Electroweak Corrections at High Energies

Kalanand Mishra,1 Luca Barzà,2 Thomas Becher,3 Mauro Chiesa,4 Stefan Dittmaier,5 Xavier Garcia i Tormo,3 Alexander Huss,5 Tobias Kasprzik,6 Ye Li,7 Guido Montagna,4 Mauro Moretti,3 Oreste Nicolosi,3 Frank Petriello,9,10 Fulvio Piccinini,4 and Francesco Tramontano11

1Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510, USA
2CERN, PH-TH Department, Geneva, Switzerland
3Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland
4Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, and INFN, Sezione di Pavia, Via A. Bassi 6, 27100 Pavia, Italy
5Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Physikalisches Institut, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany
6Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany
7SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94309, USA
8Dipartimento di Fisica e Scienze della Terra, Università di Ferrara, and INFN, Sezione di Ferrara, Via Saragat 1, 44100 Ferrara, Italy
9High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, USA
10Department of Physics & Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA
11Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli “Federico II”, and INFN, Sezione di Napoli, via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy

We present a survey of the most abundant processes at the LHC for sensitivity to electroweak corrections at √s = 8, 14, 33, and 100 TeV proton-proton collision energies. The processes studied are pp→ dijet, inclusive W and Z, W/Z+jets, and WW. In each case we compare the experimental uncertainty in the highest kinematic regions of interest with the relative magnitude of electroweak corrections and fixed-order αs calculations.

I. INTRODUCTION

At the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) design energy of 14 TeV and at future proton-proton (pp) colliders operating at even higher energies, the kinematic reach for the most abundant processes like dijet, inclusive W and Z, W/Z + jets, and vector-boson pair production will go deeper into the TeV regime and will become sensitive to electroweak (EW) corrections. In spite of their suppression by the small value of the coupling constant α, the EW corrections can become large in the high-energy domain due to the appearance of Sudakov logarithms that result from the virtual exchange of soft or collinear massive weak gauge bosons [1, 2]. The leading term is given by αw ln2(Q2/M2W), where Q denotes the energy scale of the hard-scattering process, M is the W-boson mass, and αw = α/ sin2θw = e2/(4π sin2θw) with θw denoting the weak mixing angle. In the case of massless gauge bosons, these logarithms are canceled by the corresponding real-emission corrections. However, the masses of the W and Z gauge bosons provide a physical cut-off. Therefore, radiation of real W or Z bosons can be experimentally reconstructed for the most part. Only a small fraction that remains unresolved can compensate for the virtual corrections [3]. Thus, at high scales |Q2| ≫ M2W, which are accessible at the LHC and future colliders, the Sudakov logarithms can produce large negative corrections. Although negligible for integrated cross sections, these corrections can reach 10−20% for transverse momentum (pT) or invariant mass values in the TeV range. In this report, we study the evolution of EW corrections from the LHC to future high energy pp colliders for two benchmark values of collider energy, namely, 33 TeV and 100 TeV.

II. DIJET PRODUCTION

Inclusive production of two or more jets at the LHC allows for a detailed study of QCD at TeV energies. It is also the main background for searches of new heavy particles from beyond the Standard Model (SM) physics decaying into jets. Inclusive production of jets and dijets has been analyzed by the ATLAS [4] and CMS [5] collaborations at √s = 7 and 8 TeV. These measurements show sensitivity to dijet invariant masses of up to 5 TeV and jet transverse moments of up to 2 TeV at the LHC. As shown in Fig. 1, at the current level of experimental and theoretical accuracy, the SM is able to describe data well. The size of the EW corrections is comparable to the experimental uncertainty for the highest pT bins. In this respect the dijet measurements at √s = 8 TeV have already started probing the “Sudakov zone”. The EW corrections to dijet production shown in Fig. 1b are taken from Ref. [6]. These corrections comprise electroweak contributions of O(αsα, α2)
to the leading-order (LO) QCD prediction as well as next-to-LO (NLO) corrections through the order $\alpha_s^2\alpha$. The tree-level contributions are of the same generic size as the loop corrections at $\sqrt{s} = 8\,\text{TeV}$. The total correction to the integrated cross section is negligible, typically staying below the per-cent level. However, the Sudakov logarithms affect the tails of the distributions in the dijet invariant mass and in the transverse momenta of the two jets. The magnitude of the corrections at $\sqrt{s} = 8\,\text{TeV}$ and $14\,\text{TeV}$ were found to be similar in Ref. [6]. Results from repeating the calculation for $\sqrt{s} = 33\,\text{TeV}$ and $100\,\text{TeV}$ are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively. We find that the 1-loop virtual corrections are not so dependent on the collider energy, while the tree-level corrections decrease with $\sqrt{s}$. Their cancellation is less perfect, and as a result, the virtual negative corrections dominate in the kinematic tails at large $\sqrt{s}$. Since the kinematic reach will increase with $\sqrt{s}$, these corrections will become progressively more important.

