Fermilab Library
0 1160 0021737 6

UAB-FT-289

UAB-FT-289

Equivalence of Plane-Wave and Coordinate-Space Techniques in the Operator-Product Expansion

26

E. Bagan†

Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität Heidelberg
Philosophenweg 16, D-6900 Heidelberg, Germany
and
Grup de Física Teòrica, Departament de Física
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona
Bellaterra 08193, Spain

M.R. Ahmady‡ and V. Elias

Department of Applied Mathematics
University of Western Ontario
London, Ontario, N6A 3K7 Canada

T.G. Steele

Department of Physics
University of Saskatchewan
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, S7N 0W0 Canada

FERMILAB
JAN 27 1993
LIBRARY

Abstract: We compare plane-wave, coordinate-space and moment methods for evaluating operator-product expansion (OPE) coefficients of the light-quark and gluon condensates. Equivalence of these methods for quark condensate contributions to two-current correlation functions is proven to all orders in the quark mass parameter m. The three methods are also shown to yield equivalent gluon condensate contributions to correlation functions, regardless of the gauge chosen for external gluon fields in the coordinate space approach. An improved method for evaluating quark-condensate OPE coefficients is presented for several (two-current) correlation functions. Gauge-dependent Green functions are also discussed. It is shown that contradictory expressions for the gluon-condensate contribution to the quark propagator occuring from the plane-wave and coordinate-space approaches yield identical relations between the heavy-quark and gluon condensates, as anticipated from the gauge invariance of the heavy-quark expansion.

† Alexander von Humboldt Fellow

‡ Current Address: I.C.T.P., Miramare P.O. Box 586, 34100 Trieste, Italy.

1. Introduction

QCD condensates, which characterize the non-perturbative content of the QCD vacuum, are an essential element in determining hadronic properties through sum-rule methods [1-3]. In this approach, each condensate makes a distinct contribution to the operator-product expansion (OPE) of a two-current correlation function.

There are several techniques for evaluating such OPE coefficients. The plane-wave method singles out the contribution of a given condensate by using appropriately chosen states to "sandwich" the OPE [1,2], while the coordinate-space approach involves the expansion of a non-local vacuum expectation value (vev) in terms of spacetime coordinates [2,4,5]. Another approach favoured by Lavelle and co-workers is the moment method [6] which is reminiscent of a gap equation. Since the OPE is an identity among field-theoretical operators [7], it is expected that all these techniques should yield identical results when computing gauge invariant quantities. However, a precise comparison of these different methods has not been pursued, despite extensive applications of each. Such a comparison is badly needed— questions of gauge independence often arise because of the fixed-point gauge [8] chosen for external gluons in the coordinate-space approach. In contrast, the plane-wave method obscures the coordinate-space approach's understanding of non-perturbative effects as a direct consequence of the non-perturbative content of the QCD vacuum.

Complications arise in all methods when light quark condensate $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle$ effects are considered to all orders in the quark mass parameter m. In coordinate space techniques, the OPE coefficient of $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle$ is determined as a power series in m^2/p^2 (p is the external momentum), and the resulting series must be summed and analytically continued [9,10,13]. A similar difficulty arises in plane-wave methods where it becomes necessary to average over momentum directions by expanding propagator factors in a series and then re-summing to obtain a final result [11,13]. Moment techniques typically work to lowest order in the quark mass [6], and so they must be extended to higher orders. These difficulties associated with existing coordinate-space, plane-wave and moment methods suggest the desirability of an all-orders analytic approach towards the evaluation of quark condensate contributions to OPE coefficients. The difficulties associated with existing order-by-order approaches are not merely of mathematical interest. In many applications the Laplace sum-rules are formulated as contour integrals in the complex momentum plane or as Laplace transforms [12]; consequently, detailed knowledge of the analytic properties of the correlation function is essential.

The purpose of the present paper is to study the relation between plane-wave, coordinate space, and moment methods for evaluating the quark and gluon condensate OPE coefficients. We also develop an improved analytic approach, as opposed to the order-by-order series techniques discussed above, for the evaluation of the OPE coefficients of the quark condensate.

In section 2, we demonstrate the equivalence of existing methods for evaluating the quark condensate OPE coefficient of gauge invariant correlation functions. This analysis

leads naturally to an efficient analytic approach for evaluating quark condensate effects to all orders in the quark mass. This new approach, which can be phrased in the language of a new Feynman rule, is applied in section 3 to correlation functions of scalar, vector and axial vector currents, confirming the results of previous calculations.

In section 4, we demonstrate the equivalence of all techniques for the gluon condensate OPE coefficient for gauge invariant correlation functions. For coordinate-space techniques, we show that the value of the OPE coefficients is insensitive to the gauge chosen for the external gluon fields.

Finally, section 5 will consider some applications to the quark propagator— a gauge dependent Green function— in order to address some controversies about coordinate-space and plane-wave approaches. Contradictory fixed-point and covariant gauge expressions for the gluon condensate contribution to the quark propagator (respectively applicable to standard coordinate-space and plane-wave approaches) are nevertheless seen to yield the same gauge invariant relationship between the heavy-quark and gluon condensate. This demonstrates how gauge dependence at an intermediate stage of a calculation does not affect a genuinely gauge invariant quantity.

2. Equivalence of OPE Techniques for the Quark Condensate

To examine the relation between plane-wave, coordinate-space and moment approaches, it is first necessary to review each method.

Coordinate space methods [2,4,5] begin with the Wick expansion of a time-ordered product of currents. The time-ordered product is expanded in a perturbation series, and then the non-perturbative vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$ is used to obtain the correlation function. Since the vacuum is non-perturbative, residual normal-ordered contributions to the Wick expansion of a time-ordered product of currents can have a non-zero vev. Consequently, correlation functions are expressed in terms of non-local vevs of fundamental quark and gluon fields, which are then expanded in a coordinate-space series whose coefficients are the *local* composite operators known as QCD condensates.

A given non-local vev can only generate certain condensates in its coordinate space expansion. If only quark condensate effects are of interest, then the only non-local vev that needs to be considered [14] is $\langle\Omega| : \overline{\psi}(z)\psi(y) : |\Omega\rangle$, where the normal ordering refers to the perturbative vacuum.

It has been shown elsewhere [9,13,14] that the quark condensate projection of the two-quark non-local vev is

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega| : \overline{\psi}^{\xi}(z)\psi^{\eta}(y) : |\Omega\rangle &= \frac{\delta^{\eta\xi}}{3}\langle\overline{q}q\rangle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-im)^{2n}(y-z)^{2n}}{n!(n+1)!4^{n+1}} \\ &+ \frac{\delta^{\eta\xi}}{3}\langle\overline{q}q\rangle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-im)^{2n+1}\gamma\cdot(y-z)(y-z)^{2n}}{2(n+2)!n!4^{n+1}} \quad ,\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where ξ, η represent colour indices and m is the quark mass parameter. Denoting $x = (y-z)$, the above expression is merely a series representation of Bessel functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega| : \overline{\psi}^{\xi}(z)\psi^{\eta}(y) : |\Omega\rangle &= \frac{\delta^{\xi\eta}}{6m}\langle\overline{q}q\rangle \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2}}J_1(m\sqrt{x^2}) - \frac{i\gamma\cdot x}{x^2}J_2(m\sqrt{x^2})\right] \\ &= \frac{\delta^{\xi\eta}}{6m^2}\langle\overline{q}q\rangle(i\partial\!\!\!/ + m)\left[\frac{J_1(m\sqrt{x^2})}{\sqrt{x^2}}\right] \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

An important property of the quark condensate projection of the two-quark vev is that it satisfies the free equation of motion

$$(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)\langle\Omega| : \overline{\psi}^{\xi}(0)\psi(x) : |\Omega\rangle = 0 \quad . \tag{3}$$

This property allows a simple method for obtaining a D-dimensional version of (2) simply by seeking the solution of the equation of motion which reduces to $\langle\overline{q}q\rangle/12$ at $x=0$. The result is

$$\langle\Omega| : \overline{\psi}^{\xi}(z)\psi^{\eta}(y) : |\Omega\rangle = \delta^{\xi\eta}\frac{\Gamma(D/2)2^{D/2-1}}{12m}\langle\overline{q}q\rangle(i\partial\!\!\!/ + m)\left[\frac{J_{D/2-1}(m\sqrt{x^2})}{(m^2x^2)^{\frac{D}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}}\right] \quad . \tag{4}$$

The functional form (2) has not previously been used in coordinate space methods, but the series (1) allows an evaluation of quark condensate effects as a series in m^2/p^2.

It is important not to ascribe too much physical meaning to (4), since it is simply used to extract OPE coefficients of the quark condensate. Since the OPE coefficients are perturbatively calculable, any amplitude used for this purpose will be a perturbative expansion containing free-field propagators and by analogy, will contain the free-field expression for the non-local vev. All the infrared nature of the OPE is contained in the condensates themselves, although this factorization of low-energy effects is difficult to establish [15,16].

Thus the coordinate-space approach for obtaining quark condensate effects consists of retaining residual normal-ordered terms $\langle\Omega| : \overline{\psi}(z)\psi(y) : |\Omega\rangle$ from the Wick expansion of a given amplitude and then utilizing (1) for the $\langle\overline{q}q\rangle$ projection of this non-local vev.

The plane-wave method will now be reviewed for the particular example of a scalar current correlation function. This allows the relation between plane-wave and coordinate-space methods to be explored without the complication of non-trivial Lorentz and Dirac structures.

