The veto system of the DarkSide-50 experiment

P. Agnes*^a* **, L. Agostino***^b* **, I. F. M. Albuquerque***c*,*^d* **, T. Alexander***e*, *^f* **, A. K. Alton***^g* **,** K . Arisaka h , H. O. Back c,i , B. Baldin f , K. Biery f , G. Bonfini j , M. Bossa k , B. Bottino l,m , **A. Brigatti***ⁿ* **, J. Brodsky***^c* **, F. Budano***o*,*^p* **, S. Bussino***o*,*^p* **, M. Cadeddu***q*,*^r* **, L. Cadonati***^e* **, M. Cadoni**^{q,r}, F. Calaprice^c, N. Canci^{s, j}, A. Candela^j, H. Cao^c, M. Cariello^m, **M. Carlini***^j* **, S. Catalanotti***u*,*^t* **, P. Cavalcante***v*, *^j* **, A. Chepurnov***w***, A. G. Cocco***^t* **,** G . Covone u,t , L. Crippa x,n , D. D'Angelo x,n , M. D'Incecco j , S. Davini k,j ; S. De Cecco b , **M. De Deo***^j* **, M. De Vincenzi***o*,*^p* **, A. Derbin***^y* **, A. Devoto***q*,*^r* **, F. Di Eusanio***^c* **, G. Di Pietro***j*,*ⁿ* **, E. Edkins***^z* **, A. Empl***^s* **, A. Fan***^h* **, G. Fiorillo***u*,*^t* **, K. Fomenko***aa* **,** G. Foster^{e, f}, D. Franco^a, F. Gabriele[,], C. Galbiati^{c, j}, C. Giganti^b, A. M. Goretti[,] **F. Granato***bb***, L. Grandi***cc***, M. Gromov***w***, M. Guan***dd***, Y. Guardincerri***^f* **, B. R. Hackett***^z* **,** $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{K}}$. R. Herner f , E. V. Hungerford s , Aldo Ianni ee,j , Andrea Ianni c , I. James $^{o,p},$ \mathbf{D} . Johnson oo , C. Jollet ff , K. Keeter gg , C. L. Kendziora f , V. Kobychev hh , G. Koh c , **D. Korablev***aa***, G. Korga***s*, *^j* **, A. Kubankin***ii***, X. Li***^c* **, M. Lissia***^r* **, P. Lombardi***ⁿ* **, S. Luitz***j j* **, Y. Ma***dd***, I. N. Machulin***kk*,*ll***, A. Mandarano***k*, *^j* **, S. M. Mari***o*,*^p* **, J. Maricic***^z* **, L. Marini***l*,*m***,** C. J. Martoff^{bb}, A. Meregaglia ff , P. D. Meyers c , T. Miletic^{bb}, R. Milincic z , D. Montanari^f, A. Monte^e, M. Montuschi^j, M. E. Monzani^{jj}, P. Mosteiro^c, **B. J. Mount***gg***, V. N. Muratova***^y* **, P. Musico***m***, J. Napolitano***bb***, A. Nelson***^c* **, S. Odrowski***^j* **, M. Orsini***^j* **, F. Ortica***mm*,*nn***, L. Pagani***l*,*m***, M. Pallavicini***l*,*m***, E. Pantic***oo* **,** S . Parmeggianoⁿ, K. Pelczar pp , N. Pelliccia mm,nn , S. Perasso a , A. Pocar e,c , S. Pordes f , D. A. Pugachev kk,ll , H. Qian c , K. Randle e , G. Ranucci n , A. Razeto j,c , B. Reinhold z , **A. L. Renshaw***h*,*^s* **, A. Romani***mm*,*nn***, B. Rossi***c*,*^t* **, N. Rossi***^j* **, S. D. Rountree***^v* **, D. Sablone***^j* **, P. Saggese***ⁿ* **, R. Saldanha***cc***, W. Sands***^c* **, S. Sangiorgio***qq***, C. Savarese***k*, *^j* **, E. Segreto***rr***, D. A. Semenov***^y* **, E. Shields***^c* **, P. N. Singh***^s* **, M. D. Skorokhvatov***kk*,*ll* **, O. Smirnov***aa***, A. Sotnikov***aa***, C. Stanford***^c* **, Y. Suvorov***h*, *^j*,*kk***, R. Tartaglia***^j* **, J. Tatarowicz***bb***, G. Testera***m***, A. Tonazzo***^a* **, P. Trinchese***^u* **, E. V. Unzhakov***^y* **, A. Vishneva***aa***, R. B. Vogelaar***^v* **, M. Wada***^c* **, S. Walker***t*,*^u* **, H. Wang***^h* **, Y. Wang***dd*,*h*,*ss* **, A. W. Watson***bb***, S. Westerdale***c*† **, J. Wilhelmi***bb***, M. M. Wojcik***pp***, X. Xiang***^c* **, J. Xu***^c* **, C. Yang***dd***, J. Yoo***^f* **, S. Zavatarelli***m***, A. Zec***^e* **, W. Zhong***dd***, C. Zhu***^c* **, and G. Zuzel***pp*

(The DarkSide Collaboration) ^aAPC, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, CEA/Irfu, Obs de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 75205 Paris, France ^bLPNHE Paris, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris 75252, France ^cDepartment of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA d Instituto de Física, Universitade de São Paulo, São Paulo 05508-090, Brazil ^eAmherst Center for Fundamental Interactions and Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA ^f Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510, USA ^gDepartment of Physics, Augustana University, Sioux Falls, SD 57197, USA ^hDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA ⁱPacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99354, USA ^jLaboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, Assergi AQ 67010, Italy ^kGran Sasso Science Institute, L'Aquila 67100, Italy ^lDepartment of Physics, Università degli Studi, Genova 16146, Italy ^mIstituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Genova, Genova 16146, Italy n Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Milano, Milano 20133, Italy o Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma Tre, Roma 00146, Italy ^pDepartment of Mathematics and Physics, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, Roma 00146, Italy ^qDepartment of Physics, Università degli Studi, Cagliari 09042, Italy r Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Cagliari, Cagliari 09042, Italy ^sDepartment of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA t Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli, Napoli 80126, Italy ^uDepartment of Physics, Università degli Studi Federico II, Napoli 80126, Italy ^vDepartment of Physics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA ^wSkobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia ^xDepartment of Physics, Università degli Studi, Milano 20133, Italy ^ySt. Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute NRC Kurchatov Institute, Gatchina 188350, Russia ^zDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawai'i, Honolulu, HI 96822, HI aaJoint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia bbDepartment of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA ccKavli Institute, Enrico Fermi Institute and Dept. of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA ddInstitute for High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, China eeLaboratorio Subterráneo de Canfranc, Canfranc Estación E-22880, Spain f fIPHC,19 Université de Strasbourg, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg 67037, France ggSchool of Natural Sciences, Black Hills State University, Spearfish, SD 57799, USA hhInstitute for National Research, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev 03680, Ukraine iiRadiation Physics Laboratory, Belgorod National Research University, Belgorod 308007, Russia j jSLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA kkNational Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow 123182, Russia llNational Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow 115409, Russia mmDepartment of Chemistry, Biology and Biotechnology, Università degli Studi, Perugia 06123, Italy nnIstituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Perugia, Perugia 06123, Italy ooDepartment of Physics, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA ppSmoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow 30059, Poland qqLawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA rrInstitute of Physics Gleb Wataghin Universidade Estadual de Campinas, São Paulo 13083-859, Brazil ⁵⁸ School of Physics, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

ABSTRACT: Nuclear recoil events produced by neutron scatters form one of the most important classes of background in WIMP direct detection experiments, as they may produce nuclear recoils that look exactly like WIMP interactions. In DarkSide-50, we both actively suppress and measure the rate of neutron-induced background events using our *neutron veto*, composed of a boron-loaded liquid scintillator detector within a water Cherenkov detector. This paper is devoted to the description of the neutron veto system of DarkSide-50, including the detector structure, the fundamentals of event reconstruction and data analysis, and basic performance parameters.

KEYWORDS: Dark Matter; DarkSide; Neutron detection; Liquid Scintillator Detector; Water Cherenkov Detector.

[∗]Corresponding author: stefano.davini@gssi.infn.it

[†]Corresponding author: shawest@princeton.edu

Contents

1. Introduction

1.1 DarkSide-50 and its neutron veto

Experiments attempting to directly detect dark matter in the form of Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs) through WIMP-nucleon scattering must be carefully designed to reduce neutron-, β -, and γ -ray-induced backgrounds [\[1\]](#page-39-0). In the DarkSide-50 experiment at Gran Sasso National Laboratory (LNGS), electron recoils can be rejected effectively by the Liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber (LAr TPC), leaving nuclear recoil events as the dominant background [[2](#page-39-0), [3\]](#page-39-0). Nuclear recoils can come either from α decays on the inner surfaces of the detector, in which the α goes into the surface and the recoiling nucleus scintillates in the LAr, or from neutrons scattering on argon nuclei. The former backgrounds can be eliminated by fiducializing the LAr to remove events near the surfaces. This leaves nuclear recoils induced by single neutron scatters as one of the most troublesome backgrounds because they are indistinguishable from WIMP interactions.

The limited size of the LAr TPC of DarkSide-50 does not allow a fiducial volume well-shielded from neutron-induced backgrounds. Passive shielding could reduce the level of neutron-induced nuclear recoils, but it is challenging for an experiment with only passive shielding to conclusively measure the background levels. This makes the interpretation of a few observed recoil events as a WIMP signal problematic. Additionally, external passive shielding does not protect against radiation from the detector components themselves or from high energy (often ∼100 MeV) cosmogenic neutrons, which may penetrate deeply through most shielding [[4](#page-39-0)]. It should also be noted that the comparatively shallow depth of LNGS (3800 meters water equivalent, m.w.e.) means that the rate of cosmogenic neutron backgrounds is higher than in very deep sites, making an additional active layer of shielding crucial to the detector design.

A better method of neutron suppression is the use of an active neutron detector (the *neutron veto*) in which the neutrons from both internal and external sources are detected with very high efficiency, and the corresponding recoil events induced by neutrons in the argon are thus identified and rejected. In addition to removing neutron backgrounds, an active veto also provides *in situ* measurements of the actual neutron background in the experiment, allowing background models to be compared to the data seen in the veto. For example, decay rates of various isotopes in the uranium and thorium decay chains can be measured by comparing their signals in the veto to those expected from Monte Carlo, and these rates can be used to compute the expected fission and (α, n) neutron rates. This comparison allows much more reliable predictions of the number of neutron-induced recoils that are not vetoed. In DarkSide-50 we actively veto radiogenic and cosmogenic neutron backgrounds with a water Cherenkov- and boron-loaded liquid scintillatorbased veto system. This veto system serves both as shielding and as a tag for radiogenic and cosmogenic neutrons, cosmic muons, and γ-rays. The key concepts and the design goals of this kind of active neutron veto system for dark matter searches are described in [\[5\]](#page-39-0).

Figure 1. Schematic drawing of the DarkSide-50 detector at LNGS. The outermost cylindrical tank is the WCV, the sphere is the LSV, and the inner cylinder is the LAr TPC cryostat. The radon-free clean room (CRH) is on top of the WCV.

The DarkSide-50 experimental apparatus consist of three nested detectors (see figure 1). From the center outward, these detectors are the 50-kg LAr TPC, the WIMP-sensitive volume of the experiment, the 30-tonnes Liquid Scintillator Veto (LSV), and the 1000-tonnes Water Cherenkov Veto (WCV). We refer to the LSV and WCV detectors together as the *veto system* of DarkSide-50. The DarkSide-50 detectors are located in Hall C of LNGS, in close proximity to and sharing many facilities with the Borexino experiment [\[6,](#page-39-0) [7\]](#page-39-0).

The DarkSide-50 collaboration is conducting a WIMP search with the LAr TPC filled with argon derived from underground sources (called Underground Argon or UAr), which has a lower concentration of the radioactive ³⁹Ar than is present in Atmospheric Argon (AAr). A first run of DarkSide-50 with a (1422 ± 67) kg-day exposure of AAr produced a null result for the dark matter search and zero backgrounds from ³⁹Ar decays [[2](#page-39-0)]. A total of 16 million background events in the LAr TPC, mostly originating from 39 Ar, were collected. All but two of the events falling within the WIMP region of interest were rejected using the LAr primary-scintillation Pulse Shape Discrimination (PSD). PSD distinguishes between electron recoil signals (β and γ decays) from nuclear recoil signals (neutrons or WIMPs). The two remaining events in the WIMP search region had a signal in coincidence with the veto and were therefore discarded. The first WIMP search in DarkSide-50 using UAr has been also reported in [\[3\]](#page-39-0), where it is shown that UAr is depleted in ³⁹Ar by a factor $(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^3$ relative to AAr. The combination of the electron recoil background rejection observed in the AAr run, and the reduction of 39 Ar from the use of UAr would allow DarkSide-50 to be free from ³⁹Ar background for several tens of years. Neutron recoils are then the primary remaining background that must be addressed in DarkSide-50.

