

TM-1702

8mm Video Tape Test

Rich Krull Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory P.O. Box 500 Batavia, Illinois 60510

October 1990



CDF/MEMO/CDF/PUBLIC/1293 TM-1702

<u>8mm Video Tape Test</u>

October 1990

Rich Krull

Offline Group CDF Department Research Division Fermilab, MS318 (708) 840-3709

I. <u>Usage of 8mm Video Tape Media at Fermilab</u>

In the past 2 years, Fermilab has added the 8mm magnetic tape media/technology to numerous computer systems for many reasons. The amount of storage space on an 8mm tape is equivalent to approximately fifteen 9 track tapes; each 8mm tape is 1/2 the cost of a 9 track tape. Therefore, the cost per byte on the 8mm tape is 1/30 of the 9 track media cost. By using 8mm tapes, operator assisted tape mountings are reduced, physical storage space is reduced, and transportation/shipping is simplified. In addition, the 8mm tape hardware is relatively inexpensive.

For the 1988/1989 collider run, CDF used approximately 2400 8mm tapes for RAW and analyzed data and distributed more than 5000 copies of these tapes. Since CDF plans to use 8mm tapes almost exclusively for the 1991 collider run, it is important to have some idea of the long term readability and reliability of these media. This memo describes the testing of 10 SONY and 10 **FUJI** 8mm Video tapes.

II. <u>8mm Video Tape Format and Test Procedures</u>

CDF data tapes are **ANSI** standard file structured, labeled format. For this test, 10 **SONY P6-120MP** video tapes and 10 **FUJI P6-120** video tapes were created in this format and subjected to multiple reads. In addition, a few tapes were subjected to a simple accelerated aging test.

The tapes were created with 9 files on each tape, containing 840,000 records for a total of 1.7 GBytes. These files occupied 75% of the tape's capacity. See table "C" for detailed information on the creation of the test tapes. The Fermilab 8mm tape copy facility was used to create the test tapes.

The tapes were read on the CDF LAVC using VAX 3100's and 3200's equipped with EXABYTE EXB-8200 cartridge tape drives. A VMS copy was used to read each tape 100 to 150 times on each of two different tape drives to verify that results were not drive related. Each tape was mounted, copied to a null device, dismounted, remounted and then copied again. This was performed via a batch job and continued 24 hours a day until completion. There was also a log file created that showed the status of each record copied. This process typically took 10 to 15 days per tape per drive.

Table "A" shows the successful number of copies and number of failures of each test tape. A SUCCESSFUL copy means that there were NO errors detected during the complete copy of all nine files on each tape to a null device. A FAILURE means that there was at least one error detected during the copy process. The usual process for a tape failure was an intermittent parity error on a single file. With repeated readings, the intermittent failures often turned into hard failures. Hard failures continued even when the tape was switched to another drive. See Table "B" for a shortened view of this process.

Five tapes that completed the testing with zero errors were then put into the trunk of a car for two months (7-30-90 through 9-30-90) to subject them to temperature changes from 50 degrees F. to 120+ degrees F. in hopes of accelerating the aging process. These tapes were then re-tested.

III. <u>Tape Drive Information</u>

During the course of this project, seven different 8mm tape drives were used for the read test. None of the tape drives were cleaned before or during the test. Tape drive **CDF25\$MKB500** failed about half way through the project and had to be replaced. All other tape drives caused no significant problems.

Occasional soft tape drive errors did show up during the testing. One was **SYSTEM-F-TAPEPOSLOST**, magnetic tape position lost, and the other was **SYSTEM-F-ILLIOFUNC**, illegal I/O function code. CDF experience shows that these two specific errors do not correlate with problems on the tape media. Although these errors are reported as failures in the results, they have been annotated as soft errors.

IV. <u>Results</u>

During the entire testing procedure the **FUJI** tapes were consistently readable. See tables "A" and "B". There was one read parity error on one file on **FUJI08.** This error did not reproduce. **FUJI09** had one read failure in approximately 150 successful copies and then had one file fail intermittently with a parity error for the rest of the test. The retesting of three tapes subjected to the temperature swing produced no tape media related errors.

