



# Gravi-Burst: Super-GZK Cosmic Rays from Localized Gravity \*

H. Davoudiasl<sup>a</sup>, J.L. Hewett<sup>a,b</sup>, and T.G. Rizzo<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Stanford Linear Accelerator Center

Stanford University

Stanford CA 94309, USA

<sup>b</sup>Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

Batavia IL 60510, USA

## Abstract

The flux of cosmic rays beyond the GZK cutoff ( $\sim 10^{20}$  eV) may be explained through their production by ultra high energy cosmic neutrinos, annihilating on the relic neutrino background, in the vicinity of our galaxy. This process is mediated through the production of a  $Z$  boson at resonance, and is generally known as the  $Z$ -Burst mechanism. We show that a similar mechanism can also contribute to the super-GZK spectrum, where the particles produced at resonance are the Kaluza-Klein gravitons of weak scale mass and coupling from the Randall-Sundrum hierarchy model of localized gravity. We call this mechanism Gravi-Burst. We discuss the parameter space of relevance to Gravi-Bursts, comment on the possibility of its contribution to the present and future super-GZK cosmic ray data, and place bounds on the parameters of this model. Under certain assumptions about the energy spectrum of the primary

---

\*Work supported by the Department of Energy, Contract DE-AC03-76SF00515

neutrinos we find that cosmic ray data could be potentially as powerful as the LHC in probing this model.

# 1 Introduction

About 25 years ago, Greisen, Zatsepin, and Kuzmin (GZK) noted that the observed spectrum of proton, photon, and nucleus cosmic rays must virtually end at energies above  $\sim 10^{20}$  eV, the GZK cutoff [1]. Their key observation was that Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECR's) deplete their energy through various interactions with the  $2.7^\circ$  K Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation (CMBR), over distances of order  $10 - 100$  Mpc. Above  $10^{19}$  eV, nuclei are photo-dissociated by interactions with the CMBR, and a  $10^{20}$  eV proton loses most of its energy over a distance of  $\sim 50$  Mpc. The analogous distance for a photon of the same energy is  $\sim 10$  Mpc, due to  $e^+e^-$  pair production on the radio background [2].

However, over the past three decades, different experiments have observed a total of about 20 events at or above this  $10^{20}$  eV bound[3]. Since there seem to be no feasible candidates for the sources of these cosmic rays, such as Active Galactic Nuclei, within a GZK distance of  $\sim 50$  Mpc of the earth, the observation of these events poses a dilemma. A number of proposals have been made to resolve this puzzle [4]. One such proposal for the origin of the super-GZK events, due to Weiler, is based on the observation that UHECR neutrinos can travel over cosmological distances, with negligible energy loss[5, 6]. Therefore, if these neutrinos are present in the universe they could in principle produce  $Z$  bosons on resonance through annihilation on the relic neutrino background, within a GZK distance of the earth. The highly boosted subsequent decay products of the  $Z$  will then be observed as primaries at super-GZK energies, since they do not have to travel cosmological distances to reach us. This mechanism for producing super-GZK cosmic rays is referred to as  $Z$ -Burst.

The  $Z$ -burst mechanism has the advantage that it does not assume the existence of physics beyond the Standard Model (SM) and is therefore minimalistic. However, any extension of the SM that provides a particle  $X$  which couples to  $\nu\bar{\nu}$  and decays into the

usual primaries can in principle contribute to the super-GZK spectrum beyond the range presently observed. Assuming a mass  $m_\nu \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-1}$  eV for neutrinos as suggested by atmospheric oscillation data, the particle  $X$  must have a mass of order the weak scale ( $\sim 1$  TeV) to be relevant to the spectrum near the GZK cutoff. In this paper, we will show that the massive Kaluza-Klein (KK) tower of gravitons in the Randall-Sundrum (RS) model [7] of localized gravity are viable candidates for particle  $X$ .

