Willmore tori with umbilic lines and minimal surfaces in hyperbolic space

M. Babich,
A. Bobenko

FERMILAB
AUG 07 1992

SFB 288 Preprint No. 15

ROUTE TO

NAME	LOCATION

RETURN TO FERMI LIBRARY

Diese Arbeit ist mit Unterstützung des von der Deutschen Forschungsgemeinschaft getragenen Sonderforschungsbereiches 288 entstanden und als Manuskript vervielfätigt worden.

Berlin, Mai 1992

Willmore tori with umbilic lines and minimal surfaces in hyperbolic space

M.Babich*
St.Petersburg Institute of Aircraft Instrumentation
A.Bobenko†
St.Petersburg Branch of Steklov Mathematical Institute

May 28, 1992

Abstract

Willmore tori with umbilic lines are constructed using methods of the theory of integrable equations. The umbilic set is the intersection of the torus with a plane. Parts of the torus lying above and below the plane are minimal surfaces in hyperbolic space, realized as upper and lower half spaces with the Poincare metric.

1 Introduction

Let M be a torus in $\mathbf{R}^3$. The Willmore functional of M is defined as follows:

$$W = \int_M H^2 dS, \tag{1}$$

where H is the mean curvature and dS is an area element. Critical points of W are called Willmore tori. Background information about Willmore surfaces can be found in [19,23].

We add one more interesting family of Willmore tori to those already known [22,12,5,6,13]. The the main result of this paper is a construction of the Willmore tori with umbilic lines. The tori we construct possess the following properties:

1) there is a plane $\mathcal{A}$ (infinity plane) intersecting M orthogonally and decomposing it into 3 parts $M = M_+ \cup M_0 \cup M_-$ (lying respectively above $\mathcal{A}$, on $\mathcal{A}$ and below $\mathcal{A}$),

*Supported by SFB 288
†Supported by the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung
Mail address: A.Bobenko, Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, Strasse des 17. Juni 135, 1000 Berlin 12, Germany;
e-mail addresses: babich@lomi.spb.su, bobenko@willmore.math.tu-berlin.de

2) $M_\pm$ are minimal surfaces in hyperbolic spaces, realized as upper and lower (with respect to $\mathcal{A}$) half spaces with the Poincare metric,

3) M_0 is an umbilic set, i.e. for the points of M_0 two principal curvatures coincide.

To construct these tori we use the methods of the integrable equations. These methods were already successfully applied [17,24,6,7] to differential geometric classifisation of some submanifolds. In particular the case of constant mean curvature (CMC) surfaces in 3-dimentional space forms $\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{S}^3, \mathbf{H}^3$ has received a fairly complete treatment in [24,5,6].

Our starting points are the results on description of the tori with CMC $H, |H| > 1$ obtained in [6], based on the solution of the corresponding Gauss equation $\Delta u + \sinh u = 0$. In the present paper we are interested in the minimal surface case $H = 0$, the Gauss equation of which is the elliptic cosh-Gordon equation

$$\Delta u = \cosh u.$$

The corresponding modifications were made in [2] and are presented in Sect.2.

Because of the maximum principle there are no compact minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{H}^3$. But it turns out that some of the minimal surfaces we construct are analytic tori in two copies (!) of $\mathbf{H}^3$ suitably glued. How we get Willmore tori in this way is explained in Sect.3.

To construct these tori we need doubly periodic solutions of the elliptic cosh-Gordon equation (which necessarily blow up; the lines where $u \to +\infty$ become later preimages of the umbilic lines). More precisely to construct surfaces we use the finite-gap solutions of the elliptic cosh-Gordon equation, which were found in [1]. These results as well as the formulas for the corresponding surfaces are presented in Sects.4,5.

In general, the surfaces are not tori. To describe a torus the immersion function must be doubly periodic. The periodicity conditions (Sect.7) are formulated in terms of the spectral curve. Although they are rather complicated, it is possible to investigate the simplest cases and to prove the nondegeneracy of these conditions. The simplest tori constructed are of rectangular conformal type with closed mean curvature lines (Sect.9). The lowest possible genus of the spectral curve determining these tori is 3 (Sect.10).

The famous Willmore conjecture is that for any torus $W \geq 2\pi^2$. This conjecture is proved for the canal tori [16] and for the tori with the conformal type close to square [20]. In Sect.8 we derive a formula for the Willmore functional W in terms of the spectral curve, without hope, however, of finding a torus with $W < 2\pi^2$.

The present paper is parallel ideologically and technically to [6,5]. To follow details of the theta function calculations one can use these papers and the book [3].

We would like to mention also the recent paper [25], where minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{H}^3$ with one family of spherical curvature lines were constructed. These

surfaces are close to these of ours considered in Sect.9, they are also generated by the genus 3 solutions of the elliptic cosh-Gordon equation with the symmetric spectral curve. The relation with Willmore tori and periodicity conditions we use in Sect.10 are not discussed in [25].

In Figs. 2,3 with the help of Mathematica [27] we present the simplest examples of the surfaces constructed. They are described by Jacobi theta functions and elliptic integrals. Unfortunately we can not, in the same way, construct pictures of the tori since they are described by theta functions and abelian integrals of the Riemann surfaces of genus > 1, which are not implemented in Mathematica. At the present time this higher genus implementation is being developed in Berlin by SFB 288 and we hope to be able to look at these tori soon.

The authors are thankful to B.Palmer and U.Pinkall for useful discussions.

2 Minimal surfaces in hyperbolic space. Formula for immersion.

A hyperbolic space Q

$$\{F, F\} = -1$$

is embedded into the Lorentz space $\mathbf{R}^{3,1}$ .The metric of $\mathbf{R}^{3,1}$

$$\{a, b\} = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + a_3 b_3 - a_0 b_0$$

induces a positively definite metric on Q.

Let M be a smooth orientable surface in Q. The metric $\{,\}$ induces a complex structure of a Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ on M. Let

$$F : \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow M \subset Q \subset R^{3,1}$$

be a conformal parametrization of M. This means that the immersion function is normalized as follows:

$$\{F_z, F_z\} = \{F_{\bar{z}}, F_{\bar{z}}\} = 0,$$

where z is some local parameter on $\mathcal{R}$, and $F_z, F_{\bar{z}}$ are the partial derivatives. Vectors $F, F_z, F_{\bar{z}}$ may be supplemented with a normal N in such a way that

$$\{F_z, N\} = \{F_{\bar{z}}, N\} = \{F, N\} = 0, \quad \{N, N\} = 1.$$

Let us also introduce the following notation:

$$\{F_z, F_{\bar{z}}\} = 2e^u, \quad \{F_{z\bar{z}}, N\} = 2H^h e^u, \quad \{F_{zz}, N\} = A^h,$$

where H^h and A^h are called respectively the mean curvature and the Hopf differential. The Gauss curvature is equal to

$$K^h = -1 - (H^h)^2 - \frac{1}{4} A^h \bar{A}^h e^{-2u}.$$

The variation of the basis $F, F_z, F_{\bar{z}}, N$ with respect to motion along the surface is described by the equation :

$$\sigma_z = U\sigma, \quad \sigma_{\bar{z}} = V\sigma \quad , \sigma = (F, F_z, F_{\bar{z}}, N) \tag{2}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_z & 0 & A^h \\ 2e^u & 0 & 0 & 2H^h e^u \\ 0 & -H^h & -\frac{1}{2}A^h e^{-u} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2e^u & 0 & 0 & 2H^h e^u \\ 0 & 0 & u_{\bar{z}} & \bar{A}^h \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\bar{A}^h e^{-u} & -H^h & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The constant mean curvature (CMC) condition

$$H^h = const$$

leads to the following Gauss-Peterson-Codazzi equation:

$$u_{z\bar{z}} + 2((H^h)^2 - 1)e^u - A^h \bar{A}^h e^{-u}/2 = 0, A^h_{\bar{z}} = 0.$$

The case $(H^h)^2 > 1$ is described in [5,6]. Here we consider the case$(H^h)^2 < 1$ (see also [2]). In new variables

$$z_H = \delta_H z, e^{2i\phi} A = \delta_H^{-1} A^h, \delta_H = \sqrt{1 - (H^h)^2} \tag{3}$$

we obtain the equations

$$u_{z_H \overline{z_H}} - 2e^u - \frac{1}{2} A\bar{A}e^{-u} = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$A_{\overline{z_H}} = 0 \tag{5}$$

Theorem 1 *A CMC surface in Q, conformally parametrized, generates a holomorphic quadratic differential $A^h(dz)^2$. The induced metric $u(z, \bar{z})$ satisfies (4).*

The system (4,5) can be represented as the compatibility condition

$$U_{\overline{z_H}} - V_{z_H} + [U, V] = 0$$

of the system

$$\Phi_{z_H} = U\Phi, \quad \Phi_{\overline{z_H}} = V\Phi \tag{6}$$

with the matrices

$$U = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2\lambda e^{u/2} \\ Ae^{-u/2} & u_{z_H} \end{pmatrix}, V = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} u_{\overline{z_H}} & -\bar{A}e^{-u/2} \\ \frac{2}{\lambda}e^{u/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

depending on an extra parameter λ, which is called a spectral parameter in the theory of integrable equations.