The **SONY** tapes were a completely different story. Only four **SONY** tapes copied successfully. All the rest had tape errors ranging from one single "file read parity error" all the way to total failure. The retesting of two tapes subjected to the temperature swing failed with parity errors. If the **SONY** tapes are marginal, then this temperature variation may have pushed them over the edge from success to failure.

Table A

Tape Copy Success and Failure

| <u>Tape</u> | <u>Success</u> <u>1st Drive</u> | <u>Failure</u> <u>1st Drive</u> | <u>Success</u> 2nd Drive | <u>Failure</u> 2nd Drive |
|-------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Sony01 | 160 | 0 | 130 | 0 |
| Sony02 | 62 | 49 | 2 | 32 |
| Sony03 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 10 |
| Sony04 | 100 | 0 | 111 | 0 |
| Sony05 | 67 | 13 | 28 | 45 |
| Sony06 | 24 | 21 | 0 | 16 |
| Sony07 | 111 | 0 | 108 | 1 |
| Sony08 | 110 | 0 | 73 | 64 |
| Sony09 | 118 | 0 | 116 | 2* |
| Sony10 | 112 | 8* | 110 | 1* |
| Fuji01 | 136 | 0 | 127 | 0 |
| Fuji02 | 127 | 0 | 144 | 0 |
| Fuji03 | 130 | 0 | 133 | 0 |
| Fuji04 | 158 | 0 | 118 | 0 |
| Fuji05 | 150 | 0 | 117 | 1* |
| Fuji06 | 129 | 0 | 110 | 0 |
| Fuji07 | 103 | 2* | 110 | 0 |
| Fuji08 | 113 | 0 | 104 | 1 |
| Fuji09 | 100 | 2 | 91 | 28 |
| Fuji10 | 117 | 0 | 116 | 0 |
| | <u>ReTest Porti</u> | ion - <u>(Tapes S</u> | tored in Trunk | 1 |
| Sony01 | 74 | 33 | | |

| Sonyul | 74 | 33 |
|--------|-----|----|
| Sony04 | 1 | 25 |
| FujiÓ1 | 107 | 0 |
| Fuji02 | 101 | 4* |
| Fuji03 | 104 | 0 |

* Soft Drive Related Errors

Table B

Below is a brief description of each test tape on each tape drive.

| Test <u>Tape</u> | Tape <u>Drive</u> | Actual <u>Drive</u> | <u>Comments</u> |
|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Sony01 Sony01 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF23\$MKB500: CDF25\$MKB500: | Successful copy - No errors Successful copy - No errors |
| Sony02 Sony02 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF25\$MKB500: CDF23\$MKB500: | Copied successfully, then failed intermittently, then failed totally Two successful copies, then total failure |
| Sony03 Sony03 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF25\$MKB500: CDF23\$MKB500: | Total failure Total failure |
| Sony04 Sony04 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF23\$MKB500: CDF25\$MKB500: | Successful copy - No errors Successful copy - No errors |
| Sony05 | 1st. Drive | CDF25\$MKB500: | Copied successfully, then |
| Sony05 | 2nd. Drive | CDF23 \$M KB500: | Failed intermittently, then failed totally |
| Sony06 | 1st. Drive | CDF23\$MKB500: | Copied successfully, then failed intermittently, then failed totally |
| Sony06 | 2nd. Drive | CDF25\$KMB500: | Total failure |
| Sony07 Sony07 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF23\$MKB500: CDF25\$MKB500: | Successful copy - No errors Copied successfully except for one failure |
| Sony08 Sony08 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF25\$MKB500: CDF23\$MKB500: | Successful copy - No errors Copied successfully, then failed intermittently, then failed totally |
| Sony09 Sony09 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF01\$MUB0: CDF01\$MUB1: | Successful copy - No errors Two failures, but the log file implies both were drive related |