The RS model is based on a truncated five-dimensional Anti-deSitter (AdS<sub>5</sub>) space-time, with two 4- $d$  Minkowski boundaries. Our visible 4- $d$  universe and all fields associated with the SM are assumed to be confined on one of these boundaries, referred to as the TeV-brane, with the other ‘Planck’ brane boundary separated from us by a fixed distance,  $r_c \sim 10 \overline{M}_{Pl}^{-1}$ , where  $r_c$  is the compactification scale along the 5<sup>th</sup> dimension and  $\overline{M}_{Pl}$  is the reduced Planck mass. The RS geometry is such that the induced metric on the visible TeV-brane generates the weak scale from a 5- $d$  scale  $M_5 \sim \overline{M}_{Pl}$ , without fine-tuning, through an exponentiation. The interested reader is referred to Refs. [7, 8] for the details of the RS model and its numerous phenomenological implications.

A distinct feature of the RS model is that it predicts the existence of a KK tower of spin-2 gravitons,  $G^{(n)}$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ), with masses starting at the weak scale, and with weak scale mass splittings and couplings. Phenomenological studies [8, 9] suggest that the lowest lying KK graviton  $G^{(1)}$  can be as light as  $\sim 400$  GeV. The  $G^{(n)}$  have couplings to all particles, due to their gravitational origin, and can thus be produced by  $\nu\bar{\nu}$  annihilation and eventually decay into  $q\bar{q}, gg, \gamma\gamma, \dots$ . Thus, the  $G^{(n)}$  can in principle contribute to the super-GZK spectrum in a way that is similar to the  $Z$ -burst mechanism. We call this graviton mediated process Gravi-burst.

Since the  $Z$  and  $G^{(n)}$  have different couplings and branching fractions to the observed

primary particles, we expect that experiments may be able to distinguish between  $Z$ -burst and Gravi-burst initiated primaries. In addition, depending on the behavior of the flux of neutrinos at super-GZK energies, more than one member of the graviton KK tower could contribute to the Gravi-burst mechanism. In this case, the RS model predicts a characteristic multi-peaked behavior for future data at super-GZK energies and beyond. We will see below that although collider experiments may be a better place to directly search for the graviton tower, cosmic ray data provides complementary information in the exploration of the model parameter space.

In the next section, we present the necessary formulae for estimating the super-GZK flux in the  $Z$ -burst model. We adapt this approach to Gravi-burst and give the corresponding rate estimates in this scenario. Section 3 contains our results for a range of RS model parameters and a comparison with the  $Z$ -burst predictions. We will show that if the neutrino spectrum falls sufficiently slowly with energy we can use GZK data to greatly restrict the parameter space of the RS model. Our conclusions are given in section 4.

## 2 The Burst Mechanism

The burst mechanism relies on several well-motivated assumptions given the successes of the SM, Big Bang Nucleosynthesis, and the observation of neutrino oscillations due to the existence of finite neutrino masses. This scenario is most easily demonstrated in terms of the conventional  $Z$ -burst. The model proposes that a high energy flux of neutrinos (and anti-neutrinos) are produced by some as yet unknown astrophysical source and collide with the relic background neutrinos in the galactic neighborhood. The origin of this flux is unspecified but constraints on its magnitude and energy dependence exist from Fly's Eye data[10]. If the flux at the  $Z$ -pole is sufficient to explain the super-GZK excess then the Fly's Eye data sample indicates that the fall off with energy of the neutrino flux at somewhat lower energies

goes at least as fast at  $E^{-0.9}$ . A similar behavior may be expected above the  $Z$ -pole.

Since neutrinos have a finite mass, one would expect that the local density of neutrinos will most likely be enhanced over the uniform cosmological background due to their gravitational clustering around the galaxy[6]. Massive neutrinos within a few  $Z$  widths of the energy

$$E_\nu^{RZ} = M_Z^2/2m_\nu = 4(0.1 \text{ eV}/m_\nu) \times 10^{22} \text{ eV}, \quad (1)$$

will then resonantly annihilate with the local anti-neutrinos (and vice versa) into hadrons at the  $Z$ -pole with the large cross section

$$\langle \sigma_{ann} \rangle^Z = \int \frac{ds}{M_Z^2} \sigma_{ann}(s) = \frac{4\pi G_F B_h^Z}{\sqrt{2}} \simeq 28 \text{nb}, \quad (2)$$

