3.1 COMPARISON OF TEV II WITH ISR

C. Halliwell, University of Illinois, Chicago Circle

	Tev II	ISR
Incident Momentum (cm)	$[(s)^{1/2}/2]$ 22 GeV/c	32 GeV/c
Incident Beam Types	π [±] , K [±] , p, p̄, γ	p, p
Target Types	p, A	q
Max p_{T} (rate limit)	$p_T \sim 14 \text{ GeV/c}$ $X_t \sim 0.65$	~18 ~0.6
Secondary Particle I.D.	$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta & 0^{\circ} & \rightarrow & 135^{\circ} \\ \phi & 0^{\circ} & \rightarrow & 2\pi \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta - 45^{\circ} & \rightarrow & 45^{\circ} \\ \phi & = & \pm & 45^{\circ} \end{array}$
Jet Angular Coverage	θ 45° → 135° φ 0 → 2π	θ 45° → 135° ¢ 3/4 (2π)

Comparison of TeV II With the ISR.

Incident Momentum

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory experiments have shown that π^- beams are more "efficient" than proton beams (of the same energy) in producing high p_T jets. It is expected that 1000-GeV protons may probe comparable physics as ~600 GeV π^- 's. Therefore, a π^- beam of ~1000 GeV may probe similar physics as that studied at the ISR.

Incident Target Types

It is also important to have π^- and p beams for nuclear targets; dimuon production shows differences in α (where $\sigma = A^{\alpha}$) when π^- and p initiated data are compared.