Consider the OPE for the correlation function of two scalar currents $j(x)$ (e.g. $j(x) = \overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$),

$$\begin{aligned} i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\, T\left(j(x)j(0)\right) &= \mathcal{I}(p) + \mathcal{D}^0(p) : \overline{\psi}\psi : + \cdots + \mathcal{D}^l(p) : \overline{\psi}D^{2l}\psi : + \cdots \\ &+ \mathcal{E}^l_n(p)\, p^{\alpha_1}\cdots p^{\alpha_{2n}} : \overline{\psi}D_{\alpha_1}\ldots D_{\alpha_{2n}}D^{2l}\psi : + \cdots \\ &+ \mathcal{O}^l_n(p)\, p^{\alpha_1}\cdots p^{\alpha_{2n+1}} : \overline{\psi}D_{\alpha_1}\ldots D_{\alpha_{2n+1}}D^{2l}\psi : + \cdots \\ &+ \textit{equation of motion operators} + \textit{BRS variations} \\ &+ \textit{operators not leading to } \langle\overline{q}q\rangle \quad ,\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where D_α is the gauge covariant derivative. The OPE must have this form, since for a product of BRS invariant currents, only gauge invariant operators appear apart from the trivial contributions from BRS variations and equations of motion [17]. Perturbative contributions to (5) are contained in $\mathcal{I}(p)$, and operators which contain anything but two quark fields have been neglected since they do not ultimately contribute to the $\langle\overline{q}q\rangle$ effects in the correlation function. Non-trivial Dirac structures such as $:\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\alpha_1}\cdots\gamma^{\alpha_n}\psi:$ have also been neglected since they are not independent objects after a vev is formed, thereby contributing in a similar fashion as the operators already considered.

A correlation function is now formed from (5) by evaluating an expectation value in the non-perturbative vacuum:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi\left(p^2\right) &= i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\,\langle\Omega|T\left(j(x)j(0)\right)|\Omega\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{I}(p) + \cdots + \mathcal{D}^l(p)\,\langle\Omega|:\overline{\psi}D^{2l}\psi:|\Omega\rangle + \cdots \\ &\quad + \mathcal{E}^m_n(p)\,p^{\alpha_1}\cdots p^{\alpha_{2n}}\langle\Omega|:\overline{\psi}D_{\alpha_1}\ldots D_{\alpha_{2n}}D^{2l}\psi:|\Omega\rangle + \cdots \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}^l_n(p)\,p^{\alpha_1}\cdots p^{\alpha_{2n+1}}\langle\Omega|:\overline{\psi}D_{\alpha_1}\ldots D_{\alpha_{2n+1}}D^{2l}\psi:|\Omega\rangle + \cdots \\ &\quad + 0\,(\textit{eqn. of motion, BRS variation}) + \textit{operators not leading to } \langle\overline{q}q\rangle \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Since we are dealing with a scalar current the vevs in the above expression can be simplified (the symmetrization of indices imposed by contraction into products with momenta is important):

$$\langle\Omega|:\overline{\psi}D_{\alpha_1}\ldots D_{\alpha_{2n+1}}D^{2l}\psi:|\Omega\rangle = 0 \tag{7a}$$

$$\langle\Omega|:\overline{\psi}D_{\alpha_1}\ldots D_{\alpha_{2n}}D^{2l}:|\Omega\rangle = S_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_{2n}}\,\langle\Omega|:\overline{\psi}D^{2(l+n)}\psi:|\Omega\rangle \quad , \tag{7b}$$

where $S_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_{2n}}$ is a completely symmetric tensor normalized so that $S^{\alpha_1}_{\alpha_1}\cdots{}^{\alpha_n}_{\alpha_n} = 1$.

If attention is restricted to the $\langle\overline{q}q\rangle$ contribution to $\Pi\left(p^2\right)$ then the equation of motion

$$D^2\psi = (\gamma\cdot D\gamma\cdot D - i/2\sigma_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu})\,\psi = -m^2\psi + \textit{irrelevant operators} = -m^2\psi \tag{8}$$

can be used, since the neglected gauge-field contributions from the complete equation of motion do not generate the quark condensate [14]. After vacuum averaging, the $\langle\overline{q}q\rangle$ component of $\Pi\left(p^2\right)$ is thus given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi\left(p^2\right) &= i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\,\langle\Omega|T\left(j(x)j(0)\right)|\Omega\rangle \\ &= \langle\overline{q}q\rangle\left[\cdots + \mathcal{D}_n(p)\left(-m^2\right)^n + \cdots + \mathcal{E}^l_n(p)\left(-m^2\right)^{n+l}p^{\alpha_1}\cdots p^{\alpha_{2n}}S_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{2n}}\right] \\ &\equiv \mathcal{C}\left(p^2\right)\langle\overline{q}q\rangle \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The plane-wave method is designed to single out the quark condensate effects and to simulate the effect of vacuum averaging. If a matrix element of (5) is formed between

on-shell one-quark states $|k,s\rangle$ where k is the quark momentum and s represents the spin state, then only operators with two quark fields will be non-zero (in particular D_α can be replaced with ∂_α), and since the states are on-shell the equation of motion operators will not contribute. Consequently, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\langle k,s|T\left(j(x)j(0)\right)|k,s\rangle &= \mathcal{D}^0(p)\langle k,s|:\overline{\psi}\psi:|k,s\rangle + \cdots \\ &\quad + \mathcal{D}^l(p)\langle k,s|:\overline{\psi}\partial^{2l}\psi:|k,s\rangle + \cdots \\ &\quad + \mathcal{E}^l_n p^{\alpha_1}\cdots p^{\alpha_{2n}}\langle k,s|:\overline{\psi}\partial_{\alpha_1}\ldots\partial_{\alpha_{2n}}\partial^{2l}:|k,s\rangle + \cdots \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}^l_n(p)\,p^{\alpha_1}\cdots p^{\alpha_{2n+1}}\langle k,s|:\overline{\psi}\partial_{\alpha_1}\ldots\partial_{\alpha_{2n+1}}\partial^{2l}\psi:|k,s\rangle + \cdots\end{aligned} \tag{10a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\langle k,s|T\left(j(x)j(0)\right)|k,s\rangle &= \mathcal{D}^0(p)\langle k,s|:\overline{\psi}\psi:|k,s\rangle + \cdots \\ &\quad + \mathcal{D}^l(p)\left(-k^2\right)^l\langle k,s|:\overline{\psi}\psi:|k,s\rangle + \cdots \\ &\quad + \mathcal{E}^l_n(p)\left(-ip\cdot k\right)^{2n}\left(-k^2\right)^l\langle k,s|:\overline{\psi}\psi:|k,s\rangle + \cdots \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}^l_n(p)\left(-ip\cdot k\right)^{2n+1}\left(-k^2\right)^l\langle k,s|:\overline{\psi}\psi:|k,s\rangle + \cdots\end{aligned} \tag{10b}$$

Averaging over the momentum directions $\hat{k}$ simulates the effect of the vacuum as illustrated by the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}\int d\hat{k}\, k_{\alpha_1}\ldots k_{\alpha_{2n+1}} &= 0 \\ \int d\hat{k}\, k_{\alpha_1}\ldots k_{\alpha_{2n}} &= \left(k^2\right)^n S_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{2n}} \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

An identical plane-wave approach is to average over the external momentum p, an option which is more convenient for the gluon condensate [13].

Since the quark states are on-shell, k^2 is replaced with m^2 after averaging, and a sum over spin states (and implicitly a colour trace) is performed, thereby leading to the plane-wave determination of the $\langle\overline{q}q\rangle$ OPE coefficient $\mathcal{C}\left(p^2\right)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}\left(p^2\right) &\equiv \left[\mathcal{D}^0(p)\cdots + \mathcal{D}_n(p)\left(-m^2\right)^n + \cdots + \mathcal{E}^l_n(p)\left(-m^2\right)^{n+l}p^{\alpha_1}\cdots p^{\alpha_{2n}}S_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{2n}}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{6}\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\int d\hat{k}\sum_s\langle k,s|T\left(j(x)j(0)\right)|k,s\rangle \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

At this point it should be remarked that no distinction between bare and renormalized fields has been made, implicitly assuming that we are working to leading order. The only modification that occurs in higher-loop calculations is the effects of renormalizing the composite operators that appear in the OPE. One then identifies the next-to-leading contribution to the OPE coefficient of the *renormalized* composite operators as a combination of a next-to-leading amplitude and the leading amplitude with a renormalization effect (see

[16] for details of this procedure for a particular calculation). This would occur in an identical fashion both for plane-wave and coordinate-space methods. The only complication that can occur is the failure of infrared singularities to cancel from the OPE coefficients [15]; a property which, if desired, can be explicitly verified at the relevant order [16]. Thus our analysis applies to higher loop effects provided that the appropriate renormalization factors are taken into account.

Before reviewing moment techniques, we will now demonstrate the equivalence of plane-wave and coordinate-space techniques. To obtain the connection between these methods, consider the possible contributions from the Wick expansion of the final line of (12). Clearly only terms containing two (normal-ordered) quark fields $:\overline{\psi}(z)\psi(y):$ can contribute to the matrix element with $\langle k,s|$. All other terms will either be disconnected processes or will have a zero matrix element because of their field content. Focussing upon a single term of this type occurring in the final line of (12) leads us to consider the following contribution:

$$\frac{1}{6}\int d\hat{k}\sum_s \langle k,s|:\overline{\psi}^{\eta}(z)\psi^{\xi}(y):|k,s\rangle \quad , \tag{13}$$

where η and ξ are colour indices. Since the Wick expansion is a perturbative expansion of free fields, this matrix element can be easily evaluated by expanding the quark fields in terms of their free-particle solutions.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{6}\int d\hat{k}\sum_s \langle k,s|:\overline{\psi}^{\eta}(z)\psi^{\xi}(y):|k,s\rangle &= \frac{\delta^{\eta\xi}}{12m}\int d\hat{k}\,(\not{k}+m)\,e^{-ik\cdot(y-z)} \\ &= \frac{\delta^{\eta\xi}}{12m}(i\not{\partial}+m)\int d\hat{k}\,e^{-ik\cdot x} \quad ,x\equiv y-z\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The only remaining complication is the averaging process over $\hat{k}$, which is facilitated through utilization of the D dimensional partial wave expansion [4]

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-ik\cdot x} = \Gamma(\frac{D}{2}-1)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-i)^n\left(n+\frac{D}{2}-1\right)C_n^{(\frac{D}{2}-1)}\left(\hat{x}\cdot\hat{k}\right)\left[\frac{x^2k^2}{4}\right]^{n/2} \\ \times\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{k^2x^2}}\right)^{n+\frac{D}{2}-1}J_{n+\frac{D}{2}-1}\left(\sqrt{k^2x^2}\right) \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The RHS of (14) is then evaluated utilizing the orthogonality of the Gegenbauer polynomials and the $k^2=m^2$ mass-shell condition:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{6}\int d\hat{k}\sum_s \langle k,s|:\overline{\psi}^{\eta}(z)\psi^{\xi}(y):|k,s\rangle \\ &= \Gamma(D/2)2^{D/2-1}\frac{\delta^{\xi\eta}}{12m}(i\not{\partial}+m)\left[\frac{J_{D/2-1}\left(m\sqrt{x^2}\right)}{(m^2x^2)^{D/4-1/2}}\right] \qquad (16a) \\ &= \frac{\delta^{\xi\eta}}{6m^2}(i\not{\partial}+m)\left[\frac{J_1\left(m\sqrt{x^2}\right)}{\sqrt{x^2}}\right] \quad ,D=4 \quad . \qquad (16b)\end{aligned}$$

Equation (16b) is identical to the coordinate space representation for the two-quark non-local vev (4), apart from the $\langle\overline{q}q\rangle$ factor absorbed into the definition (9) of $\mathcal{C}\left(p^2\right)$, thereby demonstrating the equivalence of plane-wave and coordinate-space methods for evaluating the $\langle\overline{q}q\rangle$ OPE coefficient.