1.2 Outline of the paper

This paper describes the neutron veto system of DarkSide-50, the analysis procedures to identify and reject the neutron backgrounds and the system performance. Both the neutron rejection power and the comparison between the measured and expected neutron background will be subjects of a future paper.

Section 2 of this paper provides relevant physics background underlying the neutron production and detection mechanisms. Sections [3](#page-8-0) and [4](#page-21-0) describe the LSV and WCV detector respectively, while section [5](#page-23-0) summarizes the event reconstruction. Finally section [6](#page-27-0) provides an overview of the veto performance, such as the response of the LSV to neutron captures in the various phases of the DarkSide-50 experiment.

2. Neutron production and detection mechanisms

In order to understand the design and efficiency of the neutron veto, it is helpful to first discuss the physics underlying the source of the neutron backgrounds and the possible neutron detection mechanisms.

2.1 Neutron background sources

Neutrons that enter the TPC of DarkSide-50 and interact with the LAr are expected to come primarily from four sources.

- Fission reactions in the detector materials Uranium and thorium contamination in the detector components may fission and produce neutrons.
- \bullet (α , n) reactions in the detector materials α -emitting radioisotopes contaminating the detector components may interact with light nuclei to produce neutrons in the detector materials.
- Radioactivity in the environment Uranium and thorium in the surrounding rocks can produce fission or (α, n) neutrons that may then enter the LAr TPC.
- Cosmogenic interactions [[4](#page-39-0)] Cosmic ray muons can interact with the detector or surrounding materials to produce high energy (typically around 100 MeV) neutrons, or they can produce unstable nuclei that will decay and produce lower energy neutrons.

Neutrons from the last two sources are easily eliminated by the veto design: the WCV detects passing muons, and the LSV provides a substantial amount of shielding as well as a visible signal before external neutrons can reach the LAr TPC. Previous simulations have shown that a buffer of at least 1 m of boron-loaded liquid scintillator can drastically reduce the rate of external neutrons that would otherwise create a dangerous background [[8](#page-39-0)]. Additionally, fission reactions that produce neutrons often generate multiple neutrons and high energy γ-rays, significantly increasing the probability of multiple coincident interactions in the LSV at the same time that a neutron interacts with the LAr. This leaves (α, n) neutrons as the most challenging type of neutron to veto, and much of the design and analysis is targeted around vetoing these neutrons with a high efficiency.

The rate of neutrons from (α, n) reactions which undergo a single elastic scatter in the LAr TPC, imparting a nuclear recoil kinetic energy within the WIMP search region, determines the neutron veto efficiency needed to run the experiment background-free for a given time. For example, for an expected rate of 10 neutrons per year giving single scatters in the LAr TPC (as roughly estimated from Monte Carlo simulations of DarkSide-50 [\[2\]](#page-39-0)), a neutron rejection efficiency of 99% (99.7%) allows one (three) year of DarkSide-50 data taking with less than 0.1 expected nuclear recoil background from neutrons.

2.2 Neutron detection mechanisms

When a neutron enters the LSV from the LAr TPC, there are two signals that can be used to detect and veto the neutron.

The first signal is the prompt thermalization signal produced by the neutron slowing down in the LSV. Neutrons lose energy by scattering off the nuclei in the scintillator, mostly on hydrogen and carbon, with most of its energy being lost to the former. While measurements of the scintillator's response to nuclear recoils are awaiting future calibration campaigns with an Americium-Carbon neutron source [[9](#page-39-0)], measurements made with a similar scintillator in [\[10](#page-39-0), [11\]](#page-39-0) indicate that scintillation from proton recoils is quenched to about 5–10% of that from electron recoils of the same kinetic energy, while carbon recoil scintillation is quenched to about 1–5%. Monte Carlo simulations have shown that the thermalization signal follows the signal in the LAr TPC very quickly, with nearly all of the energy deposited into the scintillator within ∼100 ns of the recoil in the TPC.

The second signal is the delayed signal from the neutron capture. The thermalized neutron can capture on various isotopes in the scintillator cocktail, typically on a time scale on the order of 1–100 μ s. For example, in the DarkSide-50 LSV captures may occur on ¹⁰B, ¹H, or ¹²C, in a delayed coincidence typically within several tens of microseconds of the neutron scatter in the LAr TPC. These capture reactions are discussed in more detail in sections [3.1](#page-9-0) and [6.4](#page-33-0). An important feature of this signal is that it is independent of the energy of the incoming neutron. This means that a neutron that thermalizes in the TPC detector components or has too low energy to produce a detectable prompt signal in the LSV may still produce a detectable signal when captured.

In addition to detecting neutrons through the above two primary modes, it is also possible to indirectly veto neutron events in the LAr TPC. If a neutron scatters in the TPC but captures on detector components, this capture reaction may produce a γ-ray that can later be detected in the LSV on a timescale up to 60 μ s after the scatter in the TPC, as shown in Monte Carlo simulations [\[5\]](#page-39-0). DarkSide-50 is designed to maximize the chance of a neutron that scatters in the TPC making it into the LSV. This is achieved by avoiding the use of detector materials with light nuclei — especially hydrogen — and materials with high neutron capture cross sections. The highest probability of neutron capture on the TPC detector material comes from Teflon, where the neutron may capture on ¹⁹F and produce a 6.6 MeV γ-ray [[12\]](#page-39-0). Neutrons may also capture on ¹²C in Teflon and produce

Figure 2. The Liquid Scintillator Veto (LSV). The picture shows the cryostat of the DarkSide-50 LAr TPC hanging from the top.

multiple γ -rays, as described in equation [3.2](#page-11-0). It is also possible for neutrons to capture on ⁵⁶Fe in the stainless steel cryostat and produce a 7.6 MeV γ -ray [\[12](#page-39-0)]. The thermal capture cross sections of 19 F and 56 Fe are 0.01 and 2.5 barns, respectively [\[5\]](#page-39-0).

If a neutron is produced by a cosmic ray muon, it is possible to indirectly veto the neutron by detecting the muon in the WCV. Alternatively, if the muon produces neutrons in the rock surrounding the experiment and does not pass through the WCV, it may be possible to detect charged products of the electromagnetic shower accompanying the neutron's production in the rocks.

Neutrons that scatter once in the LAr TPC and don't produce any signal in either the LSV or the WCV, directly or indirectly, will not be vetoed and may produce a fake WIMP-like event in DarkSide-50. Monte Carlo simulations show that only ∼0.05% of radiogenic neutrons produced by the (α, n) reaction leave absolutely no signal in the LSV.

3. The Liquid Scintillator Veto

The LSV is a 4.0 m diameter stainless steel sphere filled with 30 metric tonnes of boron-loaded liquid scintillator. The sphere is lined with Lumirror, a reflecting foil used to enhance the light collection efficiency. An array of 110 Hamamatsu R5912 LRI 8" PMTs is mounted on the inside surface of the sphere to detect scintillation photons. Photographs of the inside of the LSV detector can be seen in figures 2 and [3](#page-9-0).

The neutron capture reaction ${}^{10}B(n, \alpha)^7$ Li makes the boron-loaded scintillator a very effective neutron veto because of its large cross section for thermal neutron capture leading to charged prod-

Figure 3. The Liquid Scintillator Veto. The picture shows the internal surface of the LSV, covered with Lumirror, with PMTs evenly distributed inside.

Figure 4. The emission spectrum of PPO [\[13](#page-39-0)].

ucts. An α particle and a ⁷Li nucleus are always produced as a result of the neutron capture on ¹⁰B. Because the α and the ⁷Li nucleus are short ranged charged particles, a neutron capture on ¹⁰B in the LSV scintillator will always deposit visible energy in the detector.

3.1 Organic liquid scintillator

The boron-loaded liquid scintillator has three primary components: pseudocumene (PC), trimethyl borate (TMB), and 2,5-diphenyloxazole (PPO). In order to have a high neutron vetoing efficiency,

Figure 5. The absorbance of three different sample scintillator cocktails. The absorbance is defined as $\log_{10}(I_0/I)$, where I_0 and *I* are the incoming and outgoing light intensity, respectively, measured in a cell containing a sample of each scintillator. The samples measured were (black, dashed) PC with 1.5 g/L PPO in a 10 cm cell, (red, solid) PC with $2.5 g/L$ PPO in a 10 cm cell, and (blue, dotted) 50% PC and 50% TMB and $2.5 g/L$ PPO in 1 cm cell. At low absorbances, the blue curve becomes statistically limited in its measurements due to the small size of the cell.

it is important that the neutron veto have as high a light yield as possible. This is primarily accomplished by choosing a scintillator cocktail that has a high scintillation yield and is largely transparent to its own scintillation light. The decay time of the scintillator should also be short compared to the time it takes to collect the light, in order to allow the veto to make tight time coincidence cuts. The emission spectrum of the scintillator should peak around where the PMTs are most sensitive and the reflector is most reflective.

PC, $C_6H_3(CH_3)$ ₃, is the primary scintillator used in the veto and makes up the bulk of the cocktail. TMB, $B(OCH₃)₃$, is an organic molecule containing one boron atom. ¹⁰B, with a natural abundance of 19.9%, has a very high thermal neutron capture cross section of 3837(9) barn [[5](#page-39-0)].

When a neutron captures on ^{10}B , two reactions are possible:

$$
{}^{10}\text{B} + n \rightarrow \begin{cases} {}^{7}\text{Li} (1015 \text{ keV}) + \alpha (1775 \text{ keV}) & (6.4\%) \\ {}^{7}\text{Li}^* + \alpha (1471 \text{ keV}) , {}^{7}\text{Li}^* \rightarrow {}^{7}\text{Li} (839 \text{ keV}) + \gamma (478 \text{ keV}) & (93.6\%) \end{cases}
$$
(3.1)

The decay to the excited state produces a γ -ray that is easily seen as long as it does not escape into the cryostat before depositing energy into the scintillator. Energy deposits due to the α and 7 Li nucleus, on the other hand, are always contained in the scintillator, due to their high stopping power and consequently short track length. This gives boron a comparative advantage over other loading options such as gadolinium, which only produces high energy γ-rays which may escape the veto without leaving a detectable signal. However, the light output of α and ⁷Li nuclei is highly suppressed due to ionization quenching, causing them to scintillate equivalently to a 50–60 keV

electron (an energy scale referred to as "keV electron equivalent", or keVee). Detecting these decay products therefore requires a high light collection efficiency. If the detector can very reliably detect these nuclear decay products, it can very efficiently detect neutrons that capture in the veto, regardless of their initial kinetic energy, since the energy of these capture products does not depend on the neutron's initial energy.

In addition to capturing on ¹⁰B, a thermal neutron may also capture on ¹H or ¹²C through the following reactions

$$
{}^{1}H + n \rightarrow {}^{2}H + \gamma (2223 \text{ keV}) \qquad I_{\gamma}/I_{\gamma}(\text{max}) = 100\% \qquad \sigma = 0.33b
$$

$$
{}^{12}C + n \rightarrow \begin{cases} {}^{13}C + \gamma (3090 \text{ keV}) & I_{\gamma}/I_{\gamma}(\text{max}) = 100\% \\ {}^{13}C + \gamma (4945 \text{ keV}) & I_{\gamma}/I_{\gamma}(\text{max}) = 67\% \\ {}^{13}C + \gamma (1860 \text{ keV}) & I_{\gamma}/I_{\gamma}(\text{max}) = 57\% \end{cases} \qquad (3.2)
$$

where σ is the thermal neutron capture cross section, I_{γ}/I_{γ} (max) is the intensity of the γ -ray, relative to the maximum intensity γ-ray [\[12](#page-39-0)]. For ¹²C only the three dominant γ-rays are shown (notably, 12 C will often produce multiple γ-rays after capturing a neutron).

The wavelength shifter PPO is added in a concentration of a few grams per liter in order to increase the detection efficiency of the LSV. Since energy deposited in the PC can both radiatively and non-radiatively transfer to the PPO, which has a quantum yield of 84% [\[14](#page-39-0)], only a small concentration is needed for the cocktail's emission spectrum to shift to longer wavelengths. This shift increases the attenuation length of light in the scintillator. Additionally, since PPO scintillates much faster than PC, adding PPO can make the light signal faster, allowing for tighter prompt coincidence cuts. Lastly, the Borexino collaboration has observed that increasing PPO concentration decreases the effects of ionization quenching, which would make it easier to detect the nuclear decay products [\[15](#page-39-0), [16\]](#page-39-0).

The emission spectrum of the PPO is shown in figure [4](#page-9-0). The absorption lengths of various scintillator cocktails relevant to the DarkSide-50 liquid scintillator are shown in figure [5.](#page-10-0) As can be seen from these two plots, the longer wavelength of the PPO emission spectrum has a long attenuation length in the scintillator cocktail, allowing the LSV to reach a high light yield.

