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Abstract

For a circular beam with uniform density the transverse space charge forces are linear. The effect of the linear space charge is just a reduction $\Delta \nu$ of the transverse oscillation wave number. With nonuniform density distribution in addition to a reduction $\Delta \nu$ of the average $\nu$-value, one expects the nonlinear space charge forces to produce a $\nu$-spread $\delta \nu$. In many cases a $\nu$-spread is desirable for supplying Landau damping to transverse instabilities.

The $\nu$-spread can be enhanced by the insertion of a thin beam of particles of the opposite charge in the middle of the original beam. Because of the dependence of the space charge force on $\gamma^{-2}$ we can expect a low-$\gamma$ thin beam of opposite charge to produce a large $\nu$-spread. The $\nu$-spread in a nonuniform beam and its enhancement by the beam of opposite charge are computed in this paper.

A. NONLINEAR SPACE CHARGE FORCES IN PROTON BEAM

Let us consider an infinitely long straight beam of protons with uniform distribution in the longitudinal direction and Gaussian distribution in the transverse plane. For the special case that the beam has circular geometry the scalar potential $\phi(x,y)$ is

$$\phi(x,y) = \frac{\lambda_p e}{\sigma_p \sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^2+y^2}{k} \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right] \frac{(-1)^k}{k(k!)}$$

with

$$(x,y) = \text{transverse Cartesian coordinates with origin on beam axis,}$$

$\lambda_p = \text{proton charge,}$$

$\sigma_p = \text{standard deviation of the Gaussian distribution.}$$

Neglecting the end effect, we can consider (1) valid also for a bunched beam. In this case $\lambda_p$ is the ratio of the total number of particles to the total length of the bunch.

The vector potential, $\vec{A}$, has no transverse components if the transverse motion of the protons is neglected. Thus it is given by

$$|\vec{A}| = A = \frac{\nu}{c} \phi = \beta \phi$$

where $\nu$ is the beam velocity and $c$ the speed of light.

From (1) and (2) we can calculate the electric and the magnetic fields and, then, the total space charge force $F$ on a proton. Specifically, on the $x$ and $y$ axes we have

$$F_x = -e(1-\beta^2) \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}, \quad y = 0$$

$$F_y = -e(1-\beta^2) \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}, \quad x = 0$$

or with (1)

$$F_x \bigg|_{y=0} = \frac{2\lambda_p e^2}{\sigma_p \gamma^2} f(\frac{x}{\sigma_p})$$

$$F_y \bigg|_{x=0} = \frac{2\lambda_p e^2}{\sigma_p \gamma^2} f(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma_p})$$

where $\gamma^2 = (1-\beta^2)$, and the function

$$f(u) = \frac{1-e^{-u^2}}{u}$$

is plotted in Fig. 1, for positive $u$.

The equation of a transverse motion (say, $x$) of a proton in the presence of this space charge force is, then

$$x'' + \nu^2 \frac{2x}{m_0} = \frac{2\lambda_p e^2}{m_0 \gamma^2 \sigma_p \omega_0^2} f(\frac{x}{\sigma_p}) = 0$$
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where a prime denotes derivative with respect to the angular longitudinal coordinate, \( \theta \), and

\[
\omega_0 = \text{beam angular velocity},
\]

\[
\nu_0 = \text{betatron oscillation wave number due to the external guide field},
\]

\[
m_0 = \text{proton rest mass}.
\]

Assuming the space charge term to be small compared to the \( \nu_0^2 \) term we take as an approximate solution of (5)

\[
x(\theta) = a \sin \psi(\theta)
\]

with

\[
\psi(\theta) = \int v(\theta) \, d\theta,
\]

\[
v(\theta) = \nu_0 + \delta_p(a, \theta),
\]

and \( \delta_p(a, \theta) \ll \nu_0 \).

In the following we neglect the weak \( \theta \)-dependence of the amplitude \( a \). Introducing (6) in (5) and neglecting terms in \( \psi' \) and \( \delta_p^2 \) we get

\[
\delta_p(a, \theta) = -\frac{\nu_0 a^2}{m_0^2 \delta_p^2} \frac{\int \sin \nu_0 \theta}{\nu_0 a^2}.
\]

The shift is, therefore,

\[
\delta_p(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \delta_p(a, \theta) \, d\theta = -\eta_p I(a, 0)
\]

where

\[
\eta_p = \frac{\lambda_p R^2}{2\pi \delta_p^2 \nu_0^2}
\]

and

\[
I(w, p) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(w \sin \theta + p) - f(p)}{w \sin \theta} \, d\theta
\]

where

\[
r_0 = \frac{e^2}{m_0 c^2} = \text{classical proton radius}
\]

\[
R = \text{closed orbit radius}.
\]

The function \( I(w, p) \) is plotted in Fig. 2 for \( \nu_0 = 20.25 \) which corresponds to the NAL Main Ring. \( I(w, p) \) is an even function of \( p \) if \( \nu_0 \) is an integer. For large values of \( \nu_0 \), it is approximately an even function of \( p \) and has only a weak dependence on the fractional part of \( \nu_0 \).