We note that $U - V$ pair (7) satisfies the reduction

$$\overline{U(-\overline{\lambda}^{-1})} = \sigma_2 V(\lambda)\sigma_2$$

For the solution of the system (6) it gives

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \sigma_2 \overline{\Phi(-\overline{\lambda}^{-1})} M(\lambda) \tag{8}$$

with some matrix $M(\lambda)$ independent of $z, \overline{z}$. Here and below σ_α denote the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us identify the Lorentz space with the space of (2×2) hermitian matrices $\overline{X^T} = X$

$$\mathbf{X} = (X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3) \in R^{3,1} \longleftrightarrow X = X_0 I + \sum_{k=1}^{3} X_k \sigma_k \tag{9}$$

with the scalar product

$$\{X, Y\} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(X\sigma_2 Y^T \sigma_2).$$

Theorem 2 *Let $A^h(z_H)(dz_H)^2$ be a holomorphic quadratic differential on $\mathcal{R}$, $u(z_H, \overline{z}_H)$ be a solution of (4) and let*

$$\Phi_0(z_H, \overline{z_H}, \lambda = e^{-q} e^{2i\phi})$$

be a solution of (6). Then

$$F = \Phi_0^* \Phi_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \Phi_0 \det \Phi_0^*}}, \qquad N = \Phi_0^* \sigma_3 \Phi_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \Phi_0 \det \Phi_0^*}}, \tag{10}$$

where $\Phi_0^* = \overline{\Phi_0^T}$ in the variables (3) satisfy equations (2) for the moving frame and describe a surface in Q with the mean curvature

$$H = \tanh q.$$

Proof. Since $F = F^*$ and $\det F = 1$, we see that (10) describes a surface in Q. Multiplying Φ_0 by a complex number gives us $\det \Phi_0 \in \mathbf{R}$. Further we suppose the determinant to be real. Let us denote

$$\Phi_1 = \Phi_0, \quad \Phi_2 = \sigma_2 \overline{\Phi_0} \sigma_2.$$

Using the identity

$$\sigma_2 X^T \sigma_2 = X^{-1} \det X$$

for invertible matrices, we have

$$F = \Phi_2^{-1}\Phi_1 \quad , \quad N = \Phi_2^{-1}\sigma_3\Phi_1 .$$

The functions Φ_1 and Φ_2 satisfy (6) with $\lambda = \lambda_1 = e^{-q}e^{2i\phi}$ and $\lambda = \lambda_2 = -e^{q}e^{2i\phi}$ respectively. To see the last fact we should take (8) into account. Direct calculations prove all the identities (2). In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{F_{z_H}, F_{\overline{z_H}}\} &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}((U_1 - U_2)\sigma_2(V_1 - V_2)^T\sigma_2) = \\
&= 2e^u \cosh^2 q, \\
\{F_{z_H z_H}, N\} &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(((U_1 - U_2)U_1 - U_2(U_1 - U_2) + (U_1 - U_2)_z)\sigma_3) = \\
&= e^{2i\phi} A \cosh q, \\
F_{z_H \overline{z_H}} &= \Phi_2^{-1}((U_1 - U_2)V_1 - V_2(U_1 - U_2) + (U_1 - U_2)_{\overline{z}})\Phi_1 = \\
&= 2e^u F \cosh^2 q + 2e^u N \cosh q \sinh q,
\end{aligned}$$

where $U_i = U(\lambda_i)$, $V_i = V(\lambda_i)$. The change of variables (3) completes the proof.

Remark. The sign of $\sqrt{\det \Phi_0 \det \Phi_0^*}$ in (10) determines a sheet of Q. For the surfaces lying on both sheets of Q, which we will consider, it must be chosen correctly (see Sect.5).

Remark. We restrict ourself to the most interesting case of the minimal surfaces $H = 0$. In this case $q = 0$ and λ has to be taken on the unit circle. Further, we do not distinguish

$$z_H = z.$$

We will also use the Poincare model of the hyperbolic space, namely the half-space model

$$H_\pm = \{(G_1, G_2, G_3) \in \mathbf{R}^3 | \pm G_3 > 0\}.$$

It is related to that already described by the following conformal map

$$S : (F_0, F_1, F_2, F_3) \longrightarrow (\frac{F_1}{F_0 - F_3}, \frac{F_2}{F_0 - F_3}, \frac{1}{F_0 - F_3}).$$

More precisely, if we denote by $Q_\pm$ the upper ($F_0 \geq 1$) and the lower ($F_0 \leq 1$) sheets of Q, then

$$Q_\pm \xrightarrow{S} H_\pm .$$

H_+ and H_- are separated by the infinity plane $G_3 = 0$. For the induced metric of the H-model we keep the same notation

$$\{dA, dB\} = \frac{1}{G_3^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} dA_i dB_i, \tag{11}$$

where $|G_3|$ is an euclidean distance from the point to the infinity plane $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 3 *Let*

$$\Phi(z, \overline{z}, \lambda = e^{2i\phi})$$

be a solution of (6) with some A and u. Then in the H-model the corresponding minimal surface is described by the formulas:

$$G_1 + iG_2 = \frac{a\overline{b} + \overline{d}c}{b\overline{b} + d\overline{d}} \quad , \quad G_3 = \frac{\Delta}{b\overline{b} + d\overline{d}}, \tag{12}$$

where

$$\Phi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \Delta = \sqrt{\det \Phi_0 \det \Phi_0^*}. \tag{13}$$

3 Minimal surfaces in hyperbolic space and Willmore surfaces with umbilics

We will consider surfaces M in $\mathbf{R}^3$, which are sufficiently smooth (with immersion function $G = (G_1, G_2, G_3) : \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^3, G \in \mathcal{C}^4$).

Decompose M into three parts $M = M_+ \cup M_0 \cup M_-$:

$$\begin{aligned} M_\pm &= \{P \in M | \pm G_3(P) > 0\} \\ M_0 &= \{P \in M | G_3(P) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4 *Let M be a smooth ($\mathcal{C}^4$) surface in $\mathbf{R}^3$ such that both $M_\pm$ are minimal surfaces in $H_\pm$ respectively (i.e. with respect to the metric (11)). Then*
(i) M is a Willmore surface,
(ii) M_0 is an umbilic line.

Proof. We will use two metrics
$<,>$ - euclidean metric in $\mathbf{R}^3$,
$\{,\} = G_3^{-2} <,>$ - Poincare metric on $H_\pm$.

Let us consider the conformal parametrization $G(z, \bar{z})$ of M in $\mathbf{R}^3$. This parametrization is also conformal for the hyperbolic metric $\{,\}$. The normal vectors N^e and N^h differ by a factor

$$N^h = G_3 N^e,$$

since $< N^e, N^e >= \{N^h, N^h\} = 1$.

The first fundamental form of the hyperbolic and euclidean models are simply related

$$I^h = \{dG, dG\} = G_3^{-2} < dG, dG >= G_3^{-2} I^e.$$

The expression for the second fundamental form is a little bit more complicated

$$II^h = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\{d(G+tN^h), d(G+tN^h)\}_{G+tN^h} |_{t=0} =$$

$$-\{dG, dN^h\}_G - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\{dG, dG\}_{G+tN^h} |_{t=0} = -G_3^{-1} II^e + G_3^{-2} N_3^e I^e. \qquad (14)$$

Here the upper indexes h and e denote hyperelliptic and euclidean models and the lower index of $\{,\}$ denotes a point, where it is calculated. Using the definitions of the Gaussian and mean curvatures

$$K^e = \det(II^e(I^e)^{-1}), \quad K^h + 1 = \det(II^h(I^h)^{-1}),$$
$$H^e = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(II^e(I^e)^{-1}), \quad H^h = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(II^h(I^h)^{-1}),$$

one can easily derive the following relation

$$(H^e)^2 dS^e = ((H^h)^2 - 1)dS^h + K^e dS^e - K^h dS^h, \qquad (15)$$

where $dS^{e,h}$ are the area forms in e and h-models: $dS^h = G_3^{-2} dS^e$. Let us integrate (15) over some domain $D \subset M, D \cap M_0 = \emptyset$

$$\int_D (H^e)^2 dS^e = \int_D (H^h)^2 dS^h - S^h(D) + \int_D K^e dS^e - \int_D K^h dS^h. \qquad (16)$$

Due to the Gauss-Bonnet theorem the last two integrals are reduced to the integrals over boundary ∂D. Let us consider variations of D vanishing along with their derivatives on the boundary ∂D. With respect to these variations the last two integrals are constant. The minimal surfaces are critical for both integrals $\int_D (H^h)^2 dS^h$ and $\int_D dS^h$, therefore D is critical for the Willmore functional (1). This proves that $M_\pm$ satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation corresponding to (1). This is an elliptic equation

$$\Delta H + 2H(H^2 - K) = 0. \qquad (17)$$

The simple behaviour of M near the infinity plane $\mathcal{A}$ (orthogonal intersection) allows us to represent the surface in the neighbourhood of $\mathcal{A}$ as a graph. The equation (17) shows that the graph function satisfies some elliptic equation of the forth order. Combined with general C^4 differentiability of G this fact allows us by standard methods [1] ([4], p.467) to prove that the same equation is valid for all points of M. This finishes the proof of (i).

[1] The authors are thankful to Bennett Palmer for explanation of this.

