

Measurement of Semileptonic Branching Fractions of B Mesons to Narrow D^{**} States

V.M. Abazov,³⁵ B. Abbott,⁷² M. Abolins,⁶³ B.S. Acharya,²⁹ M. Adams,⁵⁰ T. Adams,⁴⁸ M. Agelou,¹⁸ J.-L. Agram,¹⁹ S.H. Ahn,³¹ M. Ahsan,⁵⁷ G.D. Alexeev,³⁵ G. Alkhalaf,³⁹ A. Alton,⁶² G. Alverson,⁶¹ G.A. Alves,² M. Anastasoae,³⁴ T. Andeen,⁵² S. Anderson,⁴⁴ B. Andrieu,¹⁷ Y. Arnoud,¹⁴ A. Askew,⁴⁸ B. Åsman,⁴⁰ A.C.S. Assis Jesus,³ O. Atramentov,⁵⁵ C. Autermann,²¹ C. Avila,⁸ F. Badaud,¹³ A. Baden,⁵⁹ L. Bagby,⁵¹ B. Baldin,⁴⁹ P.W. Balm,³³ P. Banerjee,²⁹ S. Banerjee,²⁹ E. Barberis,⁶¹ P. Bargassa,⁷⁶ P. Baringer,⁵⁶ C. Barnes,⁴² J. Barreto,² J.F. Bartlett,⁴⁹ U. Bassler,¹⁷ D. Bauer,⁵³ A. Bean,⁵⁶ S. Beauceron,¹⁷ M. Begalli,³ M. Begel,⁶⁸ A. Bellavance,⁶⁵ S.B. Beri,²⁷ G. Bernardi,¹⁷ R. Bernhard,^{49,*} I. Bertram,⁴¹ M. Besançon,¹⁸ R. Beuselinck,⁴² V.A. Bezzubov,³⁸ P.C. Bhat,⁴⁹ V. Bhatnagar,²⁷ M. Binder,²⁵ C. Biscarat,⁴¹ K.M. Black,⁶⁰ I. Blackler,⁴² G. Blazey,⁵¹ F. Blekman,⁴² S. Blessing,⁴⁸ D. Bloch,¹⁹ U. Blumenschein,²³ A. Boehnlein,⁴⁹ O. Boeriu,⁵⁴ T.A. Bolton,⁵⁷ F. Borchering,⁴⁹ G. Borissov,⁴¹ K. Bos,³³ T. Bose,⁶⁷ A. Brandt,⁷⁴ R. Brock,⁶³ G. Brooijmans,⁶⁷ A. Bross,⁴⁹ N.J. Buchanan,⁴⁸ D. Buchholz,⁵² M. Buehler,⁵⁰ V. Buescher,²³ S. Burdin,⁴⁹ S. Burke,⁴⁴ T.H. Burnett,⁷⁸ E. Busato,¹⁷ C.P. Buszello,⁴² J.M. Butler,⁶⁰ J. Cammin,⁶⁸ S. Caron,³³ W. Carvalho,³ B.C.K. Casey,⁷³ N.M. Cason,⁵⁴ H. Castilla-Valdez,³² S. Chakrabarti,²⁹ D. Chakraborty,⁵¹ K.M. Chan,⁶⁸ A. Chandra,²⁹ D. Chapin,⁷³ F. Charles,¹⁹ E. Cheu,⁴⁴ D.K. Cho,⁶⁰ S. Choi,⁴⁷ B. Choudhary,²⁸ T. Christiansen,²⁵ L. Christofek,⁵⁶ D. Claes,⁶⁵ B. Clément,¹⁹ C. Clément,⁴⁰ Y. Coadou,⁵ M. Cooke,⁷⁶ W.E. Cooper,⁴⁹ D. Coppage,⁵⁶ M. Corcoran,⁷⁶ A. Cothenet,¹⁵ M.-C. Cousinou,¹⁵ B. Cox,⁴³ S. Crépe-Renaudin,¹⁴ D. Cutts,⁷³ H. da Motta,² M. Das,⁵⁸ B. Davies,⁴¹ G. Davies,⁴² G.A. Davis,⁵² K. De,⁷⁴ P. de Jong,³³ S.J. de Jong,³⁴ E. De La Cruz-Burelo,⁶² C. De Oliveira Martins,³ S. Dean,⁴³ J.D. Degenhardt,⁶² F. Déliot,¹⁸ M. Demarteau,⁴⁹ R. Demina,⁶⁸ P. Demine,¹⁸ D. Denisov,⁴⁹ S.P. Denisov,³⁸ S. Desai,⁶⁹ H.T. Diehl,⁴⁹ M. Diesburg,⁴⁹ M. Doidge,⁴¹ H. Dong,⁶⁹ S. Doulas,⁶¹ L.V. Dudko,³⁷ L. Duflot,¹⁶ S.R. Dugad,²⁹ A. Duperrin,¹⁵ J. Dyer,⁶³ A. Dyshkant,⁵¹ M. Eads,⁵¹ D. Edmunds,⁶³ T. Edwards,⁴³ J. Ellison,⁴⁷ J. Elmsheuser,²⁵ V.D. Elvira,⁴⁹ S. Eno,⁵⁹ P. Ermolov,³⁷ O.V. Eroshin,³⁸ J. Estrada,⁴⁹ H. Evans,⁶⁷ A. Evdokimov,³⁶ V.N. Evdokimov,³⁸ J. Fast,⁴⁹ S.N. Fatakia,⁶⁰ L. Felgioni,⁶⁰ A.V. Ferapontov,³⁸ T. Ferbel,⁶⁸ F. Fiedler,²⁵ F. Filthaut,³⁴ W. Fisher,⁴⁹ H.E. Fisk,⁴⁹ I. Fleck,²³ M. Fortner,⁵¹ H. Fox,²³ S. Fu,⁴⁹ S. Fuess,⁴⁹ T. Gadfort,⁷⁸ C.F. Galea,³⁴ E. Gallas,⁴⁹ E. Galyaev,⁵⁴ C. Garcia,⁶⁸ A. Garcia-Bellido,⁷⁸ J. Gardner,⁵⁶ V. Gavrilov,³⁶ A. Gay,¹⁹ P. Gay,¹³ D. Gelé,¹⁹ R. Gelhaus,⁴⁷ K. Genser,⁴⁹ C.E. Gerber,⁵⁰ Y. Gershtein,⁴⁸ D. Gillberg,⁵ G. Ginther,⁶⁸ T. Golling,²² N. Gollub,⁴⁰ B. Gómez,⁸ K. Gounder,⁴⁹ A. Goussiou,⁵⁴ P.D. Grannis,⁶⁹ S. Greder,³ H. Greenlee,⁴⁹ Z.D. Greenwood,⁵⁸ E.M. Gregores,⁴ Ph. Gris,¹³ J.