EGRET Observations of Clusters of Galaxies

0.Reimer

M ax-P lanck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, D -86740 G arching, G erm any

Abstract

EGRET data from CGRO observation cycles 1 to 4 were analysed for gam ma-ray emission from individual candidates of an X -ray flux limited sample of clusters of galaxies. The gam ma-ray fluxes determined above 100 M eV at the positions of these clusters are given and are discussed. In order to investigate further individual gam ma-ray images of a suitable sample of galaxy clusters has been co-added and analysed. The results are presented and will be compared with recent models and predictions of the gam ma-ray emission from galaxy clusters.

1 Introduction

Clusters of Galaxies are excellent representatives for formation and evolution of structure in the universe, and are extensively studied at radio, optical and X-ray wavelength. From realistic modelling of the distribution of the intergalactic medium within galaxy clusters it is suggested that a fraction of the extragalactic diffuse gamma-ray background could well be originated from galaxy clusters. About the quantity of the suggested contribution rather different estimations could be found in the literature, therefore the situation appears similar to the contribution of AGN to the extragalactic gamma-ray background. In contrast to the well-observable population of A ctive G alactic Nuclei with EGRET, so far no galaxy clusters has been discovered in the high-energy gamma-rays. Nevertheless, for several individual galaxy clusters precise flux predictions for the EGRET energy regime a literative (i.e. Ensslin et al. 1997, Colafrancesco and B lasi 1999), but predict gamma-ray fluxes close to the sensitivity limit of the EGRET telescope. A discovery of galaxy clusters as a new class of observable gamma-ray sources would directly improve our understanding of the Cosm ic Rays (see i.e.D ar and Shaviy 1996, Berezinsky et al. 1997, Völk et al. 1996).

2 The selected sample of Galaxy Clusters

For analysing the em ission characteristics of G alaxy C lusters in the high-energy gam m a-rays a sam ple of X -ray em itting C lusters of G alaxies has been com piled. This sam ple is based on X -ray flux limited C luster sam ples from the EINSTEIN (Edge et al., 1990), EXOSAT (Edge and Stew and, 1991) and ROSAT surveys (XBACs: Ebeling et al. 1996, BCS north: Ebeling et al. 1998, BCS south: D e G randi et al. 1999). C luster selections in X -rays currently provide the best w ay to obtain com plete sam ples w ithout intoducing biases (i.e. projection effects). A ppearing as extended sources w ith radii (r_{VTP}) of several arcm inutes in X -rays, the limited angular resolution of exsisting gam m a-ray telescopes justify the attempt to analyse C lusters of G alaxies as point-like excesses in the high-energy gam m a-rays. For 58 individual X -ray bright galaxy clusters w ithin z < 0.18 gam m a-ray data from the Compton GRO high-energy telescope EGRET were analysed.

3 The gam m a-ray analysis of G a laxy C lusters

So far, no galaxy cluster has been found positional coincident with gam ma-ray point sources in exsisting EGRET source catalogues. Only for the Com a cluster a result of an EGRET analysis has been published, based on observations within CGRO cycle 1 and 2 (Sreekum ar et al. 1996). In the analysis described here

EGRET-data from CGRO observation cycles 1-4 were used for the analysis of individual clusters. All individual galaxy clusters were analysed by means of standard EGRET analysis procedures. The same all-sky counts, exposure and intensity maps were used as described in the recently published 3EG catalogue of gamma-ray point sources (Hartman et al. 1999). The advantage of that procedure is the possibility of an adequate usage of results from the already performed extensive data processings for the EGRET catalog. Therefore the maps from the 3EG catalogue (E > 100 M eV) could be connectly used in conjunction with the exsisting 3EG catalogue source list and a more expanded, but less reliable determ ination of each gamma-ray excess above a lower, but uniform 3.5τ detection threshold. The maps are searched for residual sources afterm odelling and subtracting of already catalogued and determ ined gamma-ray point sources by using the maximum -likelihood technique. At the positions of the considered clusters the gamma-ray intensity is determ ined. A pplying the same detection criterium like already used and described for the EGRET source catalogues, none of the 58 galaxy clusters could be detected in the EGRET data. Special care has taken into account (and is indicated in Table 1) when exsisting EGRET sources are close to the considered cluster. The strongest gamma-ray excess for the candidates in Table 1 is a 1.9τ excess in the case of A 3532, but not considered as a detection. Therefore for all galaxy clusters only 2τ confidence upper lim its were found.

Triggered from this negative result on individual Clusters of Galaxies, an approach has been made to study whether or not galaxy clusters radiate in gam marrays as a population. For this purpose EGRET count, exposure, and intensity maps from CGRO observation cycles 1-8 w ere used, w henever an EGRET pointing was within 25° of the considered cluster position. A fter co-adding of those individual maps a coordinate transformation into a clustercentered coordinate system has been applied. The following step of further coadding of individual maps in clustercentered coordinates into the final superposition required the exclusion of eight galaxy clusters due to poor angular separation from the Galactic disk or strong EGRET sources within the center region of the 25° by 25° m ap for each individual cluster. This assures that the central region of the final superpositioned map is not dom inated from already known gamma-ray point sources or G alactic disk gamma-ray emission. The hom ogeneity of the superposition is indicated from the underlaying exposure (Figure 1). The central bin in the exposure map is $25 \cdot 10^{10}$ cm² s, the low est values in the map about 5.10° cm² s. Figure 2 shows the resulting intensity map from the final superposition of all available EGRET data within 8 years of the CGRO m ission on 50 individual Clusters of Galaxies. The result is that no excess at all is indicated in the central bin or even the central region of the constructed im age. W hen individual exposure fractions and weighted intensity contributions are determined, a quantitative result on the superpositioned cluster sample will be given.