The equality (15) in particular gives

$$G_3\{G_{zz}, N^h\} = G_3 A^h = A^e =< G_{zz}, N^e > . \tag{18}$$

Zeros of A^e are the umbilic points of M

$$K - H^2 = -A^e \bar{A}^e < G_z, G_{\bar{z}} >^{-2} .$$

Let us denote $\mathcal{R}_+, \mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{R}_- \subset \mathcal{R}$ preimages of M_+, M_0, M_- respectively. Vanishing of the derivative $\partial A^h/\partial\bar{z}$ on $\mathcal{R}_\pm$ gives

$$\frac{A^e}{G_3} = \frac{\partial A^e/\partial\bar{z}}{\partial G_3/\partial\bar{z}}. \tag{19}$$

Since M is orthogonal to the infinity plane $\mathcal{A}$, the derivative $\partial G_3/\partial\bar{z}$ does not vanish on $\mathcal{R}_0$. It determines a continous continuation of A^h to $\mathcal{R}_0$ by the formula (19)

$$A^h = \frac{\partial A^e/\partial\bar{z}}{\partial G_3/\partial\bar{z}} \quad \text{near } \mathcal{R}_0. \tag{20}$$

Rewriting (19)

$$A^e = G_3 \frac{\partial A^e/\partial\bar{z}}{\partial G_3/\partial\bar{z}}, \tag{21}$$

we see that A^e vanishes on M_0. The theorem is proved.

Holomophicity of A^h on $\mathcal{R}_\pm$ and continuity on $\mathcal{R}_0$ gives

Corollary 1 *Let M be as in Teorem 4. Then $A^h = \{F_{zz}, N^h\}$ is holomorhpic everywhere on $\mathcal{R}$.*

The aim of this paper is to construct Willmore tori with umbilic lines. If $\mathcal{R}$ is a torus, it can be represented as a quotient $\mathbf{C}/\Lambda$ with respect to some lattice. There is only one holomorphic quadratic differentials on $\mathbf{C}/\Lambda$, therefore we can normalize

$$A = 2, \tag{22}$$

which reduces equation (4) and its Lax representation to the following form:

$$u_{z\bar{z}} = 4\cosh u, \tag{23}$$

$$\Phi_z = U\Phi, \Phi_{\bar{z}} = V\Phi, U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda e^{u/2} \\ e^{-u/2} & u_z/2 \end{pmatrix}, V = \begin{pmatrix} u_{\bar{z}}/2 & -e^{-u/2} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda}e^{u/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{24}$$

Finally, to construct a Willmore torus with umbilic lines, we should find a doubly periodic solution of (23) such that the immersion function given by (12,13,24), is also doubly periodic and describes a smooth torus in $\mathbf{R}^3$. It is possible to construct these tori using the finite-gap doubly-periodic solutions of (23).

4 Complex valued finite-gap solutions of the cosh-Gordon equation

The equation (23) is one of the real versions of the sine-Gordon equation

$$u_{\xi\eta} = 4\sin u,$$

finite-gap solutions of which are well known [18,21,8,14,3,9]. Generally these solutions are analytic functions of ξ and η, given by explicit formulas in terms of theta functions. In this section we present these formulas as well as formulas for the corresponding Baker-Akhiezer function in a modified form. This modification will be used in the next section to get real valued solutions of (23).

We start with introducing some standard ingredients of the theory. Consider the Riemann surface X of the hyperelliptic curve

$$\mu^2 = \lambda \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (\lambda - E_i). \tag{25}$$

It is a double cover of λ-plane with $E_i, 0, \infty$ being ramification points. We denote the hyperelliptic involution as follows:

$$\pi(\lambda, \mu) = (\lambda, -\mu). \tag{26}$$

Let $a_n, b_n, n = 1, \ldots, g$ be the canonical basis of cycles and du_n be the normalized holomorphic differentials on X

$$\int_{a_m} du_n = 2\pi i \delta_{nm}.$$

The associated period matrix

$$B_{nm} = \int_{b_m} du_n$$

defines the Riemann theta function with characteristics $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}^g$

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}^g} \exp\{\frac{1}{2} < B(m+\alpha), m+\alpha > + < z + 2\pi i \beta, m+\alpha >\}, \tag{27}$$

$z \in \mathbf{C}^g$. For zero characteristics we will use the notation

$$\theta(z) = \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (z).$$

The function $\nu = \sqrt{\lambda}$, multivalued on X, defines a Riemann surface $\hat{X}$, which is an unramified covering of X. This covering can be defined by a contour $\mathcal{L}$ on

X, which fixes a branch of ν on $X \setminus \mathcal{L}$. The function ν is multiplied by a factor $(-1)^{<\gamma,\mathcal{L}>}$, when a circuit of γ is tranversed. Here $<\gamma,\mathcal{L}>$ is the intersection number of γ and $\mathcal{L}$.

We need also the abelian integrals of the second kind

$$\Omega_{0,\infty} = \int_{\lambda=\infty} d\Omega_{0,\infty},$$

normalized by the condition

$$\int_{a_n} d\Omega_{0,\infty} = 0 \quad , \quad n = 1, \cdots, g$$

and the following asymptotic behaviour at the singularities:

$$d\Omega_\infty \to d\nu, \ \nu \to \infty \quad ; \quad d\Omega_0 \to -\frac{d\nu}{\nu^2}, \ \nu \to 0.$$

Recall that we have fixed a certain branch of ν on X. Periods of $d\Omega_\infty$ and $d\Omega_0$ over the b-cycles we denote as

$$U_n = \int_{b_n} d\Omega_\infty, \quad V_n = \int_{b_n} d\Omega_0. \tag{28}$$

Now we consider z and $\bar{z}$ as independent complex variables. Let

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \Delta_2^i a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{g} \Delta_1^i b_i = <\Delta_2, a> + <\Delta_1, b>$$

be a decomposition of the cycle $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to the chosen basis of cycles.

The Baker-Akhiezer function $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a vector-function on X, given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(P) &= \frac{\theta(u+\Omega)\theta(D)}{\theta(u+D)\theta(\Omega)} \exp(z\Omega_\infty + i\bar{z}\Omega_0), \\ \psi_2(P) &= \frac{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(u+\Omega)\theta(D)}{\theta(u+D)\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega)} \exp(z\Omega_\infty + i\bar{z}\Omega_0). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Here $\Omega = zU + i\bar{z}V + D$, u is an Abel map $u = \int_\infty^P du, P = (\lambda, \mu) \in X, \Omega_{0,\infty} = \int_\infty^P d\Omega_{0,\infty}$, U and V are the vectors of b-periods

$$U = (U_1, \ldots, U_g), V = (V_1, \ldots, V_g),$$

$D \in \mathbf{C}^g$ is arbitrary. The paths of integration in u and $\Omega_{0,\infty}$ are identical.

The functions ψ_1 and $\nu\psi_2$ are single-valued on X. The divisor of poles $\mathcal{D}$ of ψ_1 as well as ψ_2 is of degree g and is determined by the theta function in the denominators of ψ.

In a standard way [3,9,8,21] it is proved that ψ satisfies the system

$$\psi_z = U\psi \quad , \quad \psi_{\bar z} = V\psi,$$
$$U = \begin{pmatrix} -u_z/2 & \nu \\ \nu & u_z/2 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad V = \frac{1}{\nu}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{-u} \\ e^u & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{30}$$

Let l be a path on X from ∞ to $P \in X$ and ν_l be an analytic continuation of ν along this path (we denote by f_l the analytic continuation of the function f along the path l). Let l^* be a path from ∞ to πP such that $< \mathcal{L}, l > - < \mathcal{L}, l^* >$ is odd. Then $\nu_l = \nu_{l^*}$. Let us denote $\psi_i = \psi_{il}, \psi_i^* = \psi_{il^*}$. They comprise a matrix valued function on $\hat X$

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & \psi_1^* \\ \psi_2 & \psi_2^* \end{pmatrix},$$

which also satisfies the system (30). Considering this function at $\nu = 0$ we get the following formula for u:

$$u = 2\log\frac{\theta(\Omega)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega)} - \frac{\pi i}{2} < \Delta_1, \Delta_2 > + \frac{\pi i}{2} + \pi i k.$$

Here k is the parity of the intersection index $< \mathcal{L}, l_{[0,\infty]} >$, where $l_{[0,\infty]}$ is a path from $\lambda = \infty$ to $\lambda = 0$ on X such that $\mathcal{L} = l_{[0,\infty]} - \pi l_{[0,\infty]}$ (π is the hyperelliptic involution of X).

From now on we set

$$< \Delta_1, \Delta_2 >= 1.$$

It turns out that real finite-gap solutions of (23) can be described by this specialization (see Sect.5).

Remark. If $\mathcal{L}_0$ and $\mathcal{L}_1$ are two $\mathcal{L}$-cycles on X with $k = 0$ and $k = 1$ respectively, then the corresponding solutions are related in a simple way. Replacing $\mathcal{L}_0$ by $\mathcal{L}_1$, we add to $\mathcal{L}_0$ a small contour around the point $\lambda = 0$ and in this way change a sign of the local parameter at $\lambda = 0$. Therefore, if $u(z, \bar z)$ is a solution generated by $\mathcal{L}_0$, then $\mathcal{L}_1$ determines the solution $u(z, -\bar z) + \pi i$.