For the NAL Main Ring, it is, from Fig. 2, \( I(0,0) - I(1,0) = 25 \) and with the following numbers

\[
\lambda_p = 7 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad \beta = 1.0
\]

\[
R = 10^5 \text{ cm}, \quad \gamma = 10
\]

\[
\nu_0 = 20.25, \quad \sigma_p = 0.5 \text{ cm}
\]

we get

\[
\eta_p = 1.7 \times 10^{-3}, \quad \Delta_p = 0.04.
\]

This \( \nu \)-spread is only 40\% of the minimum required for beam stabilization against coherent oscillations.
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**B. EFFECT OF THE NONLINEAR SPACE CHARGE FORCE INDUCED BY AN ELECTRON BEAM**

We insert a thin electron beam in the proton beam for a fraction \( \varepsilon \) of the accelerator circumference. Assuming the electron beam to be centered on the proton beam axis and having a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation \( \sigma_e \), we have now the following \( \nu \)-shift for a proton as a function of its amplitude \( a \)

\[
\delta_e(a) = \eta_e I(a, 0) \]

with

\[
\eta_e = \frac{\eta_p}{(\sigma_e)^2}
\]

\[
I(a, 0) = \int \frac{f(w \sin \theta + a) - f(a)}{w \sin \theta} \, d\theta
\]
\[ \eta_e = \frac{\lambda_e x_0 R^2}{2\pi \gamma_e \sigma_e^2 B^2 \nu_0^2} \varepsilon \]  
\[ = \varepsilon \frac{\lambda_e}{\lambda_p} \left( \frac{\nu_0}{\nu_e} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\sigma_p}{\sigma_e} \right)^2 \eta_p = a \eta_p \]  
(12)

where
\[ \lambda_e = \text{number of electrons per unit length} \]
\[ \gamma_e = \text{relativistic energy factor for electrons,} \]

and we have taken the effect of the electron beam as being spread over one turn.

The \( v \)-spread in the proton beam now is
\[ \Delta_p = \eta_p \left[ I(0,0) - I(\sigma_e/\sigma_p,0) \right] \]
\[ = aK \left( \frac{\sigma_p}{\sigma_e} \right) \Delta_p \]  
(13)

with
\[ K(x) = \frac{I(0,0) - I(x,0)}{I(0,0) - I(1,0)}. \]  
(14)

The total \( v \)-spread \( \Delta_t \) of the proton beam is given by the algebraic sum of the partial spreads due to the electrons and the protons, namely, if \( \Delta_e > \Delta_p \),
\[ \Delta_t = \Delta_e - \Delta_p = (aK-1)\Delta_p \]
from which
\[ aK = 1 + \frac{\Delta_t}{\Delta_p}. \]  
(15)

For the NAL Main Ring, we have \( \Delta_p = 0.04 \). To obtain the required \( \Delta_t = 0.10 \), we must have
\[ aK = 3.5. \]

Let us take \( \sigma_p/\sigma_e = 10 \). From Fig. 2 we get
\[ K = 4.25 \quad \text{and} \quad a = 0.77. \]

With \( \varepsilon = 10^{-3} \) and an electron beam of very low energy \( (\gamma_e = 1) \) we obtain
\[ \lambda_e = 0.077 \quad \lambda_p = 5.4 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-1} \]
which, for low energies, corresponds to very modest current \( i_e \) of the electron beam.

C. EFFECT OF AN OFF-CENTER ELECTRON BEAM

Similar calculation with the electron beam displaced from the axis of the proton beam in the \( x \)-direction by an amount \( x_0 \) gives for the \( v \)-shift
\[ \delta_t(a) = -\eta_p \left[ I(\sigma_p,0) - aI \left( \frac{\sigma_p}{\sigma_e}, \frac{x_0}{\sigma_e} \right) \right]. \]  
(16)

This equation shows that for certain values of \( a, \sigma_p/\sigma_e \), and \( \alpha \) it is possible to have \( \delta_t = 0 \).

Let us neglect the contribution of the protons on the right-hand side of Eq. (16). Again for the example of the NAL Main Ring, the minimum \( a \) required is plotted in Fig. 3 against the lateral displacement \( x_0/\sigma_e \). Taking the same parameters as before we have
\[ \lambda_e = 0.1 \quad \lambda_p = 7.0 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-1}. \]

For a 5 keV electron beam, the equivalent current, \( i_e \), is given on the right-hand side of Fig. 3.
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