Fermilab Library
0 1160 0038421 8

62

MIDWESTERN UNIVERSITIES RESEARCH ASSOCIATION



HIGH ENERGY FFAG RING MAGNET WITH SPIRALLY RIDGED FIELD

REPORT **NUMBER** 62

Revision MURA-DWK-7

HIGH ENERGY FFAG RING MAGNET WITH SPIRALLY RIDGED FIELD*

D. W. Kerst, University of Illinois and Midwestern Universities Research Association

March 2, 1955

In the first reports on the focussing properties of magnetic fields having the so called spirally ridged form

$$H = Ho(r/ro)^k \quad (1 + f \sin[\frac{x}{\lambda} - N\theta]) \text{ or}$$

$$H = Ho(r/ro)^k \quad (1 + f \sin[1/w \text{ Ln } r/ro - N\theta])$$

The motion was expanded about a circular reference circle and the inhomogeneous term was ignored in the discussion of the stability of the motion[1,2,3]. It was pointed out at the end of reference 1 that the inhomogeneous term must be considered. This is because the scalloped motion of the closed equilibrium orbit causes the orbit to spend more time in the negative field gradient regions and less time in the positive field gradient regions than would be spent if the equilibrium orbit were circular. The treatment with a scalloped orbit as a reference orbit should give stronger vertical focussing.

The differential equation for the motion about the scalloped orbit has been derived by Laslett and the motion exhibits the expected effect. This is described in a con-

---

1. MURA-DWK/KMT/LWJ/KRS-3
2. MURA-DWK-7
3. MURA-KRS-7

* Supported by the National Science Foundation.

current report by Laslett.

The result is that the radial focussing of a negative momentum compaction magnet is practically lacking and that a positive momentum compaction machine is easier to achieve. We will apply Laslett's results to a revision of the example of a spiral ridge 20 Bev ring magnet as discussed in 1. Collecting his formulae : the closed orbit Laslett found is

$$r = r_1 \left[1 - \frac{f}{N^2 - (k+1)} \sin \left[N\theta + \arc\tan \left\{ (k-2)w \right\} \right] \right]$$

$r_1 v$ is the radial displacement from this reference orbit.

$r_1 y$ is the axial displacement from this reference orbit.

For large k and very small $\delta = \tan^{-1}\left[(k+2)w\right]$.

$$v'' + \left\{ 1 + k - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f}{w}\right)^2 \left(1/N^2 - k\right) + \underbrace{\frac{f}{w}\cos N\theta + \frac{1}{2}(f/w)^2 \left(1/N^2 - k\right)\cos 2N\theta}_{g(r,\theta)} \right\} v = 0$$

$$y'' - \left\{ k - \frac{1}{2}(f/w)^2 \left(1/N^2 - k\right) + \cos N\theta + \frac{1}{2}(f/w)^2 \left(1/N^2 - k\right)\cos 2N\theta \right\} y = 0$$

We see that the usual constant term, k, is decreased when the reference orbit is scalloped.

Using $g(r,\theta)$ in Symon's smooth approximation we have

$A_oG_o = \overline{\left[\int g(r,\theta)d\theta + c\right]}^2$ for the alternating gradient term in the equation for the smooth motion:

$$V'' + \left(\left[k - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f}{w}\right)^2 \frac{1}{N^2 - k}\right] + A_oG_o\right) V = 0$$

$$Y'' + \left(-\left[k - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f}{w}\right)^2 \frac{1}{N^2 - k}\right] + A_oG_o\right) Y = 0 \qquad (2)$$

We must have

$$\overline{\int g(\theta)\, d\theta + c} = 0 = \frac{f}{wN} \sin N\theta + \frac{1}{4N} \left(\frac{f}{w}\right)^2 \frac{1}{(N^2-k)} \sin 2N\theta + C$$

So $C = 0$

$$\left[\int g(\theta)d\theta + c\right]^2 = \left(\frac{f}{w}\right)^2 \frac{1}{N^2} \sin^2 N\theta + \frac{1}{2N^2} \left(\frac{f}{w}\right)^3 \frac{1}{N^2-k} \sin N\theta \times$$

$$\times \sin 2N\theta + \frac{1}{16N^2} \left(\frac{f}{w}\right)^4 \frac{\sin^2 2N\theta}{(N^2 - k)^2}$$

$$\overline{\left[\int g(\theta)d\theta + c\right]^2} = \left(\frac{f}{w}\right)^2 \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{32} \left(\frac{f}{w}\right)^4 \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{1}{(N^2-k)^2} \qquad (3)$$

or

$A_oG_o \cong \left(\frac{f}{\sqrt{2}wN}\right)^2$ which is the same alternating gradient term which one gets when a circle is used for the reference orbit.

The usual rule

$$\nu_x^2 = \left\{1 + K\right\} + (A_oG_o) \qquad (4)$$

$$\nu_y^2 = \left\{-K\right\} + AG,$$ where K is the non-alternating term in the differential equation (1), becomes

$$\nu_r^2 \cong \left\{ 1 + k - \left(\frac{f}{\sqrt{2}w} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{N^2 - k}} \right)^2 \right\} + \left(\frac{f}{\sqrt{2}wN} \right)^2$$

$$\nu_y^2 \cong \left\{ - k + \left(\frac{f}{\sqrt{2}w} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N^2 - k}} \right)^2 \right\} + \left(\frac{f}{\sqrt{2}\, wN} \right)^2 \tag{5}$$

or

$$\nu_r^2 \overset{f}{\cong} 1 + k$$

$$\nu_y^2 \cong - k + \left(\frac{f}{wN} \right)^2 = - k + 2 \text{ A.G.}$$

Thus in this approximation the radial focussing is determined mainly by the variation of average field with radius, not by the alternating gradients while the axial focussing is enhanced. We have taken ridges which make a small angle with the orbit to find this result. That is, $w \ll 1$. With $w \longrightarrow \infty$ as in Mark I, the radial focussing depends on the A.G. term.