### III. INCLUSIVE VECTOR BOSON PRODUCTION

The production of a single electroweak boson is one of the basic hard-scattering processes at the LHC and constitutes a major background to searches for physics beyond the SM, like $W'\to \ell\nu$ and $Z'\to \ell\ell$, and important SM measurements like $H\to ZZ^{*}\to 4\ell$. As in the dijet case, the EW corrections can become quite significant. Since we are considering single electroweak-boson production, without additional radiation of soft or collinear $W$ or $Z$ bosons, the cross section will contain Sudakov logarithms that can be as large as $20\%$ for boson $p_T \sim 1\,\text{TeV}$. These effects need to be included for precise predictions of kinematic distributions in the region $p_T \gg M_W$. Inclusive $W$ and $Z$ spectra have been analyzed by the ATLAS [7] and CMS [8] collaborations at $\sqrt{s} = 8\,\text{TeV}$, showing sensitivity to boson invariant masses of up to $2\,\text{TeV}$ and $p_T$ of up to $800\,\text{GeV}$. As shown in Fig. 4, at the current level of experimental and theoretical accuracy, the SM is able to describe data well. The current experimental uncertainty for invariant masses above $1\,\text{TeV}$ is somewhat larger than the size of the EW corrections. The same measurements at $14\,\text{TeV}$ will be sensitive to probing the Sudakov zone.

For the above comparison we use Ref. [9] which provides a computation of EW Sudakov effects in single $W$, $Z$, and $\gamma$ production at large boson $p_T$ at $\sqrt{s} = 7\,\text{TeV}$, with both QCD and electroweak effects included. Switching
off the QCD effects, and expanding to NNLO, the results of Ref. [9] reproduce the earlier results [10, 11]. Results from repeating the same calculation for $\sqrt{s} = 33$ TeV and 100 TeV are shown in Fig. 5. We find that the relative corrections are basically independent of collider energy and depend only on $p_T$. However, as the kinematic reach increases with $\sqrt{s}$, these corrections will become more important.

### A. Interplay of electroweak and QCD corrections in Drell-Yan production

The effects of electroweak Sudakov logarithms on more differential quantities, and their interplay with higher-order QCD corrections, are studied next for the example case of lepton-pair production via the Drell-Yan mechanism at a 33 TeV $pp$ collider. The results shown are obtained with the numerical program FEWZ [12–14], which additively combines higher-order QCD and electroweak corrections. MSTW parton distribution...
functions [15] at the appropriate order in QCD perturbation theory are used. Shown first in Fig. 6 is the lepton-pair invariant mass distribution, with minimal acceptance cuts imposed on the transverse momenta and pseudorapidities of the leptons. The shift due to NLO QCD corrections alone is shown, as is the result of combining the full NLO electroweak correction with the QCD one. Both shifts are normalized to the leading-order prediction. Over a broad range of invariant masses, the QCD corrections increase the cross section by 20 – 30%. The electroweak corrections grow in importance with invariant mass, and lead to a decrease in the cross section. The electroweak corrections begin to overtake the QCD ones at $M_{ll} \approx 5$ TeV. The reduction in the cross section induced by the combined corrections reaches 20% at invariant masses of 15 TeV. Measurements of Drell-Yan production in 33 TeV collisions will be sensitive to the Sudakov zone over a large fraction of the available invariant mass range.