From now on we consider only the case $k = 0$ as determining two families of solutions

$$u_+ = 2\log\frac{\theta(\Omega_+)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega_+)} \qquad (k = 0), \tag{31}$$

$$u_- = 2\log\frac{\theta(\Omega_-)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega_-)} + \pi i \qquad (k = 1), \tag{32}$$

$$\omega_\pm = zU \pm i\bar z V + D_\pm.$$

Beside the simbols $\pm$ for these two families we keep the simbol k, which is equal to 0 for the first and to 1 for the second families respectively.

We can summarize the above arguments in the following theorem.

Theorem 5 *The function*

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\theta(\Omega_\pm+u)}{\theta(\Omega_\pm)} & \frac{\theta(\Omega_\pm-u)}{\theta(\Omega_\pm)} \\ \frac{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm+u)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm)} & -\frac{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm-u)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{\omega_\pm}\theta(D_\pm)}{\theta(D\pm+u)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{e^{-\omega_\pm}\theta(D_\pm)}{\theta(D\pm-u)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{33}$$

$$\omega_\pm = z\Omega_\infty \pm i\bar{z}\Omega_0$$

is a solution of (30) with $u(z,\bar{z})$ given by (31, 32). In (30) $\nu = \nu_l$ and $< l, \mathcal{L} >$ is even.

The systems (30) and (24) are gauge equivalent

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \nu e^{u/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Psi. \tag{34}$$

We obtain also a useful formula for

$$d = \psi_1\psi_2^* - \psi_2\psi_1^*,$$

analysing its analytical properties. It is a meromorphic function on X with divisor of poles $\mathcal{D} + \pi\mathcal{D}$ and divisor of zeros $E_1 + \cdots + E_{2g}$ at the branch points of (25). In addition $\nu d(P)$ is a single-valued function on X and its assymptotics at $\lambda = \infty$ give $d(\infty) = -2$. Finally we get the following expression in terms of theta functions:

$$d = -2\frac{\theta^2(d)}{\theta(D-u)\theta(D+u)} \frac{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(u)\theta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(u)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(0)\theta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(0)}. \tag{35}$$

Now we are in a position to present a final formula for Φ.

Theorem 6 *The matrix*

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \nu e^{\pi i k/2}\theta(\Omega_\pm+u) & \nu e^{\pi i k/2}\theta(\Omega_\pm-u) \\ \theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm+u) & -\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm-u) \end{pmatrix} \frac{\exp(\omega_\pm\sigma_3)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm)} \tag{36}$$

solves (24) with $u(z,\bar z)$ given by (31,32). The paths of analytic continuation of ν and integration in u, Ω are identical. The determinant of Φ is as follows:

$$\det\Phi = -2\nu e^{\pi i k/2}\frac{\theta(\Omega_\pm)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm)}\frac{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(u)\theta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(u)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(0)\theta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(0)}. \tag{37}$$

For the proof we use (31-34,35) and cancel Φ by a non-essential constant right factor.

5 Reality conditions

From now on we again consider z and $\bar z$ as complex conjugate variables

$$z = x + iy,\ \bar z = x - iy.$$

To construct surfaces we need real-valued $u(z,\bar z)$. Real finite-gap solutions of the equation (23) were constructed in [1]. Here we present the main results of this paper [2]

For the described complex finite-gap solutions to be real-valued, X necessarily has an antiholomorphic involution (see symmetry (8))

$$\tau : \lambda \to -\bar\lambda^{-1}.$$

In particular, it implies that the genus of X is odd $g = 2n+1$. More exactly, let us consider the spectral curve

$$\mu^2 = \lambda(\lambda - E_{2N+1})(\lambda + \bar E_{2N+1}^{-1})\prod_{k=1}^{N}(\lambda - E_k)(\lambda + \bar E_k^{-1})(\lambda - E_{k+N})(\lambda + \bar E_{k+N}^{-1}). \tag{38}$$

A canonical basis of cycles can be chosen in such a way that τ acts on it as follows (see Fig.1):

$$\begin{aligned}\tau a_i = -a_{i+N},\ \tau a_{i+N} = -a_i,\ \tau a_{2N+1} = -a_{2N+1},\\ \tau b_i = b_{i+N},\ \tau b_{i+N} = b_i,\ \tau b_{2N+1} = b_{2N+1},\quad i = 1,\dots,N.\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

[2] There are some misprints in [1]. The correct version of (5a,b) and z_4 in the final table is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= (iV_0 + V_\infty)t + (V_0 + iV_\infty)x,\\ z_2 &= (iV_0 - V_\infty)t + (V_0 - iV_\infty)x,\\ z_4 &= i(iV_0 - V_\infty)t + i(V_0 - iV_\infty)x + z_4^0. \end{aligned}$$

In the English translation of [1] the table with the final results is split into two parts, which makes it completely nonunderstandable.



Fig.1

We use the matrix notation

$$\tau a = Ta,\ \tau b = -Tb,\ T = T^{-1} = T^T,$$
$$T = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I is $N \times N$ matrix. The cycle $\mathcal{L}$ is as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{n=1}^{2N+1} a_n + b_{2N+1},\ \Delta_1 = (0,0,1),\ \Delta_2 = (1,1,1). \tag{40}$$

Theorem 7 *Real finite-gap solutions of the equation (23) are given by the formulas (31,32), where X is a real curve (38) with the basis (39,40) and $D_\pm$ are as follows:*

$$D_+ = i(d_+, \bar{d}_+, d_+^0),\ D_- = (d_-, \bar{d}_-, d_-^0),\ d_\pm \in \mathbf{C}^N,\ d_\pm^0 \in \mathbf{R}. \tag{41}$$

Proof. A reciprocity law [11] for the abelian integrals of the second kind allows us to express their periods in terms of the normalized holomorphic differentials:

$$du_n = -U_n d(1/\sqrt{\lambda}),\ \lambda \sim \infty, \qquad du_n = -V_n d(\sqrt{\lambda}),\ \lambda \sim 0.$$

For the branch of $\sqrt{\lambda}$ fixed by the contour $\mathcal{L}$ shown in Fig.1, we have

$$\sqrt{\lambda(\tau P)}\overline{\sqrt{\lambda(P)}} = i,\ \ P \sim 0, \tag{42}$$

when P is in a neighbourhood of $\lambda = 0$. To prove (42) we mention the connection of $\sqrt{\lambda}$ with μ

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\lambda}(P) &= (-1)^N(-i)\exp(-i\sum \arg E_i)\mu(P)(1+o(1)), \quad P \sim 0, \\ 1/\sqrt{\lambda} &= \mu(P)\lambda^{-g-1}(P)(1+o(1)), \quad P \sim \infty \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and the transformation law of μ

$$\mu(\tau P) = (-1)^N \exp(i\sum \arg E_i)\overline{\mu(P)\lambda^{-g-1}(P)}.$$

The differentials du transform as follows:

$$\tau^* \overline{du} = -T du.$$

The straightforward calculation

$$-T\overline{V}d\overline{\sqrt{\lambda(P)}} = -T\overline{du(P)} = du(\tau P) = -Ud(1/\sqrt{\lambda(\tau P)}) = iU\overline{d(\sqrt{\lambda(P)})},$$

where $\lambda(P) \sim 0$, proves the conjugation law

$$iT\bar{U} = -V.$$

For $\Omega_\pm$ we have

$$T\bar{\Omega}_\pm = \pm\Omega_\pm.$$

The vectors $D_\pm$ given by (41) have the same symmetry as $\Omega_\pm$.

The theta function also satisfies a simple conjugation law

$$\overline{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}(z)} = \theta\begin{bmatrix} -T\alpha \\ T\beta \end{bmatrix}(-T\bar{z}).$$

which is proved by the change of the summation index $m = -Tn$, taking into account the symmetry of the period matrix $\bar{B} = TBT$. Finally, we see that both theta functions in (31) as well, as the one in the nominator of (32) are real. On the other hand, the theta function in the denominator of (32) is imaginary, since it is odd and $T\Delta_{1,2} = -\Delta_{1,2}$,

$$\overline{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega_-)} = \theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ -\Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(-T\bar{\Omega}_-) =$$
$$\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ -\Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega_-) = -\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega_-)$$

Since

$$e^{\pi i k/2}\frac{\theta(\Omega_\pm)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm)}$$

is real, using (37) we may choose the square root in (13) as

$$\Delta = 2e^{\pi i k/2}\frac{\theta(\Omega_\pm)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm)}\left|\frac{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(u)\theta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(u)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(0)\theta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(0)}\right|. \tag{44}$$

This shows that in this case formulas (12,13) describe an analytic surface in $\mathbf{R}^3$. Due to Theorem 4 it is a Willmore surface. Taking into account the last factor in (36) we have

$$G_3 = \theta(\Omega_\pm)\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm)f(z,\bar{z}),$$

where $f(z,\bar{z})$ does not vanish. This means that the umbilic line $G_3 = 0$ on the z-plane is given by the equation

$$\theta(\Omega_\pm)\theta\begin{bmatrix}\Delta_1/2\\ \Delta_2/2\end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm) = 0.$$