-F. Grivaz,¹⁶ L. Groer,⁶⁷ S. Grünendahl,⁴⁹ M.W. Grunewald,³⁰ S.N. Gurzhiev,³⁸ G. Gutierrez,⁴⁹ P. Gutierrez,⁷² A. Haas,⁶⁷ N.J. Hadley,⁵⁹ S. Hagopian,⁴⁸ I. Hall,⁷² R.E. Hall,⁴⁶ C. Han,⁶² L. Han,⁷ K. Hanagaki,⁴⁹ K. Harder,⁵⁷ A. Harel,²⁶ R. Harrington,⁶¹ J.M. Hauptman,⁵⁵ R. Hauser,⁶³ J. Hays,⁵² T. Hebbeker,²¹ D. Hedin,⁵¹ J.M. Heinmiller,⁵⁰ A.P. Heinson,⁴⁷ U. Heintz,⁶⁰ C. Hensel,⁵⁶ G. Hesketh,⁶¹ M.D. Hildreth,⁵⁴ R. Hirosky,⁷⁷ J.D. Hobbs,⁶⁹ B. Hoeneisen,¹² M. Hohlfeld,²⁴ S.J. Hong,³¹ R. Hooper,⁷³ P. Houben,³³ Y. Hu,⁶⁹ J. Huang,⁵³ V. Hynek,⁹ I. Iashvili,⁴⁷ R. Illingworth,⁴⁹ A.S. Ito,⁴⁹ S. Jabeen,⁵⁶ M. Jaffré,¹⁶ S. Jain,⁷² V. Jain,⁷⁰ K. Jakobs,²³ A. Jenkins,⁴² R. Jesik,⁴² K. Johns,⁴⁴ M. Johnson,⁴⁹ A. Jonckheere,⁴⁹ P. Jonsson,⁴² A. Juste,⁴⁹ D. Käfer,²¹ S. Kahn,⁷⁰ E. Kajfasz,¹⁵ A.M. Kalinin,³⁵ J. Kalk,⁶³ D. Karmanov,³⁷ J. Kasper,⁶⁰ D. Kau,⁴⁸ R. Kaur,²⁷ R. Kehoe,⁷⁵ S. Kermiche,¹⁵ S. Kesisoglou,⁷³ A. Khanov,⁶⁸ A. Kharchilava,⁵⁴ Y.M. Kharzhev,³⁵ H. Kim,⁷⁴ T.J. Kim,³¹ B. Klima,⁴⁹ J.M. Kohli,²⁷ J.-P. Konrath,²³ M. Kopal,⁷² V.M. Korablev,³⁸ J. Kotcher,⁷⁰ B. Kothari,⁶⁷ A. Koubarovsky,³⁷ A.V. Kozelov,³⁸ J. Kozminski,⁶³ A. Kryemadhi,⁷⁷ S. Krzywdzinski,⁴⁹ Y. Kulik,⁴⁹ A. Kumar,²⁸ S. Kunori,⁵⁹ A. Kupco,¹¹ T. Kurča,²⁰ J. Kvita,⁹ S. Lager,⁴⁰ N. Lahrichi,¹⁸ G. Landsberg,⁷³ J. Lazoflores,⁴⁸ A.-C. Le Bihan,¹⁹ P. Lebrun,²⁰ W.M. Lee,⁴⁸ A. Leflat,³⁷ F. Lehner,^{49,*} C. Leonidopoulos,⁶⁷ J. Leveque,⁴⁴ P. Lewis,⁴² J. Li,⁷⁴ Q.Z. Li,⁴⁹ J.G.R. Lima,⁵¹ D. Lincoln,⁴⁹ S.L. Linn,⁴⁸ J. Linnemann,⁶³ V.V. Lipaev,³⁸ R. Lipton,⁴⁹ L. Lobo,⁴² A. Lobodenko,³⁹ M. Lokajicek,¹¹ A. Lounis,¹⁹ P. Love,⁴¹ H.J. Lubatti,⁷⁸ L. Lueking,⁴⁹ M. Lynker,⁵⁴ A.L. Lyon,⁴⁹ A.K.A. Maciel,⁵¹ R.J. Madaras,⁴⁵ P. Mättig,²⁶ C. Magass,²¹ A. Magerkurth,⁶² A.-M. Magnan,¹⁴ N. Makovec,¹⁶ P.K. Mal,²⁹ H.B. Malbouisson,³ S. Malik,⁶⁵ V.L. Malyshev,³⁵ H.S. Mao,⁶ Y. Maravin,⁴⁹ M. Martens,⁴⁹ S.E.K. Mattingly,⁷³ A.A. Mayorov,³⁸ R. McCarthy,⁶⁹ R. McCroskey,⁴⁴ D. Meder,²⁴ A. Melnitchouk,⁶⁴ A. Mendes,¹⁵ M. Merkin,³⁷ K.W. Merritt,⁴⁹ A. Meyer,²¹ J. Meyer,²² M. Michaut,¹⁸ H. Miettinen,⁷⁶ J. Mitrevski,⁶⁷ J. Molina,³ N.K. Mondal,²⁹ R.W. Moore,⁵ T. Moulik,⁵⁶ G.S. Muanza,²⁰ M. Mulders,⁴⁹ L. Mundim,³ Y.D. Mutaf,⁶⁹ E. Nagy,¹⁵ M. Narain,⁶⁰ N.A. Naumann,³⁴ H.A. Neal,⁶² J.P. Negret,⁸ S. Nelson,⁴⁸ P. Neustroev,³⁹ C. Noeding,²³ A. Nomerotski,⁴⁹ S.F. Novaes,⁴ T. Nunnemann,²⁵