4 Discussion and Conclusion

The negative results from the gam ma-ray analysis of EGRET data above 100 MeV at positions of individual C lusters of Galaxies as well as from the superposition of galaxy clusters might provoke some suspections. Categorically, the question of an appropriate selected sample of galaxy clusters might arise. The strong assumption has been made that nearby X -ray bright clusters do have a good chance to radiate in the gam marays also, supported from various models of multifrequency emission properties of galaxy clusters. Due to the different distances and sizes of the various candidates in the cluster sample an be revised and better sample might be constructed in the future. And an application of any more sophisticated analysis method for the superpositioned cluster sample is far from being trivial, it would incorporate detailed and precise modelling of the Galactic diffuse emission, should be able to deal with extended gamma-ray emission features etc. D expite that a recent modelling of the gamma-ray emission from galaxy clusters only predict values below the sensitivity of EGRET (Colafrancesco and B lasi 1998), some upper limits from individual C lusters of Galaxies are already sensitiv enough to restrict other model predictions on the gamma-ray emission found in the literature, for instance on A 426 (Ensslin et al. 1997).

#	clusternam e	flux (E > 100 M eV)	com m ent	excluded from
				superposition due to
1	A426 (PER Cluster)	< 3./3		Cobette Dhue noothe
2		< 7.49		Galacue Plane hearby
3		< 2.20		
- 4 5	A1050 (COMACLISTER)	< 3.20		Cabatia Dhana naarbu
5	A2519	< 5.17		Gaacter Parle hearby
7	ASS/I ASS/I	< 5.30		
, 8	TRA Cluster	< 8.13		
9	3C129 (3A 0446+449)	< 5.28		Gabctic Phne nearby
10	AW M 7 (2A 0251+413)	< 3.60		
11	A 754	< 7.43		
12	A 2029	< 6.36		
13	A2142	< 5.24		
14	A2199	< 10.43	only1.9° of 3EG J1635+38	
15	A3667	< 3,38		
16	A478	< 6.10		
17	A85	< 6.77	only 0.8° of 3EG J0038-09	source contam ination
18	A3266	< 3.28		
19	A401	< 4.97		
20	3A 0745-191	< 8.28		Galactic Plane nearby
21	A496	< 8.92		
22	A1795	< 5.50	3°of3EG J1347+29	
23	A2256	< 4.28		
24	CYG A Cluster	< 6.24		Galactic Plane nearby
25	2A 0335+096	< 9.20		
26	A1060	< 13.66		
27	A3558	< 3.71		
28	A644	< 9.80	only1.4° of 3EG J0812-06	
29	A1651	< 4.00	only1.8° of 3EG J1255-05	source contam hation
30	A3562	< 3.51		
31	A1367	< 2.65		
32	A 399	< 5.18		
33	A2147	< 7.45	Only 0.7° OI 3EG J1605+15	source contam nation
25	A119 A2150	< 2.25		
35	ASIS0	< 2.35		
37	<u>2065</u>	< 5.48		
38	A 2052	< 5.50		
39	A 2063	< 5.25		
40	A1644	< 3.33		
41	Klem 44 (A4038)	< 3.38		
42	A262	< 4.25		
43	A2204	< 8.74		
44	A2597	< 7.83		
45	A1650	< 2.78		
46	A3112	< 5.75		
47	A3532	< 8.39		
48	A4059	< 3.00		
49	A3395	< 6.51		
50	MKW 3s	< 5.07		
51	A1689	< 3.50	-	
52	A576	< 3.38		
53	A2244	< 9.43		
54	A2255	< 5.44		
55	A1736	< 3.86		
56	A400	< 5.63		
57	A2657	< 1.93		
58	A1775	< 4.42		





Figure 1: EGRET exposure map of the co-added galaxy clusters. The coordinate system used here is a clustercentered system where the origin corresponds to the X-ray position of each of the respective galaxy clusters. The hom ogeneous symmetry in respect to the center region of the total exposure map is easily seen. This is a premise for an interpretation of the intensity map. Figure 2: EGRET intensity map of the co-added galaxy clusters. The darker grey colours correspond to low er gam ma-ray intensity. If the collective sample of galaxy clusters radiates gam ma-rays, an excess should be seen at the center of the image. The rather flat region at the very center of the map indicates, that neither single galaxy clusters nor their superposition could currently be considered as observable gam ma-ray sources.

R eferences

Berezinsky, V S., Blasi, P. and Ptuskin, V S., 1997, ApJ 487, 529 Dar, A. and Shaviv, N J., 1996, A strop. Phys. 4, 343 Colafrancesco, S. and Blasi, P., 1998, A strop. Phys. 9, 227 DeGrandi, S. et al. 1999, ApJ 514, 148 Ebeling, H. et al. 1996, M NRAS 281, 799 Ebeling, H. et al. 1998, M NRAS 301, 881 Ensslin, T A. et al. 1997, ApJ 477, 560 Edge, A.C. et al. 1990, M NRAS 245, 559 Edge, A.C. and Stew and, G.C. 1991, M NRAS 252, 414 Hartman, R.C. et al. 1999, ApJS, 123, in press Sreekum ar, P. et al 1996, ApJ, 464, 628 Völk, H.J., A haronian, F.A. and Breitschwerdt, D. 1996, Space Sci. Rev. 75, 279