding centres move inward in wind frame), cannot penetrate deeper into the nebula, which is strongly related with gaining substantial energy. They are captured by large scale toroidal magnetic field \vec{B}_0 of the nebula and stay near its outer boundary at R_n .

In this work we assume that there should be some level of the wind excitation in a form of the Alven waves propagating along \vec{B}_0 . The trapped c.r. particles would interact with them, being accelerated by a seond- order Fermi process. Here we examine its effectiveness.

2 Model of the Nebula:

The details of the pulsar wind model, adopted here, are described by Bell (1992) and Giller and Michalak (1995), being based on earlier works by Rees and Gunn (1974) and Kennel and Coroniti (1984). We only remind here that according to it, the pulsar wind fills a cavity (nebula) of radius $R_n = 5 \cdot 10^{18}$ cm (all numerical values correspond to Crab Nebula), forming an inner shock at radius $R_s = 0.1 \cdot R_n$ due to wind confinement by the SN ejecta. Pulsar rotation produces toroidal magnetic field which frozen in the wind moves with velocity \vec{u} and increases towards the outer regions, reaching values $\leq 10^{-3}$ G at R_n . Associated electric field in the pulsar frame ($\vec{E} = -\vec{u} \times \vec{B}$) is directed along meridians. These fields depend on zenith angle θ as $\sin^2(\theta) \cos(\theta)$.

Experimental data for the Crab Nebula show that ratio of the magnetic plus electric energy flux to that of the particles (e^+e^-) upstream the relativistic shock at R_s is about 0.003 (Kennel and Coroniti, 1984). It follows that the Alfven waves velocity v_A is about 0.14c downstream close to the shock at R_s . The velocity v_A should be considerably higher near the nebula boundary R_n , which follows from models of nebula expansion (Kennel and Coroniti, 1984, Giller and Michalak, 1997). The Alfven waves in the nebula can be generated by turbulences produced by the shock induced by positronic flow in SN ejecta or by perturbations at the shock at R_s .

3 Model of Cosmic Ray Acceleration by Alfven waves:

According to our earlier analysis a large fraction of cosmic rays accelerated preliminary by the SN blast wave in the ISM or by the shock that positronic flow induces in SN ejecta can just enter the upper region

(at R_n) of the magnetized nebula and be accelerated further by interactions with the Alfven waves.

While moving along helix-like trajectory in regular large scale magnetic field B_0 , a proton undergoes stochastic changes in transverse component of its momentum (denoted as p_t) caused by electric fields of Alfven waves, longitudinal component p_l remaining constant during the process.

Let us consider a helix- type proton trajectory in regular azimuthal magnetic field \vec{B}_0 in local undisturbed wind frame (we neglect here any zenithal dependence of \vec{B}_0). In that frame there are electric fields \vec{E}_1 connected with Alfven waves as

$$\vec{E}_{1} = -\frac{\vec{v}_{1}}{c} \times \vec{B}_{0} = -\frac{1}{c} \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\rho}} \vec{B}_{1} \times \vec{B}_{0} \right) = -\frac{\vec{v}_{A}}{c} \times \vec{B}_{1}$$
(1)

where velocity \vec{v}_1 and magnetic field \vec{B}_1 refer to amplitudes of the wave. Energy change δE of a cosmic ray particle (proton), moving with velocity \vec{v} , after time dt can be expressed as:

$$\delta E = e\vec{E}_1(t) \cdot \vec{v}(t)dt = e \cdot v_t \cdot E_1(t) \cdot e^{i\omega t}dt = e\left(c\frac{p_t}{p}\right) \cdot \frac{v_A}{c} \cdot B_1(t) \cdot e^{i\omega t}dt \tag{2}$$

where $\omega = v_t/R = eB_0/p$ is proton Larmour frequency and $B_1(t)$ is taken at the proton position at time t. Adding up all δE occurring within time Δt we obtain energy gain $\Delta E = E' - E$. If Δt is small enough (such that we can assume that all $(\delta E)^2$ are on average the same), it is easy to find the variance of ΔE , $\sigma^2(\Delta E)$. Expressing $B_1(t)$ in (2) as a Fourier integral over all circular frequencies ω , we find that

$$\sigma^{2}(\Delta E) = \left(e \cdot v_{t} \cdot \frac{v_{A}}{c}\right)^{2} \cdot |B_{1}(\omega)|^{2} \cdot \Delta t$$
(3)

