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Abstract

We present new results on the search for the top quark in pp collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 1.8 \) TeV with an integrated luminosity of \( 13.5 \pm 1.6 \) pb\(^{-1}\). We have considered \( t\bar{t} \) production in the Standard Model using electron and muon dilepton decay channels (\( t\bar{t} \rightarrow e\mu + \text{jets}, ee + \text{jets}, \text{and } \mu\mu + \text{jets} \)) and single-lepton decay channels (\( t\bar{t} \rightarrow e+\text{jets and } \mu+\text{jets} \)) with and without tagging of \( b \) quark jets. From all channels, we have 9 events with an expected background of \( 3.8 \pm 0.9 \). If we assume that the excess is due to \( t\bar{t} \) production, and assuming a top mass of \( 180 \) GeV/c\(^2\), we obtain a cross section of \( 8.2 \pm 5.1 \) pb.

PACS numbers 14.65.Hq, 13.85.Qk, 13.85.Rm
In the Standard Model (SM), the top quark is the weak isospin partner of the $b$ quark. Precision electroweak measurements indirectly constrain the SM top quark mass to be $178 \pm 11 ^{+18} _{-10}$ GeV/c$^2$ [1]. The DØ collaboration recently published a lower limit on the mass of the top quark of 131 GeV/c$^2$, at a confidence level of 95% [2]. The CDF collaboration has presented evidence for top quark production of mass $174 \pm 13$ GeV/c$^2$ with a cross section of $13.9^{+6.1}_{-4.4}$ pb [3]. The present analysis, which is based on the same data sample as Ref. [2], includes three additional top quark decay channels, reoptimizes the event selection criteria for higher mass top, and provides a background-subtracted estimate of the top production cross section [4].

We assume that the top quark is pair-produced and decays according to the minimal SM (i.e. $\bar{t}t \rightarrow W^+W^-bb$), and subsequently the $W$ decays into leptons ($W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ where $\ell = e, \mu$ or $\tau$) or quarks. We searched for the following distinct decay channels: (a) $\bar{t}t \rightarrow \ell_1\ell_2\nu_1\nu_2bb$, the dilepton channels, with branching fractions $2/81$ for $e\mu + \text{jets}$ and $1/81$ each for $ee + \text{jets}$ and $\mu\mu + \text{jets}$, and (b) $\bar{t}t \rightarrow \ell\nu q\bar{q}bb$, the single-lepton channels $e + \text{jets}$ and $\mu + \text{jets}$, each with a branching fraction of $12/81$. The latter were further subdivided into $b$-tagged and untagged channels according to whether or not a soft muon was observed. We denote the soft-$\mu$-tagged channels by $e + \text{jets}/\mu$ and $\mu + \text{jets}/\mu$. The probability that at least one of the two $b$ quarks in a $t\bar{t}$ event will decay to a muon, either directly or through a cascade ($b \rightarrow c \rightarrow \mu$), is approximately 40% [5].

The DØ detector and data collection systems are described in Ref. [6]. The basic elements of the trigger and reconstruction algorithms for jets, electrons, muons, and neutrinos are given in Ref. [2].

Muons were detected and momentum-analyzed using an iron toroid spectrometer outside of a uranium-liquid argon calorimeter and a non-magnetic central tracking system inside the calorimeter. Muons were identified by their ability to penetrate the calorimeter and the spectrometer magnet yoke. Two distinct types of muons were defined. “High-$p_T$” muons, which are predominantly from gauge boson decay, were required to be isolated from jet axes by distance $\Delta R > 0.5$ in $\eta-\phi$ space ($\eta = \text{pseudorapidity} = \tanh^{-1}(\cos \theta)$; $\theta, \phi = \text{polar, azimuthal angle}$), and to have transverse momentum $p_T > 12$ GeV/c. “Soft” muons, which are primarily from $b, c$ or $\pi/K$ decay, were required to be within distance $\Delta R < 0.5$ of any jet axis or, alternatively, to have a $p_T$ less than the minimum of a high-$p_T$ muon. The minimum $p_T$ for soft muons was 4 GeV/c and the maximum $\eta$ for both kinds of muons was 1.7.

Electrons were identified by their longitudinal and transverse shower profile in the calorimeter, and were required to have a matching track in the central tracking chambers. The background from photon conversions was reduced relative to that in Ref. [2] by the imposition of an ionization ($dE/dx$) criterion on the chamber track. Electrons were required to have $|\eta| < 2.5$ and transverse energy $E_T > 15$ GeV.

Jets were reconstructed using a cone algorithm of radius $R = 0.5$.