We now consider the connection between coordinate-space and moment methods considered. Moment techniques [6] begin with a separation of the full quark propagator $S(k)$ into a perturbative and non-perturbative portion.

$$S^{NP}(k) = S(k) - S^{P}(k) \tag{17}$$

To interpret this relation, consider the definition of the quantities on the RHS of the previous equation

$$iS^{NP}(k) = \int d^Dx\,e^{ik\cdot x}\langle\Omega|T\left(\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0)\right)|\Omega\rangle - \langle O|T\left(\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0)\right)|O\rangle \quad . \tag{18}$$

But the Wick expansion

$$T\left(\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0)\right) = \langle O|T\left(\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0)\right)|O\rangle + :\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0): \quad , \tag{19}$$

applied to the RHS of (18) for a normalized vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}iS^{NP}(k) &= \int d^Dx\,e^{ik\cdot x}\langle\Omega|:\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0):|\Omega\rangle \\ \langle\Omega|:\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0):|\Omega\rangle &= \int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}e^{-ik\cdot x}iS^{NP}(k) \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Moment methods [6] then relate the local condensate $\langle\overline{q}q\rangle$ to integrals of the non-perturbative propagator $S^{NP}(k)$ in a fashion similar to a gap equation:

$$\int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}i\mathrm{Tr}\left[S^{NP}(k)\right] = -\frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{12} \quad . \tag{21}$$

The Dirac structures of $S^{NP}(k)$ have moments which are related to the quark condensate. In particular, with the help of the $\not{k}=m$ equation of motion we see that

$$\begin{aligned}S^{NP}(k) &= \not{k}\tilde{A}(k^2)+\tilde{B}(k^2) \\ \int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}k^2\tilde{A}(k^2) &= \int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}m\tilde{B}(k^2) = -\frac{m\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{12} \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{22a}$$

Moreover, the $\langle\overline{q}q\rangle$ component of higher order moments is found by having each additional integrand factor of k^2 correspond to multiplying the RHS of (22a) by an extra factor of m^2:

$$\int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}\left(k^2\right)^{s+1}\tilde{A}(k^2) = \int\frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}m\left(k^2\right)^s\tilde{B}(k^2) = -\left(m^2\right)^s\frac{m\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{12} \quad . \tag{22b}$$

It is now straightforward to extract the non-local version of the two-quark vev by returning to (20):

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega| : T\left(\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0)\right) : |\Omega\rangle &= i\int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D}\, e^{-ik\cdot x}\not{k}\tilde{A}(k^2) + \tilde{B}(k^2) \\ &= i\not{\partial}\int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D}\, e^{-ik\cdot x}\tilde{A}(k^2) + i\int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D}\, e^{-ik\cdot x}\tilde{B}(k^2) \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The partial wave expansion (15) is then used to evaluate the angular integrals in (23), and we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega| : T\left(\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0)\right) : |\Omega\rangle = i\not{\partial}\int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D}\, \tilde{A}(k^2)\Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{k^2x^2}}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}-1} J_{\frac{D}{2}-1}\left(\sqrt{k^2x^2}\right) \\ + i\int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D}\, \tilde{B}(k^2)\Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{k^2x^2}}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}-1} J_{\frac{D}{2}-1}\left(\sqrt{k^2x^2}\right) \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

One can use the series representation of the Bessel functions in (24) in conjunction with (22b) in order to find the result

$$\langle\Omega| : T\left(\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0)\right) : |\Omega\rangle = -\frac{\Gamma(D/2)2^{D/2-1}}{12m}\langle\overline{q}q\rangle(i\not{\partial}+m)\left[\frac{J_{D/2-1}(m\sqrt{x^2})}{(m^2x^2)^{\frac{D}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}}\right] \quad , \tag{25}$$

identical to the coordinate-space expression (4).

Consequently we have demonstrated the equivalence of plane-wave, coordinate-space and moment methods for evaluating the $\langle\overline{q}q\rangle$ OPE coefficients. In the next section, a new analytic method for evaluating OPE coefficients to all orders in m will be applied to several examples.

3. Momentum Space Approach to Coordinate Space Methods

Scalar Current Correlation Function

Coordinate space methods generally involve calculating configuration space integra over each term in the series (1). In this section, we utilize the full functional form of t two-quark non-local vev (2) to obtain a momentum space integral for the lowest order $\langle\overline{q}$ contribution to the scalar current correlation function:

$$\Pi(p^2) \equiv i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\langle\Omega|T\left(j(x)j(0)\right)|\Omega\rangle \quad , j(x) \equiv \overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x) \quad . \tag{2}$$

The quark condensate component of $\Pi(p^2)$ is obtained by retaining a two-quark vev fro the Wick expansion of the correlation function

$$\Pi(p^2) = -2i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\langle O|T\left(\psi(0)\overline{\psi}(x)\right)|O\rangle\langle\Omega| : \psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0) : |\Omega\rangle\right] \quad . \tag{2}$$

Transformation to momentum space is now made using the following free-field expressio

$$\langle O|T\left(\psi(0)\overline{\psi}(x)\right)|O\rangle = i\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\, e^{iq\cdot x}\frac{\not{q}+m}{q^2-m^2+i\epsilon} \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega| : \psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0) : |\Omega\rangle &= -\frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{6m^2}(i\not{\partial}+m)\left[\frac{J_1(m\sqrt{x^2})}{\sqrt{x^2}}\right] \\ &\equiv \int d^4k\, e^{-ik\cdot x}(\not{k}+m)\mathcal{F}(k)\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

$$\int d^4k\, e^{-ik\cdot x}\mathcal{F}(k) \equiv -\frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{6m^2}\frac{J_1\left(m\sqrt{x^2}\right)}{\sqrt{x^2}} \tag{28}$$

The Feynman rule for the normal ordered term in (27) is given by (28b), and illustrated in Figure 1. Working directly from (27) and (28), or applying the Feynm rules for the diagram in Figure 2, the scalar current correlation function can now expressed as the momentum space integral after evaluating traces.

$$\Pi(p^2) = 24\int d^4k\, \left[m^2 - p\cdot k + k^2\right]\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(p-k)^2-m^2+i\epsilon} \tag{29}$$

An important property of the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(k)$ is its on-shell behaviour

$$\left(k^2-m^2\right)\mathcal{F}(k) = 0 \quad , \tag{29}$$

which follows from substituting both sides of (28b) into (3). Making use of this prope in the integrand of (29) we find that

$$\Pi(p^2) = 12\int d^4k\, \left[4m^2-p^2\right]\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{p^2-2p\cdot k+i\epsilon} + 12\int d^4k\, \mathcal{F}(k) \quad . \tag{3}$$

The second integral in (30) is determined by the $x \to 0$ limit of (28c):

$$\lim_{x\to 0} \int d^4k\, e^{-ik\cdot x}\mathcal{F}(k) = -\frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{6m^2} \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{J_1\left(m\sqrt{x^2}\right)}{x^2}$$
$$\int d^4k\, \mathcal{F}(k) = -\frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{12m} \quad . \tag{31}$$

Now consider exponentiating the propagator factor occurring in the first integral of (30):

$$\int d^4k \frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{p^2 - 2p\cdot k + i\epsilon} = -i \int d^4k\, \mathcal{F}(k) \int_0^\infty d\eta\, e^{i\eta(p^2 - 2p\cdot k + i\epsilon)} \quad . \tag{32}$$

The k integral can now be done using the definition (28c) of $\mathcal{F}(k)$:

$$\int d^4k \frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{p^2 - 2p\cdot k + i\epsilon} = \frac{i\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{12m^2\sqrt{p^2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\eta}{\eta} e^{i\eta p^2 - \epsilon\eta} J_1\left(2\eta m\sqrt{p^2}\right) \quad . \tag{33a}$$

This final integral is tabulated [18],

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha x} J_\nu(\beta x)\frac{dx}{x} = \frac{\left(\sqrt{\alpha^2+\beta^2} - \alpha\right)^\nu}{\nu\beta^\nu} \quad \mathrm{Re}\nu > 0;\ \mathrm{Re}\alpha > |\mathrm{Im}\beta| \quad , \tag{33b}$$

and when the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit is taken, the following result is obtained:

$$\int d^4k \frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{p^2 - 2p\cdot k + i\epsilon} = -\frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{6mp^2}\left[1 + \sqrt{1 - 4m^2/p^2}\right]^{-1} \quad . \tag{34}$$

The results of (31) and (34) are now substituted into (30). Thus the lowest-order quark condensate contribution to the scalar current correlation function for Euclidean momenta Q^2 is then found to be

$$\Pi(Q^2) = -\frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{m}\frac{(1-v)(1+2v)}{1+v} \quad , v \equiv \sqrt{1 + 4m^2/Q^2} \quad , \tag{35}$$

in agreement with previous work [13].