For small $\Delta t = \sigma^2(\Delta E)$ is proportional to Δt but the coefficient of proportionality depends on particle energy which increases with time. A more convenient quantity here is the rate of increase (growth) in time of the variance of the variable $\ln(E'/E)$, denoted by dG/dt. To calculate it we have to assume power spectrum of iregularities B_1 . We adopt Kolmogorov form of it i.e. that spectral density $|(B_1(k))|^2$ is proportional to $k^{-\frac{5}{3}}$, where k is a wave vector. Taking into account that $|B_1(k)|^2 = |B_1(\omega)|^2 \cdot v_{\pm}$ where $v_{\pm} = \left| v_A \pm c \cdot \frac{p_L}{p} \right|$ is the relative longitudinal velocity of the particle and the wave, we obtain

$$\frac{dG}{dt} = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \alpha \cdot \left(\frac{p_t}{p} \cdot \frac{v_A}{c} \cdot \omega\right)^2 \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\omega}{v_+ \cdot k_0}\right)^{-\frac{5}{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{v_+ \cdot k_0} + \left(\frac{\omega}{v_- \cdot k_0}\right)^{-\frac{5}{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{v_- \cdot k_0}\right] \tag{4}$$

Here we have introduced two free parameters: 1) the ratio of the density energy of irregular magnetic field B_1 (i. e. Alfven waves) to that of the regular one - denoted by α , and 2) the minimal value of wave number k in the Kolmogorov spectrum corresponding to the largest irregular structure L_0 ($k_0 = 2\pi/L_0$). Parameter α cannot be reasonably taken larger than 1 in this picture, as field B_1 is understood as small disturbance of the total magnetic field, and value of L_0 cannot exceed the radius of nebula R_n .

Putting all the dependence on p_t and p_l into the term 'f', defined below, we can write the above formula as:

$$\frac{dG}{dt_{yr}} = 334 \cdot \alpha_1 \cdot \left(\frac{L_0}{10^{15}\,\mathrm{m}}\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}} \cdot \left(\frac{B_0/10^{-3}\,\mathrm{G}}{p/10^{12}\,\mathrm{eV/c}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot \left(\frac{v_A}{c}\right)^{\frac{8}{3}} \cdot f \,, \tag{5}$$

where time t_{yr} is in years and

$$f = \left(\frac{p_t}{p}\right)^2 \cdot \left[\left| 1 + \frac{p_l/p}{v_A/c} \right|^{\frac{2}{3}} + \left| 1 - \frac{p_l/p}{v_A/c} \right|^{\frac{2}{3}} \right] .$$

The value of 'f' is close to 2 for the later times in the acceleration process.

In order to assess the effectiveness of the acceleration let us take the lowest value of dG/dt, which occurs at highest momentum p, and extrapolate that value towards lower energies. In the case of dG/dt = const and a power spectrum of injection energies at an initial moment, we get such a final energy spectrum as if every initial proton energy was multiplied by the factor $\exp\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{d\sigma^2}{dt}t\right)$, where γ is the power index of the integral spectrum. We should bear in mind that the above factor is valid for energies far enough from the low energy cut-off in the energy spectrum, where it is not distorted by the cut-off. We do not discuss here the fact, that there is also upper limit in Kolmogorov spectrum (resulting from dissipation of Alfven waves) which would modify our formula on dG/dt.

4 Discussion and Conclusions:

The results of our investigations are illustrated in Fig.1. On the assumption that the growth rate dG/dt is constant, we have plotted

there the time necessary to accelerate a proton of a given initial energy (10^{12}) eV and 10^{15} eV) by factor of 10 as a function of the relative energy density in the Alfven waves, α . The graph is for $v_A/c = 0.5$, $B_0 = 10^{-3}$ G, $L_0 = 10^{15}$ m, f = 2 and $\gamma = 1$. We can see that the effect could be quite strong for lower energies even for rather small ($\alpha = 10^{-4}$) irregular fields. Thus, we conclude that the discussed second order Fermi acceleration in pulsar wind seems quite important for the presented parameters of the nebula and our simplified model. Further investigations and numerical calculations should account at least for time- dependent injection spectrum of protons, getting as a result an output spectrum (for steady state nebula). Effect of change in helix position in nebula due to drifts during acceleration, which could cause particles to escape from the nebula, should be discussed and accounted for.



Figure 1: Time scale for accelerating c.r. proton by factor of 10 as a function of α (fraction of electromagnetic energy contained in Alfven waves). Initial proton energies are shown. For other parameters –see text.

References

Bell A.R., 1992, MNRaS 257, 493
Giller M. and Michalak W., 1995, Proc. 24th ICRC, Rome, 3, 249
Giller M. and Michalak W., 1996, Proc. Texas Symp. on Rel. Astrophys. and Cosmology, Chicago, 249, 444
Giller M. and Michalak W., 1997, Proc. 25th ICRC, Durban, 4, 453
Kennel C.F. and Coroniti F.V., 1984, Ap.J., 283, 694
Rees M.J. and Gunn J.E., 1974, MNRaS, 167, 1