As an example of a magnet choose:

$KE_{maximum} = 20$ Bev, $\overline{H_o} = 14{,}000$ Gausses

$Ke_{injection} = 5$ Mev, $\overline{H_i} = 64.$ Gausses

$r_o = 5000$ cm

$r_i = 4825$ cm

$d \cong r_o - r_i = 175$ cm

Then

$$k \cong 150$$

$\nu_r = \sqrt{151} = 12.3$ radial betatron oscillations around the machine.

Choose

$\nu_y = 6.2$ axial oscillations around the machine.

Choose $N > 2\nu_r$ so $\sigma_r < \pi$.

$N = 37$ gives $\begin{cases} \sigma_r \cong \frac{2\pi}{3} \\ \sigma_y \cong \frac{\pi}{3} \end{cases}$

So by (5)

$\frac{f}{w} = \sqrt{\nu_y^2 + k} = 504$. We can have $f = \frac{1}{4}$ so $2\pi w \cong 15$ cm radial spacing between ridges, and there would be about twelve ridges across the pole, each spiraling about one third of the way around the machine. We know the gap can be $\sim \frac{1}{4} 2\pi w \cong 4$ cm without critical points in the shape of the iron pole surface.

HIGH ENERGY FFAG RING MAGNETS WITH SPIRALLY RIDGED FIELD*

D. W. Kerst, University of Illinois and Midwestern Universities Research Association.

November 17, 1954

This is a brief consideration of the parameters for a 20 Bev spirally ridged field magnet. The accompanying graph shows the stability diagram[1] for $k \gg 1$ where

$$H = H_0 (r/r_0)^k \left(1 + f \sin\left[\theta/\lambda - N\theta\right]\right)$$ defines k.

We have the quantities

$$\left.\begin{aligned} q &\doteq \frac{f}{4N^2\lambda} \quad \text{and} \\ a &= \frac{4k}{N^2} \end{aligned}\right\} \quad (1)$$

which must lie in the stable region of the a, q plane.

N is the number of sectors (ridges) and $\lambda = 2\pi\lambda$ is their radial separation in units of r_0.

If $a_{radial} > 0$, we have positive momentum compaction with a transition energy for a synchrotron. If $a_{radial} < 0$, we have negative momentum compaction and no transition energy.

Dividing the above expressions we have

$$q = \frac{f}{16\lambda k} a$$ relating q and a. According to the stability diagram

$$\frac{f}{16\lambda k} \geq .366$$

* Supported by the National Science Foundation.

1 From MURA DWK/KMT/LWJ/KRS-3.

or $f/\lambda \geq 5.86\,k$. [Note: $f/\lambda = m$, the greatest field index contributed by a field $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0\,(1 + f \sin \frac{x}{\lambda})$ where $m \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \frac{d\mathcal{H}}{dx}\Big|_{max}$. so $m \geq 5.86\,k$ for stability.]
In terms of λ

$f/\lambda \geq .935\,k$ is a convenient indicator of (2)
the magnitude of the flutter f needed.

If we desire to redesign and to raise k to allow smaller and smaller radial aperture containing the same momentum spread, then

$H_1/H_0 = (r_1/r_0)^k$ = constant as k is changed from machine to machine or

$$\ln H_1/H_0 = k \ln(r_0 - w)/r_0 = k \ln(r_0 - w) - k \ln r_0 = \text{const}$$
(w = RADIAL APERTURE.)

or $k \ln(r_0 - w)$ = constant. But if $r_0 \gg w$,
then $k \ln(r_0 - w) = k \ln r_0 - wk/r_0$ so
$kw = km\lambda$ = constant where m is the number of ridges <u>across</u> the radial aperture. So if we keep m the same, (2) becomes

$f \geq .935\,k\,\lambda$ = constant as we try different k's;
and we do not encounter the need for bigger f's provided we can decrease λ, the ridge separation, as we increase k. We can probably only do this if the vertical aperture is decreased along with the radial aperture.

Example of ring magnet parameters for 20 BEV:

Take $a = .1975$

$q = .088$

$k = 100$ (we can use positive k for positive momentum compaction or negative k for negative momentum compaction).

Then $N = \sqrt{\frac{k}{a}} = 45$ sectors or ridges <u>around</u> the machine

$$\frac{f}{\lambda} = \frac{2N^2}{\pi} q = 113.$$

Taking $\lambda = 2 \times 10^{-3}$ (~ 20 cm out of 10^4 cm for r_0)

$$f = .226 \sim 23\%$$ flutter.

The angle the ridges make with the orbit is

$$\phi = N\lambda \cong 15 \times 10^{-3}$$ or 15 milliradians (~ .90°)

It would be important to keep betatron oscillations down to much less than ϕ for the approximate linear theory[1] to hold. However, there are still strong radial restoring forces for trajectories making an angle ϕ with the equilibrium orbit.

To hold a factor of 150 on momentum in the magnet with k = 100 we have about a 5 meter radial aperture; but if k can be higher, the aperture goes down proportionately.