E. Nurse,⁴³ V. O'Dell,⁴⁹ D.C. O'Neil,⁵ V. Oguri,³ N. Oliveira,³ N. Oshima,⁴⁹ G.J. Otero y Garzón,⁵⁰ P. Padley,⁷⁶ N. Parashar,⁵⁸ S.K. Park,³¹ J. Parsons,⁶⁷ R. Partridge,⁷³ N. Parua,⁶⁹ A. Patwa,⁷⁰ G. Pawloski,⁷⁶ P.M. Perea,⁴⁷ E. Perez,¹⁸ P. Pétrouff,¹⁶ M. Petteni,⁴² R. Piegai,¹ M.-A. Pleier,⁶⁸ P.L.M. Podesta-Lerma,³² V.M. Podstavkov,⁴⁹ Y. Pogorelov,⁵⁴ M.-E. Pol,² A. Pompoš,⁷² B.G. Pope,⁶³ W.L. Prado da Silva,³ H.B. Prosper,⁴⁸ S. Protopopescu,⁷⁰ J. Qian,⁶² A. Quadt,²² B. Quinn,⁶⁴ K.J. Rani,²⁹ K. Ranjan,²⁸ P.A. Rapidis,⁴⁹ P.N. Ratoff,⁴¹ S. Reucroft,⁶¹ M. Rijssenbeek,⁶⁹ I. Ripp-Baudot,¹⁹ F. Rizatdinova,⁵⁷ S. Robinson,⁴² R.F. Rodrigues,³ C. Royon,¹⁸ P. Rubinov,⁴⁹ R. Ruchti,⁵⁴ V.I. Rud,³⁷ G. Sajot,¹⁴ A. Sánchez-Hernández,³² M.P. Sanders,⁵⁹ A. Santoro,³ G. Savage,⁴⁹ L. Sawyer,⁵⁸ T. Scanlon,⁴² D. Schaile,²⁵ R.D. Schamberger,⁶⁹ Y. Scheglov,³⁹ H. Schellman,⁵² P. Schieferdecker,²⁵ C. Schmitt,²⁶ C. Schwanenberger,²² A. Schwartzman,⁶⁶ R. Schwienhorst,⁶³ S. Sengupta,⁴⁸ H. Severini,⁷² E. Shabalina,⁵⁰ M. Shamim,⁵⁷ V. Shary,¹⁸ A.A. Shchukin,³⁸ W.D. Shephard,⁵⁴ R.K. Shivpuri,²⁸ D. Shpakov,⁶¹ R.A. Sidwell,⁵⁷ V. Simak,¹⁰ V. Sirotenko,⁴⁹ P. Skubic,⁷² P. Slattery,⁶⁸ R.P. Smith,⁴⁹ K. Smolek,¹⁰ G.R. Snow,⁶⁵ J. Snow,⁷¹ S. Snyder,⁷⁰ S. Söldner-Rembold,⁴³ X. Song,⁵¹ L. Sonnenschein,¹⁷ A. Sopczak,⁴¹ M. Sosebee,⁷⁴ K. Soustruznik,⁹ M. Souza,² B. Spurlock,⁷⁴ N.R. Stanton,⁵⁷ J. Stark,¹⁴ J. Steele,⁵⁸ K. Stevenson,⁵³ V. Stolin,³⁶ A. Stone,⁵⁰ D.A. Stoyanova,³⁸ J. Strandberg,⁴⁰ M.A. Strang,⁷⁴ M. Strauss,⁷² R. Ströhmer,²⁵ D. Strom,⁵² M. Strovink,⁴⁵ L. Stutte,⁴⁹ S. Sumowidagdo,⁴⁸ A. Sznajder,³ M. Talby,¹⁵ P. Tamburello,⁴⁴ W. Taylor,⁵ P. Telford,⁴³ J. Temple,⁴⁴ M. Titov,²³ M. Tomoto,⁴⁹ T. Toole,⁵⁹ J. Torborg,⁵⁴ S. Towers,⁶⁹ T. Trefzger,²⁴ S. Trincaz-Duvold,¹⁷ D. Tsybychev,⁶⁹ B. Tuchming,¹⁸ C. Tully,⁶⁶ A.S. Turcot,⁴³ P.M. Tuts,⁶⁷ L. Uvarov,³⁹ S. Uvarov,³⁹ S. Uzunyan,⁵¹ B. Vachon,⁵ P.J. van den Berg,³³ R. Van Kooten,⁵³ W.M. van Leeuwen,³³ N. Varelas,⁵⁰ E.W. Varnes,⁴⁴ A. Vartapetian,⁷⁴ I.A. Vasilyev,³⁸ M. Vaupel,²⁶ P. Verdier,²⁰ L.S. Vertogradov,³⁵ M. Verzocchi,⁵⁹ F. Villeneuve-Segulier,⁴² J.-R. Vlimant,¹⁷ E. Von Toerne,⁵⁷ M. Vreeswijk,³³ T. Vu Anh,¹⁶ H.D. Wahl,⁴⁸ L. Wang,⁵⁹ J. Warchol,⁵⁴ G. Watts,⁷⁸ M. Wayne,⁵⁴ M. Weber,⁴⁹ H. Weerts,⁶³ N. Worms,²² M. Wetstein,⁵⁹ A. White,⁷⁴ V. White,⁴⁹ D. Wicke,⁴⁹ D.A. Wijngaarden,³⁴ G.W. Wilson,⁵⁶ S.J. Wimpenny,⁴⁷ J. Wittlin,⁶⁰ M. Wobisch,⁴⁹ J. Womersley,⁴⁹ D.R. Wood,⁶¹ T.R. Wyatt,⁴³ Q. Xu,⁶² N. Xuan,⁵⁴ S. Yacoob,⁵² R. Yamada,⁴⁹ M. Yan,⁵⁹ T. Yasuda,⁴⁹ Y.A. Yatsunenko,³⁵ Y. Yen,²⁶ K. Yip,⁷⁰ H.D. Yoo,⁷³ S.W. Youn,⁵² J. Yu,⁷⁴ A. Yurkewicz,⁶⁹ A. Zabi,¹⁶ A. Zatserklyaniy,⁵¹ M. Zdrazil,⁶⁹ C. Zeitnitz,²⁴ D. Zhang,⁴⁹ X. Zhang,⁷² T. Zhao,⁷⁸ Z. Zhao,⁶² B. Zhou,⁶² J. Zhu,⁶⁹ M. Zielinski,⁶⁸ D. Zieminska,⁵³ A. Zieminski,⁵³ R. Zitoun,⁶⁹ V. Zutshi,⁵¹ and E.G. Zverev³⁷