The presence of neutrinos in the final state was inferred from missing transverse energy ($E_T$). The calorimeter-only $E_T$ ($E_T^{\text{cali}}$) was determined from energy deposition in the calorimeter for $|\eta| < 4.5$. The total $E_T$ was determined by correcting $E_T^{\text{cali}}$ for the measured $p_T$ of detected muons.

The acceptance for $t\bar{t}$ events was calculated for several top masses using the ISAJET event generator [7] and a detector simulation based on the GEANT program [8].
Physics backgrounds (those having the same final state particles as the signal) were estimated by Monte Carlo simulation or from a combination of Monte Carlo and data. The instrumental background from jets misidentified as electrons was estimated entirely from data using the measured jet misidentification probability (typically $2 \times 10^{-4}$). Other backgrounds for muons (e.g. hadronic punchthrough and cosmic rays) were found to be negligible for the signatures in question.

The signature for dilepton channels was defined as having two high-$p_T$ isolated leptons, two jets and large $E_T$. The selection criteria are summarized in Table I.

Physics backgrounds to the dilepton channels stem mainly from $Z$ and continuum Drell-Yan production ($Z, \gamma^* \rightarrow ee, \mu\mu$ and $\tau\tau$), vector boson pairs ($WW, WZ$), and heavy flavor ($bb$ and $cc$) jet production. The $e\mu +$ jets and $ee +$ jets channels have additional backgrounds from jets misidentified as electrons. The background estimates obtained with the new selection criteria are more than a factor of two lower than those of Ref. [2] whereas the acceptance for the $t\bar{t}$ signal in the high mass region ($m_t > 130$ GeV/c$^2$) is similar. Table II shows the acceptances and the expected number of $t\bar{t}$ events for four values of the top mass, the total expected background, and the number of observed events. The $e\mu$ event that passes all selections was discussed in Ref. [2].

The signature for the single-lepton channels was defined as having one high-$p_T$ lepton, large $E_T$, and a minimum of three jets (with soft-$\mu$-tag) or four jets (without tag). For the untagged channels, additional background rejection was achieved through event shape criteria based on the aplanarity of the jets in the laboratory frame, $A$ [9], and on the scalar sum of the $E_T$'s of the jets, which we call $H_T$. The criteria used for selecting the single-lepton channels are shown in Table I. Only the jets that passed the criteria of Table I were used in calculating $A$ and $H_T$.

The main backgrounds to the single-lepton $t\bar{t}$ channels were from $W +$ jets, $Z +$ jets (for $\mu +$ jets/$\mu$), and multijet events where one jet was misidentified as an isolated lepton. The latter do not normally have large $E_T$. We estimated the multijet background directly from data, based on the joint probability of multijet events having large $E_T$ and a jet being misidentified as a lepton.

Figure 1 shows the number of $Z$- and multijet-background-subtracted $W +$ jets events, for electrons and muons combined, as a function of the minimum jet multiplicity, with and without soft-$\mu$-tag. The $E_T$ and jet $E_T$ criteria were those of Table I for the untagged and tagged analyses respectively. Also shown is a prediction of the number of soft-$\mu$-tagged $W +$ jets events derived from the number of untagged events folded with tagging rates obtained from multijet data. The multijet tagging probability was observed to increase linearly with the number of jets, being approximately 1.5% per event for events with three or more jets, and 2.0% per event for events with four or more jets. In contrast, the soft-$\mu$-tagging probability for top quark events is calculated by Monte Carlo to be about 20% per event. An admixture of a top signal in $W +$ jets events could appear in high jet multiplicities (three or four jets) as excess untagged or tagged events. In the absence of top, the number of $W +$ jets events is expected to decrease exponentially as a function of the jet multiplicity [10]. The observed number of tagged events is higher than the number expected from background, but the significance of the excess is low.

The untagged single-lepton analysis made use of the distribution of events in the $A$-$H_T$ plane. Figure 2 shows scatter plots of $A$ vs. $H_T$ for (a) expected multijet background,
(b) expected $W + 4$ jet background, (c) 180 GeV/$c^2$ top, and (d) the observed distribution for untagged lepton + 4 jet events. The multijet background was calculated from data by the method described above. The points in Fig. 2(a) are data points with a loosened electron requirement, excluding real electrons. The prediction for the untagged $W + 4$ jet background (Fig. 2(b)) was calculated using the VECBOS Monte Carlo program [11]. The absolute normalization of the latter is not well known due to theoretical uncertainties. We normalized the $W$ background directly from the data by two independent methods. The first method was exponential extrapolation from one and two jets to four jets. The second method was to fit the observed distribution of events in the entire $A-H_T$ plane (Fig. 2(d)) to a linear combination of signal and background (Figs. 2(a)-(c)). The backgrounds and errors determined by the two methods agree (1.9 ± 0.7 vs. 2.1 ± 0.8). Our final background estimate is the average of the two methods.

