Fermilab Library
0 1160 0022031 3

TU-427

Tohoku University

TU-427
Nisho-22
January 1993

Meissner Effect in Quantum Hall-State Josephson Junction

Z. F. EZAWA

Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai, 980 Japan

and

A. IWAZAKI

Department of Physics, Nishogakusha University

Ohi 2590, Shonan-machi, 277 Japan

ABSTRACT

The Meissner effect is analyzed in a Josephson junction predicted recently in a certain double-layer quantum Hall system. Due to the Josephson current the magnetic field parallel to the layers is squeezed into a Sine-Gordon vortex with the flux quantized in the unit of $2\pi/e$. It is a manifestation of superfluidity of the Hall liquid with the condensation of bosonized electrons with charge $-e$. A distinctive feature of the vortex is the appearence of an electric potential well perpendicular to the magnetic field within the layers.

FERMILAB
FEB 05 1993
LIBRARY

The fractional quantum Hall (QH) effect is a remarkable property intrinsic to the planar electron system [1] . Recent experiments have shown [2] that the double-layer electron system possesses even- as well as odd-denominator QH states, which proves that the intrinsic nature of planar electrons is not lost even in the double-layer system. A Chern- Simons (CS) gauge theory of these QH states has been presented [3,4] , which successfully accounts for various aspects of the phenomena. Since it is formulated in terms of bosonized electrons (electrons bound to flux), the Landau-Ginzburg theory is derived quite easily from it, which agrees with those [5-8] proposed previously. This microscopic formulation predicts [4,9] that a certain double-layer QH system acts as if a Josephson junction when the filling factor takes an odd denominator; $\nu = 1/m$ with m odd integers. (See also a heuristic work [10] in which use is made of instantons to drive the Josephson effect effectively.) This would be the first example where the Josephson effect is predicted in a system of semiconductors. The Josephson effect occurs due to coherent interlayer tunnelling of the condensed bosonized electrons just like the Cooper pair tunnelling in the superconductor Josephson junction. Since the bosonized electron carries the charge $-e$ as the electron does, the unit charge e appears instead of $2e$ of the Cooper pair in various formulas in the Josephson effect to be observed in the double-layer QH system. Such a condensation is only allowed in a planar system where the statistics transmutation [11] is possible.

The aim of this paper is to analyze whether a kind of the Meissner effect occurs in the QH-state Josephson junction. We show that the magnetic field B_P parallel to the layers is squeezed into a vortex just as is in the superconductor Josephson junction. This is hardly expected because the QH system consists of semiconductors and not of superconductors. We also reveal some new features of the vortex peculiar to the QH-state Josephson junction. They are the existence of an electric potential well with the size of the vortex and of an electric current parallel to the magnetic field B_P within the layers, which are absent in the superconductor Josephson junction.

Let us recapitulate the CS theory of a double-layer electron system, whose detail is found in Ref. [4,12] . In this approach electrons belonging to two different layers

are interpreted as two distinguishable anyons with appropriate statistics. Thus, we represent electrons in terms of boson field ψ_α with the aid of the CS gauge field a_k^β together with the coupling constants $K^{\alpha\beta}$ called statistics parameters, where α and β are the layer indices: $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$. The CS gauge fields are subject to the constraint equations:

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\partial_i a_j^\alpha = 2\pi \sum_\beta K^{\alpha\beta}\psi_\beta^\dagger\psi_\beta, \tag{1}$$

where $K^{\alpha\beta} = K^{\beta\alpha} =$ integer. They ensure that $2\pi K^{\alpha\beta}$ flux quanta of the statistical gauge field a_j^α are attached to each bosonized electron ψ_β in the layer β, transmuting it back into the original electron together with their relative statistics [4,12,13] .