where  $B_h^Z \simeq 0.70$  is the hadronic branching fraction of the  $Z$ . We assume that only left-handed neutrinos exist and employ the narrow width approximation. Assuming a neutrino mass hierarchy, it follows from the Super-Kamiokande atmospheric oscillation results[11] that one of the neutrinos has a mass near  $\simeq 0.05 - 0.06 \text{ eV}$ . (This results from the latest two parameter fit to the Super-K data which yields a value for  $\Delta m^2$  of  $3.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$  and by taking one of the neutrino masses to be at least a few times larger than the second. In principle, since there are three neutrinos, we should consider three different cases depending on their masses; this is a straightforward extension of the present discussion.) The locally produced 30 or so hadrons from the decay of the  $Z$  are then the effective primaries for the super-GZK events that are observed with energies in excess of  $\sim 10^{20} \text{ eV}$ . If the source of the initial neutrinos is randomly distributed in space, then Weiler[6] has shown that the total rate of super-GZK events induced by  $\nu - \bar{\nu}$  annihilation at the  $Z$  pole within a distance  $D$  of the Earth can be calculated as

$$F_Z \simeq E_\nu^{RZ} F_\nu(E^{RZ}) \langle \sigma_{ann} \rangle^Z \int_0^D dx n(x), \quad (3)$$

where the narrow width approximation has again been employed,  $F_\nu(E^{Rz})$  is the incident neutrino flux with energy between  $E$  and  $E + \delta E$  evaluated at the resonance, and  $n(x)$  is the number density of neutrinos. In deriving this expression it is assumed that the product  $\langle \sigma_{ann} \rangle^Z \int_0^D dx n(x) \ll 1$ ; this holds for the  $Z$  in the SM and in the RS model we consider below. In practice we are interested in rather close annihilation, *i.e.*, values of  $D$  of order the GZK limit for protons which is  $\sim 50$  Mpc.

Weiler has shown that for reasonable ranges of the parameters the resulting value of  $F_Z$  can explain the  $\simeq 20$  events beyond the GZK bound observed over the last few decades. We note that the model in its present form predicts that all of the super-GZK events are relatively well clustered in energy just beyond  $\sim 10^{20}$  eV and that essentially no events should exist beyond those induced near the  $Z$ -pole. Obviously, if such ‘ultra’-GZK events were observed then there must be new processes which can also lead to enhanced annihilation cross sections beyond those arising in the SM.

In the RS model with the SM gauge and matter fields lying on the TeV-brane there exists a Kaluza-Klein tower of massive, weak scale gravitons,  $G^{(n)}$ , with essentially electroweak couplings. There are two parameters in this model: the ratio  $c = k/\overline{M}_{Pl}$ , with  $k$  being a curvature parameter with a magnitude comparable to the five-dimensional Planck scale, ( $c$  is expected to lie in the range  $\sim 0.01 - 1$ [7, 8, 9]) and the mass of the lowest lying graviton state. The mass splittings of the KK tower states are given by the roots of the Bessel function  $J_1$  with the masses of the KK states,  $G^{(n)}$ , being given by  $m_n = kx_n e^{-\pi krc}$  with  $J_1(x_n) = 0$ . This implies that the tower mass spectrum is completely determined once the mass of the lowest lying excitation is known. The zero mode graviton couples with a strength  $\overline{M}_{Pl}^{-1}$ , while the remaining KK tower states couple as  $\Lambda_\pi^{-1}$  where  $\Lambda_\pi = \overline{M}_{Pl} e^{-\pi krc}$ .