(DØ Collaboration)

¹ *Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina*

² *LAFEX, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

³ *Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

⁴ *Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil*

⁵ *University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, and McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*

⁶ *Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, People's Republic of China*

⁷ *University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, People's Republic of China*

⁸ *Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia*

⁹ *Center for Particle Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic*

¹⁰ *Czech Technical University, Prague, Czech Republic*

¹¹ *Center for Particle Physics, Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic*

¹² *Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador*

¹³ *Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, IN2P3-CNRS, Université Blaise Pascal, Clermont-Ferrand, France*

¹⁴ *Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, IN2P3-CNRS, Université de Grenoble 1, Grenoble, France*

¹⁵ *CPPM, IN2P3-CNRS, Université de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France*

¹⁶ *IN2P3-CNRS, Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, Orsay, France*

¹⁷ *LPNHE, IN2P3-CNRS, Universités Paris VI and VII, Paris, France*

¹⁸ *DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CEA, Saclay, France*

¹⁹ *IReS, IN2P3-CNRS, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, France, and Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France*

²⁰ *Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, IN2P3-CNRS, Université Claude Bernard, Villeurbanne, France*

²¹ *III. Physikalisches Institut A, RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany*

²² *Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany*

²³ *Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany*

²⁴ *Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany*

²⁵ *Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany*

²⁶ *Fachbereich Physik, University of Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany*

²⁷ *Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*

²⁸ *Delhi University, Delhi, India*

²⁹ *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India*

³⁰ *University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*

- ³¹ Korea Detector Laboratory, Korea University, Seoul, Korea
³² CINVESTAV, Mexico City, Mexico
³³ FOM-Institute NIKHEF and University of Amsterdam/NIKHEF, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
³⁴ Radboud University Nijmegen/NIKHEF, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
³⁵ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
³⁶ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
³⁷ Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
³⁸ Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia
³⁹ Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
⁴⁰ Lund University, Lund, Sweden, Royal Institute of Technology and Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden, and Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
⁴¹ Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom
⁴² Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
⁴³ University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
⁴⁴ University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA
⁴⁵ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA
⁴⁶ California State University, Fresno, California 93740, USA
⁴⁷ University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA
⁴⁸ Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA
⁴⁹ Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA
⁵⁰ University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA
⁵¹ Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115, USA
⁵² Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA
⁵³ Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA
⁵⁴ University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA
⁵⁵ Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA
⁵⁶ University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA
⁵⁷ Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506, USA
⁵⁸ Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, Louisiana 71272, USA
⁵⁹ University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
⁶⁰ Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA
⁶¹ Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA
⁶² University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA
⁶³ Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
⁶⁴ University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA
⁶⁵ University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, USA
⁶⁶ Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA
⁶⁷ Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA
⁶⁸ University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA
⁶⁹ State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA
⁷⁰ Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA
⁷¹ Langston University, Langston, Oklahoma 73050, USA
⁷² University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, USA
⁷³ Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA
⁷⁴ University of Texas, Arlington, Texas 76019, USA
⁷⁵ Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, USA
⁷⁶ Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA
⁷⁷ University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901, USA
⁷⁸ University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