Table II summarizes the results for all seven channels. Adding all seven channels together, there are 9 observed events with an expected background of 3.8 ± 0.9 events. In the absence of top, we calculate the probability of an upward fluctuation of the background to 9 or more events to be 2.7%.

If we assume that the observed excess is due to $t\bar{t}$ production, we can calculate the top cross section according to the equation $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = \sum_{i=1}^{7} (N_i - B_i)/\sum_{i=1}^{7} \epsilon_i B_i L_i$, where $N_i$ is the number of observed events for decay channel $i$, $B_i$ is the expected background, $\epsilon_i$ is the detection efficiency for a particular top mass, $B_i$ is the branching fraction, and $L_i$ is the integrated luminosity. The results are plotted in Figure 3. For the 180 GeV/$c^2$ (160 GeV/$c^2$) top mass hypothesis, the top production cross section is $8.2 \pm 5.1$ pb ($9.2 \pm 5.7$ pb). This cross section is consistent with theoretical expectations for the SM top quark [12]. Our measurement, although consistent with the CDF result [3] and of comparable sensitivity, does not demonstrate the existence of the top quark.
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[4] The integrated luminosity used in the present analysis has been lowered by 12% relative to Ref. [2] due to a change in the world average measured $p\bar{p}$ total cross section as described in FERMILAB-TM-1911, 1994 (unpublished).