Table B (Continuation)

| Test <u>Tape</u> | Tape <u>Drive</u> | Actual Drive | <u>Comments</u> |
|---------------------|--------------------------|--|---|
| Sony10 | 1st. Drive | CDF01\$MUB1: | Copied successfully, then failed intermittently, but the log file implies failures were system related |
| Sony10 | 2nd. Drive | CDF23\$MKB500: | One failure, but the log file implies a drive related error |
| Fuji01 Fuji01 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF23\$MKB500: CDF25\$MKB500: | Successful copy - No errors Successful copy - No errors |
| Fuji02 Fuji02 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF23 \$M KB500: CDF25 \$ MKB500: | Successful copy - No errors Successful copy - No errors |
| Fuji03 Fuji03 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF23 \$M KB500: CDF25 \$M KB500: | Successful copy - No errors Successful copy - No errors |
| Fuji04 Fuji04 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF23\$MKB500: CDFA\$MUB0: | Successful copy - No errors Successful copy - No errors |
| Fuji05 Fuji05 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF27\$MKB500: CDFA\$MUB1: | Successful copy - No errors One failure, but the log file implies a tape drive error |
| Fuji06 Fuji06 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF01\$MUB0: CDFA\$MUB0: | Successful copy - No errors Successful copy - No errors |
| Fuji07 | 1st. Drive | CDFA\$MUB1: | Two failures, but the log file implies both were drive related |
| Fuji07 | 2nd. Drive | CDF01\$MUB1: | Successful copy - No errors |
| Fuji08 Fuji08 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF23\$KMB500: CDF25\$KMB500: | Successful copy - No errors Copied successfully except for one failure |
| Fuji09 | 1st. Drive | CDF01 \$MUB 0: | Two failures, but the log file implies one was drive related and the other tape related |
| Fuji09 | 2nd. Drive | CDF01\$MUB1: | 28 intermittent failures |
| Fuji10 Fuji10 | 1st. Drive 2nd. Drive | CDF01\$MUB1: CDF01\$MUB0: | Successful copy - No errors Successful copy - No errors |

Table B - Retest Portion - (Tapes Stored in Trunk)

| Test <u>Tape</u> | Tape <u>Drive</u> | Actual <u>Drive</u> | Comments |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---|
| Sony01 | 1st. Drive | CDF01\$MUB0: | Copied successfully, then failed intermittently |
| Sony04 | 1st. Drive | CDF25\$MKB500: | One successful copy, then 24 failures |
| Fuji01 | 1st. Drive | CDF25\$MKB500: | Successful copy - No errors |
| Fuji02 | 1st. Drive | CDF01\$MUB1: | Four failures, but the log file implies they were drive related |
| Fuji03 | 1st. Drive | CDF01\$MUB1: | Successful copy - No errors |
| | | | |

Table C

8mm Test Tape Creation Information

| <u>Tape</u> | Creation <u>Date</u> | Copy <u>System</u> | ^(Test Tapes) Write <u>Drives</u> | (Original tape) Read Drives |
|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| SONY01 | 4-16-90 | FNTAPE | MUA2: | MUA0: |
| SONY02 | 4-16-90 | FNTAPE | MUBO: | MUA0: |
| SONY03 | 4-16-90 | FNTAPE | MUB1: | MUA0: |
| SONY04 | 4-16-90 | FNTAPE | MUB2: | MUA0: |
| SONY05 | 6-29-90 | FNTAPA | MUA2: | MUA1: |
| SONY06 | 6-29-90 | FNTAPA | MUB0: | MUA1: |
| SONY07 | 6-29-90 | FNTAPA | MUB1: | MUA1: |
| SONY08 | 6-29-90 | FNTAPA | MUA2: | MUA1: |
| SONY09 | 6-29-90 | FNTAPA | MUB0: | MUA1: |
| SONY10 | 6-29-90 | FNTAPA | MUB1: | MUA1: |
| FUJI01 | 4-14-90 | FNTAPA | MUA1: | MUA0: |
| FUJI02 | 4-14-90 | FNTAPA | MUA2: | MUA0: |
| FUJ103 | 4-14-90 | FNTAPA | MUBO: | MUA0: |
| FUJ104 | 4-14-90 | FNTAPA | MUB2: | MUAO: |
| FUJ105 | 6-27-90 | FNTAPE | MUA2: | MUA1: |
| FUJ106 | 6-27-90 | FNTAPE | MUBO: | MUA1: |
| FUJI07 | 6-27-90 | FNTAPE | MUB1: | MUA1: |
| FUJ108 | 6-29-90 | FNTAPA | MUA2: | MUA1: |
| FUJ109 | 6-29-90 | FNTAPA | MUB0: | MUA1: |
| FUJI10 | 6-29-90 | FNTAPA | MUB1: | MUA1: |
| | | | | |