The QH state is characterized by the uniform condensation of all ψ_α, $\langle\psi_\alpha\rangle \neq 0$, which breaks the CS gauge symmetry $\psi_\alpha \to e^{\Lambda_\alpha}\psi_\alpha$. In general, since the resulting two Goldstone modes are absorbed by the two CS gauge fields a_k^α and disappear, the state is incompressible. However, it is compressible when all the statistics parameters $K^{\alpha\beta}$ are the same: $K^{\alpha\beta} = m$ with m being an odd integer. This is because in this case the constraint equations (1) are reduced to only one equation:

$$\varepsilon_{ij}\partial_i a_j = 2\pi m(\psi_1^\dagger\psi_1 + \psi_2^\dagger\psi_2), \tag{2}$$

where $a_k = \frac{1}{2}(a_k^1 + a_k^2)$, and the combination $a_k^1 - a_k^2$ decouples from the system. The system contains only one gauge field a_k, which absorbs only one of the Goldstone modes. Hence, one of the Goldstone modes survives, leading to the superfluidity of the QH state.

This situation is described by our Hamiltonian [4]

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_\alpha\left(\frac{1}{2M}|(D_1 - iD_2)\psi_\alpha|^2 + \mu_\alpha|\psi_\alpha|^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}\omega_c N$$
$$- \lambda(\psi_1^\dagger\psi_2 + \psi_2^\dagger\psi_1) + \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}[\psi], \tag{3}$$

with $iD_k = i\partial_k + a_k - eA_k$: The CS field a_k is determined by the constraint equation (2). Here, M is the effective mass of electrons; $-e$ the charge of the electron; N the

total number of electrons; μ_α the chemical potential; λ the strength of the interlayer tunnelling. The external magnetic field B is applied perpendicular to the layers with $A_k = -\frac{1}{2}eB\varepsilon_{kj}x^j$, and $\omega_c = eB/M$ is the cyclotron frequency. Later we shall consider the additional magnetic field B_p parallel to the layers ($B_p \ll B$). Terms $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}[\psi]$ represent the intralayer and interlayer Coulomb interactions that drive the planar electron system into the QH liquid. It is mentioned that the tunnelling term is gauge invariant since there is only one CS gauge symmetry in the system.

Switching off the interlayer tunnelling ($\lambda = 0$), we find that the condensation of ψ_α occurs at the filling fraction $\nu \equiv 2\pi(\rho_1 + \rho_2)/eB = 1/m$, which is characterized by the mean-field solution

$$a_k = eA_k, \tag{4}$$

and

$$\psi_\alpha = \sqrt{\rho_\alpha}e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \tag{5}$$

with constant density ρ_α and phase θ_α. This state satisfies the QH-state condition

$$(D_1 - iD_2)\psi_\alpha = 0, \tag{6}$$

which guarantees that all electrons occupy the lowest Landau level. Furthermore it minimizes the Coulomb energy, and hence it gives the ground state. Such a solution is only possible at the filling fraction $\nu = 1/m$, which is found by substituting (4) and (5) into the constrain equation (2). Because the constraint equation (2) does not fix the density of the electrons in each layers separately, any change of each density ρ_α does not break the condensation in the QH state as far as $\rho_1 + \rho_2$ is fixed. This suggests the presence of a gapless superfluid mode associated with the change of the density, which can actually be proven within the Gaussian approximation around the mean-field solution [4] . It is the Goldstone mode we mentioned before. We note that its existence has also been shown in other approaches [14-17] . This superfluid mode is the origin of the Josephson effect when tunnelling is allowed.

Eq.(4) implies that the CS gauge field cancels precisely the external magnetic field perpendicular to the layers. Thus, bosonized electrons do not feel effectively

any magnetic field and condense with energy $\frac{1}{2}\omega_c N$, as revealed explicity in the Hamiltonian (3). It can be shown that the state is described by the Halperin wavefunction [18]

$$\prod(z_i^1 - z_j^1)^m \prod(z_k^2 - z_\ell^2)^m \prod(z_i^1 - z_k^2)^m$$
$$\times \exp\{-\frac{1}{4}eB(\sum|z_i^1|^2 + \sum|z_k^2|^2)\}, \tag{7}$$

by taking account of the Gaussian fluctuation around the mean-field solution [4] .