(Dated: July 10, 2005)

Using the data accumulated in 2002-2004 with the DØ detector in proton-antiproton collisions at the Fermilab Tevatron collider with centre-of-mass energy 1.96 TeV, the branching fractions of the decays $B \rightarrow \bar{D}_1^0(2420)\mu^+\nu_\mu X$ and $B \rightarrow \bar{D}_2^{*0}(2460)\mu^+\nu_\mu X$ and their ratio have been measured: $\mathcal{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow B) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}_1^0\mu^+\nu_\mu X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}_1^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}\pi^+) = (0.087 \pm 0.007 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.014 \text{ (syst)})\%$; $\mathcal{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow B) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}_2^{*0}\mu^+\nu_\mu X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}_2^{*0} \rightarrow D^{*-}\pi^+) = (0.035 \pm 0.007 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.008 \text{ (syst)})\%$; and $(\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}_2^{*0}\mu^+\nu_\mu X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}_2^{*0} \rightarrow D^{*-}\pi^+))/(\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}_1^0\mu^+\nu_\mu X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}_1^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}\pi^+)) = 0.39 \pm 0.09 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.12 \text{ (syst)}$, where the charge conjugated states are always implied.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw,14.40.Lb

This Letter describes our investigation of the properties of semileptonic decays of B mesons to orbitally

excited states of the D meson that have small decay widths. In the simplest case, these states consist of a charm quark and a light quark in a state with orbital angular momentum equal to one. In the limit of a large charm quark mass $m_c \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$, one doublet of states with $j = 3/2$ (D_1 , D_2^*) and another doublet with $j = 1/2$ (D_0^* , D_1') are predicted to exist, where the angular momentum j is the sum of the light quark spin and orbital angular momentum. Conservation of parity and angular momentum restricts the final states that are allowed in the decays of these particles collectively known as D^{**} mesons. The states that decay through a D-wave, D_1 and D_2^* , are expected to have small decay widths, $O(10 \text{ MeV}/c^2)$, while the states that decay through an S-wave, D_0^* and D_1' , are expected to be broad, $O(100 \text{ MeV}/c^2)$.

The ratio R of the semileptonic branching fractions of the B meson to D_1 and D_2^* :

$$R = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow D_2^* \ell \bar{\nu})}{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow D_1 \ell \bar{\nu})}, \quad (1)$$

is one of the least model-dependent predictions of Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET) [1] for these states. This ratio is expected to be equal to 1.6 in the infinite charm quark mass limit [2], but it can have a lower value once $O(1/m_c)$ corrections are taken into account [3, 4]. Together with the measurement of the corresponding ratio R_π for the non-leptonic decays $B \rightarrow D^{**} \pi$, determination of R will provide important tests of HQET and factorization of the non-leptonic decays [3].

The narrow D^{**} mesons have been previously studied by several experiments, most recently at Belle [5] where the ratio R_π was measured. The semileptonic decay fractions of B mesons to D^{**} mesons were reported previously by the ARGUS [6], CLEO [7], OPAL [8], ALEPH [9], and DELPHI (as preliminary) [10] collaborations, with only the latter measuring the fraction of $B \rightarrow D_2^* \ell \bar{\nu}$ and the others setting upper limits for this decay mode.

The data set used for this analysis corresponds to $\approx 460 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity accumulated by the DØ detector between April 2002 and September 2004 in proton-antiproton collisions at the Fermilab Tevatron collider at centre-of-mass energy 1.96 TeV. The DØ detector has a central tracking system consisting of a silicon microstrip tracker (SMT) and a central fiber tracker (CFT) [11]. Both are located within a 2 T superconducting solenoidal magnet and have designs optimized for tracking and vertexing for $|\eta| < 3$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$ [12], respectively. The SMT has a six-barrel longitudinal structure, each with a set of four layers arranged axially around the beam pipe, and interspersed with 16 radial disks. Silicon sensors have typical strip pitch of 50 – 150 μm . The CFT has eight thin coaxial barrels, each supporting two doublets of overlapping scintillating fibers of 0.835 mm diameter. The next layer of detection involves

a preshower constructed of scintillator strips and a liquid-argon/uranium calorimeter. An outer muon system, covering $|\eta| < 2$, consists of a layer of tracking detectors and scintillation trigger counters in front of 1.8 T iron toroids, followed by two similar layers after the toroids [13]. A suite of single-muon online triggers was used to record the data set while offline only information from the muon and tracking systems was used in this analysis.

Production of narrow D^{**} mesons in $B \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+ \mu^+ \nu_\mu X$ decay manifests itself as resonance peaks in the $D^{*-} \pi^+$ [14] invariant mass spectrum. To perform the measurement, the semileptonic branching fractions of B mesons to the D^{**} mesons were normalized to the $B \rightarrow D^{*-} \mu^+ \nu_\mu X$ process.

Initially, a sample of $\mu^\pm \bar{D}^0$ candidates was selected by requiring a muon with transverse momentum $p_T^\mu > 2 \text{ GeV}/c$ and $|\eta^\mu| < 2$. \bar{D}^0 mesons were reconstructed through their decays into $K^+ \pi^-$. Two tracks with $p_T > 0.7 \text{ GeV}/c$ and $|\eta| < 2$ were required to belong to the same jet and to form a common \bar{D}^0 vertex following the procedure described in detail in Ref. [15]. To increase the signal yield, the event selections of Ref. [15] were relaxed by removing the explicit requirement that the p_T of the \bar{D}^0 exceeds 5 GeV/ c . In total 216870 ± 1280 (stat) $\mu^+ \bar{D}^0$ candidates were found.

