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Abstract

The Fermilab Main Injector Project will provide 120-150 GeV Proton and Antiproton Beams for Fermilab Fixed Target Physics and Colliding Beams Physics use. A dipole magnet has been designed and prototypes constructed for the principal bending magnets of this new accelerator. The design considerations and fabrication techniques are described. Measurement results on prototypes are reported, emphasizing the field uniformity achieved in both body field and end field at excitation levels from injection at 0.1 T to full field of 1.7 T.

1 Introduction

The Fermilab Main Injector is designed to replace the Fermilab Main Ring for both Tevatron injection and for production of antiprotons. It will also provide a high intensity source of protons which can be used for particle physics or as a source of test beams during collider physics operations.[1][2]. Conventional dipole magnets, with a core of iron laminations and a conductor of water-cooled copper, provide the primary bending for this accelerator. The lattice design uses two dipole magnet lengths: a 6-meter dipole and a 4-meter dipole. The machine can run as rapidly as 1.5 seconds/cycle during antiproton production. The basic magnet parameters are presented in Table 1. Extensive measurements have been made on the first 6-meter prototype magnet. A second prototype has exercised all fabrication steps except final magnet assembly with completion expected in June 1991.

2 Design Requirements

The design of the Main Injector synchrotron imposes design requirements on this magnet.[3] Special design consideration is given to the fields at injection (0.1 T), transition (0.22 T), 120 GeV slow extraction and antiproton production (1.38 T), and 150 GeV fast extraction for Tevatron Injection (1.72 T). The cross section selected is shown in Figure 1.

The good field requirements vary through the accelerator cycle. We require that the field deviate no more than one part in 10^5 over a region that depends on the beam size. The required good field apertures are shown in Table 2. We require also that the deviations from a uniform field be dominated by the sextupole field component to permit compensation of the errors by the sextupoles alone, avoiding higher order correction elements. The design combines an adequate field shape uniformity in the body with a "neutral" end field. Measurements of the prototype magnet confirm the end field computations discussed in [4].

The pole tip shape varies from flat with a slight reduction of the gap ("shims") at large x. This produces a small positive sextupole component in the field at low and intermediate fields and partially compensates for the saturation at higher fields. (The net sextupole is 0 at approximately 0.83 T.) The tapered pole piece reduces non-uniform saturated.
Table 2: Required good field region as a function of energy for the Main Injector Lattice, March 1991 (MI16).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Horizontal</th>
<th>Vertical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 GeV</td>
<td>0.1 T</td>
<td>±22 mm</td>
<td>±21 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 GeV</td>
<td>0.22 T</td>
<td>±34 mm</td>
<td>±16 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 GeV</td>
<td>1.38 T</td>
<td>±30 mm</td>
<td>±9 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 GeV</td>
<td>1.72 T</td>
<td>±10 mm</td>
<td>±9 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Fabrication of Core

The laminations are punched from a 1.5 mm low carbon steel which is specified to have $H_s < 1.0$ Oe and a permeability of $180 \pm 1$ gauss/Oe at $H_m = 100$ Oe. The laminations are electrically insulated with a thin epoxy coating to reduce eddy currents. 150 mm thick end packs are built from regular laminations which are epoxied together to provide strength to resist both spring forces during assembly and magnetic forces during operation. The special end shape is achieved by trimming ("nibbling") about 30 of these laminations to the proper contours before assembly of the end pack so that the final shape produces the desired field. The core is assembled by stacking against a curved template rail, beginning and ending with a pre-glued end pack. With the laminations held under pressure by a screw press, side and top plates are welded on to hold the half-core together. After installation of the coils, the two half cores are welded together. Each magnet is supported at two longitudinal points chosen to minimize the vertical displacement.

4 Fabrication of Coils

Many of the failures found in existing Fermilab dipoles occur at conductor joints within the coil. To provide a more reliable design, the number of joints has been reduced and improved techniques are used to braze the joints. The stresses on the coil joints are also reduced by allowing the coil to expand longitudinally in response to thermal cycles, rather than potting it in the core.