The small interlayer tunnelling term ($\lambda \neq 0$) causes coherent tunnelling between the two layers. Using the QH-state condition (6) which holds for the QH state, it is straightforward to derive [4] the well- known equations of motion for the coherent tunnelling [19] :

$$i\partial_0\psi_1 = \mu_1\psi_1 - \lambda\psi_2, \quad i\partial_0\psi_2 = \mu_2\psi_2 - \lambda\psi_1. \tag{8}$$

Substituting (5) into these equations, we find that

$$\partial_t\theta_\alpha = -\mu_\alpha + \lambda\cos\delta - \lambda, \tag{9}$$

where $\delta \equiv \theta_1 - \theta_2$ is the phase difference; here, we have shifted $\mu_\alpha \to \mu_\alpha + \lambda$ so that $\partial_t\theta_\alpha = 0$ when there is no phase difference ($\delta = 0$) and no external voltage ($\mu_\alpha = 0$). It follows from (8) and (9) that the phase difference satisfies

$$\partial_t\delta = eV, \tag{10}$$

and that the Josephson current is given by

$$J_z \equiv \partial_t\rho_1 = J_c\sin\delta, \tag{11}$$

where $J_c \equiv 2\lambda\rho_0$ with ρ_0 being the average electron density on one layer. Here, $eV \equiv \mu_2 - \mu_1$ is the electric potential difference applied across the layers; the index z in the Josephson current indicates that it flows in the direction of the z axis taken perpendicular to the layers.

We now analyze the screening of the external magnetic field parallel to the layers due to the Josephson current. We show that it is squeezed into a vortex with the flux quantized in the unit of $2\pi/e$ in a similar way to the case of the superconductor Josephson junction. Although the equations governing the parallel magnetic field B_p inside the QH-state junction turn out to be essentially the same as those in the superconductor junction, their derivation is quite different from the latter case.

Our basic equation is the QH-state condition (6), or

$$iD_k\psi_\alpha = (i\partial_k + a_k - eA_k - eA_k^P)\psi_\alpha$$
$$= -\sqrt{\rho_0}(\partial_k\theta_\alpha + eA_k^P)e^{i\theta_\alpha} = 0, \tag{12}$$

with the uniform density ρ_0, where use was made of (4) and A_i^P is the electromagnetic potential describing the parallel magnetic field B_p. Let us take this magnetic field in the direction of the x axis and assume it to be uniform in x; then, θ_α as well as B_p do not depend on x. In the gauge $A_z^P = 0$, the above equations yield

$$\partial_y\delta = -e[A_y^P(z = z_1) - A_y^P(z = z_2)]$$
$$= -ed\partial_z A_y^P = eB_p d, \tag{13}$$

where we have assigned the coordinates z_α to each layers, and $d \equiv z_1 - z_2$ is the distance between the two layers. The above manipulation is justified when B_p is smooth in z between the two layers. According to this equation the y-dependence of the phase difference δ is determined by the parallel magnetic field. On the other hand, the time-dependence is determined by (10), as driven by the voltage between the two layers.

In order to take into account the screening effect due to the induced Josephson current we consider a Maxwell equation $\partial_y B_p = eJ_z - \varepsilon\partial_t E_z$ with ε being the dielectric constant of the matter between the layers. Using (11) and $E_z = -V/d$ we obtain

$$\partial_y B_p = eJ_c\sin\delta + \varepsilon d^{-1}\partial_t V, \tag{14}$$

which holds inside the junction. The set of equations we need to solve is (10), (13)

and (14).

Combining these three equations we obtain a Sine-Gordon equation:

$$(\varepsilon\partial_t^2 - \partial_y^2)\delta + e^2 d J_c \sin\delta = 0. \tag{15}$$

As is well known, there exist soliton solutions that are characterized by the boundary condition:

$$\delta(y = +\infty) - \delta(y = -\infty) = 2\pi n, \tag{16}$$

with n being an integer. They represent vortices confined in the y- direction within the size $\ell_V \sim 1/\sqrt{e^2 d J_c}$. This topological nature of vortices makes the magnetic flux Φ quantized in the unit of $2\pi/e$:

$$\Phi \equiv d\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_p dy = \frac{1}{e}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_y \delta dy = \frac{2\pi}{e} n, \tag{17}$$

where use was made of (13).