6 Simplest surfaces

The simplest possible case under consideration is the case of elliptic spectral curve

$$\mu^2 = \lambda(\lambda - E)(\lambda + E^{-1}), E \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The integral

$$\Omega_\infty + \Omega_0 = \mu/\lambda \tag{45}$$

is a function, its periods vanish, and arguments of theta function depend only on y for $k = 0$ and only on x for $k = 1$. We denote

$$\Omega_1 = \Omega_\infty - i\Omega_0 = 2\Omega_\infty - \mu/\lambda$$

an additional integral to (45). For $\omega_\pm$ in (36) we have

$$\omega_+ = \mu/\lambda x + i\Omega_1 y, \quad \omega_- = \Omega_1 x + iy\mu/\lambda.$$

Let us consider $k = 0$ case and introduce

$$v = u/(2i).$$

Combining together formulas (12,13) and (36,37), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1 + iG_2 &= \frac{\theta_3(Uy+v)\overline{\theta_3}(Uy-v) - \theta_1(Uy+v)\overline{\theta_1}(Uy-v)}{\theta_3(Uy-v)\overline{\theta_3}(Uy-v) + \theta_1(Uy-v)\overline{\theta_1}(Uy-v)}e^{2\omega_+},\\
G_3 &= h\frac{\theta_3(Uy)\theta_1(Uy)}{\theta_3(Uy-v)\overline{\theta_3}(Uy-v) - \theta_1(Uy-v)\overline{\theta_1}(Uy-v)}e^{\omega_+ + \overline{\omega_+}}\\
h &= 2\left|\frac{\theta_2(v)\theta_4(v)}{\theta_2(0)\theta_4(0)}\right|,
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where we used Jacobi theta functions [26] related with the ones we used before as follows:

$$\theta_1(v) = -\theta \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (2iv), \quad \theta_2(v) = \theta \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (2iv),$$
$$\theta_3(v) = \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (2iv), \quad \theta_4(v) = \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (2iv),$$
$$\theta(v) = \theta(v, q), \quad q = e^{B/2}.$$

In (46) U is as above the b-period (28) of Ω_∞.

Theta functional factors in (46) are periodic in y. Due to the exponential factors, formulas (46) generally describe surfaces of spiral shape. There are cases when these surfaces are especially symmetric. Let us take a curvature line parametrization: $\lambda = -1$. Due to (3,22), the quality $A =< F_{zz}, N >= -2G_3$ is real, and the parameter curves $x = const, y = const$ are curvature lines on the surface. There are two different cases:

(a) $\lambda = -1, E > 1$, both μ/λ and Ω_1 are imaginary,

(b) $\lambda = -1, E < 1$, both μ/λ and Ω_1 are real.



Fig.2a. Willmore surface of revolution, E=2



Fig.2b. Meridian curve

We see that (a) gives surfaces of revolution. Examples [3] of these surfaces are in Fig.2 and on the cover of the preprint in your hands.



Fig.3. Willmore cone with two folds, E=0.53

Family (b) consists of cones. If the ratio of the periods of the exponent and

[3]The examples shown in Figs. 2,3 were calculated using Mathematica [27]

of the theta functions in (46) is rational

$$\frac{\Omega_1(\lambda=-1)}{U} \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$$

the cone closes up. The case with minimal possible number of folds equal to 2 is shown in Fig.3.

7 Periodicity conditions

In general the immersion $G(z,\bar{z})$ (12) determined by real finite-gap solution is not periodic. We derive periodicity conditions simultaneously for both cases $k=0$ and $k=1$ using, as above, the notation $\pm$ to distinguish them.

Let Z be a period of the immersion

$$G(z+Z,\bar{z}+\bar{Z}) = G(z,\bar{z}). \tag{47}$$

It is a period of the metric $u(z,\bar{z})$. Due to the periodicity properties of theta functions, the vector $UZ \pm iV\bar{Z}$ must be a lattice vector

$$UZ \pm iV\bar{Z} = 2\pi i M + BN, \quad M,N \in \mathbf{Z}^g.$$

Let us consider an abelian differential

$$d\hat{\omega}_\pm = d\Omega_\infty Z \pm i d\Omega_0 \bar{Z} - < du, N > .$$

All periods of this differential are imaginary and proportional to $2\pi i$

$$\int_a d\hat{\omega}_\pm = -2\pi i N, \quad \int_b d\hat{\omega}_\pm = 2\pi i M.$$

Lemma 1 *The immersion $x(z,\bar{z})$ given by (12,13,36, 44) is a periodic function (47) with a period Z if and only if there exists a differential $d\hat{\omega}_\pm$ of the second kind such that:*

(i) The only singularities of $d\omega$ are at the points $\lambda = 0,\infty$ and they are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{\omega}_\pm &= Z d(\sqrt{\lambda}), \quad \lambda \sim \infty, \\ d\hat{\omega}_\pm &= \pm i\bar{Z} d(1/\sqrt{\lambda}), \quad \lambda \sim 0. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

(ii) For any closed cycle γ on X

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\gamma d\hat{\omega}_\pm \in \mathbf{Z}, \tag{49}$$

(iii)

$$\frac{1}{\pi i}\int_\infty^{\lambda=e^{2i\phi}} d\hat{\omega}_\pm \in \mathbf{Z}, \tag{50}$$

Proof. We already proved (i) and (ii). To prove (iii) we use the identities

$$\frac{\theta(u+\Omega_\pm+\begin{bmatrix} N \\ M \end{bmatrix})}{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm+\begin{bmatrix} N \\ M \end{bmatrix})} =$$

$$\exp\{-<u,N>+\pi i(<N,\Delta_2>-<M,\Delta_1>)\}\frac{\theta(u+\Omega_\pm)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm)},$$

$$\frac{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(u+\Omega_\pm+\begin{bmatrix} N \\ M \end{bmatrix})}{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm+\begin{bmatrix} N \\ M \end{bmatrix})} = \exp\{-<u,N>\}\frac{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(u+\Omega_\pm)}{\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm)}, \quad (51)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} N \\ M \end{bmatrix} = 2\pi i M + BN.$$

Under the shift $z \to z + Z$ the exponent in (36) aquires a factor

$$\exp\{\int_\infty^{\lambda=e^{2i\phi}} (Zd\Omega_\infty \pm i\bar{Z}d\Omega_0)\sigma_3\},$$

which jointly with (51) gives the following transformation law for Φ

$$\Phi \to \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Phi \exp\{\sigma_3 \int_\infty^{\lambda=e^{2i\phi}} d\hat{\omega}_\pm\},$$

where $d\hat{\omega}_\pm$ are defined by (48).

Theorem 8 *The immersion function $G(z,\bar{z})$ determined by (12,13,36,44) describes an analytic Willmore surface M.*

(i) M is a Willmore torus if and only if $G(z,\bar{z})$ is doubly-periodic

$$G(z+Z_1,\bar{z}+\bar{Z}_1) = G(z+Z_2,\bar{z}+\bar{Z}_2) = G(z,\bar{z})$$

(ii) $G(z,\bar{z})$ is doubly-periodic if and only if there exist on X two abelian differentials of the second kind $d\hat{\omega}^1_\pm$ and $d\hat{\omega}^2_\pm$ (the same sign for both) satisfying the conditions (i)-(iii) (with Z_1 and Z_2 respectively) of Lemma 1.

(iii) All these Willmore tori have umbilic lines with preimages on the z-plane given by

$$\theta\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix}(\Omega_\pm) = 0.$$

Proof. The properties (i),(ii) follow from Theorem 4 and Lemma 1. By the maximum principle there are no compact minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{H}^3$, therefore the

tori constructed must intersect the infinity plane $G_3 = 0$. The metric $u(z, \bar{z})$ is singular on the umbilic line (see Sect.3)

$$e^u \sim \frac{1}{|G_3|} \to +\infty.$$

Combined with (31,32) this proves (iii).

There is a unique differential $d\hat{\omega}_\pm$ with the asymptotics (48), normalized by the condition that all its periods are imaginary. The number of periods is $4N + 2$, but due to

$$\overline{\tau^* d\hat{\omega}_\pm} = \mp d\hat{\omega}_\pm \tag{52}$$

only $2N+1$ of them are independent. To prove (52) we compare the singularities of the both sides using (42).

Finally for a doubly-periodic immersion we get $2(2N+1)$ intrinsic periodicity conditions (49) ($2N + 1$ for $d\hat{\omega}^1_\pm$ and $2N + 1$ for $d\hat{\omega}^2_\pm$) and 4 (real) extrinsic periodicity conditions (50). All of them are conditions on the branch points of the spectral curve ($4N + 2$ real parameters) and on the periods Z_1, Z_2 (4 real parameters). The situation is quite similar to the case of constant mean curvature tori [5],[6]. The numbers of parameters and conditions coinside. It is not difficult to see that for any $N \geq 1$ a countable number of spectral curves exists defining distinct Willmore tori with umbilic lines. The case $N = 1$ is considered in Sect.10. For general N this statement can be rigorously proved by the methods of [10].

There is no restriction on the vector $D_\pm$. The change of this vector in the directions transversal to the plane $Uz \pm iV\bar{z}$ changes the torus. This means that the Willmore tori constructed have $2N - 1$ commuting flows of deformations.

8 Willmore functional

Let Π be a fundamental region of the lattice Λ on the z-plane. It is a parallelogram determined by the vectors Z_1, Z_2.