The shifts induced by the combined QCD and electroweak corrections on the lepton differential distributions are considered next. The cross section is first divided into the following invariant mass bins: $M_{ll} \in [500 \text{ GeV}, 1 \text{ TeV}]$, $M_{ll} \in [1 \text{ TeV}, 5 \text{ TeV}]$, and $M_{ll} \in [5 \text{ TeV}, 20 \text{ TeV}]$. The lepton transverse momentum and pseudorapidity distributions in each bin are then studied. The results are shown in Figs. 7, 8, and 9.
The QCD and electroweak corrections have the same shape as a function of lepton $p_T$. The dips appearing in the corrections at half the lower bin edge, and the rise at the upper bin edge, are artifacts of the Jacobian peaks present in the leading-order result. An interesting feature emerges in the lepton $\eta$ distributions at higher invariant masses. The electroweak corrections act more strongly for central pseudorapidities, leading to a dip in the combined corrections that is quite large for the highest invariant mass bin. Large negative shifts in inclusive observables such as the vector-boson transverse momentum or invariant mass are not the only effects in the Sudakov zone. Distortions in the lepton $\eta$ also occur because of the shape differences between QCD and electroweak corrections.

IV. VECTOR BOSON PRODUCTION IN ASSOCIATION WITH JETS

Production of a W or Z boson in association with jets has played a special role in collider physics. It was the dominant background to top-quark pair production at the Tevatron. At the LHC, it remains an important background for processes containing a lepton, missing energy ($E_T$), and one or more jets in the final state. Prominent examples are measurements of top quark, Higgs boson, and multi-boson production and searches for supersymmetry signatures. Such measurements also permit stringent tests of the predictions of the SM. Measurements of W and Z boson production in association with multiple jets have been made by the ATLAS [16–18] and CMS [19–21] collaborations at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV. These measurements show sensitivity to boson and leading-jet $p_T$ of up to about 500 GeV at the LHC. As shown in Fig. 10, at the current level of experimental and theoretical accuracy, the SM is able to describe data well. The current experimental uncertainty for the highest $p_T$ bins is somewhat larger than the size of the EW corrections. The same measurements at 14 TeV will be sensitive to probing the Sudakov zone.

Production cross sections for W + up to 5 jets and Z + up to 3 jets are now known at NLO QCD [22, 23]. The full NLO EW corrections for W + 1-jet production have been computed for the final state containing a charged lepton, a neutrino, and a hard jet [24], and for the monojet scenarios where the Z boson decays into two undetected neutrinos [25]. The full NLO EW corrections for Z + 1-jet production have also been computed for...
FIG. 5: Inclusive vector boson production: Relative contribution from EW corrections as a function of the vector boson $p_T$ for $Z$ (row 1), $W^-$ (row 2), $W^+$ (row 3), and $\gamma$ (row 4). Left: at the pp collision energy of 33 TeV; right: at 100 TeV.

the final state containing two charged leptons and a hard jet [26]. The overall magnitude of these corrections as a function of the boson $p_T$ is similar to the inclusive W/Z case. Results from repeating the same calculation as in Ref. [26] for $Z + 1$-jet process at $\sqrt{s} = 33$ TeV are listed in Tables I and II as a function of the leading jet $p_T$ and dilepton invariant mass, respectively. Corresponding results for $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV are listed in Tables III and IV. We find that the relative corrections show very weak dependence on $\sqrt{s}$ and depend mostly on the jet (or boson) $p_T$ and the invariant mass of the boson system. However, as the kinematic reach increases with $\sqrt{s}$, these corrections will become more important.
FIG. 6: Inclusive vector boson production: QCD corrections and combined electroweak-QCD corrections to lepton-pair production as a function of the lepton-pair invariant mass, at a 33 TeV $pp$ collider.

FIG. 7: Inclusive vector boson production: QCD corrections and combined electroweak-QCD corrections to lepton-pair production as a function of the lepton transverse momentum, at a 33 TeV $pp$ collider. Results for two bins of lepton-pair invariant mass, $M_{ll} \in [500 \text{ GeV}, 1 \text{ TeV}]$, and $M_{ll} \in [1 \text{ TeV}, 5 \text{ TeV}]$, are shown.
FIG. 8: Inclusive vector boson production: QCD corrections and combined electroweak-QCD corrections to lepton-pair production as a function of the lepton pseudorapidity, at a 33 TeV pp collider. Results for two bins of lepton-pair invariant mass $M_{ll} \in [500 \text{ GeV}, 1 \text{ TeV}]$, and $M_{ll} \in [1 \text{ TeV}, 5 \text{ TeV}]$, are shown.