Both phenomenological and theoretical constraints can be used to restrict this two

dimensional model parameter space as has been discussed previously[8, 9]. As an initial numerical example of the Gravi-burst mechanism we consider the specific scenario where  $m_1 = 600$  GeV and  $k/\overline{M}_{Pl} = 0.1$ , which is allowed by the current constraints; it is then straightforward to consider the more general case. We now calculate the cross section for  $\nu\bar{\nu}$  annihilation into hadrons using these specific values of the parameters. This cross section now has a number of distinct contributions besides those arising from  $q\bar{q}$  final states in contrast to  $Z$  decay in the SM. (We note that even in the SM, above threshold, neutrinos can annihilate into pairs of  $W$ 's,  $Z$ 's and top quarks which can subsequently decay hadronically.) In the RS case, the gravitons not only lead to  $q\bar{q}$ ,  $t\bar{t}$ ,  $W^+W^-$  and  $ZZ$  final states but also to pairs of gluons and Higgs bosons,  $gg, hh$ . Gluons fragment directly into hadrons while the SM Higgs bosons decay mostly to  $b\bar{b}$ . (Here we assume for numerical purposes that the mass of the Higgs is 120 GeV.) We then calculate the full energy dependence of the total  $\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow$  hadrons cross section by combining all of these individual process cross sections, including interference with the SM  $Z$  exchange. This allows us to determine the *ratio* of expected cosmic ray rates for super- and ultra-GZK events in units of the  $Z$ -pole induced rate  $F_Z$  computed above. It is important to note that in forming this ratio almost all of the astrophysical uncertainties cancel except for the energy dependence of the neutrino flux. We find

$$R(\sqrt{s}) = \frac{F_{SM+GRAV}(\sqrt{s})}{F_Z} = \frac{2\sqrt{s} \sigma_{ann}^{SM+GRAV}(\sqrt{s}) (M_Z/\sqrt{s})^\lambda}{M_Z^2 < \sigma_{ann} >^Z}, \quad (4)$$

where we have assumed that the neutrino spectrum, *i.e.*,  $F_\nu$ , above the resonant  $Z$ -pole energy falls in a power-like manner as  $\sim E_\nu^{-\lambda/2}$ . In what follows it will be sufficient to assume that this power-like fall off adequately describes the neutrino spectrum for a few orders of magnitude in energy above  $E_\nu^{Rz}$ . We denote by  $F_{SM}$  the complete energy dependent flux anticipated in the SM beyond that obtained through the use of the narrow-width approximation alone. Integration of  $R$  over a range of  $\sqrt{s}$  values then yields the relative rate of

events expected in the RS model to those originating from  $Z$ -bursts.




Figure 1: The energy weighted total cross section for hadron production in units of that for the  $Z$  pole in the  $Z$ -burst model with  $\lambda = 0$  as a function of center of mass energy. The relatively flat lower blue curve corresponds to the SM while the upper red curve represents the RS case with  $c = k/\overline{M}_{Pl} = 0.1$  and  $m_1 = 600$  GeV. The small irregularities in the curves are due to  $WW$ ,  $ZZ$ ,  $hh$  and  $t\bar{t}$  thresholds.

To get an idea of the form of this ratio as a function of energy, the simplest case of  $\lambda = 0$  is shown in Fig. 1. Note that the integral of  $R$  under the  $Z$  pole gives the value unity as it should to reproduce Weiler's results. We are also reminded by the figure that even in the SM there exists a long high-energy tail to this ratio. In the RS scenario, the  $Z$  peak is followed by a number of ever widening graviton peaks which also yield reasonably large cross sections. Depending on the kinematics, the graviton resonances may either account for the super-GZK events or produce events at even higher energies, *i.e.*, ultra-GZK events. The former scenario may be realized if one assumes a democratic neutrino mass spectrum

in describing the neutrino oscillation data. In this case, the neutrino mass may be as high as allowed by present data which is a few eV. Super-GZK events may then be generated by KK gravitons of a few hundred GeV, and the  $Z$ -burst mechanism would contribute to data below the GZK cut-off. However, as can be seen in the figure, the total hadronic cross section for the first graviton KK state is only a few percent of that for the  $Z$ ; the difference in event rates between the  $Z$ -burst and Gravi-burst mechanisms could be accounted for by uncertainties in the high energy neutrino energy spectrum and the relic neutrino density.

