Upgrade of the CMS Hadron Outer Calorimeter with SIPMs

Jacob Anderson, James Freeman, Sergey Los, Juliana Whitmore for the CMS HCAL Collaboration

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510, USA

Abstract

The CMS Hadron Outer Calorimeter (HO) is undergoing an upgrade to replace the existing photodetectors (HPDs) with SIPMs. The chosen device is the Hamamatsu 3X3mm 50µm pitch MPPC. The system has been developed to be a "drop-in" replacement of the HPDs. A complete control system of bias voltage generation, leakage current monitoring, temperature monitoring, and temperature control using solid state Peltier coolers has been developed and tested. 108 channels of the system have been installed into CMS and operated for more than 2 years. The complete system of about 2200 channels is in production and will be installed in the next LHC long shutdown scheduled for 2013.

© 2011 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of [name organizer]

Keywords: SIPM; CMS; Calorimeter; Hadron

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-630-840-6813; fax: +1-630-840-2194.

1. Overview

The CMS central calorimeter consists of a detector inside the solenoidal magnet, HB, and a component outside the magnet, the Outer Hadron Calorimeter, HO [1]. The HO is installed inside the magnet flux return yoke and provides for typically 3λ of additional absorber to the calorimetric measurement. The outer calorimeter is composed of one or more layers of scintillator with wavelength shifting fiber readout into photodetectors. Figure 1 (a) shows the schematic layout of the calorimeters in CMS and shows the location of the HO scintillator layers. The front end electronics are placed inside the CMS detector, close to the scintillators. Figure 1(b) shows a photograph of the scintillators. Note the four wavelength shifting fibers per tile. The tile size creates a projective tower with the HB.





Fig. 1(a). Diagram of quarter section of CMS showing the location of the HCAL HO; 1(b) A HO scintillator tile.

Currently the photodetector used is the HPD but for performance and operational reasons it is desired to upgrade these with SIPMs.

The CMS HCAL group has developed a drop-in replacement for the HPD using SIPMs. SIPMs are very suitable for this application because of several factors: The radiation levels are modest with a lifetime expected fluence of less than $5*10^{11}$ neutrons (E > 100 KeV) per cm². The energy flux into HO is small, the rate of larger energy depositions is low, and the required dynamic range is modest. The HO is in the return magnetic field of up to 2KG and the photodetector needs to operate in that environment. Finally, the available physical volume for the photodetectors is small.

2. SIPM Choice

The CMS HCAL group has developed a drop-in replacement for the HPD using SIPMs [2,3]. SIPMs are very suitable for this application because of several factors: The radiation levels are modest with a lifetime expected fluence of less than $5*10^{11}$ neutrons (E > 100 KeV) per cm². The energy flux into HO is small, the rate of larger energy depositions is low, and the required dynamic range is modest. Finally, the available physical volume for the photodetectors is small.

Figure 2 shows the energy deposition into HO for simulated 1000 GeV pions. We note that the distribution does not have substantial population above 200 MIPs. Studies show that the correlation between incident energy and energy deposited into HO is lost at large energy depositions into HO. For

these reasons we chose 200 MIPs as the required dynamic range. With 12 photoelectrons (pe) per MIP, this corresponds to about 2500 pes.



Figure 2. Energy deposition in MIPs into HO for 1000 GeV pions. One MIP corresponds to about 12 pes.

We had a number of constraints/features of the SIPM we needed to emphasize. Important requirements were:

- Rad. tolerance to 5E11 neutrons (>100 KeV) /cm**2
- Dynamic range sufficient for HO (2500 pes)
- Pulse recovery time
- Leakage current
- Photon detection efficiency
- Temperature dependence of gain
- Source capacitance
- Match to the spectral response from the wavelength shifting fiber (500nm)

We performed an evaluation [4,5] of different SIPM candidates and decided that the Hamamatsu $3X3mm^2$ 50µm pitch MPPC was the appropriate choice of SIPM. We have ordered and received about 3000 of these devices. These devices have 3600 micro-pixels which is a good match for our required dynamic range. At the wavelength of interest, 500nm, the MPPC has a PDE (photon detection efficiency) of 25% – 30%. The capacitance of the MPPC (at operating voltage) is ~ 300 pF. As seen in Figure 3(a), the gain of the MPPC is about 6*10⁵ at 1 volt overvoltage. For 2500 pe's, this corresponds to 250K fC of charge. The MPPC pulse width for our signal is roughly 50 ns.

In order to ensure uniform illumination of the SIPM surface, we employ a light mixer of a few millimeters. These are constructed as thin discs with either 3mm diameter fiber insets or 3mm diameter holes with reflective coatings. These mixers prevent local SIPM saturation from light coming from the 1mm diameter fibers from the scintillator tiles.

Figure 4 shows the temperature dependence of the MPPC gain as a function of overvoltage for a fixed operating voltage. It is large, with a minimum of 8% at 1.5 volts overvoltage. The large temperature dependence forces the need to actively control the temperature of the SIPM location.

Figure 5(a) and (b) show properties of 2100 MMPCs of the total order of 2900. Figure 5(a) is the variation in operating voltage, and 5(b) is the dark count in photoelectrons



Fig. 3. Gain as a function of applied overvoltage for the MPPC;



Figure 4. Variation in gain vs overvoltage for the MPPC.



Fig. 5 (a) Variation in operating voltage for 2100 MPPCs; (b) Variation in dark count for 2100 MPPCs.

5

3. System Design

The original HPD was built in one unit with 18 individual readouts. The drop-in replacement is required to match that physical layout. The photodetector and front end electronics are housed in crates (RBX) that are installed inside the magnet return yoke. Electronics and photodetectors are arranged into independent Readout Modules (RMs) inside the RBX.