In the above derivation of the flux quantization we have tacitly assumed that the magnetic flux is confined in the z-direction between the two layers. Indeed, the factor d in the second term of formula (17) results from integration over the distance d between the two layers. In order to prove this confinement, we note that because of the current conservation the Josephson current (11) inevitably induces a current $J_y^1 = J_y$ in the y direction on the first layer and a current $J_y^2 = -J_y$ on the second layer. Here, evaluating the accumulated currents due to the Josephson current we find

$$J_y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{y} J_z(y')dy', \tag{18}$$

which is integrated as $J_y = (1/e^2 d)\partial_y \delta$ for the static soliton solution ($\partial_t \delta = 0$) satisfying $\partial_y \delta = 0$ at $y = -\infty$. The z-dependence of the magnetic flux B_p is

controlled by these currents as dictated by another Maxwell equation:

$$\partial_z B_p = -eJ_y[\delta(z - z_1) - \delta(z - z_2)], \tag{19}$$

which holds inside as well as outside the junction. Integrating the Maxwell equation (19) we obtain

$$B_p(y, z) = -e[\theta(z - z_1) - \theta(z - z_2)]J_y(y) + B_0. \tag{20}$$

Here, by requiring the other Maxwell equation (14), the integration constant B_0 is found to be really a constant. It is the magnetic field outside the QH-state junction. Between the two layers it reads

$$B_p(y, z) = eJ_y + B_0 = \frac{1}{ed}\partial_y \delta + B_0, \tag{21}$$

where we have used $J_y = (1/e^2 d)\partial_y \delta$ which holds for the static Sine-Gordon soliton. Comparing (21) and (13) we find that $B_0 = 0$. Namely, there exist no parallel magnetic field outside the junction.

We conclude that the magnetic flux is squeezed in the z-direction between the two layers and in the y-direction within the size ℓ_V. The typical size ℓ_V and the magnetic field B_p of the vortex are order of $0.1mm$ and $10^{-2}T$, respectively, for $\lambda = 1K$, $d = 100\mathring{A}$ and $\rho_0 = 10^{11}/cm^2$. This squeezing is very surprising because our system is not a superconductor Josephson junction but a QH-state junction. In the superconductor junction such squeezing is naturally expected since the superconductor expels the magnetic flux. On the contrary, the QH system is made of semiconductors, and such effect is hardly expected.

The above Meissner effect has resulted from the Josephson current J_z, which is a manifestation of superfluidity of the double-layer QH liquid in problem. Hence, the superfluidity may be considered to cause the squeezing of the magnetic flux. Although our analysis has been carried out in the approximation of layers without thickness, the finite thickness of the layers should obviously not change the above result about the squeezing. The only effect of finite thickness is that the parallel magnetic field confined between the two layers gradually decreases in the thickness of the layer and vanishes outside the junction.

We proceed to consider a QH system with DC-voltage feed or DC-current feed, where the applied magnetic field is so strong that the total flux passing between the two layers, $\sim B_p L d$, becomes larger than the flux carried by vortices, $\sim (2\pi/e) \times (L/\ell_V)$, with L being the size of the layers. Then, the induced Josephson current cannot screen completely the external magnetic field. In this case we may solve (10) and (13) by neglecting the screening effect due to the Josephson current. Then, the phase difference is given by $\delta = eVt + eB_p yd$ in the DC-voltage circuit. The Josephson current oscillates in space and time:

$$J = J_c \sin(eVt + eB_p yd). \tag{22}$$

The electromagnetic radiation induced by this oscillation must be observed in the double-layer system. On the other hand, in the DC-current circuit, we get

$$J = J_c \sin(\delta_0 + eB_p yd). \tag{23}$$

In this case, evaluating the total Josephson current we obtain that

$$J_{total} = L^2 J_c \sin\delta_0 \frac{\sin(\pi\Phi/\Phi_0)}{\pi\Phi/\Phi_0}, \tag{24}$$

with $\Phi_0 = 2\pi/e$ being the unit flux.

We have so far analyzed aspects of the Meissner effect in the QH-state Josephson junction which are almost identical to the superconductor case; that is, vortices with flux quantization (17), Josephson current oscillation (22) and magnetic field dependence of the maximum DC Josephson current (24). Only the difference is that the unit charge e appears in place of $2e$ in various formulas familiar in the superconductor case.