The relation (15) allows us to express the Willmore functional for tori as follows

$$W = \int_{T^2} (H^e)^2 dS^e = -\int_{T^2} (K^h + 1) dS^h.$$

Here we used the minimality $H^h = 0$ and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for the tori $\int_{T^2} K^e dS^e = 0$. On the other hand, the normalization (22) gives for

$$K^h + 1 = -e^{-2u},$$

which together with $dS^h = 4e^u dxdy, z = x + iy$ yields

$$W = 4 \int_\Pi e^{-u} dxdy. \tag{53}$$

Fortunately, it is possible to perform integration in (53) explicitely and hence to obtain a more effective formula for W. The substitution of the asymptotics

$$\psi_{1,2} = (1 + b_{1,2}\nu^{-1} + ...)e^{z\nu}, \nu \to \infty$$

in the equation $\psi_{\bar{z}} = V\psi$ gives

$$e^{-u} = -b_{1\bar{z}}. \tag{54}$$

On the other hand, a direct calculation, using (29), leads to the following formula for $b_{1\bar{z}}$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} b_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \log \left\{ \frac{\theta(\Omega + u)\theta(D)}{\theta(\Omega + D)\theta(\Omega)} e^{\pm i\bar{z}kp} \right\}_{|u=0} =$$

$$\pm ik - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \log \theta(\Omega + u)_{|u=0} = \pm ik + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \log \theta(\Omega), \tag{55}$$

where $p = \nu^{-1}$ is a local parameter at $\lambda = \infty$, and k is defined by the condition that $d\Omega_0 = kdp$ at $\nu = \infty$. To derive (55) we used the form of Abels map near $\Lambda = \infty$

$$u = -Up, \tag{56}$$

which is proved in a standard way using a reciprocity law [11] for $d\Omega_\infty$ and du. Because of (56) the derivative $\partial/\partial p$ in (55) may be replaced by

$$\partial/\partial p \to -\partial/\partial z.$$

A reciprocity law also allows us to define k in a more convenient way

$$d\Omega_\infty = kd\nu, \quad \nu \to 0.$$

Applying the Stokes formula to (53,54,55) we obtain the following

Theorem 9 *For the constructed Willmore tori the Willmore functional is equal to*

$$W = \int (H^e)^2 dS^e = \mp 4ikS(\Pi),$$

where $S(\Pi)$ is the area of fundamental parallelogram Π.

9 Tori of rectangular conformal type

From now on we consider an asymptotic line parametrization of minimal surfaces in Q

$$\lambda = e^{2i\phi} = i.$$

Due to (3,22), $A =< F_{zz}, N >= 2iG_3$ is imaginary, and curvature of the parameter lines $x = const, y = const$ on the surface is equal to the mean curvature. This is the mean curvature parametrization of surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^3$.

The case of tori of rectangular conformal type can be formulated in terms of the spectral curve. Namely, in this case X possesses a holomorphic involution

$$\lambda \to -1/\lambda.$$

The equation of X is the following:

$$\mu^2 = \lambda^{2N+2} \prod_{k=1}^{2N+1} (\lambda - \lambda^{-1} - e_k), \tag{57}$$

where the set of the points e_k is invariant with respect to complex conjugation. The coverings $X \to X_1$ and $X \to X_2$ are given by

$$\begin{gathered} X_1 \equiv \{(s,t_1)|t_1^2 = \textstyle\prod_{k=1}^{2N+1}(s-e_k)\}, \\ X_2 \equiv \{(s,t_2)|t_2^2 = (s^2+4)\textstyle\prod_{k=1}^{2N+1}(s-e_k)\}, \\ s = \lambda - \lambda^{-1}, t_1 = \mu\lambda^{-N-1}, t_2 = \mu\lambda^{-N-1}(\lambda+\lambda^{-1}). \end{gathered} \tag{58}$$

Now the two integrals $d\hat{\omega}_{\pm}^{1,2}$ we are looking for can be considered as integrals on X_1 and X_2 with singularities at $s = \infty$. Analysis of the singularities with the help of (43,58) shows that the following theorem holds.

Theorem 10 *The following specialization of the spectral data determines Willmore tori, as above, of rectangular conformal type ($Z_{1+} = X_+, Z_{2+} = iY_+; Z_{1-} = X_-, Z_{2-} = iY_-$, where $\pm$ denote two families of solutions as above):*

(1) The spectral curve is of the form (57),

(2) $d\hat{\omega}_{\pm}^{1,2}$ are differentials on $X_{1,2}$ respectively with all periods integer multiples of $2\pi i$ and the following asymptotics at $s = \infty$:

$$d\hat{\omega}_+^1 = X_+ d\left(\frac{s^{N+1}}{t_1}\right), \quad d\hat{\omega}_-^1 = iY_- d\left(\frac{s^{N+1}}{t_1}\right), \tag{59}$$

$$d\hat{\omega}_+^2 = iY_+ d\left(\frac{s^{N+2}}{t_2}\right), \quad d\hat{\omega}_-^2 = X_- d\left(\frac{s^{N+2}}{t_2}\right), \tag{60}$$

(3)

$$\frac{1}{\pi i}\int_{\infty}^{s=2i} d\hat{\omega}_{\pm}^1 \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{61}$$

The mean curvature lines of these tori are closed.

To finish the proof, we mention that the extrinsic periodicity condition (50) for $d\hat{\omega}_{\pm}^2$ are automatically satisfied since $s = 2i$ is a branch point of X_2.

Again we have equal numbers $2N+3$ of parameters (e_k, X, Y) and conditions ($2N+1$ intrinsic and 2 extrinsic (61)), which isolate a discrete set of the spectral curves.

10 Simplest Willmore tori with umbilic lines

We consider the symmetric case $N = 1$ in more detail. We suppose that not all branch points of X are real

$$\mu^2 = \lambda^4(\lambda - \lambda^{-1} - e_1)(\lambda - \lambda^{-1} - e_2)(\lambda - \lambda^{-1} - \overline{e_2}), e_1 \in \mathbf{R} \tag{62}$$

The genera of X_1 and X_2

$$t_1^2 = (s - e_1)(s - e_2)(s - \overline{e_2}), \quad t_2^2 = (s^2 + 4)(s - e_1)(s - e_2)(s - \overline{e_2}) \tag{63}$$

are equal to 1 and 2 respectively.

10.1 Intrinsic periodicity

Since $Jac(X_1)$ is one dimentional $u_+(z, \overline{z})$ is always periodic in the x-direction and $u_-(z, \overline{z})$ is periodic in the y-direction. The periodicity in another orthogonal direction is described by

Theorem 11 *The solutions $u_\pm(z, \overline{z})$ of the equation (23), generated by the curve (62), are doubly-periodic if and only if q is rational*

$$q = \frac{\int_{l_\pm^1} ds/t_2}{\int_{l_\pm^2} ds/t_2} \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \equiv \mathbf{Q} \cup \{\infty\}, \tag{64}$$

where $l_\pm^{1,2}$ are indicated in Fig.4.

Proof. In Sect.7 we saw that existence of the differential of the second kind with all periods integer multiples of $2\pi i$ is equivalent to existence of the normalized differential with the same singularity, b-period of which belong to the lattice of the Jacobian. Let us denote by $d\tilde{\omega}_\pm$ the corresponding normalized differentials on X_2 with the singularities (60). Then the following condition must be satisfied:

$$\int_{b_n} d\tilde{\omega}_\pm = \int_{\gamma_\pm} d\tilde{u}_n, \tag{65}$$

where $d\tilde{u}_n$ are the normalized holomorphic differentials of X_2 and $\gamma_\pm$ are some cycles on X_2. On the other hand a reciprocity law [11] allows us to express b-periods of $d\tilde{\omega}_\pm$ in terms of $d\tilde{u}_n$

$$\int_{b_n} d\tilde{\omega}_+ = -iY_+ \frac{d\tilde{u}_n}{d(s^{-3}t_2)_{|s=\infty}}, \quad \int_{b_n} d\tilde{\omega}_- = -X_- \frac{d\tilde{u}_n}{d(s^{-3}t_2)_{|s=\infty}}. \tag{66}$$



Fig.4.

To use reality arguments, we choose the a-cycles coinciding with $l_-^{1,2}$ shown in Fig.4. The normalized differentials change sign $\overline{\tau^* d\tilde{u}} = -d\tilde{u}$ under the action of

$$\tau : (s, t_2) \longrightarrow (\bar{s}, -\bar{t_2}).$$

For the periods of $d\tilde{\omega}_\pm$ we have

$$\int_{b_n} d\tilde{\omega}_+, \; i\int_{b_n} d\tilde{\omega}_- \in \mathbf{R},$$

which implies that $\gamma_\pm$ must be decombosable with respect to $l_\pm^{1,2}$ respectively ($\tau l_\pm^{1,2} = \mp l_\pm^{1,2}$). Combining (65,66) together with the decomposition argument above, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} -iY_+ \\ -X_- \end{pmatrix} \frac{d\tilde{u}_n}{d(s^{-3}t_2)}_{|s=\infty} = q_\pm^1 \int_{l_\pm^1} d\tilde{u}_n + q_\pm^2 \int_{l_\pm^2} d\tilde{u}_n \tag{67}$$

with $q_\pm^{1,2}$ integer. The relation (67) is independent of the choice of basis of holomorphic differentials. Written down in the basis $ds/t_2, sds/t_2$ it gives (64) and determines the periods Y_+, X_-.