The LSV was first filled with boron-loaded scintillator during the first two weeks of October, 2013. Two different mixtures of PC, TMB, and PPO were used in the two WIMP search phases of DarkSide-50 $¹$:</sup>

- *Phase-I* Nov. 2013 June 2014: 50% mass fraction of PC, 50% TMB, 2.5 g/L PPO
- *Phase-II* Feb. 2015 present: 95% mass fraction of PC, 5% TMB, 1.4 g/L PPO

The capture times and relative rate of neutrons capturing on ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{10}B$, and ${}^{12}C$ can be calculated from the cross sections given above and the chemical compositions and concentrations of PC and TMB. These rates and capture times were calculated and compared with measurements taken during an ²⁴¹ AmBe source calibration run. The measurements were found to agree with the predictions, as described in section [6.4](#page-33-0). During Phase-I of DarkSide-50, with a 50% TMB concentration,

¹Phase-I of DarkSide-50 corresponds also to the WIMP search with AAr [\[2\]](#page-39-0), while Phase-II corresponds to the WIMP search with UAr [\[3\]](#page-39-0).

	Scintillator	Master Solution
Flow	$90\,\mathrm{kg}/h$	40 kg/h
Reboiler temperature	40 C	180C
Condensing temperature	20C	20C
Reboiler pressure	55 mbar	55 mbar
Condensing pressure	50 mbar	50 mbar
Nitrogen flow	$10\,\mathrm{kg}/h$	$10\,\mathrm{kg}/h$

Table 1. Main parameters used for the scintillator and master solution purification.

the neutron capture time was $\sim 2.2 \,\mu s$ and $\sim 0.8\%$ of neutron captures were expected to be on ¹H. During Phase-II, with a \sim 5% concentration, the neutron capture time is \sim 22µs and we expect $~\sim$ 8% of neutrons to capture on ¹H. The number of captures on ¹²C should be about two orders of magnitude below the 1 H capture rate.

During Phase-I, it was found that the LSV exhibited a high rate of ¹⁴C decays (∼150 kBq). The endpoint of ¹⁴C beta spectrum is 156 keV, and so this high rate of ¹⁴C decays made the α and 7 Li decay products impossible to be distinguished over the background, severely limiting the rejection power of the veto. ${}^{14}C$ is naturally formed in the atmosphere by the cosmogenic activation of nitrogen and its abundance has been further increased due to nuclear weapons testing. This results in trace amounts of ¹⁴C ($\sim 10^{-12}$ g/g) being present in all natural carbon samples. Upon further investigation, it was discovered that the Dow Chemicals plant located in the Netherlands that produced the TMB used biogenic methanol to derive much of the TMB, rather than methanol from petroleum. Since biogenic methanol gets much of its carbon from the atmosphere, this TMB had a very high 14 C concentration.

Petroleum-dervied methanol tends to have much lower levels of ^{14}C , because the petroleum used for these processes has spent millions of years shielded from cosmic rays underground, far longer than the 5700 year halflife of ¹⁴C. A ¹⁴C/¹²C ratio of \sim 2 × 10⁻¹⁸ was measured in the Borexino CTF for petroleum feedstock [\[17](#page-39-0)]. After identifying several sources of petroleum-derived TMB, samples were sent to Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory for analysis by accelerator mass spectroscopy. A sample measured to have a ${}^{14}C$ concentration below the limit of detectability of 10^{-3} times the modern ¹⁴C fraction (equivalent to ¹⁴C/¹²C < 10⁻¹⁵) was chosen. The chosen sample was produced by the Dow Chemicals plant in the US, which used a petroleum-based process. TMB from the US Dow plant was then purchased to replace the high 14 C TMB at a lower concentration of 5%, primarily for cost reasons. The effects of ${}^{14}C$ and TMB on the performance of the LSV are discussed in more detail in section [6.](#page-27-0)

3.2 Fluid handling system and scintillator purification

The distillation and nitrogen stripping facilities were developed based on the experience gained with the similar facilities in Borexino [\[18](#page-39-0), [19](#page-39-0)]. Figure [6](#page-13-0) is a block diagram of the fluid handling plants. The purification plant is composed of a vacuum distillation column and a packed stripping column, and was used both for the scintillator and for the master solution (described later) purification; the main parameters are reported in table 1.

Figure 6. Block diagram of the liquid handling systems for the active veto of the DarkSide-50 experiment.

тімір ате шазу пасцопу. Period	Experimental Phase	Scintillator mixture
Nov. $2013 -$ June 2014	Phase-I $[2]$	PC 50%, TMB 50%, 2.5 g/L PPO
June 2014 – Sept. 2014		PC ~100%, TMB \leq 0.05%, 2.5 g/L PPO
Sept. 2014 – Dec. 2014		PC ~100%, TMB $\leq 0.05\%$, ≤ 0.1 g/L PPO
Dec. $2014 - Jan. 2015$		PC ~100%, TMB \leq 0.05%, 0.7 g/L PPO
Jan. 2015 – Feb. 2015		PC 95%, TMB 5%, 0.7 g/L PPO
Feb. 2015 – present	Phase-II $[3]$	PC 95%, TMB 5%, 1.4 g/L PPO

Table 2. Scintillator mixtures used in the different periods of DarkSide-50 running. Fractions of PC and TMB are mass fractions.

All DarkSide-50 plants and piping had to match Borexino requirements in term of leak tightness and cleanliness, and Borexino cleaning procedures were also adopted [\[20](#page-40-0)]. In addition, since TMB is highly hygroscopic, special care was taken to ensure that all surfaces were dry after cleaning. The DarkSide-50 plants were connected to the Borexino plants, allowing the use of the Borexino storage area and purification plant in addition to the DarkSide-50 facilities.

The scintillator mixing took place in two stages. First, a *master solution* was prepared by distilling the total mass of PPO and dissolving it in distilled PC. Second, PC and TMB were separately distilled and mixed together in-line along with the master solution to ensure that all components were homogeneously combined.

The LSV was reconstituted between Phase-I and Phase-II in order to reduce the ¹⁴C contamination. The first step was to remove the high- 14 C TMB, which was accomplished using the

Borexino distillation plant. The Borexino plant was used because the DarkSide-50 plant only has a single stage and was not effective in the separation of PC and TMB. The reconstitution began in June 2014, with five repeated distillations of the entire 30 tonnes of PC-TMB-PPO mixture. The TMB was the light product of the distillation and was extracted at the top of the column and sent to one of the storage vessels for disposal. The PC and the PPO were extracted from the bottoms and sent back to the detector. The operation was done in-loop in the detector, and the removed TMB was replaced with pre-purified PC from the storage area. The distillation process reduced the TMB by a factor of 1000.

After the TMB removal, the light yield of the scintillator dropped by a factor of 2 due to the presence of heavy contaminants in the bottom of the distillation column, and another scintillator purification became necessary. This purification was performed using the Borexino distillation plant followed by nitrogen stripping. This time the light product was the PC, which was sent back to the LSV. The heavy product was PPO with some PC, which was recovered as the master solution. The PC purification increased the light yield of the LSV from ~ 0.25 PE/keV to ~ 0.4 PE/keV. As a side effect of the distillation, the PPO was removed from the mixture.

The entire scintillator inventory was cycled through external pumps to allow the PPO to be re-added in two aliquots. This was done using the master solution, which was purified with the DarkSide-50 purification plant and added in-line with the scintillator. This re-addition took place in December 2014 and February 2015. The first PPO addition campaign restored the PPO concentration from a few ppm to 680 ± 30 ppm (~ 0.7 g/L). The additional PPO increased the light yield of the LSV from ~ 0.4 PE/keV to ~ 0.55 PE/keV with no increase of ¹⁴C or other backgrounds.

In January, 2015, further operations were performed to add the low -¹⁴C TMB. For cost reasons, the new TMB was added at a lower mass fraction of 5%, rather than 50%. During the TMB addition campaign, which took place between January 8–15, 2015, a total of 1.44 tonnes of TMB were added to the LSV.

In February, 2015, the second PPO aliquot was added. This increased the PPO concentration from 0.7 g/L to 1.4 g/L. The further addition of PPO slightly decreased the light yield of β and γ interactions from ~ 0.55 PE/keV to $\sim 0.53 \pm 0.03$ PE/keV, but also slightly increased the light yield for α + ⁷Li products, by decreasing the effects of α + ⁷Li scintillation quenching [[13\]](#page-39-0). More details on the light yield measurements and changes can be found in section [6](#page-27-0).

After adding the clean TMB, the ${}^{14}C$ decay rate in the LSV dropped from its original value of \sim 150 kBq to 0.[2](#page-13-0)5 ± 0.03 kBq. Table 2 summarizes the various scintillator mixtures in the different periods of DarkSide-50 running.

3.3 Stainless Steel Sphere

An unsegmented Stainless Steel Sphere (SSS) is both the container of the boron-loaded scintillator and the mechanical support of the 110 Hamamatsu R5912 LRI 8 " PMTs of the LSV. The SSS has a diameter of 4.0 m, a volume of roughly 30 m^3 , and was assembled and welded inside the WCV tank from pre-shaped AISI316L steel plates of 10 mm thickness. The SSS is supported by four steel legs to keep the sphere's south pole 2.4 m above the base of the WCV water tank. The steel legs are welded to the base plate of the water tank.

The DarkSide-50 cryostat is inserted through a 1 m diameter flange at the top of the sphere, and is suspended by three stainless steel rods with lengths adjustable with sub-millimeter repeatability.

The flange also includes seven feed-throughs to connect, via corrugated steel hoses, all the services for the cryostat (vacuum line, PMT cables, optical fibers, high voltage TPC cables, etc.).

Four straight vertical 6" tubes connect the inner volume of the sphere to the radon-free clean room on top of the WCV (see figure [1\)](#page-5-0). Each tube is welded to the SSS on one end and connects to a port in bottom of the clean room on the other end. One of these ports is currently in use for the source calibration system (section [3.6](#page-19-0)), and the others are available for other uses. Three additional 10" flanges, two on the upper and one on the lower hemisphere, are equipped with CCD cameras for visual monitoring of the detector status during operations and between periods of normal running.

3.4 Lumirror reflector

Due to cost restrictions the LSV has \sim 7% photo-detector coverage. To compensate for this, the inner walls of the LSV have been lined with a reflector.

Many reflectors were initially ruled out due to chemical incompatibility with PC or TMB. Many other reflectors, like Gore-Tex [[21](#page-40-0)], rely on voids with different indices of refraction than the bulk, and therefore lose reflectance when submerged in liquid scintillator [[22\]](#page-40-0). After some experimentation [\[23](#page-40-0), [22](#page-40-0)], Lumirror 188 E6SR was chosen. Lumirror is a highly reflective voidbased reflector between two protective layers [[24,](#page-40-0) [25](#page-40-0)]. These protective layers allow the reflector to be submerged in scintillator without significant loss of reflectivity [[22\]](#page-40-0).

A sample of measurements of the Lumirror reflectance taken with a Perkin Elmer Lambda-650 spectrophotometer are shown in figure [7.](#page-16-0) As can be seen in this figure, the Lumirror has a maximum reflectance of ∼97.5% for wavelenghts greater than ∼350 nm, and has a steep cutoff at shorter wavelengths, below most of the scintillator's emission spectrum. Additional tests have shown that while prolonged periods of soaking the Lumirror in scintillator do not significantly decrease the reflectance of the bulk of the Lumirror, there is a very slowly progressing degradation along the edges of about 1 cm per 9 months. This degradation decreases the peak reflectance of the edges to ∼83% [\[22](#page-40-0)]. However, this effect progresses inwards slowly enough to not be a major problem for a prolonged campaign.

The Lumirror was installed in the LSV as a series of spherical wedges strips in order to line the inner surface of the sphere. As an additional measure against the degradation observed along the edges of the Lumirror, the strips were overlapped by ∼5 cm when installed.

A picture of the LSV with the LAr TPC inserted into it is shown in figure [2](#page-8-0). The exterior surface of the TPC cryostat is not covered with Lumirror. Since the TPC cryostat's electropolished stainless steel is less reflective than the Lumirror, its presence in the center of the LSV affects the optics of the LSV. Scintillation events that happen near the cryostat are likely to lose some light due to absorption at the stainless steel surface. Covering the cryostat with a layer of Lumirror in order to reduce this effect was considered, but this option was discarded due to the risk of a neutron capturing between the Lumirror and the cryostat, losing all of its light.

3.5 LSV photomultiplier tubes

The LSV is equipped with 110 Hamamatsu R5912 LRI 8" PMTs with low-radioactivity glass bulbs and high-quantum-efficiency photocatodes (37% average quantum efficiency at 408 nm) [[26\]](#page-40-0). All 110 PMTs are mounted on the SSS, as shown in figure [3](#page-9-0).