Vector Current Correlation Function

The vector current correlation function of light quarks

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) = i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\langle\Omega|T\left(j_\mu(x)j_\nu(0)\right)|\Omega\rangle \quad , j_\mu(x) \equiv \overline{\psi}(x)\gamma_\mu\psi(x) \tag{36}$$

is extremely important in applications because of the relation between its imaginary part and the ubiquitous quantity $R(s) = \sigma(e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons})/\sigma(e^+e^- \to \text{muons})$.

The leading quark condensate contribution to $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p)$ devolves from a two-quark vev in the Wick expansion.

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) = -2i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[\langle O|T\left(\psi(0)\overline{\psi}(x)\right)|O\rangle\gamma_\mu\langle\Omega| : \psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0) : |\Omega\rangle\gamma_\mu\right] \tag{37}$$

A momentum-space expression for $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ can be obtained using either (28) or by applying the Feynman rules to Figure 2.

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) = 2\int d^4k\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[(\not{k} - \not{p} + m)\gamma_\mu(\not{k} + m)\gamma_\nu\right]\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(k-p)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \tag{38}$$

The correlation function $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ must be transverse, as required by charge conservation. To see this explicitly, we contract $p^\mu p^\nu$ into the correlation function, and make liberal use of (29b) in order to find that

$$p^\mu p^\nu \Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) = 24\int d^4k\left[\left(m^2 - k^2\right)p^2 + 2(p\cdot k)^2 - p^2 p\cdot k\right]\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{p^2 - 2p\cdot k + k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$$
$$= -24\int d^4k\, p\cdot k\mathcal{F}(k) \quad . \tag{39}$$

We note from (28c) that

$$\int d^4k\, p\cdot k\mathcal{F}(k) = i\lim_{\xi\to 0}\frac{d}{d\xi}\int d^4k\, e^{-i\xi k\cdot p}\mathcal{F}(k)$$
$$= -i\frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{6m}\lim_{\xi\to 0}\frac{d}{d\xi}\frac{J_1\left(m\xi\sqrt{p^2}\right)}{m\xi\sqrt{p^2}} = 0 \quad , \tag{40}$$

thereby verifying the transversality of $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$. If we contract $g^{\mu\nu}$ into both sides of (38) we find, upon evaluating traces and imposing the on-shell constraint (29a), that

$$\Pi^\mu_\mu(p^2) = 6\int d^4k\left[16m^2 - 8\left(k^2 - p\cdot k\right)\right]\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(p-k)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$$
$$= 24\left(2m^2 + p^2\right)\int d^4k\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{p^2 - 2p\cdot k + i\epsilon} - 24\int d^4k\,\mathcal{F}(k) \quad . \tag{41}$$

The integrals appearing in (41) were evaluated in (34) and (31). Using the transversality of $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p)$, the result for the vector current correlation function

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{\langle\bar{q}q\rangle}{3m^3}\left[1+\left(1+\frac{2m^2}{p^2}\right)\sqrt{1-\frac{4m^2}{p^2}}\right](p_\mu p_\nu - p^2 g_{\mu\nu}) \quad , \tag{42}$$

is easily obtained. This result agrees with previous work using the coordinate-space series methods [9], and with plane-wave calculations [13].

Axial-Vector Current Correlation Function

The axial-vector current correlation function is of relevance to properties of the pion and their PCAC relations. The correlation function for axial currents is

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^A_{\mu\nu}(p) &= i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\langle\Omega|T\left(j^5_\mu(x)J^5_\nu(0)\right)|\Omega\rangle \\ j^5_\mu &\equiv \overline{\psi}(x)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\psi(x) \quad , \gamma_5^2 = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Following familiar procedures, a momentum-space expression for $\Pi^A_{\mu\nu}$ is obtained. Since the axial current is not conserved, the correlation function contains a longitudinal component

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^A_{\mu\nu}(p) &\equiv p_\mu p_\nu \Pi^A_L(p^2) + (p_\mu p_\nu - p^2 g_{\mu\nu})\,\Pi^A_T(p^2) \\ &= 2\int d^4k\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[(\not{k}-\not{p}+m)\gamma_\nu(\not{k}-m)\gamma_\mu\right]\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(p-k)^2-m^2+i\epsilon} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The longitudinal part Π^A_L of the correlation function is obtained by contracting (44) with $p^\mu p^\nu$, evaluating traces, and imposing the on-shell condition as before:

$$p^\mu p^\nu \Pi^A_{\mu\nu} = -48m^2p^2\int d^4k\,\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{p^2-2p\cdot k+i\epsilon} - 24\int d^4k\, p\cdot k\,\mathcal{F}(k) \quad . \tag{45}$$

Recalling the results of the integrals in (31) and (40) then finds the longitudinal part of $\Pi^A_{\mu\nu}$ to be

$$\Pi^A_L(p^2) = \frac{2m\langle\bar{q}q\rangle}{m^2p^2}\left[1-\sqrt{1-\frac{4m^2}{p^2}}\right] \quad . \tag{46}$$

The transverse component is found by contracting (44) with $g_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\Pi^{A\,\mu}_{\mu}(p) = p^2\Pi_L(p^2) - 3p^2\Pi_T(p^2) \tag{47a}$$

$$= 48\int d^4k\,\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{p^2-2p\cdot k+i\epsilon}\left(-3m^2+\frac{1}{2}p^2\right) - 24\int d^4k\,\mathcal{F}(k) \quad . \tag{47b}$$

Evaluating the integrals and solving for Π_T leads to the final result for $\Pi^A_{\mu\nu}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^A_{\mu\nu}(p) &= \frac{2m\langle\bar{q}q\rangle}{m^2p^2}\left[1-\sqrt{1-\frac{4m^2}{p^2}}\right]p_\mu p_\nu \\ &+ \frac{m\langle\bar{q}q\rangle}{3m^4}\left[1-\frac{6m^2}{p^2}-\left(1-\frac{4m^2}{p^2}\right)^{3/2}\right](p_\mu p_\nu - p^2 g_{\mu\nu}) \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

confirming earlier results [10,13] obtained through use of conventional coordinate-space a plane-wave techniques. (The relevant equation in [13] has an easily-identified typographic error.)

4. Equivalence of OPE Techniques for the Gluon Condensate

The equivalence of plane-wave, coordinate-space, and moment methods for the OP coefficient of the gluon condensate ($\langle\alpha_s G^2\rangle$) appears *a priori* unlikely because of the fixe point gauge employed for the non-local two-gluon vev in coordinate-space methods. T issue is further complicated by the possibility of operator mixing in the OPE, as illustrat by the ST identities for the gluon propagator [19]. Nevertheless, for the evaluation of t product of gauge invariant currents as in QCD sum-rule applications, then the restrict class of (gauge invariant) operators appearing in the OPE allows a demonstration of t equivalence between the three methods.

To demonstrate this equivalence, we first review plane-wave, coordinate space, a moment methods as applied to the gluon condensate. Consider the OPE of the produ of two (gauge invariant) scalar currents:

$$\begin{aligned} i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\,T\left(j(x)j(0)\right) &= \mathcal{I}(p^2) + \mathcal{C}(p^2)\,:G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu}: \\ &+ \mathcal{D}(p^2)\,p^\lambda p^\rho\left[:G^a_{\mu\lambda}G^a_{\mu\rho}: - \frac{1}{4}g^{\lambda\rho}:G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu}:\right] \\ &+ \textit{operators not leading to } \langle\alpha_s G^2\rangle \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

A non-trivial complication in (49) occurs when the (light) quark mass is taken be non-zero in order to deal with infrared problems. In this case, the $m = 0$ limit do not agree with the direct calculation with massless quarks because of an operator mixi between the quark and gluon condensates (at lowest order) when $m \neq 0$ [13]. The resoluti of this problem is closely related to the heavy quark expansion (the heavy quark expansi will be discussed in Section 5). For now, we will assume that all calculations of the glu condensate effects involve massless quarks.

The correlation function $\Pi(p^2)$ is formed by taking a vev using the non-perturbat vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$. Consequently, the gluonic condensate contributions to $\Pi(p^2)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(p^2) &= i\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\langle\Omega|T\left(j(x)j(0)\right)|\Omega\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{C}(p^2)\langle G^2\rangle + \mathcal{D}(p^2)\,p^\lambda p^\rho\left[\langle\Omega|:G^a_{\mu\lambda}G^a_{\mu\rho}:|\Omega\rangle - \frac{1}{4}g^{\lambda\rho}\langle G^2\rangle\right] \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\langle G^2\rangle \equiv \langle\Omega|:G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu}:|\Omega\rangle$. The process of vacuum averaging annihilates the te proportional to $\mathcal{D}(p^2)$

$$\langle\Omega|:G^a_{\mu\lambda}G^a_{\mu\rho}:|\Omega\rangle = \frac{1}{4}g_{\lambda\rho}\langle G^2\rangle \quad , \tag{5}$$

so that the gluon condensate contribution to $\Pi(p^2)$ is given entirely by $\mathcal{C}(p^2)$:

$$\Pi(p^2) = \mathcal{C}(p^2)\,\langle G^2\rangle + \textit{terms not leading to } \langle G^2\rangle \quad . \tag{52}$$

The plane-wave method is designed to extract the gluon condensate by forming a (connected) matrix element of the OPE with one-gluon states $|\epsilon, k\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\langle\epsilon, k|T\left(j(x)j(0)\right)|\epsilon, k\rangle &= 4\mathcal{C}(p^2)\,\left[\epsilon^2k^2-(\epsilon\cdot k)^2\right]+\\ &+4\mathcal{D}(p^2)\left[k^2(p\cdot\epsilon)^2-p\cdot kp\cdot\epsilon k\cdot\epsilon-\frac{1}{4}p^2\left(\epsilon^2k^2-(\epsilon\cdot k)^2\right)\right] \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

At this point, the origin of the operator mixing is clearly evident in the plane-wave approach, since when $m \neq 0$ the matrix element $\langle\epsilon, k| : \overline{\psi}\psi : |\epsilon, k\rangle$ is non-trivial, leading to the misidentification of gluon condensate effects as discussed in [13].