10.2 Extrinsic periodicity

To get a torus it is now enough to satisfy the extrinsic periodicity condition for $d\hat{\omega}_\pm^1$ only, since for $d\hat{\omega}_\pm^2$ they are automatically satisfied (see Sect.8). Let us

consider the elliptic curve

$$\check{t}_1^2 = \check{s}(\check{s} - e^{i\delta})(\check{s} - e^{-i\delta}) \tag{68}$$

and the differential $d\check{\omega}_\pm$ on it with the asymptotics

$$d\check{\omega}_+ = \check{X}_+ d(\check{s}^2\check{t}^{-1}), \quad d\check{\omega}_- = i\check{Y}_- d(\check{s}^2\check{t}^{-1}), \quad \check{s} \sim \infty$$

and all periods imaginary. The real part of the integral $\int_\infty^P d\check{\omega}_\pm$ is a well defined function. It is not difficult to prove the following

Lemma 2 *Zero sets of* $Re \int_\infty^P d\check{\omega}_\pm$

$$S_\pm = \{P | Re \int_\infty^P d\check{\omega}_\pm = 0\} \tag{69}$$

1) consist of two ovals $S_\pm = S_\pm^1 \cup S_\pm^2$,
2) $S_\pm$ *are invariant with respect to the involution* $\check{t} \to -\check{t}$,
3) the projections $\check{s}(S_\pm^1), \check{s}(S_\pm^2)$ *to the* $\check{s}$*-plane are curves connecting* (e_1, ∞) *and* $(e_2, \bar{e}_2)$ *respectively,*
4) $\check{s}(S_\pm^1)$ *lie on the real axis,* $\check{s}(S_\pm^2)$ *intersect real axis at one point only.*

Let us choose $\check{X}_+$ and $\check{Y}_-$ such that (see Fig.5)



Fig.5.

$$\int_\beta d\check{\omega}_+ = \int_\alpha d\check{\omega}_- = 4\pi i. \tag{70}$$

Then all periods of $d\check{\omega}_\pm$ are imaginary and proportional to $2\pi i$, i.e. the intrinsic periodicity conditions are satisfied. Let us take some "rational" point

P^0 on $S^2_\pm$

$$\int_\infty^{P^0} d\check{\omega}_\pm = \pi i p, \quad p = n/m \in \mathbf{Q}, \quad n, m \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{71}$$

The transformation

$$\check{s} \to s = 2(\check{s} - P^0_R)/P^0_I, \quad P^0 = P^0_R + iP^0_I, \tag{72}$$

reduces the curve (68) to the form (63) with both intrinsic and extrinsic periodicity conditions satisfied by the differential $d\hat{\omega}_\pm = m\sqrt{P^0_I/2}d\check{\omega}_\pm, (X_+ = m\sqrt{P^0_I/2}\check{X}_+, Y_- = m\sqrt{P^0_I/2}\check{Y}_-)$.

The restriction (64) can be rewritten in terms of the modulus of the elliptic curve (68)

$$q_p(\delta) = \frac{\int_{l^1_\pm} \check{t}_2^{-1} d\check{s}}{\int_{l^2_\pm} \check{t}_2^{-1} d\check{s}} \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}, \quad \check{t}_2^2 = \check{s}(\check{s} - e^{i\delta})(\check{s} - e^{-i\delta})(\check{s} - P^0)(\check{s} - \bar{P}^0), \tag{73}$$

where $l^{1,2}_\pm$ are the same as in Fig.4. Elliptic curves (68) with rational $q_p(\delta)$ determine Willmore tori with umbilics. The condition (73) is nondegenerate since $q_p(\delta)$ is an analytic function different from a constant. The last fact for the plus family u_+ is proved in Appendix.

Finally, the simplest Willmore tori with umbilic lines are described by the following

Theorem 12 *Elliptic curve (68) with the conditions (70,71,73) satisfied generate a one-parameter family of Willmore tori with umbilic lines. As above, they are described by the formulas (12,13,36,44) where the spectral curve (62) is of genus 3 and is related to the elliptic curve (68) by (63,72). The mean curvature lines of these tori are closed.*

11 Branch point case

The formulas for the immersion obtained are not valid when the point

$$\lambda = e^{2i\phi} = E_1$$

is a branch point of the spectral curve. In this case columns in (33) coincide and $\det \Phi$ vanishes.

Simple regularization gives a correct answer. Let $p = \sqrt{\lambda - E_1}$ be a local parameter at $\lambda = e^{2i\phi}$. We take the function

$$\Psi_{bp} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial\psi_1/\partial p & \psi_1 \\ \partial\psi_2/\partial p & \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} (\lambda = E_1) = \frac{1}{2}\Psi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1/p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} |_{p=0} \tag{74}$$

instead of (33). It satisfies the equations (30) and

$$\det \Psi_{bp} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \det \Psi, \tag{75}$$

where $\det \Psi$ is given by (35).

The same transformation (34) provides us a regularized function Φ:

$$\Phi_{bp} = \frac{1}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix} (\Omega_\pm)} \times \tag{76}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu e^{\pi i k/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}\{\theta(\Omega_\pm + u)e^{\omega_\pm} - \log\theta(D+u)\} & \nu e^{\pi i k/2}\theta(\Omega_\pm - u)e^{-\omega_\pm} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p}\{\theta \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix} (\Omega_\pm + u)e^{\omega_\pm} - \log\theta(D+u)\} & -\theta \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix} (\Omega_\pm - u)e^{-\omega_\pm} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\det \Phi_{bp} = -2\nu e^{\pi i k/2} c_{bp} \frac{\theta(\Omega_\pm)}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix} (\Omega_\pm)},$$

$$c_{bp} = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\{\theta \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (u)\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix} (u) - \log(\theta(D-u)\theta(D+u))\}}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (0)\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix} (0)}.$$

As before (44), we choose the analytic square root (13)

$$\Delta_{bp} = 2e^{\pi i k/2}|c_{bp}| \frac{\theta(\Omega_\pm)}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1/2 \\ \Delta_2/2 \end{bmatrix} (\Omega_\pm)}. \tag{77}$$

Theorem 13 *If $\lambda = e^{2i\phi}$ is a branch point of the spectral curve, then the corresponding Willmore surface is described by the formulas (12,13,76,77). This immersion is doubly-periodic if and only if there exist on X two independent abelian differentials of the second kind $d\hat{\omega}^1_\pm$ and $d\hat{\omega}^2_\pm$*

(i) with singularities of the form (48) (with Z_1 and Z_2 respectively),

(ii) with all periods being integer multiples of $2\pi i$,

(iii) (extrinsic periodicity) vanishing at $\lambda = e^{2i\phi}$

$$d\hat{\omega}^{1,2}_\pm(\lambda = e^{2i\phi}) = 0. \tag{78}$$

Proof. As above, (ii) is the intrinsic periodicity condition. It guarantees doubly-periodicity of the metric and of the theta functions and their derivatives in (12,13, 76). Formulas (12,13,76) show that there is a linear term (in z and $\bar{z}$) in $G_1 + iG_2$ coming from the derivative $\partial\omega_\pm/\partial p$ in (76). Generally, the surface determined by (12,13,76) with intrinsic periodicity conditions satisfied possesses two translational periods parallel to the absolute. The condition of vanishing of these periods is (iii).

A Appendix

Let us consider the curve X_1 (63) with modulus

$$k = \sin(\frac{1}{2}\arcsin(e_1 - \bar{e}_2)) \in (0,1)$$

and introduce the following notations:

$$p = k^{3/2} \mid e_2 - e_1 \mid, \quad e = e_1 - \mid e_2 - e_1 \mid .$$

We consider the case

$$k \to 0, \quad e \to 0, \quad p \in \mathcal{D}_p = (1/2, 3/2). \tag{79}$$

The known analytic properties of the normalized ($\int_\alpha = 0$, see Fig.5) elliptic integral

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{X}{2}\int^s \frac{s - s_0}{t_1} ds$$

with period $4\pi i = \int_\beta d\mathcal{F}$ allow us to represent it in the following way

$$\mathcal{F} = 2K(Z(u,k) - ik\mathrm{cn}(u,k)) - \pi i, \quad v = 2u + iK',$$
$$\mathrm{sn}(v,k) = ih/\sqrt{1-2kh}, \ \mathrm{cn}(v,k) = \sqrt{1-2kh+h^2}/\sqrt{1-2kh},$$
$$h = \sqrt{k}(s-e)/(2p).$$

Here we use the standard notations for the elliptic functions and integrals ($Z(u,k)$ is the Jacobi zeta function). For finite s

$$\mid s - \frac{r}{2p} \mid < \text{const},$$

where the constant is independent of k. This implies $h \to 0$ and the following asymptotics ([15], page 906) for $(\mathcal{F}/\pi i)^2$ holds:

$$(\mathcal{F}/\pi i)^2 = k^2(1 - kh + h^2)(1 + O(k^{5/2})). \tag{80}$$

Requiring $\mathrm{Re}\mathcal{F}(s = 2i) = 0$ gives

$$e = -\sqrt{k}p(1 + O(k^2)), \tag{81}$$

which agrees with (79). Substituting (81) into (80) yields the following

Lemma 3 *For $k \to 0$ it is alway possible to choose the branch points ($e \approx -\sqrt{k}p$) such that $\mathcal{F}(s = 2i)$ is imaginary. In this case*

$$q_1 = \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(s = 2i)}{\pi i}\right)^2 = k^2(1 + k\ O(1)), \tag{82}$$

where $O(1)$ is uniformly bounded for $p \in \mathcal{D}_p$.