FIG. 9: Inclusive vector boson production: QCD corrections and combined electroweak-QCD corrections to lepton-pair production as a function of the lepton pseudorapidity and transverse momentum, at a 33 TeV pp collider. Results for the bin of lepton-pair invariant mass $M_{ll} \in [5 \text{ TeV}, 20 \text{ TeV}]$ are shown.

A. $Z$ production in association with two and three jets

The virtual NLO EW Sudakov logarithmic corrections to vector bosons plus jets have been implemented in ALPGEN [27] through the algorithm of Refs. [28, 29], with phenomenological results for $Z + 2$ and $Z + 3$ jets production at LHC (at 7 and 14 TeV). Here we present the scaling of the corrections with the center of mass (c.m.) energy of proton-proton collisions from 14 TeV to 33 and 100 TeV. The event selections, parameters
FIG. 10: Vector boson production in association with jets: Observed Z boson transverse momentum distribution in events containing at least two jets at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. (left) Exactly one jet is b-tagged. (right) At least two jets are b-tagged. For details see Ref. [18].

pp → $l^+l^-$ jet + $X$ at $\sqrt{s} = 33$ TeV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p_T$ (GeV)</th>
<th>50 − $\infty$</th>
<th>100 − $\infty$</th>
<th>200 − $\infty$</th>
<th>400 − $\infty$</th>
<th>1000 − $\infty$</th>
<th>2000 − $\infty$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{Born}}^{pT &gt; 0.14 \text{ TeV}}$ / pb</td>
<td>121.26(1)</td>
<td>33.737(4)</td>
<td>6.3314(7)</td>
<td>0.79429(9)</td>
<td>0.026920(2)</td>
<td>0.0010264(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{Born}}^{pT &gt; 0.14 \text{ TeV}}$ / pb</td>
<td>120.70(1)</td>
<td>32.654(4)</td>
<td>5.6403(7)</td>
<td>0.60157(6)</td>
<td>0.014491(1)</td>
<td>0.00038663(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{EW}}^{M_t}$ / %</td>
<td>$-4.68(1)$</td>
<td>$-5.47(1)$</td>
<td>$-9.25(1)$</td>
<td>$-16.15(2)$</td>
<td>$-29.25(5)$</td>
<td>$-41.8(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{EW}}^{M_t}$ / %</td>
<td>$-3.29(3)$</td>
<td>$-4.48(2)$</td>
<td>$-8.50(4)$</td>
<td>$-15.42(3)$</td>
<td>$-28.59(8)$</td>
<td>$-41.0(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD}}^{M_t}$ / %</td>
<td>$-4.61(1)$</td>
<td>$-5.31(1)$</td>
<td>$-8.92(1)$</td>
<td>$-15.65(2)$</td>
<td>$-28.48(5)$</td>
<td>$-40.5(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD}}^{M_t}$ / %</td>
<td>$-3.16(3)$</td>
<td>$-4.42(4)$</td>
<td>$-8.12(2)$</td>
<td>$-14.89(2)$</td>
<td>$-27.62(5)$</td>
<td>$-39.9(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD, veto}}^{M_t}$ / %</td>
<td>$-56.2(1)$</td>
<td>$78.7(1)$</td>
<td>$113.0(1)$</td>
<td>$160.5(1)$</td>
<td>$240.4(2)$</td>
<td>$330.6(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD, veto}}^{M_t}$ / %</td>
<td>$54.0(1)$</td>
<td>$77.4(1)$</td>
<td>$117.3(1)$</td>
<td>$186.0(1)$</td>
<td>$234.7(2)$</td>
<td>$347.2(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD, veto}}^{M_t}$ / %</td>
<td>$-13.1(1)$</td>
<td>$23.3(1)$</td>
<td>$29.7(1)$</td>
<td>$27.5(1)$</td>
<td>$-2.8(1)$</td>
<td>$-42.2(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD, veto}}^{M_t}$ / %</td>
<td>$12.9(1)$</td>
<td>$25.6(2)$</td>
<td>$38.8(1)$</td>
<td>$49.8(1)$</td>
<td>$52.6(1)$</td>
<td>$54.0(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{data}}^{M_t, Born}$ / pb / %</td>
<td>$0.1377(4)$</td>
<td>$0.1647(4)$</td>
<td>$0.1960(5)$</td>
<td>$0.2442(7)$</td>
<td>$0.341(1)$</td>
<td>$0.457(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{data}}^{M_t, Born}$ / pb / %</td>
<td>$0.1499(4)$</td>
<td>$0.1923(5)$</td>
<td>$0.2562(7)$</td>
<td>$0.371(1)$</td>
<td>$0.661(2)$</td>
<td>$1.095(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{data}}^{M_t, Born}$ / pb / %</td>
<td>$130.9(2)$</td>
<td>$39.36(6)$</td>
<td>$7.342(9)$</td>
<td>$0.8091(7)$</td>
<td>$0.01808(2)$</td>
<td>$0.000443(1)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I: Z + 1-jet production: Integrated cross sections for different cuts on the $p_T$ of the leading jet (jet with highest $p_T$) at a pp collider with $\sqrt{s} = 33$ TeV. The LO results are shown both for a variable and for a constant scale. The relative EW corrections $\delta_{\text{EW}}$ are given with and without lepton-photon recombination. The QCD corrections $\delta_{\text{QCD}}$ are presented for a fixed as well as for a variable scale and with or without employing a veto on a second hard jet. The EW corrections and the corrections due to photon-induced processes, $\delta_{\text{photon}}$, are presented for the variable scale. Finally, the last row shows the full NLO cross section $\sigma_{\text{Born, veto}}$. The error from the Monte Carlo integration for the last digit(s) is given in parenthesis. See Ref. [26] for details.