As with the quark condensate, the behaviour of the vacuum is simulated by averaging over the directions of the external momentum p

$$\int d\hat{p}\, p_\alpha p_\beta = \frac{1}{4}p^2 g_{\alpha\beta} \quad , \tag{54}$$

in which case the term proportional to $\mathcal{D}(p^2)$ is once again annihilated:

$$\int d\hat{p}\int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\langle\epsilon, k|T\left(j(x)j(0)\right)|\epsilon, k\rangle = 4\mathcal{C}(p^2)\,\left[\epsilon^2k^2-(\epsilon\cdot k)^2\right] \quad . \tag{55}$$

The invariant amplitude for the LHS of (55) is constrained by gauge invariance ($\epsilon_\alpha \to \epsilon_\alpha - k_\alpha$) to be transverse to the momentum k, resulting in two possible terms:

$$\begin{aligned}T_{\alpha\beta}(p,k) &\equiv \int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\langle\alpha, k|T\left(j(x)j(0)\right)|\beta, k\rangle\\ &= T^{(1)}(p,k)\left[k^2g_{\alpha\beta}-k_\alpha k_\beta\right]\\ &+T^{(2)}(p,k)\Big[k^2p_\alpha p_\beta-k\cdot pk_\alpha p_\beta-k\cdot pk_\beta p_\alpha+(k\cdot p)^2g_{\alpha\beta}\\ &\qquad-\frac{1}{2}p^2\left(k^2g_{\alpha\beta}-k_\alpha k_\beta\right)\Big] \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

The term proportional to $T^{(2)}$ is zero after averaging over p, leaving dependence only on $T^{(1)}$:

$$4\mathcal{C}(p^2)\,\left[\epsilon^2k^2-(\epsilon\cdot k)^2\right] = \epsilon^\alpha\epsilon^\beta\int d\hat{p}\,T_{\alpha\beta}(p,k) = T^{(1)}(p,0)\left(k^2g_{\alpha\beta}-k_\alpha k_\beta\right) \quad . \tag{57}$$

Thus in the plane-wave method, the OPE coefficient of the gluon condensate $\langle G^2\rangle$ is given by one of the components of the invariant amplitude evaluated at zero external gluon momenta,

$$\mathcal{C}(p^2) = \frac{1}{4}T^{(1)}(p,0) \quad . \tag{58}$$

As with the quark condensate, coordinate-space techniques for the gluon condensate involve a non-local vev originating in the Wick expansion of the time-ordered product of currents. The only non-local vev that can contribute to the gluon condensate contains two gluon fields $\langle\Omega| : A^a_\mu(x)A^b_\nu(y) : |\Omega\rangle$ [14]. Since this quantity is gauge dependent, it must be demonstrated that such gauge dependence does not affect the gluon condensate contributions to gauge invariant correlation functions. Furthermore, the equivalence between coordinate-space and plane-wave methods must be established.

First consider a covariant gauge representation of the two-gluon non-local vev [20]

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega| : A^a_\mu(x)A^b_\nu(y) : |\Omega\rangle &= \frac{\delta^{ab}}{N_c^2-1}\left[C(x-y)_\mu(x-y)_\nu+E(x-y)^2g_{\mu\nu}\right]\\ &+\textit{terms leading to higher dimension condensates} \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

The coefficients C and E are related to the dimension-four gluonic condensates.

$$C-2E = \frac{1}{24}\langle G^2\rangle \tag{60a}$$

$$5C+2E = -\frac{1}{4}\langle\Omega| : (\partial\cdot A)^2 : |\Omega\rangle \tag{60b}$$

The momentum space representation of (59) is

$$\langle\Omega| : A^a_\mu(x)A^a_\nu(y) : |\Omega\rangle = -\int d^4k\, e^{-ik\cdot(x-y)}\left[C\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\nu}+Eg_{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial k_\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\lambda}\right]\delta^4(k) \quad . \tag{61}$$

As with the quark condensate, the normal-ordered term can be identified with a Feynman rule as illustrated in Figure 3. Thus the contribution of this non-local vev from the Wick expansion of $\Pi(p^2)$ is related to the invariant amplitude

$$\Pi(p^2) = -\int d^4k\,\delta^4(k)\left[C\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\beta}+Eg_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial k_\lambda}\right]\frac{1}{2}T_{\alpha\beta}(p,k) \quad . \tag{62}$$

The symmetry factor of 1/2 originates in the double-counting when joining the external gluon lines in the amplitude. Using the expression (56) for $T_{\alpha\beta}(p,k)$ and performing the delta function integration leads to the following contribution to $\Pi(p^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(p^2) &= -\int d^4k\,\delta^{(4)}(k)\left(C\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\beta}+Eg_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial k_\lambda}\right)\Big[T^{(1)}(p,k)\left(k^2g_{\alpha\beta}-k_\alpha k_\beta\right)\\ &+T^{(2)}(p,k)\left(k^2p_\alpha p_\beta-k\cdot pk_\alpha p_\beta-k\cdot pk_\beta p_\alpha+(k\cdot p)^2g_{\alpha\beta}-\frac{1}{2}p^2\left(k^2g_{\alpha\beta}-k_\alpha k_\beta\right)\right)\Big]\\ &= 6(C-2E)T^{(1)}(p,0) \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Recalling the relations (60) between C, E and the gluon condensates leads to a coordinate-space determination of the gluon condensate component of the scalar current correlation function.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(p^2) &= \frac{1}{4}\langle G^2\rangle\, T^{(1)}(p,0) \\ \mathcal{C}(p^2) &= \frac{1}{4}T^{(1)}(p,0) \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

This result is identical to (58), demonstrating the equivalence of plane-wave and coordinate-space approaches for covariant gauge representations of the non-local two-gluon vev.

A similar procedure exists for fixed-point gauges. In this case the non-local vev violates translation invariance, and the connection with plane-wave methods requires distinct momenta for the external gluon lines. This requirement is similar to the modified non-zero momentum (NZI) plane-wave method [19]. The modified NZI plane-wave approach has proved to be useful in resolving questions of operator mixing and in analyzing the infrared finiteness of OPE coefficients.

In the fixed-point gauge, the gluon condensate component of the non-local two-gluon vev is

$$\langle\Omega| : A^a_\alpha(y)A^b_\beta(z) : |\Omega\rangle = \frac{1}{48}y^\rho z^\tau \left(\frac{\delta^{ab}}{N_c^2-1}\right)\left[g_{\rho\tau}g_{\alpha\beta} - g_{\rho\beta}g_{\alpha\tau}\right]\langle G^2\rangle \quad . \tag{65}$$

Since this vev is not translation invariant, its momentum-space version depends on two momenta:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\Omega| : A^a_\alpha(y)A^b_\beta(z) : |\Omega\rangle = -\frac{\langle G^2\rangle}{48}\int d^4k \int d^4\ell\, e^{-ik\cdot y}e^{-i\ell\cdot z} \\ \left(g_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_\lambda} - \frac{\partial}{\partial k^\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell^\alpha}\right)\delta^{(4)}(k)\delta^{(4)}(\ell) \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

The invariant amplitude is also modified by the presence of different momenta for the external gluon lines.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(p,k,\ell) &\equiv \int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x}\langle O|T\left(j(x)j(0)\right)|\alpha,k;\beta,\ell\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{T}^{(1)}(p,k,\ell)\left[-k\cdot\ell g_{\alpha\beta} + k_\beta\ell_\alpha\right] \\ &+ \mathcal{T}^{(2)}(p,k,\ell)\left[-k\cdot\ell p_\alpha p_\beta + p\cdot k\ell_\alpha p_\beta + p\cdot\ell k_\beta p_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\left(-k\cdot\ell g_{\alpha\beta} + k_\beta\ell_\alpha\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Gauge invariance is again satisfied by this amplitude since it is transverse with respect to k^α, ℓ^β. In the limit $\ell = -k$, the above expression must reduce to (56), implying that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(p,k,-k) &= T_{\alpha\beta}(p,k) \quad , \\ \mathcal{T}^{(1)}(p,k,-k) &= T^{(1)}(p,k) \quad , \\ \mathcal{T}^{(2)}(p,k,-k) &= T^{(2)}(p,k) \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Using the above results, the contribution of the fixed-point gauge non-local vev to t correlation function $\Pi(p^2)$ is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(p^2) = -\frac{\langle G^2\rangle}{48}\int d^4k \int d^4\ell\, \delta^{(4)}(k)\delta^{(4)}(\ell) \\ \left[g_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_\lambda} - \frac{\partial}{\partial k^\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell^\alpha}\right]\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(p,k,\ell) \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Using the expression (67) for the amplitude $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}$ and recalling (68) provides the final res for the gluon condensate component of $\Pi(p^2)$,

$$\Pi(p^2) = \frac{1}{4}\langle G^2\rangle T^{(1)}(p,0,0) = \frac{1}{4}\langle G^2\rangle T^{(1)}(p,0) \quad . \tag{7}$$

Comparison of (58), (64) and (70) reveals that coordinate-space and plane-wave met ods are equivalent for determining the gluon condensate OPE coefficient in correlati functions of gauge invariant currents. Furthermore, the coordinate-space techniques a independent of the gauge chosen for evaluating the non-local two-gluon vev. This lat point is obviously a concern when combining the non-local vev with perturbative covaria gauge gluon propagators .