There are four turns of 25.4 mm x 101.6 mm conductor per pole with no conductor in the median plane. This simplifies the end design by allowing the coil to be a plain pancake design rather than a saddle. The coils are assembled from ~6-meter bars. The joints between conductor segments are brazed after counterboring at the water holes to insert a ferrule. Similar joints have proved their reliability in recent years.

Coil insulation is provided by fiberglass tape wrapped on the conductors and potted using a thermal cure epoxy. G10 sheets are included in the coil to provide further mechanical strength as well as electrical insulation. The entire package is vacuum impregnated with epoxy and cured in a mold shaped to match the core sagitta. Figure 2 illustrates the insulation scheme. The columns of Table 3 describe the coil-to-coil, coil-to-bus, and outer ground wrap insulation system details. Fiber glass tape (0.18 mm thick)
Table 3: Coil Insulation Details. Each wrap layer consists of 0.18 mm glass fiber. G10 in coil is 0.38 mm thick.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insulation Layer</th>
<th>Coil Insulation</th>
<th>Bus Insulation</th>
<th>Ground Insulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner Wrap</td>
<td>Butt Wrap</td>
<td>Half Lap</td>
<td>2 Layers Half Lap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G10 Layer</td>
<td>sides</td>
<td>sides</td>
<td>next to core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Wrap</td>
<td>Half Lap</td>
<td>Half Lap</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Coil to Coil (top) and Coil to Bus insulation schemes. Butt lapped and half lapped glass tape (0.18 mm thick) and 0.38 mm G10 Fiber Glass Epoxy composite create the insulation structure.

is used in either butt wrapped or half-lapped configurations to achieve suitable mechanical and electrical properties. A nominal 0.78 mm space between coils allows for mechanical variation of the copper. This space is filled with epoxy in the potting operation. The insulation system will withstand up to 5000 volts between coil and bus as well 2000 volts to ground.

By using a kapton layer between the coil and the core, one achieves a further ground insulation and also provides a slip plane to allow the coil to move in the core upon thermal expansion of either component. The coil is anchored in the center of the core with a 1 m long epoxy bead. To support the coil against transverse Lorentz forces, a G10 layer is installed against the kapton on the vertical edges of the coil adjacent to the core and the remaining void between the G10 sheet and the core is filled with epoxy.

While assembling two half-cores to create a dipole, the coils are supported with polyurethane blocks of sufficient length to elastically support the coil weight. Final support for the coils is created using G10 spacers with an epoxy filler inserted upon assembly. The series connection of upper and lower coils is made by two symmetrical parallel conductors which each carry half the current. Connections are accomplished with a brazing operation. Magnet to magnet connections in the tunnel utilize bolted copper joints.

5 Magnetic Field Measurements

At the Fermilab Magnet Test Facility, we have measured the field of the prototype magnet as a function of current using standard point (Hall probe and NMR) and integrating (rotating coil and rigid non-rotating “FLATCOIL”) techniques[5]. We have measured the body field of the first prototype magnet to validate the lamination design. The measured magnetic field shape is plotted in Figure 3 for injection, 120 GeV, and 150 GeV.

Figure 4 shows the field variation of $B_y(z)$ at $y=0$ for $B(0)=0.10$ T. Shown are the field as calculated[6], as measured with the flatcoil system, and as reconstituted from three rotating coil harmonic measurements. The agreement is strikingly good among measurements and with calculation on and off the midplane both here and through the range of field strengths where the steel remains, at most, only mildly saturated. At high fields we find the agreement among the measurement techniques to remain excellent. The agreement with calculation is not as good.
Figure 4: $B_x$ at $y=0$ cm and $B(0) = 0.10$ T computed, measured with flat coil, and recreated from harmonics.

Figure 5: $B_y$ in a region on the $y = 0$ plane near the end of the magnet.

To assist in understanding the end pack design we have studied the magnetic field in the end using a Hall probe system which is scanned in the end field volume. A typical result is shown in Figure 5. Systematic studies with FLATCOIL and rotating coil systems inserted to various depths have measured the integrated error field contributions of the end. We conclude that the end field shape design produces small contributions to the field shape errors.
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