and considered observables are those of Ref. [27]. The parameters and PDF setting are the ALPGEN defaults. In particular, for $Z + 2$ jets, we consider the observables/cuts presently adopted by ATLAS [30], namely

\begin{align}
m_{\text{eff}} & > 1 \text{ TeV} & E_T / m_{\text{eff}} & > 0.3 \\
p_T^{l_1} & > 130 \text{ GeV} & p_T^{l_2} & > 40 \text{ GeV} & |\eta_l| & < 2.8 \\
\Delta \phi(p_T^{l_1}, p_T^{l_2}) & > 0.4 & \Delta R(l_1, l_2) & > 0.4
\end{align}  \quad (1)
TABLE III: $Z + 1$-jet production: Integrated cross sections for different cuts on the dilepton invariant mass at a pp collider with $\sqrt{s} = 33$ TeV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_{H}/$GeV</th>
<th>$100 - \infty$</th>
<th>$200 - \infty$</th>
<th>$400 - \infty$</th>
<th>$1000 - \infty$</th>
<th>$2000 - \infty$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{Born}}^{\mu_{Z}}$/pb</td>
<td>19.92(6)</td>
<td>1.6890(2)</td>
<td>0.28005(4)</td>
<td>0.022682(3)</td>
<td>0.0024968(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{Born}}^{\nu_{Z}}$/pb</td>
<td>19.849(6)</td>
<td>1.6482(2)</td>
<td>0.26618(4)</td>
<td>0.020604(3)</td>
<td>0.0021755(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{EW}}^{\mu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$-9.6(1)$</td>
<td>$-5.74(1)$</td>
<td>$-8.26(1)$</td>
<td>$-14.31(2)$</td>
<td>$-21.69(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{EW}}^{\nu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$-5.3(1)$</td>
<td>$-3.06(1)$</td>
<td>$-5.13(1)$</td>
<td>$-10.11(2)$</td>
<td>$-16.34(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{EW}}^{\text{rec}, \mu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$-9.46(8)$</td>
<td>$-5.69(1)$</td>
<td>$-8.14(1)$</td>
<td>$-14.18(2)$</td>
<td>$-21.56(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{EW}}^{\text{rec}, \nu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$-5.05(7)$</td>
<td>$-2.94(1)$</td>
<td>$-4.93(1)$</td>
<td>$-9.93(2)$</td>
<td>$-16.14(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD}}^{\mu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$29.1(1)$</td>
<td>$14.8(2)$</td>
<td>$-0.6(1)$</td>
<td>$-29.5(1)$</td>
<td>$-57.1(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD}}^{\nu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$27.9(6)$</td>
<td>$15.9(2)$</td>
<td>$2.2(1)$</td>
<td>$-23.0(1)$</td>
<td>$-46.6(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD, rec}, \mu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$5.0(6)$</td>
<td>$-8.9(2)$</td>
<td>$-25.5(1)$</td>
<td>$-54.9(1)$</td>
<td>$-82.4(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD, rec}, \nu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$6.1(4)$</td>
<td>$-7.2(2)$</td>
<td>$-20.8(2)$</td>
<td>$-45.8(1)$</td>
<td>$-68.6(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{Born}, \mu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$0.669(1)$</td>
<td>$2.697(5)$</td>
<td>$2.409(6)$</td>
<td>$2.168(6)$</td>
<td>$1.844(5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{Born}, \nu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$0.710(1)$</td>
<td>$2.298(5)$</td>
<td>$2.721(7)$</td>
<td>$2.510(7)$</td>
<td>$2.135(5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{full, veto}}^{\mu_{Z}}$/pb/%</td>
<td>$19.32(9)$</td>
<td>$1.473(4)$</td>
<td>$0.1965(6)$</td>