As with the quark condensate, moment techniques for the gluon condensate ident integrals of the non-perturbative gluon propagator with the gluon condensate:

$$\begin{aligned} D^{NP}_{\mu\nu}(k) = D_{\mu\nu}(k) - D^{pert}_{\mu\nu}(k) = \left[g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2}\right]\tilde{D}(k^2) \\ i\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\,k^2\tilde{D}(k^2) = \frac{\langle G^2\rangle}{48} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Thus the relevant (gluon condensate) contribution to $\Pi(p^2)$ is related to the invaria amplitude

$$\Pi(p^2) = i\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\,4D^{NP}_{\alpha\beta}(k)\,T_{\alpha\beta}(p,k) \quad , \tag{7}$$

where the factor of 4 comes from a colour trace and a symmetry factor to prevent doul counting. Substituting for $D^{NP}_{\alpha\beta}(k)$ from (71), recalling that $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is transverse to t momentum k, and using the explicit form (56) for the invariant amplitude leads to t expression

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(p^2) &= i\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\,4\tilde{D}(k^2)T^\alpha_\alpha(p,k) \\ &= i\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\,4\tilde{D}(k^2)\left[3k^2T^{(1)}(p,k) + \left(-\frac{1}{2}p^2k^2 + 2(p\cdot k)^2\right)T^{(2)}(p,k)\right] \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The angular integration annihilates the term containing $T^{(2)}$, and the the relation (7 between the moments and $\langle G^2\rangle$ gives a final result

$$\Pi(p^2) = \frac{1}{4}\langle G^2\rangle T^{(1)}(p,0) \tag{7}$$

identical to the previous methods. This completes our demonstration of the equivalence of the plane-wave, coordinate-space and moment methods for evaluating the OPE coefficient of the gluon condensate. As mentioned earlier, it has been assumed that massless quarks have been used in all calculations for the gluon condensate (see [13] for details on dealing with the case when $m \neq 0$).

5. Aspects of Gauge Dependence: The Quark Propagator

An important element of the analysis of Section 4 is the nature of the OPE. For products of gauge invariant currents, the OPE can only obtain gauge invariant, equation of motion, or BRS variation operators. To lowest order in the gluon condensate only the gauge invariant operators contribute to the correlation functions, in which case the problems associated with operator mixing and renormalization of composite operators do not occur. However, for gauge dependent correlation functions there is no restriction on the operators appearing in the OPE and hence the various approaches to evaluating the gluon condensate contribution will differ. An example of such gauge dependence is provided by the quark propagator.

An unresolved issue in the literature is the gluon condensate contributions to the quark propagator, where the results of plane-wave [11] and fixed-point coordinate space techniques [5,11,14] disagree. It is essential that such dependence disappear from calculations of (gauge invariant) physical quantities. We show below that when the different expressions for the quark propagator are used in the heavy quark expansion for the quark condensate, all remnants of gauge dependence cancel, and standard results [2,21] are obtained.

First consider the plane-wave and fixed-point results for the $\langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle$ portion of the heavy quark self-energy $\Sigma(p)$:

$$\Sigma(p) = \frac{\pi m \not{p}(\not{p} - m)}{3\,(p^2 - m^2)^3} \langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle \quad (\text{fixed} - \text{point}) \quad ; \tag{75a}$$

$$\Sigma(p) = \frac{\pi \left[(p^2 - 3m^2)\not{p} + 4m^3 \right]}{9\,(p^2 - m^2)^3} \langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle \quad (\text{plane} - \text{wave}) \quad . \tag{75b}$$

The parameter m is the heavy quark mass, and the self-energy is related to the quark propagator $\Delta S(p)$ in the usual fashion:

$$i\Delta S(p) \equiv \int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x} \langle \Omega | T\left(\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(0)\right) | \Omega \rangle = (\not{p} + m)\Sigma(p)(\not{p} + m) \frac{i}{(p^2 - m^2)^2} \quad . \tag{76}$$

We will illustrate the gluonic condensate contributions to the quark propagator in the covariant gauge. The term from the Wick expansion that contains the non-local gluon vev is

$$i\Delta S(p) = -\frac{g^2}{4} \int d^4x\, e^{ip\cdot x} \int d^4y \int d^4z\, \langle O | T\left(\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(y)\right) | O \rangle \gamma^\mu \lambda^a \langle \Omega | : A^a_\mu(x) A^b_\nu(y) : | \Omega \rangle$$
$$\langle O | T\left(\psi(y)\overline{\psi}(z)\right) | O \rangle \gamma^\nu \lambda^b \langle O | T\left(\psi(z)\overline{\psi}(0)\right) | O \rangle \quad , \tag{77}$$

as illustrated in Figure 4 (the Feynman rule for the normal-ordered product is shown in (61) and Figure 3). The covariant gauge expression (59) for the non-local gluon vev leads, upon converting to momentum space, to the self-energy

$$\Sigma(p) = -\frac{g^2}{6} \gamma^\mu \left[C \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\nu} + E g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\tau} \right] \frac{\not{p} + m}{p^2 - m^2} \gamma^\nu \quad . \tag{78}$$

Evaluating the derivatives, and recalling the definitions of C, E from (60) leads to the covariant gauge result for the gluonic condensate portion of the heavy-quark self-energy

$$\Sigma(p) = \frac{\pi m^3}{3\,(p^2 - m^2)^3} \alpha_s \langle \Omega | : (\partial \cdot A)^2 : | \Omega \rangle + \frac{\pi \left[(p^2 - 3m^2)\not{p} + 3m^3 \right]}{9\,(p^2 - m^2)^3} \langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle \quad . \tag{79}$$

The explicit appearance of the $(\partial \cdot A)^2$ operator is a consequence of the OPE for a gauge dependent current.

Clearly the gluon condensate component of the quark self-energy is gauge dependent. However, when calculating a gauge invariant quantity such as the heavy-quark condensate, such gauge dependence must cancel. The connection with the heavy quark expansion for the quark condensate $\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle$ is made through the gluonic contributions to the self-energy:

$$-\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle \equiv \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \mathrm{Tr}\left[i\,\Delta S(p) \right] \quad . \tag{80}$$

Substituting the different versions of the self-energy leads to plane-wave (pw), fixed-point (fp), and covariant gauge (cg) expressions for the heavy quark condensate:

$$-\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle_{\mathrm{pw}} = i\frac{12}{9}\pi \langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{4m^3(p^2+m^2) + 2mp^2(p^2-3m^2)}{(p^2 - m^2)^5} \tag{81a}$$

$$-\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle_{\mathrm{fp}} = i4\pi m \langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{p^2}{(p^2 - m^2)^4} \tag{81b}$$

$$-\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle_{\mathrm{cg}} = i4\pi\alpha_s m^3 \langle \Omega | : (\partial \cdot A)^2 | \Omega \rangle \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{p^2 + m^2}{(p^2 - m^2)^5}$$
$$+ i\frac{4}{3}\pi m \langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{2p^4 - 3m^2p^2 + 3m^4}{(p^2 - m^2)^5} \quad . \tag{81c}$$

All the momentum integrals are finite, and can be calculated using the integral [4]

$$\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(k^2)^\alpha}{(k^2 - m^2)^\beta} = \frac{i}{16\pi^2} \left(-m^2\right)^{\alpha - \beta + 2} \frac{\Gamma(2+\alpha)\Gamma(\beta - \alpha - 2)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(2)} \quad . \tag{82}$$

The final (standard [2,21]) result for the heavy quark expansion contains only gauge invariant operators (i.e. the coefficient of $\langle \Omega | : (\partial \cdot A)^2 : | \Omega \rangle$ is zero), and as expected, is independent of the gauge used to evaluate the quark self-energy:

$$-m\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle = \frac{\langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle}{12\pi} \quad . \tag{83}$$

This is an explicit illustration of the equivalence of plane-wave and coordinate space methods when a gauge dependent correlation function is used as an intermediate stage in the calculation of a gauge-invariant quantity.

Another example of an apparent discrepancy between plane-wave and coordinate-space methods is the (light) quark condensate contribution to the quark self-energy. The difference between these methods occurs for physical momenta where the plane-wave (pw) technique observes a "freeze-out" below $p^2 = m^2$ [11] that is inaccessible in the explicit m^2/p^2 coordinate-space (cs) series.

$$\Sigma(p)_{\rm cs} = \frac{g^2}{9p^2}\langle\overline{q}q\rangle\left[(3+a) - a\frac{m\not{p}}{p^2}\right] \tag{84a}$$

$$\Sigma(p)_{\rm pw} = \frac{g^2}{9p^2}\langle\overline{q}q\rangle\left[(3+a) - a\frac{m\not{p}}{p^2}\right]\theta\left(p^2-m^2\right) + \frac{g^2}{9m^2}\langle\overline{q}q\rangle\left[(3+a) - a\frac{\not{p}}{m}\right]\theta\left(m^2-p^2\right) \tag{84b}$$

The coordinate space series approach, leading to a series in powers of m^2/p^2, is insensitive to the non-analytic freeze-out. We show below, however, that the improved technique for the coordinate-space approach developed in Section 3 yields the same freeze-out as observed via plane-wave methods.