Figure 7. The reflectance measured for Lumirror E6SR using a Perkin Elmer Lambda-650 spectrophotometer. There is a sharp cutoff at wavelengths shorter than ∼350 nm. The bump in reflectance around 260 nm is due to the specular component of the reflectivity. (Top) The reflectance over the full range measured. (Bottom) The reflectance near the maximum value.

Table 3. Radioactivity of the LSV Hamamatsu R5912 LRI reported by Hamamatsu. No uncertainties were provided for these measurements. The mass of a single phototube is ∼1100 g [[26\]](#page-40-0).

Isotope		40 K 238 U-series 235 U-series 232 Th-series	
Activity [mBq/PMT] \vert 649	883		110

The design was based upon the broad experience gained in the Borexino experiment [[27\]](#page-40-0). The PMTs were chosen for their low radioactivity glass, low dark-pulse rate, low after-pulse rate, and a 1 σ transit time spread of \sim 1 ns, much smaller than the scintillation light pulse width. The

Figure 8. Design of the Hamamatsu R5912 LRI photomultiplier tube intalled in the LSV.

Figure 9. The Hamamatsu R5912 LRI PMT voltage divider.

amplitude and timing response performance of single electrons is particularly important due to the need to measure prompt coincidences with the LAr TPC with a very low threshold. Furthermore, in order to minimize the background from PMT noise, the PMTs have to feature a low dark rate and have a low probability of PMT after-pulsing. All of the PMTs were tested in the photomultiplier tube testing facility of the Borexino experiment at LNGS [\[28](#page-40-0)].

A diagram of the Hamamatsu R5912 LRI is shown in figure [8](#page-17-0). The Hamamatsu R5912 LRI tube has a hemispherical photocathode with a radius of curvature of about 11 cm and a diameter of about 20.2 cm, resulting in a surface area of 380 cm². The bialkali photocathode is made of CsKSb. The bulb of the tube is made of low radioactivity glass, giving the PMTs radioactivity levels shown in table [3](#page-16-0), as reported by Hamamatsu. The multiplier structure consists of 10 linear focused dynodes (BeCu), as shown in figure [9](#page-17-0).

The PMTs are installed from inside the sphere, connected by feed-throughs uniformly spaced across the sphere wall to a single cable outside the sphere carrying both signal and high voltage. A large fraction of the cable is submerged in water in the WCV. The back-end sealing of the PMTs has been designed to be compatible with operation in PC, TMB, and water. To assure a reliable operation of the PMTs in such a complex environment, it was necessary to study and develop an encapsulation of the neck of the bulb and the divider.

The base and the neck of the tube are enclosed in cylindrical stainless steel housing with an external diameter of 90 mm. This housing is fixed to the glass of the tube neck using the PCcompatible EP45HT epoxy resin from Master Bond, which acts as a structural adhesive as well as a protective barrier against PC ingress. The end-cap of the cylindrical housing was welded and helium leak tested. It forms the feed-through which is inserted into a hole on the sphere surface and is secured with a rear nut. In this way, the front part of the PMT assembly is immersed in scintillator and the rear part in water. Phenolic resin is used as an insulating material that electrically decouples the device to avoid ground loops and also acts as a groove for the Viton O-ring, assuring the tightness between the PMT feed-through and the sphere.

All PMT feed-throughs have been tested with a helium leak detector. The feed-throughs were designed to accommodate the underwater jam-nut connector from the company Framatome [[29\]](#page-40-0). The connector is screwed into the feed-through until its O-ring is properly compressed; a further potting with the same epoxy resin mentioned before is also made in order to have a second barrier against water infiltration. The space inside the cylinder is filled with an inert organic oil via a 10 mm pipe port sealed afterwards with a Swagelok cap. This mineral oil prevents water from condensing on the divider without stressing the very delicate joints between the metal pins and the glass.

The last barrier against PC is provided by a heat shrink Teflon tube glued with epoxy resin between the glass neck of the PMT and the steel can. In order to fully benefit from this barrier, we exploited a patented technology of the Gore company (Tetra-Etch, a trademark of W.L. Gore & Associates [\[30](#page-40-0)]) which employs a preliminary surface etching of the Teflon film and allows a strong Teflon adhesion on other surfaces.

The Hamamatsu R5912 LRI PMTs, due to their large size, are sensitive to the Earth's magnetic field. Thus, it is necessary to shield them with a custom-made μ -metal grid (1.0 mm thick wires) placed around the photocathode. Accelerated ageing tests showed that this material, in contact with PC, strongly catalyzed the scintillator oxidation. It is therefore necessary to cover the μ -metal with

a 20 μ m thick lining of clear phenolic paint from Morton [[31\]](#page-40-0). The support of the μ -metal grid is sketched in figure [8:](#page-17-0) it is mounted with four holders at 90 degrees, connected to the housing of the tube with screws welded onto it.

In order to determine the energy of scintillation events, it is important to know the total charge collected by each PMT. For this reason, charge calibration of the PMTs is required. The light emitted by an external picosecond 405 nm laser source is carried simultaneously to each PMT by a dedicated system of optical fibers via 10 custom-designed optical feed-throughs, which are further split into 110 individual fibers each coupled to a PMT. The design has been based on the experience gained in the development of the Borexino experiment [\[32](#page-40-0)].

The system's cables and connectors will be completely immersed in high purity water for many years, so we selected designs and materials developed for submarine applications, following the experience gained in Borexino [\[33\]](#page-40-0). The fundamental requirements are material compatibility and electrical performance. Plastics must have a very low water absorption coefficient to withstand long exposure to high purity water. The entire design must include multiple barriers against radial and axial diffusion of water along or through the cable jacket. Materials for the sealing, the cables, and the connectors as well as the other mechanical parts require the evaluation of possible effects caused by the concurrent presence of different materials together, such as the development of galvanic couples and localized corrosion, as well as the release of impurities into the water. With the PMTs in the scintillators, the radioactivity level of the materials is also a major concern.

A single-cable transmission line is used to conduct both the signal and the high voltage (HV), connected to a 50 Ω front-end where the decoupling of the HV and the signal is accomplished. The cables are custom made 50 Ω RG 213 coaxial cables. The outer jacket is made of solid extruded high density polyethylene with a laminated copper foil, bounded to the braid with a copolymer coating serving as a second barrier. All the cables have an electrical length of 246.8 ± 0.25 ns (∼49 m), and some of this length is under water. Framatome provided stainless steel connectors suitable for RG 213 cables [\[29](#page-40-0)] together with the mounting structure of the tube housing. Cable impedances are $50 \pm 2 \Omega$. All of the O-rings are made of Viton, which has been found to interact with neither PC nor TMB.

The HV is supplied to the PMTs by CAEN A1536 HV boards [\[34](#page-40-0)], housed in a CAEN Mainframe SY4527 [[35\]](#page-40-0). Typical operating high voltages are between 1600 and 1800 V.

The schematic diagram of the PMT voltage divider is shown in figure [9](#page-17-0). The signal at the PMT output due to a single photo-electron (SPE) is a pulse of about 12 mV on a 50 Ω load, with FWHM of ∼10 ns. Detailed information on the front-end and digital electronics used to acquire the PMT pulses can be found in [\[36](#page-40-0)].

All but two of the 110 PMTs of the LSV are, at the time of writing, operating correctly. The two failures in the PMTs of the LSV occurred while filling the WCV, when the water level reached their submarine cable connectors.

3.6 Calibration system

A CALibration Insertion System (CALIS) is used to deploy radioactive sources to calibrate both the LAr TPC and the LSV. CALIS was constructed, tested at Fermilab and LNGS, precision cleaned, and installed in DarkSide-50 during summer, 2014 (figure [10\)](#page-20-0). Since then it has been used for extended calibration campaigns of both the TPC and LSV involving radioactive γ -ray (⁵⁷Co, ¹³⁷Cs,

Figure 10. *Left*: Sketch of CALIS installed inside the radon-free clean room atop the WCV (CRH) and with the deployment device next to the cryostat. The source arm is articulated. *Right*: Photograph of CRH after installation of CALIS.

Figure 11. Photographs taken with cameras looking into the LSV. *Left:* CALIS with the source in contact with the cryostat of the LAr TPC. *Right:* CALIS with the ²⁴¹ AmBe source rotated away from the LAr TPC in order to study position dependent effects.

 133 Ba) and neutron (241 AmBe) sources, with further campaigns planned. A detailed description of CALIS hardware will be the subject of a future paper; in this document, we will focus primarily on aspects that influence the LSV calibration.

Figure 12. The inner surface of the Water Cherenkov Veto covered with layers of Tyvek. The Liquid Scintillator Veto, installed on four stilts and also covered in Tyvek, can be seen in the middle of the water tank.

The source holder housing the radioactive source is at the tip of an arm, which hangs vertically downwards during the insertion of the deployment device into the LSV and is articulated to a horizontal position when its goal height is reached. The arm including the source holder has a total length of 62 cm, which allows the source holder to make physical contact with the LAr TPC cryostat, thereby bringing the radioactive source as close as possible to the LAr TPC active volume (figure [11,](#page-20-0) left). This feature is particularly useful to calibrate the LSV response to neutrons that are captured in the LSV volume close to the LAr TPC cryostat. The arm can been rotated away in order to study position-dependent effects (figure [11](#page-20-0), right), which are expected due to the absorption of light on the LAr TPC cryostat.

4. The Water Cherenkov Veto

The Water Cherenkov Veto (WCV) is a powerful shield against external background (γ -rays and neutrons from the rock), and is also used as a Cherenkov muon detector. The muon flux at the 3800 m.w.e. depth of the LNGS, although reduced from that at the Earth's surface by a factor $\sim 10^6$, is of the order of 1.1 muons/(m²·hr) [\[37](#page-40-0)]. This corresponds to about 2000 muons per day crossing the WCV, about 380 muons per day crossing the LSV, and about 4 muons per day crossing the LAr TPC. Cosmogenic muons can produce high energy neutrons [[38,](#page-40-0) [8\]](#page-39-0), which can penetrate several meters of shielding. In order to avoid backgrounds from these high energy neutrons, the WCV acts as a veto to detect the muons that may produce them and therefore leave a detectable coincident signal. The WCV is equipped with 80 8 " PMTs: 56 in 8 columns on the cylindrical tank wall and 24 on the floor. These PMTs collect the Cherenkov light emitted by muons or muons' electromagnetic shower products in the water. The WCV uses the water tank from the Borexino

Isotope		LNGS Water [Bq/kg] Purified Water [Bq/kg]
238 _I	1×10^{-3}	3×10^{-7}
226 R _a	0.3	$< 1 \times 10^{-6}$
222 R _n	10	$<$ 3.4 \times 10 ⁻⁶
232Th	1×10^{-3}	3×10^{-7}
$40\,\mathrm{K}$	1×10^{-3}	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$

Table 4. Water contamination levels before and after purification with the Borexino water purification plant, as reported by [[40\]](#page-40-0)

Counting Test Facility (CTF) [\[39](#page-40-0)], and the design draws on the muon detector of the Borexino experiment. The water used to fill the WCV was purified using the Borexino water purification plant, described in [[40\]](#page-40-0), which purifies water to the levels shown in table 4. The process of filling the WCV with water began on October 2 and ended November 15, 2013.

Figure [12](#page-21-0) shows a photograph of the inside of the WCV. The water tank of the WCV is a cylinder with a diameter of 11 m and a height of 10 m for a total volume of ~ 1000 m³. It is built from carbon steel and internally protected from corrosion by a layer of Permatex resin. In order to improve the light collection efficiency, the interior surface of the WCV and the exterior of the LSV sphere are covered with a layer of Tyvek [[41,](#page-40-0) [24](#page-40-0)].

Since the background signals in the WCV are very small compared to the large Cherenkov signal produced by the muons, light collection efficiency is not as crucial as it is in the LSV, allowing us to reuse the PMTs from CTF and use cheaper reflector without sacrificing detector performance.

4.1 Tyvek reflector

The inner surfaces of the WCV, including the outer surfaces of the LSV, are covered with a layer of a Tyvek-based reflector supplied by the Daya Bay collaboration [[42\]](#page-41-0). This reflector consists of two layers of DuPont Tyvek 1082D bonded together by a layer of polyethylene. The reflectivity of this material has been measured to be greater than 96% in air and 99% in water, for light of 300–800 nm in wavelength [\[42](#page-41-0)].

4.2 WCV photomultiplier tubes

The WCV is lined with 80 20 cm diameter ETL 9351 8 " PMTs. These are the same PMTs used by the CTF experiment [[39\]](#page-40-0), after 15 years of continuous operation. These PMTs have a peak quantum efficiency of \sim 25% at 380 nm and a dark rate of \sim 2500 Hz. All but five of the PMTs in the WCV are, at present, operating correctly.