The drop-in system had to supply a self-contained operating environment for the SIPM. The SIPM has a large temperature dependence of the gain. We needed local temperature stabilization to better than 0.1° C. Temperature is stabilized using a Peltier cooler. About 1 watt of power can be removed per RM and a $\delta(T)$ of approximately 10° C can be achieved. The system has

- Local temperature sensing and stabilization (Peltier and software feedback correction voltage)
- Hardware under-temperature protection
- Leakage current measurement
- Bias voltage generation (CW from LV volt supply)

The SIPM is AC coupled to the 40 MHz flash front end ADC (QIE) [6,7] through a 22 nF capacitor. The coupling network achieves signal charge attenuation through capacitive splitting with the resulting gain of 50,000. This corresponds to about 20K fC, split approximately into $\frac{1}{2}$ in one 25ns sample, and the remaining $\frac{1}{2}$ split into 2 trailing samples. The maximum charge measurement for the QIE is 10K fC which matches the attenuated maximum anticipated signal of 200 MIPs.

Figure 6 shows the simulated performance of the MPPC/QIE for a nominal gain of 30K. The figure shows fractional error as a function of pes per MPPC micro-pixel. There are 3600 micro-pixels in the MPPC so the value "1" in the plot corresponds to 3600 incident pes. The QIE digitization granularity is shown as the stair-stepping. Note that we achieve an acceptable fractional error even in the case where there is one pe (on the average) per micro-pixel.



Figure 6. Fractional error (simulated) for the digitized QIE signal as a function of number op pes per MPPC micro-pixel.

The SIPM bias voltage (BV) is generated locally from 6.5V LV using a Crockcroft-Walton. 18 independent channels can regulate from 0V to 100 V and supply 100 μ A per channel. The BV is settable with a least count of 25mV and is stable to ~ 5 mV. The SIPM leakage current can be read back for each channel, with a precision of 10 nA. Table 1 shows some of the system parameters.

rubic r. condor bound rubinete	Table 1.	Control	Board	Paramete	eı
--------------------------------	----------	---------	-------	----------	----

1. 0	. Control Dourd 1 dumeters					
	Control Board Parameter					
	Bias Voltage Range	0 - 100 V				
	BV Setting Resolution	25mV				
	BV Current Limit (per channel)	100 mA				
	Leakage Current Full Scale	40 mA				
	Leakage Current Least Count	10 nA				
	Temperature Resolution	0.018° C				

The SIPM system developed consists of 2 circuit boards, the Mounting Board (MB) and the Control Board (CB). The array of 18 SIPMs is mounted on one side of the MB. On the other side a Peltier cooler is placed to arrange for a constant temperature of the SIPM. Both sides of the MB are shown in Figure 7. Figure 8 shows details of the placement of the CB and MB in the RM unit of the RBX. To set the scale, the CB and MB are about 6.5cm X 6.5cm.

In Figure 7 note the perforations around the SIPM area on the MB to provide for thermal isolation. The temperature of the SIPM area is read out using a precision platinum resistor. The CMS slow control system reads out the temperature and generates a correction voltage to apply to the Peltier cooler. The control loop is execute about once per minute. Figure 9 shows a typical measurement of temperature vs time for the SIPM area. The least count of the readout is 0.018° C. We see that the temperature is stable to about 1 least count over a period of hours. The 2 transients are forced temperature excursions to demonstrate the temperature settling time. A hardware protection circuit is set to prevent the Peltier from driving the temperature below the dew point. The trip temperature is 15° C.



Figure 7. Photographs of the two sides of the Mounting Board (MB).

7



Figure 8a) and (b) Views of an opened RM where the Control Board (CB) is seen in (b). Also note the connection to the ADC cards (QIEs).



Figure 9. Temperature measurement of the SIPM area vs time (Green curve).

4. Performance

108 SIPMs have been installed and operating in CMS for about 2 years. They have proven to be reliable and well-functioning. Noise observed for channels using HPDs have not been seen in the SIPMs. The MIP signal can be clearly measured with the SIPMs. Figure 10 shows a typical observed HPD MIP signal (a) and a MIP signal from the SIPM (b). The signal to noise for a MIP in HO for the SIPM is seen to be about 20/1.



Figure 10(a) HO MIP signal from HPD channel.; (b)HO MIP signal for SIPM channel

5. Schedule

The MB and CB boards are currently in production. During the next LHC shutdown (scheduled for February 2012) 100 or more channels of the new system will be installed. At the following LHC long Shutdown, 2013, the remainder of the system will be installed.

References

 CMS HCAL Collaboration. "Design, performance, and calibration of the CMS hadron-outer calorimeter" Eur. Phys. J. C (2008) 57 653-663

[2] Silicon photomultipliers for the XM Hadron Calorimeter, Proc. Nucl. Inst. Methods A 617 (2010) 393

[3] J. Freeman, Innovations for the CMS HCAL, At the Leading Edge, D. Green editor, World Scientific (2010)

[4] A. Heering *et al.*, "Large-Area SiPMs for the CMS Hadron Outer Calorimeter," 2007 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium Conference Record, Vol. 2, 1545 (2007).

[5] A. Heering, et al. Performance of silicon photomultipliers with the CMS HCAL electronics, Nucl Inst. Methods A576 (2007) 341-349

[6] T. M. Shaw, et al. Front end readout electronics for the CMS Hadron Calorimeter, IEE 2002 NSS (Norfolk, VA 2002)

[7] T. Zimerman and J. R. Hoff. Design of a charge integrating modified floating point ADC chip. III J. Solid State Circuits 39 (2004) 895-905