D^{*-} candidates were selected through their decays into $\bar{D}^0 \pi^-$ by requiring an additional track with $p_T > 0.18 \text{ GeV}/c$ and the charge opposite to that of the muon. The mass difference $\Delta M = M(K\pi\pi) - M(K\pi)$ for all such tracks with assigned pion mass is shown in Fig. 1 for events with $1.75 < M(K\pi) < 1.95 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The signal was described as the sum of two Gaussian functions and the background as the sum of exponential and first-order polynomial functions. The total number of D^{*-} candidates in the peak is 55450 ± 280 (stat) and is defined as the number of signal events in the mass difference window between 0.142 and 0.149 GeV/ c^2 .

To select a sample of $B \rightarrow D^{*-} \mu^+ \nu_\mu X$ decays used later both for the signal search and for the normalization, B candidates were defined using the μ^+ and D^{*-} particles. All tracks used for the reconstruction of the B candidate had to have at least two SMT and six CFT hits. The decay length of the B meson, defined in the axial plane [16] as the distance between the primary vertex [15] and the B meson vertex, was restricted to be less than 1 cm, the uncertainty on the B -vertex axial position had to be less than 0.5 mm, and the χ^2 of the B -vertex fit had to be less than 25 for three degrees of freedom. The significance of the decay length in the axial plane and the proper decay length of the B meson [15] were required to exceed 3.0 and 0.25 mm respectively. The significance is defined as the ratio of the decay length to its uncertainty. The last selection reduces the $c\bar{c}$ contamination in the $\mu^+ \bar{D}^0$ sample [15]. After these selections, the total number of D^{*-} candidates in the invariant mass difference peak is $N_{D^*} = 31160 \pm 230$ (stat).




FIG. 1: Distribution of the mass difference $M(K\pi\pi) - M(K\pi)$ for events with $1.75 < M(K\pi) < 1.95 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The fit function describes the signal as the sum of two Gaussian functions and the background as the sum of exponential and first-order polynomial functions. The signal contribution is also shown separately. The hatched histogram corresponds to the same-charge combination of the muon and pion charges.

D^{**} decays can be selected by combining the D^* candidates with an additional track with assigned pion mass. The track was required to have a charge opposite to that of the D^* , $p_T > 0.3 \text{ GeV}/c$, and at least two SMT and six CFT hits. Pions from the D^{**} decay can also be selected by their topology since the corresponding track originates from the B -vertex rather than from the primary vertex. The impact parameters (IP) in the axial plane with respect to the primary and with respect to the B -vertex were determined for each track. In order to select tracks belonging to the B -vertex, the ratio of IP significances of the track for the primary and B vertices was required to be greater than four and the IP significance with respect to the primary vertex was required to be greater than one. The IP significance is defined as the ratio of the impact parameter to its uncertainty.

The D^{**} invariant mass distribution after all selections is shown in Fig. 2 where the D^* mass from the Particle Data Group (PDG) [17] has been used as a mass constraint. The observed mass peak can be interpreted as two merged narrow D^{**} states, D_1^0 and D_2^{*0} .

The distribution was fit using a sum of two relativistic Breit-Wigner functions G_{D_1} and $G_{D_2^*}$, corresponding to the two narrow D^{**} states with the numbers of events N_{D_1} and $N_{D_2^*}$ respectively, and a second-order polynomial f_b describing the background, see Eq. (2).

$$f(x) = N_{D_1} \cdot G_{D_1} + N_{D_2^*} \cdot G_{D_2^*} + f_b(x); \quad (2)$$

$$G_i = \frac{M_i \Gamma(x)}{(x^2 - M_i^2)^2 + M_i^2 \Gamma(x)^2} \otimes \text{Res}_i;$$




FIG. 2: The invariant mass $M(D^*\pi)$. Event selection is described in the text. The points correspond to the $D^*\pi$ combinations with opposite charges, and the hatched histogram corresponds to the same-charge combinations. The distribution was fit with a sum of two relativistic Breit-Wigner functions corresponding to two narrow D^{**} states and a second-order polynomial describing the background. The contributions of D_1^0 and D_2^0 to the fit are shown separately.

$$\Gamma(x) = \Gamma_i \cdot \frac{M_i}{x} \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{2L+1} \cdot F^{(L)}(k, k_0);$$

$$F^{(2)}(k, k_0) = \frac{9 + 3(k_0 z)^2 + (k_0 z)^4}{9 + 3(kz)^2 + (kz)^4}.$$

In the formulas above, x is the $D^*\pi$ invariant mass and M_i and Γ_i are the mass and width of the corresponding resonance. The variables k and k_0 are the pion three-momenta in the D^{**} rest frame when the D^{**} has a four-momentum-squared equal to x^2 and M_i^2 , respectively. $F^{(2)}(k, k_0)$ is the Blatt-Weisskopf form factor for D-wave ($L = 2$) decays of D^{**} mesons [18], and $z = 1.6 \text{ (GeV}/c)^{-1}$ is a hadron scale corresponding to the case of the charm quark. Res_i is the mass resolution function described by two Gaussian functions with the parameterization determined from Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. The second Gaussian describing the resolution corresponded to 28% of events and was wider by a factor of 2.2 than the first one. The standard DØ simulation chain included the EVTGEN [19] generator interfaced to PYTHIA [20] and followed by full GEANT [21] modeling of the detector response and event reconstruction. The MC resolution was scaled up by 20% to account for the difference between the data and the MC, where the scaling factor was estimated by comparing the D^* mass resolution in the data and MC. The mass resolution used for the fit (sigma of the first Gaussian in the resolution function) was $8.2 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ for D_1^0 and $9.4 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ for D_2^{*0} after the scaling.