In the latter case discussed above, assuming a neutrino mass of  $\sim 0.05$  eV, the  $Z$ -burst scenario can account for the observed super-GZK events, while the KK gravitons would then generate events at even higher energies if the neutrino flux falls off slowly enough with energy. Given that hadronic multiplicities grow only very slowly with  $\sqrt{s}$ , as is observed in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation data, we would expect events induced by Gravi-bursting on the first graviton resonance to result in hadronic effective primaries that have energies approaching  $10^{22}$  eV. As of yet no such events have been recorded; this places bounds on the allowed parameters of the RS model for different values of the neutrino energy spectrum described by the parameter  $\lambda$ . We now explore this scenario in what follows.

### 3 Analysis

As noted above, if we assume that  $Z$ -bursts are the source of the super-GZK events, then the existence of even higher energy ultra-GZK events is a generic prediction of the RS model. In our analysis we then restrict ourselves to the region  $\sqrt{s} \geq 300$  GeV which corresponds to effective primary energies in excess of  $10^{21}$  eV; no events have yet been observed in this region. Integrating the ratio  $R$  above this lower bound in the SM yields some ‘background’ events; the use of the narrow width approximation is not strictly correct in that some rare

events can arise from values of  $\sqrt{s}$  away from the  $Z$  pole. We find that for  $\lambda = 1(2, 3)$  we would expect to have already seen  $\simeq 0.24(0.04, 0.008)$  ultra-GZK background events from the tail of the  $Z$  pole, which is compatible with existing data. (As discussed above we expect that  $\lambda \geq 1.8$  is allowed by Fly's Eye data if the energy dependence of the neutrino spectrum far below and above the  $Z$ -pole are similar. Of course the energy dependence in these two distinct energy regions could be quite different.) In the RS case, we integrate  $R$  over the region from 300 GeV up to  $\sqrt{s} = 4m_1$  beyond which perturbation theory fails. † This yields a conservative lower bound on the total number of ultra-GZK events that are predicted in the RS model since more events can arise from even larger values of  $\sqrt{s}$ . Performing this calculation for a fixed set of values of  $m_1$  and  $\lambda$  we see that for some range of the curvature parameter  $k/\overline{M}_{Pl}$  the cross sections are too large to have avoided the present non-observation of ultra-GZK events. In the usual manner we can thus use the existing data to place a 95% CL bound on  $k/\overline{M}_{Pl}$  as a function of  $m_1$  for different assumed values of  $\lambda$ .

The dashed curves in Fig. 2 show the results of this analysis; other constraints obtained on the  $(k/\overline{M}_{Pl})$ - $m_1$  parameter space from our earlier work[9] are also shown. We see immediately that the effectiveness of the bound is quite strongly dependent on the value of  $\lambda$ . For  $\lambda \geq 3$  only a tiny region beyond that excluded by existing Tevatron Run I data is now ruled out. As  $\lambda$  decreases, the size of the region presently excluded by cosmic ray data grows rapidly. For  $\lambda = 2$  a substantial region allowed by the present Tevatron data becomes excluded. Furthermore, a sizeable region *beyond* that accessible at Run II with a luminosity of  $30 fb^{-1}$  is also ruled out. Using accelerators alone this region would be inaccessible until after the LHC turns on. For  $\lambda \leq 1$  the bound is extremely powerful and at most only a tiny

---

† We note that the RS model as described in four dimensions is a non-renormalizable theory. In addition, once the value of  $\sqrt{s}$  significantly exceeds  $\Lambda_\pi$  the theory also becomes non-perturbative and only qualitative statements can be made about the behavior of the cross section[8].




Figure 2: Allowed region in the  $(k/\overline{M}_{Pl})-m_1$  plane for various values of  $\lambda$  which describes the fall with energy of the neutrino flux as  $E^{-\lambda/2}$ . The solid (dotted) diagonal red curve excludes the region above and to the left from direct searches for graviton resonances at the Run I (II,  $30 fb^{-1}$ ) Tevatron. The light blue (green) curve is an indirect bound from the oblique parameter analysis (based on the hierarchy requirement that  $\Lambda_\pi < 10$  TeV) and excludes the region below it. The black dashed (dotted) curves excluding the regions above them at 95% CL based on present (anticipated future Auger) cosmic ray data.

sliver of the RS model parameter space would remain viable.