Similar to the LSV PMTs the WCV PMTs are each equipped with an optical fiber pointing at the photocathodes, and are connected to the same laser system as the LSV PMTs. Each PMT is surrounded by a conical light collector, made of UV-transparent acrylic coated with layers of silver and copper with a protective layer of acrylic painted on top [[39\]](#page-40-0). These light collectors increase the effective coverage of the PMTs.

5. Event reconstruction

The analog signals from the LSV and WCV PMTs are treated identically. The analog signal is decoupled from the HV, and it is amplified by a factor ten by a custom made front-end module. The amplified signals are sampled by commercial National Instruments PXIe 5162 digitizers (10 bit, 1.25 GHz [\[43](#page-41-0)]). Detailed information on the electronics and data acquisition of the veto system of DarkSide-50 can be found in [[36](#page-40-0)].

During the WIMP search data taking, the trigger of the veto is initiated by the LAr TPC. When a trigger is received, the veto digital electronics record data in an acquisition window with a width of several neutron capture times in the LSV. During Phase-I, when the neutrons had a capture time of \sim 2.2 µs, the data acquisition window was usually 70 µs. In this phase, the length of the acquisition window was made much longer than necessary in order to be able to detect neutrons that captured in TPC materials, such as ^{19}F or ^{56}Fe . During Phase-II, when the neutrons have a capture time of \sim 22 μ s in the scintillator, the data acquisition window was first set to 140 μ s then extended to $200 \,\mu s$.

As an alternative to the LAr TPC trigger, it is possible to use the LSV itself as a trigger input. We refer to this configuration as the LSV *self-trigger*. The LSV self-trigger configuration has been used in some special runs, like in some of the 241 AmBe source acquisitions (see section [6.4\)](#page-33-0) or runs for 222 Rn activity evaluation (see section [6.2](#page-32-0)). The self-trigger is a majority trigger which requires a configurable number of PMTs of the LSV to exceed a threshold in amplitude equivalent to ∼0.5 PE within a configurable time window.

At the data acquisition level, we store PMT pulses within the data acquisition window using a zero-suppression algorithm which only records waveform samples which exceeded an amplitude threshold plus a programmable number of samples before and after the threshold crossings. During WIMP search data taking, the zero-suppression threshold is usually set to a level about one fourth the amplitude of a single-photoelectron pulse; 20 samples (corresponding to 16 ns) are recorded before and after the pulse. If the threshold is crossed again before the specified number of postsamples have been recorded, the algorithm waits for the signal to go below threshold and starts counting down from the final threshold-crossing.

The DarkSide-50 event reconstruction software is built using the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory's *art* framework [[44\]](#page-41-0). The software reconstructs the PMT signals from the raw data for every trigger then builds the separate sum waveforms for the LSV and WCV detectors. Waveform integrals in regions of interest are computed to evaluate the energy deposited in the scintillation (or Cherenkov) events.

5.1 Pulse reconstruction

Pulses are defined as the segments of each waveform for each channel that survive zero-suppression. Offline software procedures correct the pulses for effects of the electronics, such as residual DC offset that may affect the waveform pedestal, potential saturation of the pulse height due to the finite vertical range of the digitizer, and differences in the PMT gains on different channels.

To account for the residual DC offset of each veto channel, a baseline is determined and subtracted from the pulse waveform. The first 15 samples of each pulse are averaged to define a baseline, which is then subtracted from the waveform of that pulse.

The maximum value of the amplitude range of the waveform digitizer is approximately equal to the amplitude of 7 overlapping SPE pulses. If many photoelectrons pile-up on top of each other, their total amplitude may exceed the amplitude range of the waveform digitizer. This would result in the pulse saturating at the maximum amplitude. An event like this may, for example, be due to a scintillation event in the LSV close to a PMT. The offline reconstruction software classifies the pulse as saturated if it finds a minimum of 3 consecutive samples all having the same amplitude value in the upper 90% of the amplitude range. If a pulse is saturated, the offline reconstruction software uses a triangle to approximate the true shape of the pulse peak. Two vertices of the triangle are the first and last saturated samples. The third vertex is the intersection of two lines that extrapolate the rising and falling edge of the pulse. The area of the triangle is added to the integral of the pulse waveform. A triangle approximation is used instead of a more sophisticated one (like a Gaussian, or an interpolated PMT pulse template) in order to increase the processing speed. The triangular approximation has been tested by artificially saturating non-saturated pulses in the range between 4 and 7 PE in the reconstruction software. The integral of the pulse after the saturation correction and the integral before the artificial saturation display a linear trend, with the intercept compatible with zero and correlation coefficient higher than 99%.

5.2 Laser calibration

To account for different PMT gains, the waveforms of each channel are scaled by the corresponding SPE mean of that channel. As described in section [3.5](#page-15-0), each PMT in the LSV and WCV has an optical fiber pointing at the photocathode. These optical fibers are attached to a laser which is pulsed at a rate of 500 Hz. Each digitizer channel is triggered simultaneously by the pulser that drives the laser, opening a 2 μ s acquisition window for each trigger. The intensity of the laser is set low enough to produce a predominantly single photoelectron distribution on each channel, with a typical occupancy of 1–2 PE for every 100 triggers on each channel.

Data from these laser runs are processed by selecting pulses that start within a 150 ns window around the trigger time on each channel to reduce noise from uncorrelated scintillation events, and then subtracting the baseline of each of these pulses. Each pulse is then integrated, and a histogram of the pulse integrals on each channel is constructed. The SPE mean and relative variance, typically about 10^{-9} V⋅s and \sim 10% respectively, are then determined by locating the peak of the SPE distribution and fitting a Gaussian to the peak.

5.3 Charge integral estimators

The waveforms of the pulses of each channel, after reconstruction and gain calibration, are added together to form a sum waveform. Sum waveforms are produced individually for the LSV and the WCV.

A scintillation event in the LSV appears as a collection of PMT pulses occurring within a time window of a few hundred nanoseconds. A similar timescale holds for the Cherenkov events in the WCV. A software procedure is necessary to identify both the beginning of the scintillation (or Cherenkov) signal and its end.

We define a *cluster* as the collection of PMT pulses belonging to the same scintillation (or Cherenkov) event and we call *clustering* the offline software procedure that is applied to identify the

Figure 13. An example of a waveform in the LSV with its corresponding cluster, illustrating the clustering algorithm used. The variable in the horizontal axis is the time in ns with respect to the trigger.

cluster (section 5.3.1). Temporal regions of interest are also defined in the reconstruction software to efficiently identify any signal in coincidence with the LAr TPC scintillation (section [5.3.2](#page-26-0)), while sliding windows are defined to search for regions of maximal charge, in order to identify delayed coincidences (section [5.3.3](#page-26-0)).

5.3.1 Clustering

A clustering algorithm is applied to the sum waveform to identify scintillation (Cherenkov) events in the LSV (WCV). The clustering algorithm is a top down iterative process that searches for clusters from the largest to the smallest, above some specified threshold (about 2 times the amplitude of a single PE). The algorithm starts by identifying the highest peak of the sum waveform. The algorithm then searches for the start and end time of the cluster by scanning the sum waveform before and after the peak time to identify a gap between pulses greater than 20 ns. Once the start and end times of the cluster have been identified, the algorithm identifies the next highest point in the sum waveform that has not yet been assigned to a cluster and repeats. An example illustrating this algorithm is shown in figure [13.](#page-25-0) This process continues until the highest identifiable peak is below a specified threshold of approximately 2 PE in amplitude.

The integral of the sum waveform between the start and end time of a cluster allows the determination of the total energy deposited in the LSV in the corresponding scintillation event. In the WCV, it is used to estimate the amount of Cherenkov light emitted in the event.

5.3.2 Temporal regions of interest

In order to avoid the risk of missing a neutron event in coincidence with the LAr TPC due to subtleties in the clustering algorithm (for example, a cluster from a high energy random background shortly after the coincidence signal may pick up the tail of the coincidence signal, lowering the reconstructed charge of the coincident cluster), a series of temporal *regions of interest*, relative to the time the TPC detects a physical event, are used in the dark matter search. In each region of interest, the LSV sum waveform is integrated between a specified start and end time, for every trigger, regardless of the identification of clusters. By doing so, the total amount of scintillation light in a specific time window can be calculated reliably.

In the dark matter search, the *prompt* region of interest is especially important. This is the time window in coincidence with the LAr TPC primary scintillation. During Phase-I of DarkSide-50 [\[2\]](#page-39-0), the high background rate in the LSV precluded low thresholds and extended time windows. A 250 ns wide window was defined around the time of prompt coincidence to detect a neutron thermalization signal greater than 10 PE. The high background rate caused this cut to accidentally veto \sim 10% of all events due to random backgrounds, rather than actual prompt coincidences. If this threshold were placed lower, the accidental veto rate would have become too large to be sustainable. Since the background rate in the LSV in Phase-II is much lower, the prompt region of interest was extended to a 300 ns wide window, and the threshold can be placed as low as 1 or 2 PE while still having an accidental veto rate $\leq 1\%$ [[3](#page-39-0)].

5.3.3 Sliding windows

A third type of integral is calculated by a *sliding window* algorithm. This algorithm takes a start time, an end time, and a sub-window width (in nanoseconds) and scans the sum waveform between the specified start and end times to find the sub-window of specified width with the greatest integral. This algorithm identifies, for each TPC trigger, the scintillation event in the LSV with the highest charge between the specified start and end times, without the risk of missing a cluster due to the clustering algorithm splitting a scintillation cluster in two or otherwise underestimating a cluster's charge. Sliding windows are used in the dark matter search for reliably identifying delayed coincidences with the LAr TPC, such as those expected from a neutron capture.

During Phase-I of DarkSide-50 [[2](#page-39-0)], the high background rate completely overwhelmed the low energy region where we expect to see the neutron captures on ${}^{10}B$ that go straight to the ground state and are not accompanied with a 478 keV γ -ray (see section [3.1\)](#page-9-0). Instead, the goal was to maximize sensitivity to delayed coincidences without having too high of an accidental veto rate. In order to accomplish this, the LSV acquisition window after the prompt time was divided into two regions: an $8.8 \mu s$ (4 times the thermal neutron capture in the scintillator mixture of Phase-I) window starting at the prompt LAr TPC scintillation time and another going from the end of the first window to the end of the acquisition window (usually $70 \,\mu s$). A slider width of 300 ns was used during this phase. A veto threshold of 80 PE in the first window, where neutrons are more likely to capture, and a higher threshold of 110 PE in the later sliding window, led to a total acceptance loss of ∼10%.

Since in Phase-II the LSV has a much lower background rate, a single sliding window can be defined extending from the prompt time to the end of the acquisition window, usually set to either 140 or 200 μ s, with a threshold of 3 or 6 PE, respectively, leading to a total acceptance loss of ∼16% [[3](#page-39-0)]. The lower threshold significantly increases the detection of the α and ⁷Li signals from the neutron capture on ${}^{10}B$, greatly improving the neutron detection efficiency. An additional sliding window extending from the start of the acquisition window to the prompt time was also defined to look for any signal that might precede a neutron scatter in the LAr TPC, for example an external neutron entering the LSV from the WCV. A threshold of 2 PE was required for this cut, leading to an acceptance loss of ∼0.1%. During this phase, the lower background rate allowed us to extend the slider width to 500 ns, ensuring that all scintillation light is collected within the slider.

6. Veto system performance

Once data is reconstructed as described in section [5](#page-23-0), it is possible to perform higher level analysis on the reconstructed data to better understand the detectors. Most of the analysis discussed here is performed using clusters, as described in section [5.3.1,](#page-25-0) which can give us information about all of the scintillation events that happened within the LSV acquisition window for each trigger.

6.1 LSV energy spectrum and backgrounds

Crucial aspects of the LSV performances include the rates of various backgrounds, and the light yield (the number of PE collected by all of the PMTs per keV deposited in the scintillator). Measurements of the background rates and the light yield of the LSV are made by building a photoelectron spectrum from the clusters and fitting it with the simulated spectra from known radioactive contaminants in the materials of the detector. The shape of the radioactive background includes the detector response function, in which the light yield is a parameter. This method is complementary to efforts to calibrate the LSV light yield through the deployment of sealed γ-ray sources within the detector.

The most prominent radioactive contaminants visible in the LSV are ${}^{14}C, {}^{60}Co$ and ${}^{208}Tl$. The full photoelectron spectrum of the LSV is shown in figure [14](#page-28-0). The light yields derived from fits to the ¹⁴C β^- spectrum, the two ⁶⁰Co γ-rays, and the ²⁰⁸Tl γ-ray in Phase-II are summarized in table [5.](#page-28-0) The calculation of the light yield from the ¹⁴C β^- spectrum and the ⁶⁰Co γ -rays assume a quenching model described by a Birks' constant $kB = 0.012$ cm/MeV [[6](#page-39-0)].