The parameters of the background function were determined by fitting the distribution of the same-charge combinations, fixing the function shape parameters, and

allowing the overall normalization of the background to float in the mass fit. The mass difference between D_1^0 and D_2^{*0} and the widths of D_1^0 and D_2^{*0} were fixed in the fit using their corresponding values from the PDG [17]: 36.7 ± 2.7 MeV/ c^2 , $18.9_{-3.5}^{+4.6}$ MeV/ c^2 , 23 ± 5 MeV/ c^2 . The numbers of events in the two narrow states derived from the fit, $N_{D_1} = 467 \pm 39$ (stat) and $N_{D_2^*} = 176 \pm 37$ (stat), were used to determine the total number of events $N_{D^{**}} = 643 \pm 38$ (stat), and the ratio $N_{D_2^*}/N_{D_1} = 0.378 \pm 0.086$ (stat), where the uncertainties take into account correlation between the variables. The χ^2 of the fit at the minimum is 46.9 for 46 degrees of freedom.

The branching fraction for the decays $B \rightarrow \bar{D}^{**} \mu^+ \nu_\mu X$ can be determined by normalization to the known value of the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow D^{*-} \ell^+ \nu X) = (2.75 \pm 0.19)\%$ [17]. The following two formulas were used for the calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow B) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}^{**} \mu^+ \nu_\mu X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}^{**} \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+) &= \\ \mathcal{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow D^{*-} \ell^+ \nu X) \cdot \frac{N_{D^{**}}}{N_{D^*}} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon_{D^{**}}}; & \\ \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}_2^{*0} \mu^+ \nu_\mu X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}_2^{*0} \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+)}{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}_1^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}_1^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+)} &= \frac{N_{D_2^*}}{N_{D_1}} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_{D_1}}{\epsilon_{D_2^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

$N_{D^{**}}$ and N_{D^*} are the numbers of D^{**} and D^* candidates as defined above. The D^{**} notation stands for D_1^0 or D_2^{*0} or both of them, (D_1^0, D_2^{*0}) . $\epsilon_{D^{**}}$ is the efficiency to reconstruct the charged pion from the D^{**} decay determined from the MC and equal to (47.2 ± 1.0) (stat)% for the D_1^0 meson and (45.4 ± 1.2) (stat)% for the D_2^{*0} meson. Contributions from B_s mesons, Λ_b baryons, $B \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(*)} D^{*-} X$ decays and the $c\bar{c}$ process to the sample were found to be small and have been neglected [15].

The relative systematic uncertainties on the branching fractions and of their ratio are summarized in Table I. The contribution due to uncertainty in $\mathcal{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow D^{*-} \ell^+ \nu X)$ was determined from the uncertainty on this branching fraction. The systematic uncertainty caused by the MC mass resolution was estimated by varying the resolution by $\pm 20\%$. Contributions due to limited knowledge of the D^{**} masses and widths were computed by refitting the mass distribution after varying these pa-

rameters within their uncertainties. The systematic uncertainty due to efficiency modeling accounts for the variation caused by a possible mismatch between the p_T spectra of reconstructed particles in the data and MC.

There are predictions and possibly observations [5] of a wide resonance \bar{D}_1^0 with a mass of 2430 MeV/ c^2 and a width of 380 MeV/ c^2 predominantly decaying to $D^{*-} \pi^+$. This resonance is not apparent in our data, and it was not used in the fits. The systematic uncertainty caused by a possible contribution of this resonance was evaluated allowing for another Breit-Wigner function in the

TABLE I: Relative systematic uncertainties on the semileptonic branching fraction to both narrow states, $\mathcal{B}_{D^{**}}$; the semileptonic branching fractions to D_1^0 and to D_2^{*0} , \mathcal{B}_{D_1} and $\mathcal{B}_{D_2^*}$; and their ratio $\mathcal{B}_{D_2^*}/\mathcal{B}_{D_1}$.

Source	$\mathcal{B}_{D^{**}}$	\mathcal{B}_{D_1}	$\mathcal{B}_{D_2^*}$	$\mathcal{B}_{D_2^*}/\mathcal{B}_{D_1}$
$\mathcal{B}(b \rightarrow D^{*-} \ell^+ \nu X)$	7%	7%	7%	–
Mass resolution	2%	4%	5%	8%
Γ_{D_1}	3%	11%	16%	24%
$\Gamma_{D_2^*}$	2%	2%	11%	13%
ΔM	1%	3%	6%	9%
MC statistics	2%	2%	2%	3%
$\epsilon_{D^{**}}$ modeling	3%	3%	3%	2%
Wide resonance	5%	5%	5%	2%
Interference effects	2%	2%	2%	2%
D^* fit and D^{**} bkg fit	4%	4%	4%	1%
Total uncertainty	12%	16%	24%	30%

fit, with the mass and width fixed to the wide resonance parameters.

Any interference effects between the D_1^0 and D_2^{*0} must average to zero after integration over all angles under the assumption of equal acceptances. The validity of this assumption has been checked and the corresponding uncertainty assigned. The systematic uncertainty due to the fitting procedure was estimated by varying the functions describing the backgrounds for the D^* and D^{**} mass distributions and also the function describing the D^* mass peak. The total systematic uncertainty was found by summing all the above sources in quadrature.