<td>$0.00877(2)$</td>
<td>$0.000234(3)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II: $Z + 1$-jet production: Integrated cross sections for different cuts on the dilepton invariant mass at a pp collider with $\sqrt{s} = 33$ TeV. See apotion of Table I and text for details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p_{T, \text{jet}}$/GeV</th>
<th>$100 - \infty$</th>
<th>$200 - \infty$</th>
<th>$400 - \infty$</th>
<th>$800 - \infty$</th>
<th>$2000 - \infty$</th>
<th>$4000 - \infty$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{Born}}^{\mu_{Z}}$/pb</td>
<td>$114.29(1)$</td>
<td>$23.772(3)$</td>
<td>$3.5452(4)$</td>
<td>$0.42003(4)$</td>
<td>$0.017238(1)$</td>
<td>$0.0094403(9)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{Born}}^{\nu_{Z}}$/pb</td>
<td>$118.30(1)$</td>
<td>$23.762(3)$</td>
<td>$3.1922(3)$</td>
<td>$0.31583(3)$</td>
<td>$0.009192(9)$</td>
<td>$0.0035205(3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{EW}}^{\mu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$-5.62(1)$</td>
<td>$-9.57(1)$</td>
<td>$-16.86(2)$</td>
<td>$-27.11(8)$</td>
<td>$-43.5(1)$</td>
<td>$-58.8(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{EW}}^{\nu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$-4.65(3)$</td>
<td>$-8.72(2)$</td>
<td>$-16.08(2)$</td>
<td>$-26.29(4)$</td>
<td>$-43.15(7)$</td>
<td>$-58.5(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD}}^{\mu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$-5.50(1)$</td>
<td>$-9.29(1)$</td>
<td>$-16.38(3)$</td>
<td>$-26.36(4)$</td>
<td>$-43.2(2)$</td>
<td>$-57.5(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD}}^{\nu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$-4.48(2)$</td>
<td>$-8.52(2)$</td>
<td>$-15.62(2)$</td>
<td>$-25.64(4)$</td>
<td>$-42.2(7)$</td>
<td>$-56.8(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD, rec}, \mu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$97.4(2)$</td>
<td>$146.0(1)$</td>
<td>$215.2(2)$</td>
<td>$288.7(2)$</td>
<td>$378.0(3)$</td>
<td>$472.6(5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{QCD, rec}, \nu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$85.4(2)$</td>
<td>$130.0(2)$</td>
<td>$201.7(1)$</td>
<td>$298.8(2)$</td>
<td>$487.9(3)$</td>
<td>$769.0(7)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{Born}, \mu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$35.7(2)$</td>
<td>$54.2(1)$</td>
<td>$66.7(1)$</td>
<td>$61.3(1)$</td>
<td>$13.2(2)$</td>
<td>$-43.1(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{Born}, \nu_{Z}}$/%</td>
<td>$29.6(2)$</td>
<td>$47.4(1)$</td>
<td>$65.5(1)$</td>
<td>$76.4(3)$</td>
<td>$65.6(2)$</td>
<td>$51.5(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{full, veto}}^{\mu_{Z}}$/pb/%</td>
<td>$0.1218(3)$</td>
<td>$0.1400(4)$</td>
<td>$0.1681(5)$</td>
<td>$0.2114(7)$</td>
<td>$0.291(1)$</td>
<td>$0.382(1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{full, veto}}^{\nu_{Z}}$/pb/%</td>
<td>$0.1407(3)$</td>
<td>$0.1799(5)$</td>
<td>$0.2482(7)$</td>
<td>$0.365(1)$</td>
<td>$0.630(2)$</td>
<td>$1.006(5)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III: $Z + 1$-jet production: Integrated cross sections for different cuts on the $p_{T}$ of the leading jet at a pp collider with $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV. See apotion of Table I and text for details.