The relevant term in the Wick expansion of the quark propagator containing the two-quark vev is

$$i\,\Delta S(p) = \frac{g^2}{4}\int d^4x\, d^4y\, d^4z\, e^{ip\cdot x}\langle O|T\left(\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(y)\right)|O\rangle\gamma^\mu\lambda^a\langle\Omega|:\overline{\psi}(z)\psi(y):|\Omega\rangle\gamma^\nu\lambda^b \langle O|T\left(A^a_\mu(y)A^b_\nu(z)\right)|O\rangle\,\langle O|T\left(\psi(z)\overline{\psi}(0)\right)|O\rangle \quad , \tag{85}$$

as illustrated in Figure 5. Converting (85) to momentum space (via (28) or through use of the Feynman rules) yields the following quark self-energy

$$\Sigma(p) = \frac{4}{3}g^2\int d^4k\,\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(p-k)^2}\gamma_\mu(\not{k}+m)\gamma_\nu\left(-g^{\mu\nu} + (1-a)\frac{(p-k)^\mu(p-k)^\nu}{(p-k)^2}\right) \quad . \tag{86}$$

The self-energy is now expressed in terms of its distinct Dirac structures

$$\Sigma(p) = A(p^2)\not{p} + B(p^2) \quad , \tag{87a}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\Sigma(p)\right) = 4\,B(p^2) \quad , \tag{87b}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\not{p}\Sigma(p)\right) = 4p^2\,A(p^2) \quad . \tag{87c}$$

The functions $A(p^2)$ and $B(p^2)$ are found from (86) to be

$$B(p^2) = -\frac{4}{3}(3+a)mg^2\int d^4k\,\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(p-k)^2} \tag{88a}$$

$$A(p^2) = \frac{4g^2}{3p^2}\int d^4k\,\mathcal{F}(k)\frac{2p\cdot k}{(p-k)^2} + \frac{4g^2}{3p^2}(1-a)\int d^4k\,\left[\left(p^2+m^2\right)p\cdot k - 2p^2m^2\right]\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(p-k)^4} \quad . \tag{88b}$$

The integral determining $B(p^2)$ is evaluated by exponentiating the denominator and utilizing (29b) in the integrand of (88a). After evaluating the k integral

$$\int d^4k\,\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(p-k)^2} = \int d^4k\,\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{p^2-2p\cdot k+m^2} = \frac{i\,\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{12m^2\sqrt{p^2}}\int_0^\infty\frac{d\eta}{\eta}\,e^{i\eta\left(p^2+m^2+i\epsilon\right)}\,J_1\left(2m\eta\sqrt{p^2}\right) \quad , \tag{89a}$$

the remaining integral is tabulated [18] (see (33b)). Carefully taking the $\epsilon \to 0^+$ limit in the tabulated integral leads to a result containing a freeze-out for physical momenta,

$$\int d^4k\,\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(p-k)^2} = -\frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{12mp^2}\,\theta\left(p^2-m^2\right) - \frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{12m^3}\,\theta\left(m^2-p^2\right) \quad . \tag{90}$$

Using (90), the result for $B(p^2)$ in the physical momentum region is

$$B(p^2) = \frac{g^2}{9}(3+a)\langle\overline{q}q\rangle\left[\frac{1}{p^2}\theta\left(p^2-m^2\right) + \frac{1}{m^2}\theta\left(m^2-p^2\right)\right] \quad . \tag{91}$$

Now consider evaluating the integrals determining $A(p^2)$. The first integral in (88b) is simple to evaluate using (90) and (31):

$$\int d^4k\,\mathcal{F}(k)\frac{2p\cdot k}{(p-k)^2} = \int d^4k\,\mathcal{F}(k)\frac{p^2+m^2}{p^2+m^2-2p\cdot k} - \int d^4k\,\mathcal{F}(k) = \frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{12m}\left\{1-\left(p^2+m^2\right)\left[\frac{1}{p^2}\theta\left(p^2-m^2\right) + \frac{1}{m^2}\theta\left(m^2-p^2\right)\right]\right\} \quad . \tag{92}$$

The second integral of (88b) can be expressed as a linear combination of (90) and new integral:

$$\begin{aligned}\int d^4k\,\mathcal{F}(k)\frac{\left(p^2+m^2\right)p\cdot k - 2p^2m^2}{\left(p^2+m^2-2p\cdot k\right)^2} &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(p^2+m^2\right)\int d^4k\,\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(p-k)^2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(p^2-m^2\right)^2\int d^4k\,\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(p-k)^4} \\ &= \frac{p^2+m^2}{24m}\langle\overline{q}q\rangle\left[\frac{1}{p^2}\theta\left(p^2-m^2\right) + \frac{1}{m^2}\theta\left(m^2-p^2\right)\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(p^2-m^2\right)^2\int d^4k\,\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(p-k)^4} \quad .\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

The final integral in (93) is evaluated by exponentiating the denominator, using the on-shell constraint, and then performing the k integral:

$$\int d^4k\,\frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{\left(p^2+m^2-2p\cdot k\right)^2} = -\int d^4k\int_0^\infty d\eta\,\eta e^{i\eta\left(p^2+m^2-2p\cdot k+i\epsilon\right)}\mathcal{F}(k) = \frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{12m^2\sqrt{p^2}}\int_0^\infty d\eta\,e^{i\eta\left(p^2+m^2+i\epsilon\right)}J_1\left(2m\eta\sqrt{p^2}\right) \quad . \tag{94a}$$

The remaining integral is tabulated [18],

$$\int_0^\infty dx\, e^{-\alpha x} J_\nu(\beta x) = \frac{\left(\sqrt{\alpha^2+\beta^2}-\alpha\right)^\nu}{\beta^\nu\sqrt{\alpha^2+\beta^2}} \quad , \quad \mathrm{Re}\nu > -1;\ \mathrm{Re}(\alpha \pm i\beta) > 0 \quad , \tag{94b}$$

and after carefully evaluating the $\epsilon \to 0^+$ limit a freeze-out is again observed:

$$\int d^4k \frac{\mathcal{F}(k)}{(p^2+m^2-2p\cdot k)^2} = \frac{\langle\bar{q}q\rangle}{24m^3p^2}\left[1 - \frac{p^2+m^2}{p^2-m^2}\theta\left(p^2-m^2\right) - \frac{p^2+m^2}{m^2-p^2}\theta\left(m^2-p^2\right)\right] \quad . \tag{95}$$

Substituting (92), (93) and (95) back into (88b) leads to

$$A(p^2) = -\frac{g^2}{9}am\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\left[\frac{1}{p^4}\theta\left(p^2-m^2\right) + \frac{1}{m^4}\theta\left(m^2-p^2\right)\right] \quad , \tag{96}$$

in which case, the quark condensate component of the light-quark self-energy,

$$\Sigma(p) = \frac{g^2}{9p^2}\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\left[(3+a) - a\frac{m\not{p}}{p^2}\right]\theta\left(p^2-m^2\right) + \frac{g^2}{9m^2}\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\left[(3+a) - a\frac{\not{p}}{m}\right]\theta\left(m^2-p^2\right) \quad , \tag{97}$$

is found to be in agreement with the plane-wave result [11].

Thus the improved method developed in section 3 for the coordinate-space approach is identical to the plane-wave result, leading to a freeze-out in the quark self-energy at the physical momentum point $p^2 = m^2$.

Conclusions

The equivalence of plane-wave, coordinate space and moment methods has been demonstrated for the determination of the $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle$ and $\langle\alpha_s G^2\rangle$ coefficients in the OPE of gauge invariant currents. An important conclusion of this analysis is that the fixed point gauge commonly employed in coordinate space applications to the gluon condensate does not affect the result of the OPE for gauge invariant currents.

Sources of disagreement exist between the various OPE techniques only when gauge dependent quantities are considered. In section 5, however, such gauge dependence is shown not to affect the calculation of a gauge invariant quantity such as the heavy quark expansion relating the heavy-quark and gluon condensates.

A new approach for evaluating quark condensate effects to all orders in the quark mass has been developed in section 3 and applied to products of gauge invariant currents of physical significance. It is hoped that this new technique will be of use in future calculations.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the financial support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (T.G.S. and V.E.), the Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung and the CISYC (project # AEN 90-0019) (E.B.). V.E. and M.R.A. are grateful for discussions with R.R. Mendel.

References

[1] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B147** (1979) 385, 448.

[2] L.J. Reinders, H. Rubenstein, S. Yazaki, Phys. Rep. **C127** (1985) 1.

[3] S. Narison, *QCD Spectral Sum Rules*, World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics v. 26 (1989).

[4] P. Pascual, R. Tarrach, *QCD: Renormalization for the Practitioner*, Springer-Verlag LNP 194, 1984.

[5] V. Elias, Can. J. Phys. **64** (1986) 595.

[6] M.J. Lavelle, M. Schaden, Phys. Lett. **208B** (1988) 297.

[7] K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. **179** (1969) 1499.

[8] V.A. Fock, Phys. Z. Sowjetunion **12** (1937) 404;
J. Schwinger, *Particles and Fields*, Addison-Wesley, New York, 1970;
C. Cronstroem, Phys. Lett. **90B** (1980) 267;
M.A. Shifman, Nucl. Phys. **B173** (1980) 13.

[9] T.G. Steele, Z. Phys. **C42** (1989) 499;
F.J. Yndurain, Z. Phys. **C42** (1989) 653; erratum **C44** (1989) 356.

[10] V. Elias, J.L. Murison, T.G. Steele, M.D. Scadron, University of Western Ontario Preprint, 1991.

[11] L.J. Reinders, K. Stam, Phys. Lett. **180B** (1986) 125.

[12] R.A. Bertlmann, G. Launer, E. de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. **B250** (1985) 61;
J. Bordes, V. Gimenez, J.A. Peñarrocha, Phys. Lett. **223B** (1989) 251.

[13] E. Bagan, J.I. Latorre, P. Pascual, Z. Phys. **C32** (1986) 43.

[14] V. Elias, T.G. Steele, M.D. Scadron, Phys. Rev. **D38** (1988) 1584.

[15] S.G. Gorishny, Nucl. Phys. **B319** (1989) 633.

[16] E. Bagan, T.G. Steele, Phys. Lett. **234B** (1990) 135.

[17] J. Collins, *Renormalization*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.

[18] I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1980. See equations 6.623.3 and 6.611.1.

[19] E. Bagan, T.G. Steele, Phys. Lett. **226B** (1989) 142.

[20] E. Bagan, T.G. Steele, Phys. Lett. **219B** (1989) 497.

[21] S.C. Generalis, D.J. Broadhurst, Phys. Lett. **139B** (1984) 85;
E. Bagan, J.I. Latorre, P. Pascual, R. Tarrach, Nucl. Phys. **B25** (1985) 555.

Figure Captions

Figure 1: The momentum-space Feynman rule for the vacuum expectation value normal-ordered quark fields, as in the integrand of equation (28b).

Figure 2: The Feynman diagram for (lowest-order) quark condensate contributions two-current correlation functions.

Figure 3: The momentum-space Feynman rule for the vacuum expectation value normal-ordered gluon fields. corresponding to the integrand of equation (61) in the lim $y - x \to 0$.

Figure 4: The Feynman diagram for the (lowest-order) gluon condensate contributio to the quark propagator.