In the region below the dashed curves which is allowed by existing cosmic ray data, we expect ultra-GZK events to show up in future experiments at reasonable rates. Otherwise, the present bounds discussed above will improve drastically, especially with the new cosmic ray observatories such as Auger[12] coming on line. Within a 5 year period of data taking at Auger one would expect  $\sim 1000$  super-GZK events[13] induced by  $Z$ -Bursts with correspondingly higher sensitivity to the ultra-GZK region. If no events above the SM background from the  $Z$  pole tail are observed at Auger during this period, strong constraints on the RS parameter space can be obtained as described by the dotted curves in Fig. 2. We find the SM background expectations in this case to be  $\simeq 12.5(2.05, 0.422)$  events for  $\lambda = 1(2, 3)$ . Here we see that for  $\lambda = 2$  and 3 the size of the presently allowed region is significantly reduced. Particularly note the case  $\lambda \leq 1$  where we find that the non-observation of any ultra-GZK events at Auger would completely *exclude* the RS model with the SM gauge and matter fields confined to the the TeV-brane. This is a very powerful result!

If events above background expectations are observed at Auger due to gravi-bursts they will have two distinctive characteristics. First, due to the resonance structure predicted by the RS model the energies of the effective hadronic primaries will show peaking at a set of fixed energies provided the energy resolution of the detectors is sufficiently good. Second, in addition to the rather ‘soft’ photons arising from conventional fragmentation of  $\pi^0$ 's, much harder photons can arise from the direct decays of the gravitons in the KK tower, as gravitons in the mass range of interest decay with a reasonable branching fraction,  $\simeq 4 - 5\%$ , into photons[9]. Since they carry half of the energy of the resonance mass, these photons will have energies an order of magnitude or more larger than those arising from  $\pi^0$  decays. However, with this rather small branching fraction a reasonable number of hadronic ultra-GZK events should be observed before ones induced by these very hard photons.

So far we have only discussed the case of a single massive neutrino; data based on oscillation solutions to the solar neutrino problem[11] suggest a second massive state exists near  $3 \times 10^{-3}$  eV, which is a factor of  $\sim 20$  in mass below the 0.06 eV case discussed above. This second neutrino can also induce a  $Z$ -burst but only if the energy of the corresponding incident neutrino is  $\simeq 20$  times larger,  $\simeq 1.4 \times 10^{24}$  eV. The flux of events generated by these lighter neutrinos would be  $\simeq (20)^{\lambda/2}$  times smaller than that from the heavier neutrinos. This suggests that  $\lambda \geq 2$  to avoid ultra-GZK events from the second  $Z$  resonance in the present data. To eliminate any additional background generated by this new  $Z$  contribution, we would need to raise the lower bound on our  $\sqrt{s}$  integration, which is actually an integral over the neutrino energy. (The  $\sqrt{s} = 300$  GeV lower bound translates into a minimum neutrino energy of  $\simeq 8 \times 10^{23}$  eV and thus would now include additional background events from the second  $Z$  peak.) Raising the minimum neutrino energy by a factor of two would remove this second  $Z$  contribution while still staying comfortably below the excitation energies of any of the gravitons. In this case we would expect at most only slight alterations in the bounds presented above.

Before we conclude we briefly discuss a generalization of the RS model where the SM gauge and matter fields are taken off of the TeV-brane[9]. In this case,  $Z$  boson KK towers are also present and have excitation masses that are generally interspaced with the graviton KK states. These  $Z$  boson KK states will also contribute to  $\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow$  hadrons in a series of KK  $Z$ -bursts. If these additional contributions are also present it is likely that the neutrino cross section can be significantly enhanced leading to even stronger limits than those obtained above. One might also expect that with increased cross sections it might be possible to probe cases where the slope of the neutrino energy spectrum is even steeper than what we have considered here. To determine the modifications to our previous results in this case in a quantitative manner requires a detailed analysis which is beyond the scope of this paper.