where $j_1$ and $j_2$ are the leading and next-to-leading $p_{T}$ jets; $m_{\text{eff}} = \sum_i p_{T,i} + \vec{E}_{T}$. For the $Z + 3$ jets final state we consider the observables/cuts used by CMS [31, 32], namely

\[ H_{T} > 500 \text{ GeV} \quad |\vec{H}_{T}| > 200 \text{ GeV} \]
\[ p_{T}^{j} > 50 \text{ GeV} \quad |\eta_{j}| < 2.5 \quad \Delta R_{(j_1, j_2)} > 0.5 \]
\[ \Delta \phi(p_{T}^{j_1, j_2}, \vec{H}_{T}) > 0.5 \quad \Delta \phi(p_{T}^{j_1}, \vec{H}_{T}) > 0.3 \]

where $H_{T} = \sum_{j} p_{T,j}$ and $\vec{H}_{T} = -\sum_{i} \vec{p}_{T,i}$. For the sake of reference, we report here some partial results from Ref. [27] corresponding to the c.m. energy of 14 TeV.
In Fig. 11 we show the absolute LO distribution $d\sigma/dm_{\text{eff}}$ and the relative effects of the Sudakov EW corrections corresponding to three different c.m. energies: 14, 33 and 100 TeV. As can be seen, the negative corrections due to Sudakov logs are of the order of some tens of per cent, raising to about 40% (60%, 80%) in the extreme regions at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ (33, 100) TeV, respectively. For a given bin of the $m_{\text{eff}}$ distribution, the relative EW corrections are practically the same, independently of the collider c.m. energy.

In Fig. 12 we show the effect of the Sudakov logs on the observable $|H_T|$ in the process $pp \rightarrow Z + 3$ jets according to the event selection of Eq. (2). As for the $Z + 2$ jets effective mass distributions, the effect of NLO weak corrections on $|H_T|$ is large and negative, raising to about 40% (60%, 70%) in the extreme regions at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ (33, 100) TeV, respectively. For a chosen $|H_T|$ bin, the relative effects of the corrections are quite insensitive to the change of the collider energy.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
$M_t/\text{GeV}$ & 100 $-\infty$ & 200 $-\infty$ & 400 $-\infty$ & 1000 $-\infty$ & 2000 $-\infty$ \\
\hline
$\sigma_{\text{Born}}^{\mu=M_Z}/\text{pb}$ & 60.70(2) & 5.3194(9) & 0.9142(1) & 0.08462(1) & 0.012762(2) \\
$\sigma_{\text{Born}}^{\text{var}}/\text{pb}$ & 61.76(2) & 5.3548(9) & 0.9016(1) & 0.07980(1) & 0.011500(2) \\
\hline
$\delta_{\text{EW}}^{\mu=M_Z}/\%$ & -9.4(1) & -5.85(1) & -8.41(1) & -14.28(2) & -21.05(3) \\
$\delta_{\text{QCD}}^{\mu=M_Z}/\%$ & -5.0(1) & -3.23(1) & -5.29(1) & -10.42(3) & -16.42(3) \\
$\delta_{\text{eff}}^{\mu=M_Z}/\%$ & -9.5(2) & -5.76(1) & -8.31(1) & -14.08(2) & -20.85(3) \\
$\delta_{\text{eff},\text{var}}^{\mu=M_Z}/\%$ & -5.2(2) & -3.97(1) & -5.15(2) & -10.11(2) & -16.22(4) \\
\hline
$\delta_{\text{QCD}}^{\mu=M_Z}/\%$ & 36.4(4) & 20.8(3) & 7.3(2) & -18.1(1) & -43.3(1) \\
$\delta_{\text{QCD},\text{var}}^{\mu=M_Z}/\%$ & 29.3(9) & 18.4(3) & 6.0(1) & -16.3(1) & -37.4(1) \\
$\delta_{\text{QCD},\text{var}}^{\mu=M_Z}/\%$ & 5.0(9) & -7.0(2) & -21.2(2) & -46.8(1) & -71.8(1) \\
$\delta_{\text{var}}^{\mu=M_Z}/\%$ & 3.8(7) & -7.4(3) & -19.6(2) & -41.7(1) & -63.1(1) \\
$\delta_{\text{var}}^{\mu=M_Z}/\%$ & 0.532(1) & 1.679(4) & 2.019(6) & 1.988(6) & 1.792(6) \\
$\delta_{\text{var}}^{\mu=M_Z}/\%$ & 0.568(1) & 1.871(4) & 2.363(7) & 2.464(7) & 2.259(7) \\
$\sigma_{\text{Born, var}}/\text{pb}/\%$ & 58.6(4) & 4.75(1) & 0.672(1) & 0.0373(1) & 0.00211(2) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Z + 1-jet production: Integrated cross sections for different cuts on the dilepton invariant mass at a pp collider with $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV. See caption of Table I and text for details.}
\end{table}
V. VECTOR-BOSON PAIR PRODUCTION

