

# **B\*\* PROPERTIES**

FERMILAB-Conf-93/257-T

## **CHRIS QUIGG**

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory Batavia, Illinois 60510 USA

## 1. INTRODUCTION

If significant numbers of B mesons are produced through one or more narrow excited  $(\bar{b}q)$  states, the strong decay  $B^{**\pm} \to B^{(*)0}\pi^{\pm}$  will tag the neutral meson as  $(\bar{b}d)$  or  $(b\bar{d})$ , respectively. This might be dramatically more efficient than using the pair-produced partner of the B as a flavor tag, and could advance the search for the expected large CP-violating asymmetry in  $(B^0 \text{ or } \bar{B}^0) \to J/\psi K_S$  decay.  $B^{**}$ -tagging might also resolve kinematical ambiguities in semileptonic decays of charged and neutral B mesons by choosing between two solutions for the momentum of the undetected neutrino.<sup>1</sup>

Estia Eichten, Chris Hill, and I have used heavy-quark symmetry to estimate the masses, widths, and branching fractions of orbitally excited B,  $D_s$ , and  $B_s$  states from the properties of corresponding K and D levels.<sup>2</sup> Our analysis show that one requirement for the utility of  $B^{**}$ -tagging—narrow resonances—is likely to be met by the  $B_2^*$  and  $B_1$ . Experiment will have to rule on the strength of these lines and the ratio of signal to background.

For our purposes, the essential idea of the heavy-quark limit is that the spin  $\vec{s}_Q$  of the heavy quark and the total (spin + orbital) angular momentum  $\vec{j}_q = \vec{s}_q + \vec{L}$  of the light degrees of freedom are separately conserved.<sup>3</sup> Each energy level in the excitation spectrum of  $(Q\bar{q})$  mesons is composed of a degenerate pair of states characterized by  $j_q$  and total spin  $\vec{J} = \vec{j}_q + \vec{s}_Q$ , i.e., by  $J = j_q \pm \frac{1}{2}$ . The ground-state pseudoscalar and vector mesons, which are degenerate in the heavy-quark limit, correspond to  $j_q = \frac{1}{2}$ , with J = 0 and 1. Orbital excitations lead to two distinct doublets associated with  $j_q = L \pm \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### 2. MASSES

The leading corrections to the spectrum prescribed by heavy-quark symmetry are inversely proportional to the heavy-quark mass. We may write the mass of a heavy-light meson as

$$M(nL_J(j_q)) = M(1S) + E(nL(j_q)) + \frac{C(nL_J(j_q))}{m_Q},$$
 (1)

where n is the principal quantum number and  $M(1S) = [3M(1S_1) + M(1S_0)]/4$  is the mass of the ground state. The excitation energy  $E(nL(j_q))$  has a weak dependence on the heavy-quark mass that we have evaluated in a potential model.<sup>4</sup>



We focus upon the  $j_q = \frac{3}{2}$  states observed as narrow  $D\pi$  or  $D^*\pi$  resonances because their counterparts in other heavy-light systems should also be narrow. Our overall strategy is to use the observed properties of the K and D mesons to predict the properties of the orbitally excited B,  $D_s$ , and  $B_s$  mesons.

There is no ambiguity about the  $2^+(\frac{3}{2})$  levels  $K_2^*(1429)$  and  $D_2^*(2459)$ . We identify  $D_1(2424)$  as a  $j_q = \frac{3}{2}$  level because it is narrow, as predicted by heavy-quark symmetry. Following Ito et al.,<sup>5</sup> we identify  $K_1(1270)$  as the  $1^+(\frac{3}{2})$  level, because that assignment gives a consistent picture of masses and widths. For a given value of the charmed-quark mass, a fit to the strange and charmed resonances leads to predictions for other heavy-light masses. Our expectations are summarized in Table 1. The prediction for the  $1^+$   $D_s$  meson lies 34 MeV below  $D_{si}(2536)$ ; that discrepancy is a measure of the limitations of our method.

## 3. DECAY WIDTHS

The decay of an excited heavy-light meson H to a heavy-light meson H' and a light hadron h is governed by heavy-quark symmetry. The two-body decay rate for an  $\ell$ -wave transition may be written as

$$\Gamma(H \to H'h) = C^2 p^{2\ell+1} F \exp(-p^2/\kappa^2), \tag{2}$$

where p is the three-momentum of the decay products in the rest frame of H, C is a normalized 6-j symbol, and F sets the strength of each independent decay amplitude. Once F is determined from the charmed or strange mesons, this dynamical quantity may be used to predict related decays, including those of orbitally excited B mesons. We determine the overall strength of the decay and the momentum scale  $\kappa$  of the form factor by fitting to existing data. We assume that  $\kappa$  is typical of hadronic processes ( $\approx 1$  GeV) and that it varies little with decay angular momentum  $\ell$ .