Using the numbers defined above, the semileptonic branching fractions of B mesons to D^{**} mesons and their ratio are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow B) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow (\bar{D}_1^0, \bar{D}_2^{*0}) \mu^+ \nu_\mu X) \cdot \mathcal{B}((\bar{D}_1^0, \bar{D}_2^{*0}) \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+) &= (0.122 \pm 0.007 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.015 \text{ (syst)})\%; \\ \mathcal{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow B) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}_1^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}_1^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+) &= (0.087 \pm 0.007 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.014 \text{ (syst)})\%; \\ \mathcal{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow B) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}_2^{*0} \mu^+ \nu_\mu X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}_2^{*0} \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+) &= (0.035 \pm 0.007 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.008 \text{ (syst)})\%; \\ \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}_2^{*0} \mu^+ \nu_\mu X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}_2^{*0} \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+)}{\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}_1^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\bar{D}_1^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \pi^+)} &= 0.39 \pm 0.09 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.12 \text{ (syst)}. \end{aligned}$$

Upon using the input $\mathcal{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow B) = (39.7 \pm 1.0)\%$ [17], assuming isospin conservation and that the D_1 meson decays only into $D^*\pi$ [22], the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}_1^0 \ell^+ \nu X) = (0.33 \pm 0.06)\%$ is determined. It is different from the PDG value, $(0.74 \pm 0.16)\%$ [17], by 2.5 standard deviations. Similarly the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \bar{D}_2^{*0} \ell^+ \nu X) = (0.44 \pm 0.16)\%$ is determined assuming that D_2^* decays into $D^*\pi$ in $(30 \pm 6)\%$ of the cases [17]. This result is in agreement with the 95% CL upper limit 0.65% provided by the PDG.

Using the measured ratio of the branching fractions and the same assumptions for the absolute fractions for D_1 and D_2^* as above, the ratio $R = 1.31 \pm 0.29$ (stat) ± 0.47 (syst) was computed.

In summary, using 460 pb^{-1} of integrated luminosity accumulated with the DØ detector, the semileptonic decays $B \rightarrow \bar{D}_1^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu X$ and $B \rightarrow \bar{D}_2^{*0} \mu^+ \nu_\mu X$ have been observed and the branching fractions measured using statistics more than an order of magnitude better than previous measurements [6–10]. This result represents a significant improvement in the knowledge of B branching fractions to orbitally excited D mesons, and the first direct measurement of their ratio.

We thank the staffs at Fermilab and collaborating institutions, and acknowledge support from the DOE and NSF (USA); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); FASI, Rosatom and RFBR (Russia); CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ, FAPESP and FUNDUNESP (Brazil); DAE and DST (India); Colciencias (Colombia); CONACyT (Mexico); KRF (Korea); CONICET and UBACyT (Argentina); FOM (The Netherlands); PPARC (United Kingdom); MSMT (Czech Republic); CRC Program, CFI, NSERC and WestGrid Project (Canada); BMBF and DFG (Germany); SFI (Ireland); Research Corporation, Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, and the Marie Curie Program.

[*] Visitor from University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

[1] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. **B 232**, 113 (1989); E. Eichten and B. Hill, Phys. Lett. **B 234**, 511 (1990); H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. **B 240**, 447 (1990).

- [2] V. Morenas, A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene, and J.-C. Raynal, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 5668 (1997); Ming-Qiu Huang and Yuan-Ben Dai, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 034018-1 (1999).
- [3] A. K. Leibovich, Z. Ligeti, I. W. Stewart, and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3995 (1997); Phys. Rev. D **57**, 308 (1998).
- [4] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 014032-1 (2000).
- [5] Belle collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 112002 (2004).
- [6] ARGUS collaboration, H. Albrecht *et al.*, Zeit. Phys. **C 57**, 533 (1993).
- [7] CLEO collaboration, A. Anastassov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4127 (1998).
- [8] OPAL collaboration, G. Abbiendi *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C 30**, 467 (2003).
- [9] ALEPH collaboration, D. Buskolic *et al.*, Zeit. Phys. **C 73**, 601 (1997).
- [10] DELPHI collaboration, D. Bloch *et al.*, at the XXXth International Conference on High Energy Physics, Osaka, DELPHI Report No. 2000-106-CONF (2000).
- [11] V. Abazov *et al.*, “The Upgraded DØ Detector”, in preparation for submission to Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A.
- [12] The pseudorapidity η is defined using the polar angle with respect to the proton beam direction, θ , as $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$.
- [13] V. Abazov *et al.*, “The Muon System of the Run II DØ Detector”, physics/0503151; S. Abachi *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A **338**, 185 (1994).
- [14] B refers to the B^0 and B^+ mesons, and charge conjugate states are always implied throughout the Letter.
- [15] DØ collaboration, V. Abazov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 182001 (2005).
- [16] Axial plane is defined as a plane transverse to the beam direction.
- [17] Particle Data Group, S. Eidelman *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 592**, 1 (2004).
- [18] J. Blatt and V. Weisskopf, Theoretical Nuclear Physics, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1952, p. 361.
- [19] D. J. Lange, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A **462**, 152 (2001).
- [20] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, Comp. Phys. Commun. **135**, 238 (2001).
- [21] R. Brun *et al.*, CERN Report No. DD/EE/84-1, 1984.
- [22] A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Heavy Quark Physics, Cambridge Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. **10** (2000).