Vector-boson pair production is among the most important SM benchmark processes at the LHC because of its connection to electroweak symmetry breaking, to the study of Higgs boson in $H \to WW^*, ZZ^*, \gamma\gamma$ processes, and to probes of gauge boson self interactions. Diboson production can also provide a deeper understanding of its connection to electroweak symmetry breaking, to the study of Higgs boson in $H \to WW$, $Z^0\gamma$ processes, and to probes of gauge boson self interactions. Diboson production can also provide a deeper understanding of the electroweak interactions in general, and to test the validity of the SM at the highest available energies.

In this report we focus on WW production at large invariant masses or large $p_T$, which is the kinematic regime of high interest that can have large EW corrections. This kinematic regime has recently been analyzed by the ATLAS [33] and CMS [34] collaborations at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV showing sensitivity to invariant masses of up to 1 TeV and boson transverse momenta of up to 500 GeV. Comparing with the full one-loop EW corrections to on-shell WW production [35] we find that the size of the correction is comparable to the experimental uncertainty for highest kinematic end points. As noted in Ref. [35], the corrections due to photon-induced...
channels can be large at high energies, while radiation of additional massive vector bosons does not influence the results significantly. Recently, a detailed study of vector-boson pair production at NLO has been presented in Ref. [36], where the authors emphasize the importance of photon-induced processes, which may potentially lead to significant distortions of differential distributions. Results from repeating the calculation from Ref. [35] for $\sqrt{s} = 33$ TeV and 100 TeV are shown in Fig. 14. We find that while the relative NLO EW corrections hardly depend on the collider energy, the relative photon-induced contributions are suppressed at larger values of $\sqrt{s}$. As a result, the overall corrections show very little dependence on $\sqrt{s}$. However, like in the case of other processes described earlier, the EW corrections will progressively become more important with increase in $\sqrt{s}$ due to higher kinematic reach.

VI. SUMMARY

We have presented a survey of the most abundant processes at the LHC for sensitivity to electroweak corrections at various pp collision energies relevant for LHC and future hadron colliders. We summarize our observations in Table V. We find that for most processes the overall electroweak corrections do not change much with increase in collider energy. However, the corrections become more important at high collider energies simply because of the increase in kinematic reach at high $\sqrt{s}$, where the corrections are inherently large.
TABLE V: Are we in the Sudakov zone yet?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>√s = 8 TeV</th>
<th>√s = 14 TeV</th>
<th>√s = 33, 100 TeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inclusive jet, dijet</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusive W/Z tail</td>
<td>∼ Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wγ, Zγ tail (lνγ, lℓγ)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>∼ Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W/Z+jets tail</td>
<td>∼ Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW leptonic</td>
<td>Close</td>
<td>∼ Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZ, ZZ leptonic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW, WZ, ZZ semileptonic</td>
<td>∼ Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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