Figure 5: The Feynman diagram for (lowest-order) quark condensate contributions the quark propagator.

Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4



Fig. 5

Fermilab Library
0 1160 0021741 8

UAB-FT-286-92

UAB – FT – 286/92
May 92

Production of charged Higgs at the ep collider LEP-LHC

J.L. Diaz-Cruz† and O.A. Sampayo

Grup de Fisica teorica,
Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona,
08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona) Spain.

ABSTRACT

We study the production of the charged Higgs boson (H^-) at the future ep collider LEP-LHC, through the reactions of photoproduction ($\gamma + b \to H^- + t$) and W-mediated ($e^- + b \to \nu + H^- + b$), and compare with the production of H^- through the decay $\bar{t} \to H^- + \bar{b}$. We find that the mechanism of photoproduction dominates for the mass range $m_{H^\pm} > m_t - m_b$, whereas for $m_{H^+} < m_t - m_b$ the decay $t \to H^+ + b$ is the main source of charged Higgs bosons.

† Permanent address: Dpto. de Fisica Cinvestav-IPN, Mexico.

FERMILAB
JAN 27 1993
LIBRARY

The study of the Higgs sector remmains a crucial issue in order to test the standard model (SM) [1]. The SM predicts only one neutral Higgs boson, however, in several extensions, like the two-Higgs doublet model (including the SUSY case) or in technicolour theories (TC), the scalar spectrum contains also a charged Higgs ($H^\pm$), whose detection would be a clear signal of new physics.

Current data at LEPI excludes charged Higgs masses up to about 45 GeV [2], whereas LEPII will extend the limits to about 90 GeV. On the other hand, at the future hadron colliders it will be possible to search for a charged Higgs with a mass within the kinematical reach of $t \to bH^\pm$, namely $m_t > m_{H^\pm} + m_b$, where the decay $H^\pm \to \tau\nu$ is usefull [3]. However, no mechanism is known to detect heavier $H^\pm$ at the future hadron colliders. The main difficulty arises because of the lack of a clear signature, since the one coming from the dominant Higgs decay into the heaviest available quark doublet, is well bellow the QCD backgrounds, although in ref. [3] it is claimed that a good b/t separation could help in order to allow detection of $H^\pm$. On the other hand, the signature from the decay $H^\pm \to W^\pm + h^0$ could be usefull too, provided that the decay branching ratio is reasonable large.

Another possibility for producing charged Higgses is at the proposed LEPI/LEPII-LHC ep collider, where its detection could be more feasible if one considers that the backgrounds are easier to handle. Thus, it is important to find out if some mechanism could produce a significan number of charged Higgs bosons at LEP-LHC.

The decay $t \to b + H^+$, which is usefull at Hadron colliders should allow the detection of $H^\pm$ at ep colliders too. According to ref. [4], the cross-section to produce a single-top at LEPI-LHC is about 2 pb for $m_t = 200$ GeV (which is the largest top mass allowed by radiative corrections); and this gives about 2000 top quarks with the planned integrated luminosity of $10^3\ pb^{-1}$. Then, it is possible to produce 10 events with $H^\pm$ even for $BR(t \to b + H^\pm) = 0.005$, which is obtained for $m_{H^\pm}$ up to 192 GeV and with $tan\beta = 1$.* Thus, it seems possible to exclude H^- with a mass very close to the threshold of the decay $t \to b + H^+$. However, a detailed simulation will be required in order to know the largest mass that can be searched with this mechanism, for a given value of m_t.

* We shall use the formulae for decay widths involving the charged Higgs boson summarized in ref [3], which we have verified in [5].

Now, we turn our atention to the productio of $H^{\pm}$ in the mass range $m_{H^{\pm}} > m_t + m_b$. In this letter we shall study the production of a charged higgs boson through the following reactions,

$$e + p \to X + \gamma + b \to H^- + t + X, \tag{1}$$

$$e + p \to X + e^- + b \to \nu + H^- + b + X. \tag{2}$$

We shall treat the photon and the b-quark as partons within the colliding electron and proton, respectively. The production of H^- via photoproduction was studied at HERA in ref. [6] using the reaction of photon-gluon fusion, which is more complicated than (1) to evaluate. However, both methods give similar results. On the other hand, the reaction $e + b \to \nu + H^- + b + X$ has been studied before [7]. However, both of these previous studies were done only for light charged Higgs and top, whereas we are interested in the case of a heavy $H^{\pm}$.

The Feynman graphs for reactions (1) and (2), at the parton level, are shown in Fig. 1-a and 1-b, respectively. The amplitude for these reactions can be written using the relevant Feynman rules summarized in ref. [3]. We shall not present the explicit expression for the amplitude since its form is not particularly illuminating. The cross-section for ep is obtained by convoluting the result for the partonic cross-section with the photon and b-quark distribution. We shall use the Wizacker-Williams aproximation for the photon distribution, whereas for the b-quark distribution, we shall use the hadronic structure functions of EHLQ [9].

Our results for the cross section corresponding to reaction (1) at LEPI-LHC are shown in figs. 2, as a function of $m_{H^{\pm}}$, and for $m_t = 120, 150$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 1$. Whereas the results corresponding to LEPII-LHC are shown in fig. 3. On the other hand. the results corresponding to reaction (2) for LEPII-LHC are shown in fig. 4. The results for LEPI-LHC are even smaller and will not be displayed here. We can see that the photoproduction mechanism dominates the W-mediated reaction.

Now, in order to know if these cross-sections could be usefull, one must include the decays of $H^{\pm}$. For the mass range considered here, the dominat decay is $H^+ \to t + \bar{b}$, with $BR \simeq 1$. Then, for $m_t = 120$ GeV, $tan\beta = 1$ and $m_{H^{\pm}} = 150$ GeV, we find a cross-section of $8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ pb from the mechanism of photoproduction at LEPI-LHC, whereas the W-mediated reaction gives a cross-section of about 10^{-3} pb, at LEPI-LHC too. Thus, the total number of charged Higgs that can be produced is about 9,

which seems to lay on the verge of being detectable. For larger charged Higgs masses, the total cross-section decreasses even more; for instance we find that the number of events is less than 2 for $m_{H^{\pm}} > 225$ GeV.

On the other hand, if we consider the High energy option of LEPII-LHC, we find that the cross-section is almost one order of magnitud larger, which could allow the detection of a charged Higgs with larger masses. For example, if we take $m_t = 150$, $tan\beta = 1$ and $m_{H^{\pm}} = 200$, the cross-section is 0.01 pb. Unfortunately, since the planned yearly integrated luminosity is of 100 pb^{-1}, it will require several years of running in order to get a sufficient number of events to find or exclude the elusive charged Higgs bosons. Thus, the chances to detect a heavy $H^{\pm}$ at LEPII-LHC are not much better than at LEPI-LHC.

On the other hand, although the decay modes of $H^{\pm}$ does not seems to be an insanourtable obstacle at ep-colliders, we mention that other type of signatures could arise from the rare decays of $H^{\pm}$. However, in models with elementary scalars the most interesting rare decays, like $H^{\pm} \to W^{\pm} + \gamma/Z$, are found to have very small branching ratios, whereas in TC models the BR for the rare decays are predicted to have larger values [8], but not at the level of being detectable.

In summary, we find that the mechanisms to produce $H^{\pm}$ studied here, give small cross-sections for $m_{H^{\pm}} > m_t + m_b$, which could be used to detect charged Higgs only for an small window in such mass range, namely up to about 160 GeV for $m_t = 150$ GeV. However, this range could be extended up to about 250 GeV if the high-energy option LEPII-LHC were provided with a luminosity of 10^3 pb^{-1}.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the Theory Group at U.A. de Barcelona for their hospitality. J.L. D-C aknwledeges the finantial support from ICI (SPAIN) and CONACYT (MEXICO). We also thank W. Stirling and D. Summers for enlighting comments.

REFERENCES

1. S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19,1264 (1967); A. Salam in Elementary Particle Theory, ed. N. Southolm (Almquist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1968), p. 367.
2. ALEPH Collaboration, D. decamp et al., Phys. Lett. B246 (1990) 306.
3. For a review, see J.F. Gunion, H.E. Haber, G. Kane and S. Dawson, Higgs Hunter's Guide (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1990.
4. E. Reya et al., Proc. Large Hadron Collider Workshop, vol.II, Eds. G. Jarlskog, D. Reun, CERN 90-10.
5. J.L. Diaz-Cruz, Nucl. Phys. B358 (1991) 17.
6. B. Grzadokowski and W.-S. Hou, Phys. Lett. B210 (1988) 233.
7. T. Han and H.C. Liu, Z. Phys. C28 (1985) 295.
8. J. Gunion, G.L. Kane and J. Wudka, Nucl. Phys. B222 (1989) 223; J.L. Diaz-Cruz and M.A. Perez, Phys. Rev. D33 (1986) 273.
9. E. Eichten et al. , Rev. Mod. Phys. 54 (1984) 223.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. Feynman graphs for the production of a Charged Higgs boson at ep colliders. 1-a: Mechanism of photoproduction, 1-b: W-mediated reaction.
2. Cross- section for the photo-production of $H^{\pm}$ at LEPI-LHC (solid: $m_t = 120$ GeV, dashes: $m_t = 150$ GeV).
3. Cross- section for the photo-production of $H^{\pm}$ AT LEPII-LHC (solid: $m_t = 120$ GeV, dashes: $m_t = 150$ GeV, dots: $m_t = 200$ GeV.)
4. Cross- section for the production of $H^{\pm}$ AT LEPII-LHC via W-mediated reaction (solid: $m_t = 150$ GeV, dashes: $m_t = 200$ GeV).



Fig. 1-a



Fig. 1-b


cross section [pb]
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
10^{-3}
10^{-4}
125
150
175
200
225
250
m_{H^-} [GeV]

FIG. 2


cross section [pb]
0.100
0.050
0.010
0.005
0.001
125
150
175
200
225
250
m_{H^-} [GeV]

FIG. 3



FIG. 4