



Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

FERMILAB - PUB - 92/201 - T

July, 1992

The Tau Lepton and Tests of the Standard Model

Mark A. Samuel
Department of Physics
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078

ABSTRACT

Using a new value for the mass of the tau lepton we reconsider various tests of the Standard Model (SM) for the tau. The agreement with the SM is much improved. All tests agree within 1.2σ or smaller. We also obtain bounds on the mass of the tau neutrino.

PACS Index Nos: 13.10.+q, 13.35.+S, 14.60.Jj



The tau lepton which was discovered in 1975 by M. Perl¹, is a wonderful system for testing the Standard Model (SM). It is the only known lepton heavy enough to decay into hadrons, with $\alpha_s(M_\tau)$ still being small enough, to provide a test of perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (PQCD). M_τ is the lowest energy where PQCD can be tested. Moreover it appears to behave like a heavy SM lepton.²

However, there are some bothersome discrepancies which have persisted for several years and some people have questioned whether or not the tau is really a S.M. lepton. Recently, however, there have been new measurements^{3,4} of the mass of the tau lepton M_τ . The new values are 2σ below the Particle Properties Data Book (PPDB) 1992 value, which has remained unchanged for many years. Moreover there have also been new measurements of the electronic branching ratio B_e , the muonic branching ratio B_μ and the τ lifetime τ_τ . In this paper we will show that the new values of B_e , B_μ , M_τ , and τ_τ effectively remove the discrepancies with the SM and all predictions agree within 1.2σ .

We will use the following values for the tau branching ratios⁵ and lifetime⁶:

$$B(\tau \rightarrow e \nu \bar{\nu}) = 17.73 (23) \% \quad (1)$$

$$B(\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \bar{\nu}) = 17.41 (24) \% \quad (2)$$

$$\tau_\tau = .2957(32) \times 10^{-12} \text{S} \quad (3)$$

The new BEPC value for the mass of the tau lepton is³

$$M_\tau = 1776.9(5) \text{MeV} \quad (4)$$

We begin with the value of R_τ .

$$\begin{aligned} R_\tau &= \frac{1 - B_e - B_\mu}{B_e} \\ &= 3.66(7) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This agrees with the present world-averaged value⁵

$$R_\tau^{\text{exp}} = 3.63(3) \quad (6)$$

at 0.4σ .

We now turn to the so-called "tau-lifetime problem". The partial decay widths are given by

$$\Gamma(\tau \rightarrow e \nu \bar{\nu}) = \frac{G_F^2 M_\tau^5}{192 \pi^3} (1 + r) \quad (7)$$

$$\text{and } \Gamma(\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \bar{\nu}) = f(m_\mu^2/M_\tau^2) \Gamma(\tau \rightarrow e \nu \bar{\nu}) \quad (8)$$

$$\text{where } f(x) = 1 - 8x + 8x^3 - x^4 - 12x^2 \log x, \quad (9)$$

G_F is the Fermi coupling constant and $r = -.4\%$ arises from radiative corrections and the non-local structure of the W propagator.^{7,8} Equations (7), (8) and (9) imply the following values for the tau leptonic branching ratios.

$$B_e = \frac{\tau_\tau}{1.6325(24) \times 10^{-12} S} \quad (10)$$

$$\text{and } B_\mu = .9728(1)B_e \quad (11)$$

Using eq (3) we obtain the following predictions:

$$B_e = 18.11(22)\% \quad (12)$$

$$\text{and } B_\mu = 17.62(21)\% \quad (13)$$

Comparing eqs (12) & (13) with eqs (1) and (2) respectively we obtain agreement to within 1.2σ and $.66\sigma$ respectively.

Now from eqs (3), (7) and (8) we obtain the widths as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{tot} &= 2.226(24) \times 10^{-9} MeV \\ \Gamma_e &= 4.032(6) \times 10^{-10} MeV \\ \text{and } \Gamma_\mu &= 3.922(6) \times 10^{-10} MeV \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We can now calculate R_τ^Γ :

$$\begin{aligned} R_\tau^\Gamma &= \frac{\Gamma_{tot} - \Gamma_e - \Gamma_\mu}{\Gamma_e} \\ &= 3.55(7) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Comparing eq (15) with eq (6) this represents a difference of 1.0σ .

We now show how one can, from these results, obtain bounds on the mass of the tau neutrino,⁹ M_ν . The decay width for $\tau \rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu}$ is modified due to $M_\nu \neq 0$ as follows,

$$\Gamma(\epsilon) = \frac{G_F^2 M_\tau^5}{192 \pi^3} f(\epsilon) \quad (16)$$

where $f(\epsilon)$ is the same function which occurs for non-zero m_e or m_μ and is given in eq (9). It is interesting to note that the differences in the branching ratios B_e (eqs (1) and (12)) and B_μ (eqs (2) and (13)) is in the right direction for a non-zero M_ν .