Let us calculate now the asymptotics of the ratio (64) for $k \to 0$. We set

$$q_2 = \frac{N}{M} = \frac{\int_{2i}^{e_2} t_2^{-1} ds + \int_{2i}^{\bar{e}_2} t_2^{-1} ds}{\int_{e_1}^{e_2} t_2^{-1} ds + \int_{e_1}^{\bar{e}_2} t_2^{-1} ds}. \tag{83}$$

It is evident that rationality of q_2 is equivalent to rationality of (64). To calculate the nominator of (83) we make a fractional linear transformation $s \to s_1$, mapping the points $\pm 2i, e_2, \bar{e}_2$ to the points $\pm 2, \pm p_1$ ($p_1 \in \mathbf{R}$) respectively. This transformation implies

$$\frac{ds}{t_2} = c_1 \frac{ds_1}{\sqrt{(s_1^2-4)(s_1^2-p_1^2)}} \sqrt{\frac{s_1+ig_1}{s_1+if_1}}, \tag{84}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= -(g_1^2+4)((g_1^2+4)((g_1-a)^2+b^2)(g_1-e_1))^{-1/2}, \\
p_1 &= \frac{b(g_1^2+4)}{(a-g_1)^2+b^2}, \quad f_1 = \frac{g_1^2+4}{e_1-g_1} + g_1, \\
g_1 &= r_1(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4}{r_1^2}}), \quad r_1 = \frac{b^2+a^2-4}{2a},
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the notation

$$e_2 = a + ib.$$

Similarly, to calculate the denominator of (83) we make a fractional linear transformation $s \to s_2$, mapping the points $a \pm ib$, e_1, ∞ to the points $\pm ib, \pm f_2$ ($f_2 \in \mathbf{R}$) respectively. This transformation implies

$$\frac{ds}{t_2} = ic_2 \frac{ds_2}{\sqrt{(s_2^2+b^2)(s_2^2-f_2^2)}} \frac{s_2-f_2}{\sqrt{(s_2-a)^2+b^2}}, \tag{85}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
ic_2 &= -i((a-e_1+r_2)^2+b^2)((f_2^2+4)((f_2-a)^2+b^2)(f_2-e_1))^{-1/2} \\
r_2^2 &= (e_1-a)^2+b^2, \quad f_2 = e_1 - r_2.
\end{aligned}$$

If (k,p) is such that $\mathrm{Re}\mathcal{F}(s=2i)=0$ and the conditions (79) hold, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= -k^{3/4}(3p)^{-1/2}(1+2kp^{-2}/3+O(k^2)), \\
c_2 &= p^{1/2}k^{1/4}(1-kp^2/8+O(k^2)), \\
g_1 &= 4pk^{-3/2}(1+kp^{-2}+O(k^2)), \\
f_1 &= -\frac{4}{3}pk^{-3/2}(1-kp^{-2}+O(k^2)), \\
f_2 &= -2pk^{1/2}(1+O(k^2)), \\
a &= p^3k^{-1/2}(1-k(p^2/4+2p^{-2})+O(k^2)), \\
b &= 2p^2k^{-1}(1-kp^2/4+O(k^2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the Teilor series for

$$\sqrt{\frac{s_1+ig_1}{s_1+if_1}}, \qquad \frac{s_2-f_2}{\sqrt{(s_2-a)^2+b^2}}$$

in (84,85) we represent the nominator and denominator of (83) in the form of series in k. The coefficients in these series are expressed in terms of complete elliptic integrals of the curves

$$w_1^2=(s_1^2-4)(s_1^2-p_1^2), \quad w_2^2=(s_2^2+b^2)(s_2^2-f_2^2).$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
N &= -4i\frac{k^{3/4}}{\sqrt{3p}}(1+\frac{k}{6p^2}+O(k^2))(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2p}K(k_1)-\frac{17}{32}k^{5/2}E(k_1)) \\
&= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}ik^{5/4}p^{-3/2}\log\frac{4p}{\sqrt{k}}(1+\frac{k}{12p}(5-\frac{3}{\log\frac{4p}{\sqrt{k}}})(1+O(k^2)), \\
M &= -ip^{-3/2}k^{7/4}(1+\frac{kp^2}{2})(K'(k)-\frac{1}{2}(1-kp^2)E'(k))(1+O(k^2)) \\
&= -ip^{-3/2}k^{9/4}\log\frac{4}{k}(1+\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2\log\frac{4}{k}}+\frac{kp^2}{2})(1+O(k^{2-\epsilon})),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$k_1=\sqrt{1-k_1'^2}, \quad k_1'=2/p_1\to 0.$$

Lemma 4 *In the conditions of the previous lemma the following asymptotics for q_2 is valid:*

$$q_2=\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}k(1-\frac{\log(4p^2)-\sqrt{k}/2}{\log\frac{4}{k}})(1+O(k)). \tag{86}$$

Formulas (82, 86) show that the map $(k,p)\to(q_1,q_2)$ is nondegenerate and there exist (k,p) generating rational pairs q_1,q_2. This completes the proof of the existence of the tori.

References

[1] M.V.Babich *Real finite-gap solutions of the equations of sine-Gordon type* Algebra and Analys **2:3** (1990), 63-77 ; [English transl.: Leningrad Math. Journ. **2:3** (1991)]

[2] M.V.Babich *Constant mean curvature $H<1$ surfaces in hyperbolic space* Preprint TU Berlin (1992)

[3] E.D.Belokolos, A.I.Bobenko, V.Z.Enolskii, A.R.Its, V.B.Matveev *Algebro-geometric approach to nonlinear integrable equations* Springer (1992)

[4] A.Besse *Einstein manifolds* Springer (1987)

[5] A.I.Bobenko *All constant mean curvature tori in R^3, S^3, H^3 in terms of theta-functions* Math. Ann. **290** (1991), 209-245

[6] A.I.Bobenko *Constant mean curvature surfaces and integrable equations* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **46:4** (1991), 3-42 ; [English transl.: Russian Math. Surveys **46:4** (1991)]

[7] F.E.Burstall, D.Ferus, F.Pedit, U.Pinkall *Harmonic tori in symmetric spaces and commuting Hamiltonian systems on loop algebras* Preprint TU Berlin (1991)

[8] E.Date *Multi-soliton solutions and quasi-periodic solutions of nonlinear equations of sine-Gordon type* Osaka J. Math. **19** (1982), 125-158

[9] B.A.Dubrovin, I.M.Krichever, S.P.Novikov *Integrable systems.I.* In:Modern problems in mathematics. Fundamental developments, vol 4 (1985), 179-285 [English transl.: In: Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol.4 (1985), 173, Springer-Verlag]

[10] N.Ercolani, H.Knörrer, E.Trubowitz *Hyperelliptic curves that generate CMC tori in R^3* Preprint ETH Zürich (1991)

[11] J.D.Fay *Theta-functions on Riemann surfaces* Lect. Notes in Math. **352**, Springer (1973)

[12] D.Ferus, F.Pedit *S^1-equivariant minimal tori in S^4 and S^1-equivariant Willmore tori in S^3* Math.Zeitschrift **204** (1990), 269-282

[13] D.Ferus, F.Pedit, U.Pinkall, I.Sterling *Minimal tori in S^4* To appear in J.Reine Angew. Math.

[14] N.M.Ercolani, M.G.Forest *The geometry of real sine-Gordon wavetraines* Comm. Math. Phys. **99** (1985), 1-49

[15] I.S.Gradshtein, I.M.Ryzhik *Table of integrals, series and products* Academic Press (1980)

[16] U.Hertrich-Jeromin, U.Pinkall *Ein Beweis der Willmoreschen Vermuttung für Kanaltori* To appear in J.Reine Angew. Math.

[17] N.Hitchin *Harmonic maps from a 2-torus to the 3-sphere* J.Diff.Geom. **31** (1990), 627-710

[18] V.A.Kozel, V.P.Kotlyarov *Quasi-periodic solutions of the equation $u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \sin u = 0$* Dokl.Akad.Nauk Ukr. SSR **10A** (1976), 878-881 [in Russian]

[19] R.Kusner *Comparison surfaces for the Willmore problem* Pacif. Journ. Math. **138:2** (1989), 317-345

[20] P.Li, S.T.Yau *A new conformal invariant and its application to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces* Invent. Math. **69** (1982), 269-291

[21] V.B.Matveev *Abelian functions and solitons* Preprint 373 Univ. of Wroclaw (1976)

[22] U.Pinkall *Hopf tori* Invent. Math. **81** (1985), 379-386

[23] U.Pinkall, I.Sterling *Willmore surfaces* Math. Intell. **9** (1987), 38-43

[24] U.Pinkall, I.Sterling *On the classification of constant mean curvature tori* Ann. Math. **130** (1989), 407-451

[25] H.Wente *Constant mean curvature immersions of Enneper type* Preprint (1990)

[26] E.T.Whittaker, G.N.Watson *A course of modern analysis* Cambridge Uni.Press (1958)

[27] S.Wolfram *Mathematica*, Second edition, Addison-Wesley (1991)