The decays  $2P(\frac{3}{2}) \to 1S(\frac{1}{2}) + \pi$  are governed by a single  $\ell = 2$  amplitude. To evaluate the transition strength F, we fix  $\Gamma(D_2^* \to D\pi) + \Gamma(D_2^* \to D^*\pi) = 25$  MeV, as suggested by recent experiments.<sup>6</sup> This determines all the pionic transitions between the  $2P(\frac{3}{2})$  and  $1S(\frac{1}{2})$  multiplets. The results are shown in Table 1, where we indicate the variation of the predicted rates as the momentum scale  $\kappa$  ranges from 0.8 to 1.2 GeV. The strengths of K and (negligible)  $\eta$  transitions are determined by SU(3). The predictions agree well with what is known about the L=1 D and  $D_s$  states.

Increasing the  $D_{s1}$  and  $D_{s2}^*$  masses by 34 MeV to match the observations of  $D_{s1}$  increases each of the partial widths for those states by 1 or 2 MeV. The narrow width observed for  $D_{s1}$  is close to the prediction from heavy-quark symmetry. This suggests that mixing of the narrow  $2P(\frac{3}{2})$  level with the broader  $2P(\frac{1}{2})$  state is insignificant. This pattern should hold for B and  $B_s$  as well.

Our estimates for the  $\rho$  transitions are also shown in Table 1. The dependence on the momentum scale  $\kappa$  in the form factor is much more pronounced than for the pseudoscalar transitions because of the wide variation in momentum over the  $\rho$  peak.

The results collected in Table 1 show that both the  $B_2^*$  and the  $B_1$  states should be narrow (20 to 40 MeV), with large branching fractions to a ground-state B or  $B^*$  plus a pion. These states should also have significant two-pion transitions that we have modeled by the low-mass tail of the  $\rho$  resonance. The strange states,  $B_{s2}^*$  and  $B_{s1}$ , are very narrow ( $\Gamma \lesssim 10$  MeV); their dominant decays are by kaon emission to the ground-state B and  $B^*$ .

Table 1. Masses and decay rates of the  $2P(\frac{3}{2})$  heavy-light mesons.

	Width (MeV)			
Transition	Calculated		CLEO 1993	E687 1993
$D_2^*(2459) \to D^*\pi$	9			
$D_2^*(2459) \rightarrow D\pi$	16			
$D_2^*(2459) \to D\rho$	5 to 13			
$D_{2}^{*}(2459)  ightarrow  ext{all}$	30 to 38	$19\pm7$	$28^{+8+6}_{-7-6}$	$24\pm7\pm5$
$D_1(2424) \rightarrow D^*\pi$	11 to 13			
$D_1(2424) \rightarrow D\rho$	8 to 11			
$D_1(2424) \rightarrow \text{all}$	19 to 23	$20^{+9}_{-5}$	$20^{+6+3}_{-5-3}$	$15\pm8\pm5$
$D_{s2}^*(2537) \to D^*K$	2 to 4			
$D_{s2}^*(2537) \rightarrow DK$	6 to 7			
$D_{s2}^*(2537) \rightarrow \text{all}$	8 to 11		-	
$D_{s1}(2502) \rightarrow D^*K$	3 to 6	< 4.6	< 2.3	< 3.2
$B_2^*(5767) \to B^*\pi$	11			
$B_2^*(5767) \to B\pi$	10			
$B_2^*(5767) \to B^* \rho$	13 to 29			
$B_2^{\bullet}(5767) \rightarrow B\rho$	4 to 13			
$B_2^*(5767) \rightarrow \text{all}$	38 to 63			
$B_1(5755) \rightarrow B^*\pi$	14			
$B_1(5755) \rightarrow B^* \rho$	11 to 33			
$B_1(5755) \rightarrow B\rho$	6 to 8			
$B_1(5755) \rightarrow \text{all}$	31 to 55			
$B_{s2}^*(5846) \to B^*K$	2 to 4			
$B_{s2}^{*}(5846) \rightarrow BK$	1 to 3			
$B_{s2}^*(5846) \rightarrow \text{all}$	3 to 7			
$B_{s1}(5834) \to B^*K$	1 to 3			

## 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was performed at the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, which is operated by Universities Research Association, Inc., under contract DE-AC02-76CHO3000 with the U.S. Department of Energy.

#### 5. REFERENCES

- 1. C. T. Hill, Fermilab-Conf-93-256/T and These Proceedings.
- 2. Estia J. Eichten, Christopher T. Hill, and Chris Quigg, Fermilab-Pub-93/255-T.
- 3. N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, *Phys. Lett. B* 232, 113 (1989). For a review, see M. Neubert, SLAC-PUB-6263, *Phys. Rep.* (to be published).
- 4. W. Buchmüller and S.-H. H. Tye, Phys. Rev. D 24, 132 (1981).
- 5. T. Ito, T. Morii, and M. Tanimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. 90, 213 (1993).
- 6. P.L. Frabetti et al. (E687 Collaboration), Fermilab-Pub-93/249-E; G. Crawford et al. (CLEO Collaboration), contribution no. 282 to the XVI International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions; Particle Data Group, *Phys. Rev. D* 45, S1 (1992).