Using eqs. (1), (12) and (16) we obtain the bound from the electron branching ratio

$$M_{\nu_e} < 141 \text{ MeV (95\% C.L.)} \quad (17)$$

From the muon branching ratio in eq (2) and eqs (13) and (16) we obtain the bound

$$M_{\nu_\mu} < 128 \text{ MeV (95\% C.L.)} \quad (18)$$

The best bound we presently have from direct experiment¹⁰ is

$$M_{\nu_\tau} < 31 \text{ MeV (95\% C.L.)} \quad (19)$$

In general if one could measure both B_e (or B_μ) and τ_τ with a relative error of $\alpha\%$ one could obtain the limit

$$M_{\nu_\tau} < 80 \sqrt{\alpha} \text{ MeV (95\% C.L.)} \quad (20)$$

Thus, to do better than the present bound in eq (19) we need to measure B_e (or B_μ) and τ_τ with an accuracy of

$$\alpha\% = 0.15\% \quad (21)$$

The results for R_τ in eqs (5) and (6) enable us to also obtain an accurate value for the strong interaction coupling constant at the tau mass $\alpha_s(M_\tau)$. We will use the following:

$$R_\tau = R_\tau^{pert} + R_\tau^{nonpert} + R_\tau^{weak} \quad (22)$$

$$\text{where}^{11} R_\tau^{nonpert} = -.45\% R_\tau^{pert} \quad (23)$$

$$R_\tau^{weak} = + 2\% R_\tau^{pert} \quad (24)$$

$$\text{and}^{12} R_\tau^{pert} = 3 \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} + 5.20 \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^2 + 26.38 \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^3 \right\} \quad (25)$$

If we use eq (6) for R_τ our result is

$$\alpha_s(M_\tau) = .328_{-.012}^{+.009} \quad (26)$$

If we use our estimate¹⁴ $\left(134 \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^4 \right)$ for the next term in eq (25) we obtain the result

$$\alpha_s(M_\tau) = .312_{-.009}^{+.010} \quad (27)$$

However if we sum all terms of the perturbation series for R_τ^{pert} , we obtain

$$\alpha_s(M_\tau) = .300(8) \quad (28)$$

After this work was completed we received a preprint¹³ from A. Pich in which a similar analysis is done. Since he uses different experimental results, our results and conclusions differ.

In our case, all of our tests agree within 1.2σ or smaller and we conclude that the τ lepton is a S.M. lepton and the S.M. continues to be successful!

The author would like to thank the Theory Group at Fermilab for their kind hospitality during his stay. This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy under Grant No DE-F605-84ER40215.

References:

- (1) M. L. Perl et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1489 (1975).
- (2) For recent reviews, see M. L. Perl in Proceedings of the 1989 International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energy, Stanford, 7-12 August 1989, edited by Michael Riordan (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990) and B. C. Barish and R. Stroynowski, Physics Reports 157, 1 (1988).
- (3) BEPC Collaboration, "Second Workshop on Tau Lepton Physics" The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, September 8 - 11, 1992.
- (4) See also Argus and CLEO collaborations in ref (3). These measurements are consistent with ref (3).
- (5) We will use averages of LEP results and the Particle Data Group (1992) values, as given by A. Pich in ref (3).
- (6) We use the World Average as given by W. Trischuk in ref (3).
- (7) W. J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 1815 (1988).
- (8) W. J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. D45, 721 (1992).
- (9) M. A. Samuel and R. R. Mendel, Modern Phys. Letts A3, 393 (1988).
- (10) Argus collaboration in ref (3).
- (11) E. Braaten and C. S. Li, Phys. Rev. D42, 3888 (1990).
- (12) S. G. Gorishny, A. L. Kataev and S. A. Larin, Phys. Lett. B259, 144 (1991); M. A. Samuel and L. R. Surguladze, Phys. Rev. D44, 1602 (1991); L. R. Surguladze and M. A. Samuel, Phys. Rev. Letts. 66, 560 (1991).
- (13) A. Pich, "Present Status of Tau Physics", Talk given at the XXVII th Rencontres de Moriond, Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories, Les Arcs, March 15-22, 1992, CERN-TH 6489/92\.
- (14) M. A. Samuel, G. Li and E. Steinfelds, "Estimating Perturbative Coefficients in Quantum Field Theory Using Padé Approximants," Oklahoma State University Research Note 269, unpublished (1992).