Assembly of a Mechanical Model of MQXFB, the 7.2 m Long Low-β Quadrupole for the High Luminosity LHC Upgrade


Abstract—The Nb$_3$Sn low-β quadrupole MQXF is being developed as a part of the High-Luminosity LHC upgrade project. The magnet will be produced in two different configurations, sharing the same cross-section but with different lengths. A 7.2 m mechanical model of MQXFB was recently assembled at CERN with one copper coil, two low-grade coils and one rejected coil. Coil dimensions were measured with a portable Coordinate Measurement Machine. The coil pack shimming was designed in order to optimize the field quality and the contacts between the coils and the collars. The azimuthal preload target was defined using the short models experience. The mechanical behavior during loading was monitored by means of strain gauges. The results demonstrated that the structure can provide the required prestress to the coils.

Index Terms—High Luminosity LHC, Low-β quadrupole, Nb$_3$Sn magnet, Mechanical Model.

I. INTRODUCTION

As part of the High-Luminosity upgrade [1] the LHC inner triplet quadrupoles will be substituted with new Nb$_3$Sn magnets. The Q1 and Q3 quadrupoles will be each made of two MQXFA magnets, with a magnetic length of 4.2 m. The Q2 quadrupoles will be instead made of two MQXFB magnets, having a magnetic length of 7.15 m [2], [3].MQXFA and MQXFB will share the same cross-section, shown in Fig. 1, and will produce an ultimate gradient of 143.2 T/m in a 150 mm aperture, when powered at 17.89 kA. The design was tested in four short models [4]: the MQXFS1 [5], [6], MQXFS5 [7] and MQXFS4 [8] magnets successfully reached the ultimate current, while MQXFS3 [7] did not.

The design employs the bladder and key technology to apply the azimuthal prestress to the coils [9]. The longitudinal prestress is realized with rods, stretched by a piston and locked in place with nuts and bolts. Different loading conditions were tested during the short model campaign, exploring the impact on the magnet performances [10]–[12]. These results can be used as a reference, since scaling from 1.5 m to 4.2 m and 7.15 m should not affect the azimuthal mechanical performances [13]. On the other hand, a longer magnet will reduce the longitudinal stiffness of the coil. However, the numerical results presented in [14] suggest that, even if the total coil elongation scales with the magnet length, the contact pressure between the coil and the pole/spacers in the end regions should not be subject to major changes.

This paper summarizes the assembly results of a MQXFB mechanical model, built at CERN using coils without full performance, in order to verify the assembly procedures, test the mechanical soundness of the first MQXFB structure, and verify if an acceptable preload condition could be reached. The mechanical model discussed is the longest magnet assembly ever performed with the bladder and key technology.

II. COIL DIMENSIONAL MEASUREMENTS

MQXFB coils are being manufactured at CERN [15]: up to now eight coils were manufactured, of which four will be used for the first MQXFB prototype. A measurement machine
Fig. 2. Box plot for the azimuthal excess of all the MQXFB coils produced up to now.

Fig. 3. Azimuthal size deviation along the coil length. The average variation is 0 µm, -300 µm, -50 µm and 150 µm for Coil C001, C101, C102 and C103 respectively. The size deviation from the average is contained within ±250 µm.

was used to probe the outer radius, the pole and the midplanes of the coil. The operation was repeated every 200 mm, scanning 37 cross-sections in total. Similarly to what was done for the short models [16], the cross-sections were aligned against a CAD model, computing the local azimuthal excess \((L + R)\). A box plot of its deviation is shown in Fig. 2 for all the MQXFB coils produced up to now. For the mechanical assembly the following coils were selected: C001, a copper coil; C101 and C102, two low-grade coils; C103, a rejected coil, damaged during the reaction process [15]. Their size variation along the length is shown in Fig. 3. The average variation is 0 µm, -300 µm, -50 µm and 150 µm for Coil C001, C101, C102 and C103 respectively. The variation along the length of each coil is contained within about ±250 µm.

In order to improve the coil geometry stringent care was put on the procedure, in particular the pressure and torque applied on the curing, reaction, impregnation fixtures. The impregnation process itself was also improved refining the process parameters. The coil dimensional uniformity improved, as visible from Fig. 2: the variation for the latest coils is contained within ±150 µm.

### III. COIL PACK ASSEMBLY

The nominal outer radius of the MQXF coils is equal to 113.376 mm, 0.625 mm smaller than the collars inner radius.

![Fig. 4. Standard shimming applicable for the MQXF magnets. One 125 µm ground insulation polyamide layers is wrapped around the coil, and two around the collars. Two additional layers are foreseen to match the nominal coil radius to the collar one. The plot also shows the azimuthal and radial shimming options.](image)

![Fig. 5. Shimming plan and coil positioning adopted for the mechanical assembly. A 150 µm distance was left between the nominal collar radius and the coil and shim package.](image)

![Table I. Field quality variation as a function of the coil ordering.](image)
This gap can be filled with radial polyamide shims, in the configuration shown in Fig. 4. In particular, three polyamide shims with a total thickness of 375 µm constitute the ground plane insulation, and two optional sheets can fill the remaining space, allowing to accommodate oversized coils. Positioning errors due to smaller coils can also be corrected, by means of radial and azimuthal (mid-plane) shims. The azimuthal solution is in general preferred, as it allows to bring the four coils inner surface at the same radius, with beneficial effects on the field homogeneity [17]. As a consequence, no shimming was applied on coil C103, and mid-plane shims were applied on the other coils to match its azimuthal size.