6.1.1 Low photoelectrons background

In the region labeled A in figure [14,](#page-28-0) we observe a class of events with very low photoelectron yield and a high rate. Most of these events appear to be very highly concentrated in a small number of

Figure 14. Photoelectron spectrum of the LSV clusters during Phase-II: (black) for all clusters and (red) for clusters starting within a ± 25 ns prompt coincidence with the LAr TPC. Region A is the low energy signal that consists largely of after-pulses, Region B is the ${}^{60}Co$ peak, and Region C is the ${}^{208}Ti$ peak.

Table 5. Light yield measurements during Phase-II from fits to four different background sources in the LSV photoelectron spectrum. Errors given here are statistical from the respective fits.

Isotope	Decay mode	Energy (keV)	Light Yield (PE/keV)
14 _C	B^-	156 (endpoint)	0.561 ± 0.013
^{60}Co	2γ	1173, 1332	0.592 ± 0.011
208 T1	γ	2614	0.551 ± 0.002

PMTs, though the PMTs contributing to each pulse vary. Investigation of these events revealed that a large fraction of them are due to after-pulses. This is supported by the fact that the rate of these events is significantly higher in the $10-20 \mu s$ following a scintillation event, while it is significantly diminished in the time window before the scintillation. However, after-pulses do not appear to account for the entire structure. It was also found that the rate of the low photoelectrons events spiked whenever fluid operations were performed, but that the spike would diminish down to the pre-operations rate on a timescale of a few days. One possible explanation is that the charge may build up in the electrically-insulating PC when it moves through the metal pipes and then discharges on individual PMTs.

6.1.2 14 C background

The ¹⁴C isotope is present in any organic liquid like PC and TMB, and it is therefore an unavoidable radioactive background. ¹⁴C decays by β^- emission with a Q-value of 156 keV, giving a background concentrated at the low energy part of the LSV spectrum.

The original batch of TMB used in the LSV during Phase-I (discussed in section [3.1\)](#page-9-0), used TMB derived primarily from modern biogenic methanol, and had a ¹⁴C contamination of ∼150 kBq. This activity is only a factor ten less than what would be expected from a sample of modern at-

Figure 15. The low end of the photoelectron spectrum seen in the LSV in Phase-I, with ¹⁴C-rich TMB, at 50% concentration, compared to the Phase-II low-¹⁴C TMB at ∼ 5% concentration. The dramatic decrease in ¹⁴C background is evident.

Figure 16. Photoelectron spectrum of the ${}^{14}C$ in the LSV in Phase-II, evaluated with the clustering method. The black curve is the measured low energy spectrum after applying cuts to remove after-pulses, and the red curve is the theoretical ¹⁴C spectrum, assuming a constant shape function, convolved with a Gaussian response function with light yield, resolution, background (assuming a constant background in this energy region), and 14 C rate as fit parameters. Fitting this curve from 25–120 PE gives a light yield of 0.561 ± 0.013 PE/keV, and a ¹⁴C rate of 0.25 ± 0.03 kBq.

mospheric carbon of equal mass (∼15 tonnes). In order to increase the sensitivity of the LSV, the TMB was replaced with a new batch, produced by a petroleum-based process, with substantially lower ¹⁴C contamination for Phase-II. The process of removing the old TMB and replacing it with the new batch is described in section [3.2.](#page-12-0) Figure 15 compares the ${}^{14}C$ spectra with the original ¹⁴C-rich TMB, at 50% concentration and the current low-¹⁴C TMB at \sim 5% concentration.

Figure [16](#page-29-0) shows the β^- spectrum of ¹⁴C convolved with the fitted LSV response, compared to the Phase-II data. The fitting function includes the energy resolution and ionization quenching (assuming a Birks' constant of 0.012 cm/MeV [[6](#page-39-0)] and the model described in [\[11](#page-39-0)]), and an additional constant background term. The fit is performed over the photoelectron distribution of clusters in random coincidence with the LAr TPC between 25 and 120 PE. Below 25 PE the low photoelectron background is dominant (see section [6.1.1\)](#page-27-0) and thus we exclude this region. The region above 100 PE is dominated by the constant term. To reduce events due to of after-pulses, only clusters that followed a window of at least $30 \mu s$ with no clusters were considered. The ¹⁴C decay rate measured during Phase-II is 0.25 ± 0.03 kBq.

Borexino, which uses PC from the same source as DarkSide-50, measured their petroleumderived PC to have a 14 C contamination of 40 Bq per 100 tonnes [[45\]](#page-41-0). Normalizing for mass, the Borexino liquid scintillator has a ¹⁴C specific activity of ~0.4 mBq/kg, while the Phase-I DarkSide-50 liquid scintillator had ∼5000 mBq/kg, and the Phase-II DarkSide-50 liquid scintillator has ∼8.3 mBq/kg. The latter specific activity is consistent with a residual contamination by \sim 15 kg of the biogenic TMB, assuming the petroleum-derived TMB has a comparable ¹⁴C contamination to the petroleum-derived PC.

6.1.3 ⁶⁰Co background

The ⁶⁰Co isotope is an anthropogenic isotope found in most samples of stainless steel, primarily as a result of uncontrolled ⁶⁰Co disposal, leading to the isotope getting mixed in with recycled stainless steel, and from ⁶⁰Co added to the steel during the production process [[46](#page-41-0)]. This isotope is therefore present in the stainless steel of both the sphere of the LSV and the LAr TPC cryostat. Measurements using germanium counting techniques indicate that the cryostat stainless steel has a specific activity of 13.1 \pm 1 mBq/kg of ⁶⁰Co, while the TPC PMTs have an activity of 8.8 \pm 0.8 mBq/PMT, and the LSV stainless steel has 2.8±0.3 mBq/kg.

⁶⁰Co $β$ ⁻ decays with a half-life of 5.3 years to ⁶⁰Ni. The excited nickel nucleus promptly emits two γ-rays with energies of 1.17 and 1.33 MeV. Since one of the γ-rays may interact in the LAr TPC while the other interacts in the LSV, the probability of seeing prompt coincidences with peaks at both γ-ray energies is greatly enhanced. Prompt coincidences between the LAr TPC and the LSV are, in fact, dominated by ⁶⁰Co in the LSV above 1 MeV. A sample of γ -rays from ⁶⁰Co events can therefore be obtained in the data by selecting scintillation events in the LSV in a narrow time coincidence with a scintillation event in the LAr TPC.

The ⁶⁰Co peak is shown in the region labeled B in figure [14.](#page-28-0) Figure [17](#page-31-0) shows the γ-ray peak of ⁶⁰Co decay in the LSV spectrum in coincidence with the LAr TPC. A fit was performed by simulating 60 Co decays in the cryostat stainless steel and PMTs using GEANT4, and then convolving the simulated distribution of energy deposited in the LSV with a Gaussian response function, similar to the one used for ${}^{14}C$. The results from this fit are also shown in figure [17](#page-31-0). This fit gives a light yield of 0.592±0.011 PE/keV. Due to the finite resolution of the LSV, the peaks from the two γ-rays are not clearly distinguishable.

6.1.4 ²⁰⁸Tl background

²⁰⁸Tl has a half-life of 3.05 minutes and is generated in the naturally-occurring ²³²Th decay chain,

Figure 17. *Top:* Photoelectron spectrum of the ⁶⁰Co in the LSV in prompt coincidence with a signal in the LAr TPC (black), compared to a fit of a simulated energy distribution convolved with a Gaussian response function (red). The fit, performed around the two peaks from the 1.17 and 1.33 MeV γ -rays, gives a light yield of 0.592±0.011 PE/keV. *Bottom:* Photoelectron spectrum of the ²⁰⁸Tl in the LSV in prompt coincidence with a signal in the LAr TPC (black), compared with a fit to a Gaussian plus a falling exponential fit to it (red). The 2.6 MeV γ -ray peak is seen at 1440 ± 5 PE.

which is naturally present in most samples of iron due to its abundance in the earth's crust and its half-life of ∼14 billion years.

 $^{208}{\rm Ti}$ β^- decays with a Q-value of 5 MeV. Around 99.8% of these decays are also accompanied by a 2.6 MeV γ -ray. Additionally, 85% are accompanied by a 583 keV γ -ray, as well as a large number of other potentially coincident γ-rays. The 2.6 MeV γ-ray is the highest energy γ-ray expected from the uranium and thorium decay chains. This high energy, in addition to its coincident γ-rays that may trigger the LAr TPC while it goes into the LSV, makes the full energy peak easily identifiable, as can be seen in the region labeled C in figure [14.](#page-28-0)

Figure 17 shows the full energy peak of ²⁰⁸Tl with a Gaussian plus an exponential background fit to it. The mean of the Gaussian, corresponding to the 2614 keV full energy peak, is at

 1440 ± 5 PE, corresponding to a light yield of 0.551 ± 0.002 PE/keV.

6.2 214 Bi- 214 Po and 222 Rn

²¹⁴Bi and ²¹⁴Po are two isotopes that decay in sequence in the ²²²Rn chain. ²¹⁴Bi decays by β or $\beta + \gamma$ emission with a Q-value of 3.23 MeV. ²¹⁴Po decays via α emission with a very short lifetime $(\tau = 236.6 \,\mu s)$; the energy of the α is 7.7 MeV [[47\]](#page-41-0).

The short lifetime of 214 Po makes it possible to estimate the activity of 214 Bi, 214 Po, and therefore of ²²²Rn in the LSV, by studying the time distribution of consecutive scintillation events. The data used to evaluate the $2^{14}Bi-2^{14}Po$ contamination were taken after the TMB removal (see section [3.2\)](#page-12-0), in LSV self-trigger configuration (see section [5\)](#page-23-0). The majority trigger was generated if at least 20 PMTs of the LSV fired in a window of 60 ns. The acquisition window was set to $6.5 \mu s$ to acquire the scintillation signal and a sample of the PMT after-pulsing following the scintillation. The time delay between consecutive scintillation events in the LSV shows a correlated time distribution with a lifetime in good agreement with the one expected from the 214 Po decay.

The photoelectron spectra of the candidate 214 Bi and 214 Po events, after subtraction of random coincidences, are compatible with the expected decay spectra. By integrating the number of consecutive events in the ²¹⁴Bi-²¹⁴Po energy window, a ²²²Rn activity of ~ 1.5 mBq or 44 countsper-day/tonne is estimated in the LSV. The background due to 222 Rn is therefore several orders of magnitude less than the backgrounds due to ${}^{14}C$ or ${}^{60}Co$.

The measurement of the scintillation light emitted in the 214 Po decay allows an evaluation of the quenching factor of α particles at 7.7 MeV, at different PPO concentration in the LSV. The ²¹⁴Po α peaks, with no TMB and at PPO concentrations of ≤ 0.1 g/L and 2.5 g/L, respectively, are quenched by a factor of \sim 12 and \sim 8. We recall that the scintillation yield from low-energy α particles is quenched more heavily than is the yield from higher energy ones. This means that the low-energy α particles produced by neutrons capturing on ¹⁰B are quenched more heavily than those produced by the α decay of ²¹⁴Po.

6.3 Muons in the veto

Both the LSV and the WCV can identify muons. Due to the high energy deposited (about 2 MeV/cm), muons can clearly be identified in both the LSV and the WCV by looking at the total amount of energy deposited in either detector for the entire acquisition window, as shown in figure [18.](#page-33-0) A study of muons in both detectors shows that muons can be very efficiently identified in the LSV by looking for a total charge above 2000 PE, and in the WCV by looking for a total charge above 400 PE. In both cases, the background contributions due to noise or event pile-up are $< 0.1\%$.

The rate of muons crossing both the LSV and the WCV was found to be $380 \pm 5 \,\text{day}^{-1}$, in good agreement with the expected rate of 370 muon/day extrapolated from the measurement of the muon rate in the Hall C of LNGS performed in Borexino [[37\]](#page-40-0).

In the dark matter analysis, the muon rejection is done using both LSV and WCV capabilities. The detailed study of the overall muon tagging efficiency and cosmogenic neutron rejection power in DarkSide-50 will be reported in a future paper.

Figure 18. The total number of photoelectrons detected in the WCV and LSV during Phase-II, with the LSV and WCV triggering on the LAr TPC. Below 10×10^3 PE in the LSV are normal scintillation events in the LSV, mostly from γ -rays, and PMT noise in the WCV. Between 40×10^3 and 70×10^3 PE in the LSV are events accompanied by front end electronics oscillations in the LSV. At higher charges are events in which muons passed through the WCV, the LSV, and the LAr TPC.