FIG. 1. The observed number of untagged (solid squares) and soft-$\mu$-tagged (solid circles) $W + \text{jets}$ events, after $Z$ and multijet background subtraction, and the number of soft-$\mu$-tagged events expected in the absence of top (open circles), as a function of the minimum number of jets.
FIG. 2. $A$ vs. $H_T$ for single-lepton events for (a) multijet background from data (effective luminosity = $60 \times$ data luminosity), (b) background from $W + 4$ jet VECBOS Monte Carlo (580 pb$^{-1}$), (c) 180 GeV/c$^2$ top ISAJET Monte Carlo (2200 pb$^{-1}$) and (d) data (13.5 pb$^{-1}$). The dotted lines represent the event shape cuts used in the analysis.
FIG. 3. DØ measured $t\bar{t}$ production cross section (solid line, shaded band = one standard deviation error) as a function of top mass hypothesis. Also shown are central (dotted line), high and low (dashed lines) theoretical cross section curves [12], and the CDF measurement [3].
### TABLE I. Selection criteria for the seven top channels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Selection Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| \( e\mu + \text{jets} \) | $\geq 1$ electron \((E_T > 15 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.5)\)  
$\geq 1$ high-\( p_T \) muon \((p_T > 12 \text{ GeV}/c, |\eta| < 1.7)\)  
\( \Delta \mathcal{R}(e, \mu) > 0.25 \)  
$\geq 2$ jets \((E_T > 15 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.5)\)  
\( E_T^{\text{cal}} > 20 \text{ GeV}, E_T > 10 \text{ GeV} \) |
| \( ee + \text{jets} \) | $\geq 2$ electrons \((E_T > 20 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.5)\)  
$\geq 2$ jets \((E_T > 15 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.5)\)  
\( E_T^{\text{cal}} > 25 \text{ GeV} \)  
\( E_T^{\text{cal}} > 40 \text{ GeV} \text{ if } |m_{ee} - m_Z| < 12 \text{ GeV} \) |
| \( \mu\mu + \text{jets} \) | $\geq 2$ high-\( p_T \) muons \((p_T > 15 \text{ GeV}/c, |\eta| < 1.1)\)  
$\geq 2$ jets \((E_T > 15 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.5)\)  
\( m_{\mu\mu} > 10 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \)  
\( \Delta \phi^{\mu\mu} < 140^\circ \text{ if } E_T < 40 \text{ GeV} \)  
\( \Delta \phi(E_T^{\text{cal}}, p_T^{\mu}) > 30^\circ \) |
| \( e + \text{jets} \) | $1$ electron \((E_T > 20 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.0)\)  
No soft muons  
$\geq 4$ jets \((E_T > 15 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.0)\)  
\( E_T^{\text{cal}} > 25 \text{ GeV} \)  
\( A > 0.05, H_T > 140 \text{ GeV} \) |
| \( \mu + \text{jets} \) | $1$ high-\( p_T \) muon \((p_T > 15 \text{ GeV}/c, |\eta| < 1.7)\)  
No soft muons  
$\geq 4$ jets \((E_T > 15 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.0)\)  
\( E_T^{\text{cal}} > 20 \text{ GeV}, E_T > 20 \text{ GeV} \)  
\( A > 0.05, H_T > 140 \text{ GeV} \) |
| \( e + \text{jets}/\mu \) | $1$ electron \((E_T > 20 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.0)\)  
$\geq 1$ soft muon \((p_T > 4 \text{ GeV}/c, |\eta| < 1.7)\)  
$\geq 3$ jets \((E_T > 20 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.0)\)  
\( E_T^{\text{cal}} > 20 \text{ GeV} \)  
\( E_T^{\text{cal}} > 35 \text{ GeV} \text{ if } \Delta \phi(E_T^{\text{cal}}, \mu) < 25^\circ \) |
| \( \mu + \text{jets}/\mu \) | $1$ high-\( p_T \) muon \((p_T > 15 \text{ GeV}/c, |\eta| < 1.7)\)  
$\geq 1$ soft muon \((p_T > 4 \text{ GeV}/c, |\eta| < 1.7)\)  
$\geq 3$ jets \((E_T > 20 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.0)\)  
\( E_T^{\text{cal}} > 20 \text{ GeV}, E_T > 20 \text{ GeV} \)  
For highest \( p_T \) muon: \( \Delta \phi(E_T, \mu) < 170^\circ \)  
and \( |\Delta \phi(E_T, \mu) - 90^\circ|/90^\circ < E_T/(45 \text{ GeV}) \) |
TABLE II. Efficiency $\times$ branching fraction ($\varepsilon \times B$) and the expected number of events ($\langle N \rangle$) in the seven channels, based on the central theoretical $tt$ production cross section of Ref. [12], for four top masses. Also given are the expected backgrounds, integrated luminosity, and the number of observed events in each channel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m_t$ (GeV/c$^2$)</th>
<th>$e\mu +$ jets</th>
<th>$ee +$ jets</th>
<th>$\mu\mu +$ jets</th>
<th>$e +$ jets</th>
<th>$\mu +$ jets</th>
<th>$e +$ jets/\mu</th>
<th>$\mu +$ jets/\mu</th>
<th>ALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>$\varepsilon \times B(%)$</td>
<td>$0.31 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>$0.18 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.15 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$1.1 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$0.8 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$0.6 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle N \rangle$</td>
<td>$0.71 \pm 0.12$</td>
<td>$0.41 \pm 0.07$</td>
<td>$0.25 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>$2.5 \pm 0.7$</td>
<td>$1.3 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$1.4 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$0.7 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>$\varepsilon \times B(%)$</td>
<td>$0.36 \pm 0.05$</td>
<td>$0.20 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$0.15 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$1.5 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$1.1 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$0.9 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$0.5 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle N \rangle$</td>
<td>$0.40 \pm 0.07$</td>
<td>$0.22 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>$0.12 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$1.7 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$0.9 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$1.0 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>$\varepsilon \times B(%)$</td>
<td>$0.39 \pm 0.05$</td>
<td>$0.21 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$0.14 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$1.6 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$1.1 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$1.1 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$0.7 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle N \rangle$</td>
<td>$0.22 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>$0.12 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.06 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$0.9 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$0.5 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$0.6 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$0.3 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>$\varepsilon \times B(%)$</td>
<td>$0.40 \pm 0.05$</td>
<td>$0.30 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>$0.14 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$1.8 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$1.3 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$1.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$0.8 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\langle N \rangle$</td>
<td>$0.12 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.09 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.03 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$0.5 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$0.3 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$0.2 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Background       | $0.27 \pm 0.06$ | $0.16 \pm 0.07$ | $0.33 \pm 0.06$ | $1.3 \pm 0.7$ | $0.7 \pm 0.5$ | $0.6 \pm 0.2$ | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ | $3.8 \pm 0.9$ |
| $\int \mathcal{L} dt$ (pb$^{-1}$) | $13.5 \pm 1.6$ | $13.5 \pm 1.6$ | $9.8 \pm 1.2$ | $13.5 \pm 1.6$ | $9.8 \pm 1.2$ | $13.5 \pm 1.6$ | $9.8 \pm 1.2$ |
| Data             | 1               | 0              | 0               | 2             | 2             | 2              | 2              | 9            |