Even if not relevant for this mechanical assembly, the relative coil positions were defined trying to obtain best possible field quality. A numerical analysis was performed, introducing the deformed coil geometry in a ROXIE [18] model, assuming a full mid-plane shimming strategy and then combining the coils with different ordering. The main effects of the coil ordering on the harmonics are resumed in Table I. The configurations labelled as 3 and 5 are equivalent, while the other configurations show a large $a_4$, equal to 3.6 units for sets 2, 4; -2.9 units for the configurations 1 and 5. Configuration 3 was selected. The final shimming resulting from these choices is shown in Fig. 5.

The coil pack formed in this way is about 100 µm bigger than the nominal one in the radial direction. To accommodate for this is possible to remove part of the radial shims. The contact quality was verified in a first coil pack where a layer of pressure sensitive film (Fuji paper) was inserted between the coils and the collars. Both the strain gauge data and the pressure sensitive film analysis suggested an imperfect contact of coils and collars. It was shown in the past that this could produce bending at the inner layer pole [13]. As a consequence, a further space of 150 µm was then left, in an attempt of optimizing the coil-collar contact. This value is similar to the one left in most MQXF magnets [19], [20].

The distance between the collar sides was measured at several locations along the length of the magnet, as shown in Fig. 6. For the Fuji assembly the alignment pole key was not inserted. The variation along the length of these gaps was very close to the one measured on the short models, and contained within ±300 µm in the magnet straight section. This measurement allows to compute the expected gap on the alignment pole key sides, and to add shims if required. This distance is in fact defines the relationship between the amount of force produced by the structure and the one absorbed by the coils [11]. Using the measurements from the first assembly the expected gap would have been equal to 400 µm. However, as the radial shimming was reduced in the second and final assembly (Fig. 5), so was the azimuthal space between the collars. An additional assembly without alignment pole key would have been necessary to correctly measure the collar distances, but was not performed. As a consequence, the measurements can not be directly compared with the short model ones. The gap measured between the pole key sides was equal to 100 µm in total. No shim was applied to the pole key. The variation along the straight section was found equal to ±200 µm: the variation decrease was probably due to the presence of the pole keys, showing the expected effect in improving the coil-pack alignment.

IV. SUPPORT STRUCTURE ASSEMBLY AND PRELOAD

The MQXFB yoke and shells subassembly is in five modules: two lateral modules made of three shells, and three central modules with two shells each (see Fig. 1). Each module was assembled stretching the shell by means of bladders.
inserted in the cooling holes, and then locking the yokes in place with the yoke keys. The shell strain as a function of the bladder pressure for one of the central modules is shown in Fig. 7. The operation required a pressure of about 30 MPa, with a maximum strain in the shells of 600 µm/m, and a final strain in the order of 100 µm/m. The modules were then connected by means of longitudinal rods inserted in the yoke.

The coil pack was then inserted in the yoke-shell sub-assembly, the masters packages were slit inside, and the azimuthal loading system was installed. The loading operation was performed inserting keys of increasing thickness inside the structure. In [14], the target prestress for the MQXFB magnets was defined to be, after cooldown, equal to 150 MPa. This prestress is in fact the one that would avoid any pole unloading up to the ultimate current. The successful performances of short models with lower prestress suggest that this prestress could in fact be non-necessary. As a consequence, the target prestress was defined to be as close as possible to the one of the latest model tested, MQXFS4: 76 MPa at warm, 106 MPa at cold [12].

The loading results are resumed in Fig. 8 using the so-called Transfer Function (TF), defined in [10]. Along with the measured values and their variation across the available strain gauges, the graph provides the simulated TF for different contact conditions between the collars and the alignment pole keys. The measured average lies in between the upper and lower simulation limits, assuming respectively a key always and never in contact with the collar sides. This is consistent with the measured gap (see Section III). The final average prestress reached is 76 MPa, by coincidence identical to the one applied in MQXFS4. The variation across the four coils and the three measuring location is equal to ±33 MPa, larger to the one measured on the short models. The variation is roughly in line with the predictions from the coil metrological measurements. This would allow in future, for the MQXFB production, to formulate an acceptance criteria for coils. Also the shell stress variation is larger than the short models one, and equal to ±20 MPa.

The variation of pole stress as a function of the loading key thickness is shown in Fig. 9, along with the same measurements for the MQXFS4 magnet. The final thickness of the loading keys inserted was 13.8 mm for both magnets. The repeatability of this transfer function could allow to control the loading process on the basis of the sole pole key thickness. This could be fundamental for the series assemblies, where the pole strain gauges might not be installed [12]. The MQXFB model loading required a maximum bladder pressure of 37 MPa.

V. CONCLUSION

The MQXFB mechanical assembly confirmed the feasibility of assembling the MQXFB magnet following the procedure already developed on the MQXF short models. The test allowed also to test the performances of the first MQXFB support structure.

One copper coil, two low grade coils and a faulty coil were used in the assembly. The measured coil azimuthal size deviation from the average was within ±250 µm. Computations suggest that this could produce a stress variation of ±40 MPa. Improvements of the coil fabrication process allowed to bring this deviation within ±150 µm.

Field quality considerations allowed to define the coil relative positioning and the amount and typology of the shims. No significant difference was noticed with the short models on the structural alignment as measured on the collar sides. When the coil pack was assembled with the keys the collar sides distance variation decreased, suggesting an improvement in the longitudinal alignment.

The final measured average prestress was 76 MPa, identical to the MQXFS4 value, as desired. The loading key thickness was also the same, 13.8 mm. The variation of the coil stress was contained within ±33 MPa. As expected, this value is significantly larger than what was measured on the short models.
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