Figure 19. The photoelectron spectrum of neutron captures in the LSV at 5% TMB and $0.7 g/L$ PPO (blue) and at 1.4 g/L PPO (red), for signals in delayed coincidence with a large LSV signal from the 4.4 MeV γ-ray accompanying many ²⁴¹ AmBe neutrons, after background subtraction. The peak on the left at \sim 30 PE (orange box) is from the 6.4% of neutron captures on ¹⁰B which lead to an α + ⁷Li ground state, while the peak on the right at \sim 270 PE (green box) is from the 93.6% of captures that lead to the ⁷Li excited state reaction, with the accompanying 478 keV γ -ray. The features below 10 PE are due to PMT after-pulses. These measurements were taken with the ²⁴¹AmBe source ∼70 cm away from the cryostat.

6.4 LSV calibration with 241 AmBe source

The ²⁴¹AmBe neutron source deployment with CALIS (see section [3.6\)](#page-19-0) allowed an *in-situ* calibra-

Figure 20. The time distribution for neutron captures measured during an ²⁴¹AmBe calibration campaign. The two curves correspond to the low energy (black) and high energy (blue) peaks, corresponding to the neutron captures on ^{10}B leading to the ^{7}Li ground state and excited state, respectively. An exponential plus a constant were fit to both of these curves (shown in red in the low energy peak curve), giving a decay time of 22.1 μ s, consistent with the expected capture time for thermal neutrons during Phase-II. The low energy peak appears to have a higher rate than the high energy peak in this plot because it is offset by a higher random background rate, which is accounted for by the constant term in the fit.

tion of the response of the LSV to neutron captures. During the 241 AmBe calibration the TMB concentration in the LSV was 5% . The ²⁴¹AmBe source had a neutron activity of 10 neutrons per second. In order to study the position dependence of the light output, we deployed the 241 AmBe source in two different positions, one in physical contact with the LAr TPC cryostat, and one \sim 72 cm away from the cryostat. Data was taken both with the LSV trigger driven by the LAr TPC trigger, and self-triggered on the signal from the 4.4 MeV γ -ray that accompanies a large fraction of 241 AmBe neutrons [[48\]](#page-41-0). We performed 241 AmBe calibrations at two different PPO concentrations: $0.7 g/L$ and $1.4 g/L$, allowing a characterisation of the response of the LSV to neutrons and a direct measurement of the impact of the PPO concentration on the α quenching.

In order to measure the energy spectrum of the neutron capture products without being biased by after-pulses from the prompt signal (due to the neutron thermalizing and to coincident γ -rays from the source), the first $20 \mu s$ after the prompt signal is ignored. The photoelectron spectrum of the first cluster identified after this period for each event is shown in figure [19,](#page-33-0) with random backgrounds measured with a background run subtracted. The lowest energy feature in figure [19](#page-33-0) consists of after-pulses from the prompt signal, i.e. a neutron captures in the first $20 \mu s$ so we miss the signal, but we detect the after-pulse and misidentify it as a neutron capture signal. The low energy peak, which we associate with the 7 Li ground state channel, lies between 20 and 30 PE, well above our detection and analysis threshold in Phase-II (see section [5.3.3\)](#page-26-0). We found that increasing the PPO concentration increased the light yield for the $\alpha +^7$ Li channel by 3 PE (∼11%) increase) and reduced the $\alpha +^7$ Li + γ peak light yield by 13 PE (∼5% decrease). The decrease in the peak position of the latter channel is likely either due to increased self-absorption at the higher PPO concentration or to contaminants inadvertently added to the scintillator along with the PPO. The increase in the peak position of the former channel, despite the decrease in the light yield of the latter, is likely due to the higher PPO concentration decreasing the effects of ionization quenching.

To verify that the two peaks in figure [19](#page-33-0) are from neutron captures on ^{10}B , we studied the time distributions of the clusters relative to the trigger (either the 4.4 MeV γ-ray in the LSV or a neutron-like event in the LAr TPC). The clusters of both peaks follow an exponential distribution with a time constant of $22 \mu s$, as shown in figure [20,](#page-34-0) consistent with the capture time expected from GEANT4 simulations. The spectrum and time distribution of the ground state channel shows a non-negligible constant background term, mainly due to PMT after-pulses following the 4.4 MeV γ-rays.

The limited reflectivity of the polished stainless steel TPC cryostat leads to the loss of some of the scintillation light which strikes it. The reconstructed energy of the neutron capture signals therefore depends on the position of the source relative to the LAr TPC. This effect is visible in the the neutron capture peaks, when the ²⁴¹AmBe source is moved from a position \sim 72 cm away from the LAr TPC cryostat to a position in physical contact with it. The light yields of the $\alpha +^7$ Li channel and the α +⁷Li + γ channel, respectively, decrease by \sim 26% and \sim 10%. This is in agreement with GEANT4 simulations of the LSV, which predict that the light yield of a scintillation event very close to the TPC cryostat should decrease by ∼ 30% with respect to an event in the bulk of the LSV.

The 478 keV γ-ray of the $\alpha +^7$ Li + γ channel of ¹⁰B capture can escape the LSV by going back into the cryostat, with a probability of \sim 8%, as predicted by GEANT4 simulations. If this happens, the scintillation light will be produced only by the 1471 keV α and the 839 keV ⁷Li. The energy deposited in the LSV in the former case is ∼ 82% of the energy deposited in the ground state reaction, where a 1775 keV α and a 1015 keV ⁷Li are produced. The energy resolution at 20-30 PE does not allow discrimination between the two cases. The neutron capture on ^{10}B producing an excited state 7 Li, when the 478 keV γ -ray escapes the LSV, is therefore reconstructed as a capture producing a ground state 7 Li.

The predicted 8% probability of losing the 478 keV γ -ray was confirmed in the ²⁴¹AmBe data by looking at data collected with the source near and far from the cryostat. With the source far from the cryostat, we found that $\sim 6\%$ of neutrons went to the $\alpha +^7$ Li channel, consistent with the expected branching ratio of 6.4%. However, when the source was rotated near the cryostat, we found that \sim 14% of the neutrons resulted in a capture signal in the α+⁷Li peak. Since we cannot resolve the energy differences between the α and ⁷Li produced by both capture channels, this is consistent with 8% of the 478 keV γ -rays being lost, making the captures that go to ${}^{7}Li^{*}$ look the same as those that go straight to the ground state.

GEANT4 predicts that $\sim 8\%$ of neutrons capture on ¹H, producing a 2.2 MeV γ-ray. Additionally, GEANT4 predicts that approximately 8% of these γ-rays do not leave a visible signal in the LSV, leaving the neutron capture undetected. We used GEANT4 to predict the ratios of the *reconstructed* ¹H captures and ¹⁰B producing excited state ⁷Li captures to the ¹⁰B producing ground state ⁷Li captures, considering the effect of escaping γ -rays, in the ²⁴¹AmBe calibration. The ratios are compatible with the ones measured from 241 AmBe data, by counting the number of events under the capture peaks in the background subtracted spectrum.

In summary, there are five main channels through which we may lose the detection of a neutron

capture.

- 1. Neutron captures on ¹H, and the 2.2 MeV γ -ray escapes the LSV without leaving a visible signal.
- 2. Neutron captures on ^{10}B , which goes to the ground state and produces a signal below the detection threshold.
- 3. Neutron captures on ^{10}B , which goes to the excited state, but the 478 keV γ -ray escapes and the resulting signal from the α and ⁷Li is below the detection threshold.
- 4. Neutron captures before it reaches the LSV and the capture does not result in a γ-ray detected by the LSV.
- 5. Neutron captures after the acquisition LSV window has ended.

Given the low threshold we place on the delayed coincidence cut, we expect the loss in efficiency due to channels 2 and 3 to be < 0.1%. GEANT4 predicts that ∼ 0.64% of neutron captures are undetectable due to channel 1. Additionally, as mentioned in section [2.2,](#page-7-0) $\sim 0.05\%$ of neutrons are expected to be lost through channel 4. Lastly, the thermal neutron capture time constant compared to the length of the acquisition window during Phase-II predicts that $\sim 0.23\%$ of neutron captures will be lost through channe 5. Consequently the efficiency of the LSV for detecting radiogenic neutron captures is at least 99.1%. The neutron detection efficiency could increase by detecting the scattering of neutrons on protons and carbon nuclei during thermalization.

6.5 Detector stability

The long-term stability of the detectors has been studied using three metrics:

- 1. *Light yield stability*: In order to run for a long time, it is necessary that the light yield (which depends on the reflector, PMT, and scintillator stability) remain high throughout the duration of the dark matter search campaign. The light yield stability is also important for placing cuts that will maintain their effectiveness over time. This was monitored by studying the movement of the easily identifiable ⁶⁰Co peak over time. During Phase-I, which went from Nov. 6, 2013 to Jun. 3, 2014, the light yield increased with an average slope of 0.3 ± 0.1 PE/week. During Phase-II, which went from Apr. 8, 2015 to Jul. 31, 2015, the light yield increased with an average slope of 0.2 ± 0.1 PE/week. The causes are still under investigation, nevertheless, over the course of the runs, this change in light yield was found to be small enough so that it can be neglected.
- 2. *LAr TPC coincidence timing stability*: In order to ensure that prompt cuts consistently identify coincidences between the veto and the LAr TPC, it is important to monitor the stability of the timing with respect to the primary scintillation signal in the LAr TPC, which may vary with any sort of changes made to the electronics and DAQ. Possible timing offsets are monitored by looking at the distribution of the start times of clusters. True LSV-TPC coincidences typically give a sharp timing peak, and so cluster start times can be used to track the relative timing of with an accuracy < 10 ns. The LAr TPC coincidence timing remained stable throughout the duration of the dark matter search campaign.

3. *Event rate stability*: To monitor for changes in the signal that the LSV detects, it is important to track the event rate of the detector. With the exception of a minor change in the data acquisition system made about a fifth of the way through Phase-II, which caused an increase in the event rate by ∼1.2%, the event rate was stable to within 0.03% per week over the course of the 70 day campaign. It should be noted that the change in the data acquisition system corresponds to a fifth of the runs in the campaign, but about half of the livetime used for the dark matter search in Phase-II [\[3\]](#page-39-0).

Spikes at low energy (below ∼20 PE, see section [6.1.1\)](#page-27-0) were observed following operations in the fluid, such as those involved with the reconstitution of the scintillator. However, the spikes diminished to a baseline level within a few hours of the operations ending. These low energy and high rate events were seen to be highly concentrated in a small number of phototubes, with an unusually fast time signature. One possible explanation is that the motion of the scintillator during the operations caused charge to build up, which then discharged on the μ -metal grids. A slower (on the time scale of ∼150 days) decrease in this event rate was also observed, which has eventually stabilized at a constant background rate of ∼1 kBq after making time cuts to remove after-pulses from prompt scintillation events.

It is worth noting that the optical properties and stability of the LSV were not affected by the two calibration campaigns and no additional radioactivity was introduced by the CALIS system.

6.6 Summary of estimated neutron rejection power

GEANT4 simulations suggest that 99.95% of neutrons that undergo a single nuclear recoil in the WIMP search region in the LAr TPC of DarkSide-50 deposit some energy in the LSV. The neutron rejection power is thus based upon the ability to detect the signals produced by the neutron interactions in the LSV, namely from the scattering on protons and ^{12}C during thermalization and from the neutron capture. At the reconstruction and analysis levels, the information about these two signals is contained respectively in the prompt region of interest (section [5.3.2](#page-26-0)) and the sliding window (section [5.3.3](#page-26-0)) variables. In the end, the neutron rejection power depends on the thresholds and time windows used for these variables. A gain in the neutron capture rejection power is possible at the cost of increasing the probability of vetoing on random LSV backgrounds, thus decreasing the acceptance of the cuts in the WIMP search.

In DarkSide-50 Phase-I [\[2\]](#page-39-0), the neutron rejection power was limited due to the ¹⁴C background in the LSV. However, the small neutron capture time ($\tau \sim 2.2 \,\mu s$) due to the high concentration of TMB, allowed us to set a neutron detection efficiency of \sim 98% with an acceptance loss of 11%, including an approximation of the thermalization signal rejection power based on [[49](#page-41-0)].

In DarkSide-50 Phase-II [\[3\]](#page-39-0), the low background level in the LSV allows for a much higher neutron detection efficiency, even at a low concentration of TMB (5%), by combining the detection of the neutron capture and the thermalization signals. The neutron rejection power for the neutron capture signal alone is $\geq 99.1\%$. The main limit on the neutron capture efficiency is due to residual neutron captures on ¹H, happening in $\sim 8\%$ of cases. Simulation and ²⁴¹AmBe calibration data indicate that ∼ 8% of the 2.2 MeV γ-rays from neutron captures on ¹H escape the LSV without depositing energy. The maximum possible rejection power of the neutron capture channel alone, if every neutron capture were detected, with a 5% concentration of TMB, would be then ∼ 99.3%. The remaining inefficiency in the neutron capture rejection power comes from the tails in the neutron capture time distribution that extend outside of the acquisition window, which happens to $\sim 0.2\%$ of neutrons that thermalize in the LSV. This inefficiency brings the neutron detection efficiency by the neutron capture channel alone down to $\geq 99.1\%$.