We now point out some distinctive features of vortices peculiar to the QH-state junction. We only consider the case with the phase difference δ associated with the static Sine-Gordon vortex (15). First of all, an electric field E_y in the y-direction appears spontaneously due to the static but spatially varying phase difference δ.

To see it we analyze (9) on each layer, which leads to $\mu_\alpha = \lambda(1 - \cos\delta)$ for $\partial_t \theta_\alpha = 0$. This equation implies that the non-trivial chemical potential (equivalently the electric potential) is induced in association with the vortex soliton. Namely, the electric potential well $(-\mu_\alpha/e)$ appears in both of the layers; note that the electric charge of electron is $-e$. Thus, the electric field E_y is given as

$$E_y = -\frac{1}{e}\partial_y \mu_\alpha = -\frac{\lambda}{e}(\sin\delta)\partial_y \delta, \tag{25}$$

which is identical in both of the layers. It is easy to check that the electric field E_y and the current J_y do not lead to any dissipative process, i.e., $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_y E_y dy = 0$.

Second, the current J_x parallel to the magnetic field B_p appears in association with this electric field E_y in the QH state: $J_x = \sigma_{xy} E_y$. This current is quite small compared with J_y since $J_x/J_y \approx O(\lambda d) \approx O(10^{-5})$ where $d \approx O(100\mathring{A})$ and $\lambda \approx O(1K)$. These E_y and J_x exist only around the vortex. Their appearance is very peculiar to the QH system; an electric field can never appear in superconductor.

In this paper we have analyzed the Meissner effect in the QH-state Josephson junction. Most formulas familiar in the superconductor Josephson junction hold with the replacement of $2e$ with e, as is a manifestation of the condensation of bosonized electrons with charge $-e$ in the QH state. However, the QH-state Josephson junction also has some essentially new features. We hope that our predictions about the Josephson effect and the associated Meissner effect are verified in future experiments.

REFERENCES

[1] R.B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. B* **23** (1983) 3383;
F.D.M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** (1983) 605;
B.I. Halperin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52** (1984) 1583.

[2] Y.W. Suen et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 1379;
J.P. Eisenstein et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 1383.

[3] Z.F. Ezawa, M. Hotta and A. Iwazaki, *Phys. Rev. B* **46** (1992) 7765;
Z.F. Ezawa and A. Iwazaki, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn* **61** (1992) 4133.

[4] Z.F. Ezawa and A. Iwazaki, "Quantum Hall liquid, Josephson effect and hierarchy in double-layer electron system", to be published in Phys. Rev. B47 (1993).

[5] S.M. Girvin and A.H. McDonald, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58** (1987) 1252.

[6] S.C. Zhang, T.H. Hansen and S. Kivelson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** (1989) 82.

[7] N. Read, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** (1989) 86.

[8] Z.F. Ezawa and A. Iwazaki, *Phys. Rev. B* **43** (1991) 2637.

[9] Z.F. Ezawa, A. Iwazaki and Y.S. Wu, "Josephson Effects in Multi-Layer Quantum Hall Systems", Tohoku University preprint TU-421 (1992).

[10] X.G. Wen and A. Zee, "Tunnelling in double-layer quantum Hall systems", to be published in Phys. Rev. B.

[11] J.M. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, *Nuovo Cim.* **37B** (1977) 1;
F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 1144; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49** (1982) 957;
F. Wilczek and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** (1983) 2250.

[12] Z.F. Ezawa and A. Iwazaki, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **6** (1992) 3205.

[13] F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 132.

[14] M. Rasolt and A.H. MacDonald, *Phys. Rev. B* **34** (1986) 5530.

[15] H. A. Fertig, *Phys. Rev. B* **40** (1989) 1087.

[16] A.H. MacDonald, P.M. Platzman and G.S. Boebinger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** (1990) 775.

[17] X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 1811.

[18] B.I. Halperin, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **56** (1983) 75.

[19] R.P. Feynman, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics*, (Addison-Wesley, 1989), Volume III.