## 4 Conclusions

In this paper we have examined the possible contribution of new physics arising in the Randall-Sundrum model of localized gravity to the spectrum of cosmic rays beyond the GZK cutoff. Depending on the form of the neutrino mass hierarchy, we see that Gravi-bursts may either account for the observed super-GZK events or contribute to higher energy ultra-GZK events. In the latter case, our analysis is based on the assumptions (i) that the events observed immediately above the GZK bound can be explained by the  $Z$ -Burst mechanism and (ii) the neutrino spectrum needed for  $Z$ -Bursts extends a few orders of magnitude further in neutrino energy with a reasonably slow fall-off. If these conditions hold then the existence of a series of  $s$ -channel Kaluza-Klein graviton resonances in the  $\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow$  hadrons channel, can lead to events with even higher energies, ultra-GZK, due to Gravi-Bursts. The rate for these bursts are generally at or near the present level of observability for a wide range of RS model parameters. The fact that such events are not as yet observed can be used to constrain the parameter space of the RS model once a specific form of the neutrino energy spectrum is assumed. These bounds are more restrictive than those that can be obtained from the lack of graviton resonance production at the Tevatron during Run II ( $30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ) if the fall-off with energy of the UHECR neutrino flux is linear or less steep. If ultra-GZK events are not observed by future experiments such as the Auger Array, then the resulting bounds on the RS model will be complementary to those obtainable at the LHC. If such events are observed at future experiments, the RS resonance structure may be observable given both sufficient statistics and good hadronic energy resolution. In addition to hadronic modes, the RS graviton KK tower states can directly decay to photon pairs which will have more than an order of magnitude greater energies than those that can arise due to ordinary fragmentation into  $\pi^0$ 's. If photon and hadron induced showers can be distinguished at such energies this will provide a unique signature for the RS model as the origin of the ultra-GZK

events.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Aspen Center for Physics, where this work was begun, for its hospitality, as well as T. Weiler and E. Kolb for discussions related to this paper.

## References

- [1] K. Greisen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **16**, 748 (1966); G. T. Zatsepin and V. A. Kuzmin, JETP Lett. **4**, 78 (1966).
- [2] V. S. Berezinsky, Yad. Fiz. **11**, 339 (1970); R. J. Protheroe and P. L. Biermann, Astropart. Phys. **6**, 45 (1996); Erratum-*ibid.* **7**:181 (1997).
- [3] M. Takeda *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1163 (1998); M. Takeda *et al.*, astro-ph/9902239; N. Hayashida *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3491 (1994); D. J. Bird *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **424**, 491 (1994); *ibid.* **441**, 144 (1995); Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3401 (1993); M. A. Lawrence, R. J. Reid and A. A. Watson, J. Phys. G **G17**, 733 (1991); A. V. Glushkov *et al.*, *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Phys. Ser. 55 (1991) No. 4 95-97. (Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Fiz. 55 (1991) 717-719)* ; HiRes Collaboration, reported at the 26th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 99), Salt Lake City, Utah, (1999).
- [4] For a review of some of the theoretical challenges, see A. V. Olinto, Phys. Rept. **333-334**, 329 (2000).
- [5] T. Weiler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 234 (1982).
- [6] T. Weiler, Astropart. Phys. **11**, 303 (1999); For a recent review of the Z-burst mechanism, see T. Weiler, hep-ph/9910316. See also D. Fargion, B. Mele and A. Salis, Astrophys. J. **517**, 725 (1999).
- [7] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999).
- [8] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2080 (2000).
- [9] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, hep-ph/0006041.

- [10] R. M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D31**, 2192 (1985).
- [11] Y. Fukuda *et al.*, Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1810 (1999).  
For a recent review of the experimental situation, see T. Toshito, talk given at the *XXX<sup>th</sup> International Conference on High Energy Physics*, Osaka, Japan, July 27-August 2, 2000.
- [12] For an overview of the Auger project see, D. Zavrtanik, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **85**, 324 (2000).
- [13] J.W. Cronin, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **80**, 33 (2000).