Estimates of the detection efficiency of the neutron thermalization signal are difficult to make, since we have not yet measured the strength of ionization quenching of proton and carbon recoils in the liquid scintillator. While we were able to measure the capture signal with the 241 AmBe source, the high rate of γ-rays in coincidence with the neutron that the source produces makes it difficult to measure reliably the prompt thermalization signal. A calibration campaign using an ²⁴¹Am¹³C source with a much lower γ-ray-rate is planned. In the meantime, however, GEANT4 simulations assuming the quenching model presented in [[10\]](#page-39-0) were performed. With the current 1 PE threshold for vetoing the thermalization signal, these simulations indicate that the total neutron vetoing efficiency from both the thermalization and capture signals is well above the goal of $>$ 99.5%. The total acceptance loss from both of these cuts is expected to be $\leq 16\%$.

7. Conclusions and outlook

The construction and commissioning of the veto system of DarkSide-50 is completed. Early operation shows that the system is on progress to meet the design performance goals, as it allows to veto more than 99% of neutrons with modest dead time of the main detector. DarkSide-50 is currently taking data with underground argon in WIMP search mode, with a neutron veto capable of ensuring a few years of background-free running.

The performance of the DarkSide-50 veto shows that the boron-loaded liquid scintillator technology provides an efficient way for tagging neutrons in WIMP search experiments. Boron-loaded liquid scintillator vetoes are a valuable alternative to gadolinium-loaded liquid scintillator vetoes, provided that the radioactive background contamination in the detector is low enough and that the light yield is sufficiently high. In this case, a boron-loaded veto like the one presented here can attain a very high efficiency, since the ⁷Li and α from the neutron capture on ¹⁰B are short ranged and cannot escape the detector.

Acknowledgments

The DarkSide-50 Collaboration would like to thank LNGS laboratory and its staff for invaluable technical and logistical support. This report is based upon work supported by the US NSF (Grants PHY-0919363, PHY-1004072, PHY-1004054, PHY-1242585, PHY-1314483, PHY-1314507 and associated collaborative grants; Grants PHY-1211308 and PHY-1455351), the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), the US DOE (Contract Nos. DE-FG02-91ER40671 and DE-AC02- 07CH11359), and the Polish NCN (Grant UMO-2012/05/E/ST2/02333). We thank the staff of the Fermilab Particle Physics, Scientific and Core Computing Divisions for their support. We acknowledge the financial support from the UnivEarthS Labex program of Sorbonne Paris Cité (ANR-10-LABX-0023 and ANR-11-IDEX-0005-02) and from the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP).

References

- [1] M. W. Goodman and E. Witten, *Detectability of certain dark-matter candidates*, [Phys. Rev. D,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.31.3059) 31, [3059 \(1985\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.31.3059)
- [2] P. Agnes, et al. (The DarkSide Collaboration), *First results from the DarkSide-50 dark matter experiment at Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso*, [Phys. Lett. B,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.03.012) 743, 456 (2015).
- [3] P. Agnes, et al. (the DarkSide Collaboration), *Low radioactivity argon dark matter search results from the DarkSide-50 experiment*, [arXiv:1510.00702 \[astro-ph, physics:hep-ex, physics:physics\], \(2015\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.00702).
- [4] J. A. Formaggio and C. Martoff, *Backgrounds to sensitive experiments underground*, [Annu. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.54.070103.181248) Nucl. Sci., 54[, 361 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.54.070103.181248), ISSN 0163-8998, 1545-4134.
- [5] A. Wright, P. Mosteiro, B. Loer, and F. P. Calaprice, *A highly efficient neutron veto for dark matter experiments*, [Nucl. Inst. Meth. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2011.04.009) 644, 18 (2011).
- [6] G. Bellini, et al. (The Borexino Collaboration), *Final results of Borexino Phase-I on low-energy solar neutrino spectroscopy*, Phys. Rev. D, 89[, 112007 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.112007).
- [7] G. Alimonti, et al. (The Borexino Collaboration), *The Borexino detector at the Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso*, [Nucl. Inst. Meth. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2008.11.076) 600, 568 (2009).
- [8] A. Empl, E. V. Hungerford, R. Jasim, and P. Mosteiro, *A Fluka study of underground cosmogenic neutron production*, JCAP, 2014[, 064 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2014/08/064).
- [9] J. Liu, et al., *Neutron Calibration Sources in the Daya Bay Experiment*, [arXiv:1504.07911 \[hep-ex,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.07911) [physics:physics\], \(2015\),](http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.07911) arXiv: 1504.07911.
- [10] J. Hong, et al., *The scintillation efficiency of carbon and hydrogen recoils in an organic liquid scintillator for dark matter searches*, [Astropart. Phys.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0927-6505(01)00114-1) 16, 333 (2002).
- [11] A. Grau Malonda and A. Grau Carles, *The ionization quench factor in liquid-scintillation counting standardizations*, [App. Radiat. Isot.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0969-8043(98)00179-1) 51, 183 (1999).
- [12] M. B. Chadwick, et al., *ENDF/B-VII.1 Nuclear Data for Science and Technology: Cross Sections, Covariances, Fission Product Yields and Decay Data*, [Nucl. Data Sheets,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2011.11.002) 112, 2887 (2011), ISSN 0090-3752.
- [13] I. Berlman, *Handbook of florescence spectra of Aromatic Molecules* Elsevier (2012), ISBN 9780323161671.
- [14] C. Buck, B. Gramlich, and S. Wagner, *Light propagation and fluorescence quantum yields in liquid scintillators*, [Journal of Instrumentation,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/10/09/P09007) 10, P09007 (2015), ISSN 1748-0221.
- [15] F. Elisei, et al., *Measurements of liquid scintillator properties for the Borexino detector*, [Nucl. Instr.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(97)00933-9) [Meth. Phys. Res. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(97)00933-9) 400, 53 (1997), ISSN 0168-9002.
- [16] M. Chen, et al., *Quenching of undesired fluorescence in a liquid scintillator particle detector*, [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(98)01133-4) [Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(98)01133-4) 420, 189 (1999), ISSN 0168-9002.
- [17] G. Alimonti, et al. (The Borexino Collaboration), *Measurement of the* ¹⁴*C abundance in a low-background liquid scintillator*, [Phys. Lett. B,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)01565-7) 422, 349 (1998).
- [18] G. Alimonti, et al. (The Borexino Collaboration), *The liquid handling systems for the Borexino solar neutrino detector*, [Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2009.07.028) 609, 58 (2009), ISSN 0168-9002.
- [19] J. Benziger, et al., *The fluid-filling system for the Borexino solar neutrino detector*, [Nucl. Inst. Meth.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2009.07.035) A, 608[, 464 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2009.07.035)
- [20] P. Lombardi and A. Goretti, *Borexino: Low background techniques adopted during the installation*, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X14420068) 29, 1442006 (2014), ISSN 0217-751X.
- [21] R. Gore, *[Process for producing porous products](https://www.google.com/patents/US3953566)* (1976), US Patent 3,953,566.
- [22] S. Westerdale, E. Shields, and F. Calaprice, *A Prototype Neutron Veto for Dark Matter Detectors*, [Astroparticle Physics, \(2016\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2016.01.005) ISSN 0927-6505.
- [23] E. Shields, [SABRE: A search for dark matter and a test of the DAMA/LIBRA annual-modulation](http://www.princeton.edu/physics/graduate-program/theses/theses-from-2015/Shields-Thesis.pdf) [result using thallium-doped sodium-iodide scintillation detectors](http://www.princeton.edu/physics/graduate-program/theses/theses-from-2015/Shields-Thesis.pdf), PhD, Princeton University (2015).
- [24] M. Janecek, *Reflectivity Spectra for Commonly Used Reflectors*, [IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2012.2183385) 59, 490 [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2012.2183385), ISSN 0018-9499.
- [25] Y. Sakaguchi, Y. Kawata, and M. Suzuki, *[White reflective film for edge-light type backlight, and liquid](http://www.google.com/patents/US20140009961) [crystal display backlight using same](http://www.google.com/patents/US20140009961)* (2014), US Patent App. 14/006,458.
- [26] Hamamatsu Corporation, *[Large photocathode area photomultiplier tubes](http://www.hamamatsu.com/resources/pdf/etd/LARGE_AREA_PMT_TPMH1286E.pdf)*, Hamamatsu Corporation, (2008) .
- [27] P. Lombardi, *The optical system in Borexino*, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X14420032) 29, 1442003 (2014), ISSN 0217-751X.
- [28] A. Brigatti, et al., *The photomultiplier tube testing facility for the Borexino experiment at LNGS*, [Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2004.07.248) 537, 521 (2005), ISSN 0168-9002.
- [29] Easterline Souriau, *[Underwater connector: M series](http://www.souriau.com/underwater/pdf/M%20series.pdf)*, website.
- [30] W.L. Gore & Associates, *Tetra-etch* R *[fluorocarbon etchant](http://www.polytetra.de/en/products/special-products/tetra-etch-r-fluorocarbon-etchant.html)*, website (2015).
- [31] Hentzen, *[Sterilkote](http://www.hentzen.com/index.php/products/brands/sterilkote/)*(R), website (2014).
- [32] B. Caccianiga, et al., *A multiplexed optical-fiber system for the PMT calibration of the Borexino experiment*, [Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(02)01762-X) 496, 353 (2003), ISSN 0168-9002.
- [33] Astroparticle, Particle and Space Physics, Detectors and Medical Physics Applications (2008), presentation at P. Lombardi, edited by M. Barone, et al., pp. 214–223.
- [34] CAEN, *[A1536 and AG536 User Manual](http://www.caen.it/csite/CaenProd.jsp?parent=20&idmod=792)*, (2015) .
- [35] CAEN, *[SY4527 and SY4527LC User Manual]({http://www.caen.it/csite/CaenProd.jsp?idmod=752&parent=20})*, (2015) .
- [36] T. D. Collaboration, et al., *The Electronics and Data Acquisition System of the DarkSide Dark Matter Search*, [arXiv:1412.2969 \[astro-ph, physics:physics\], \(2014\).](http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.2969)
- [37] G. Bellini, et al., *Cosmic-muon flux and annual modulation in Borexino at 3800 m water-equivalent depth*, JCAP, 2012[, 015 \(2012\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2012/05/015) ISSN 1475-7516.
- [38] G. Bellini, et al. (The Borexino Collaboration), *Cosmogenic Backgrounds in Borexino at 3800 m water-equivalent depth*, JCAP, 2013[, 049 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2013/08/049)
- [39] G. Alimonti, et al. (The Borexino Collaboration), *A large-scale low-background liquid scintillation detector: the counting test facility at Gran Sasso*, [Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(98)00018-7) 406, 411 (1998), ISSN 0168-9002.
- [40] M. Giammarchi, *Water purification in Borexino*, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X14420081) 29, 1442008 (2014), ISSN 0217-751X, 1793-656X.
- [41] DuPont, *[Typical Properties of Tyvek Style 10](http://www2.dupont.com/Tyvek_Graphics/en_US/assets/downloads/Typical_properties_UK_10%20styles.pdf)*, DuPont, (2008) .
- [42] The Daya Bay Collaboration, *The muon system of the Daya Bay Reactor antineutrino experiment*, [Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2014.09.070) 773, 8 (2015), ISSN 01689002, arXiv: 1407.0275.
- [43] National Instruments, *[NI PXIe-5162](http://sine.ni.com/nips/cds/view/p/lang/en/nid/211146)*, (2014) .
- [44] C. Green, et al., *The art framework*, JPCS, 396[, 022020 \(2012\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/396/2/022020) ISSN 1742-6588, 1742-6596.
- [45] G. Bellini, et al. (The Borexino Collaboration), *Neutrinos from the primary proton–proton fusion process in the Sun*, Nature, 512[, 383 \(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13702).
- [46] W. Maneschg, et al., *Measurements of extremely low radioactivity levels in stainless steel for GERDA*, [Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2008.05.036) 593, 448 (2008), ISSN 0168-9002.
- [47] G. Bellini, et al. (The Borexino Collaboration), *Lifetime measurements of* ²¹⁴*Po and* ²¹²*Po with the CTF liquid scintillator detector at LNGS*, [Eur. Phys. J. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2013-13092-9) 49, 92 (2013).
- [48] B. K. Kamboj and M. G. Shahani, *Precise measurement of the gamma to neutron ratio of an Am-Îs-Be ´ neutron source using an improved manganese bath technique*, [Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(86)91076-4) 244, [513 \(1986\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(86)91076-4) ISSN 0168-9002.
- [49] J. Hong, et al., *The scintillation efficiency of carbon and hydrogen recoils in an organic liquid scintillator for dark matter searches*, [Astroparticle Physics,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0927-6505(01)00114-1) 16, 333 (2002).