Abstract

For the six gluon scattering process we give explicit and simple expressions for the amplitude and its square. To achieve this we use an analogy with string theories to identify a unique procedure for writing the multi-gluon scattering amplitudes in terms of a sum of gauge invariant dual sub-amplitudes multiplied by an appropriate color (Chan-Paton) factor. The sub-amplitudes defined in this way are invariant under cyclic permutations, satisfy powerful identities which relate different non-cyclic permutations and factorize in the soft gluon limit, the two gluon collinear limit and on multi-gluon poles. Also, to leading order in the number of colors these sub-amplitudes sum Incoherently in the square of the full matrix element. The results contained here are important for Monte-Carlo studies of multi-jet processes at hadron colliders as well as for understanding the general structure of QCD.
1 Introduction

The calculation of multi-gluon scattering processes in QCD is extremely complicated owing to the cancellations that occur because of the gauge invariance of the theory. In this paper we present simple and explicit analytical results for the six gluon scattering amplitude in the helicity representation and its square summed over the colors and helicities of the gluons. This is achieved by using an analogue with string theories to identify gauge invariant, dual sub-amplitudes for multi-gluon processes. The sub-amplitudes are obtained by rewriting the color factors of the Feynman diagrams in terms of traces of color matrices in the fundamental representation of the gauge group. To evaluate the sub-amplitudes the polarization vectors for the gluons are written in terms of Weyl spinors and the calculus of spinor products is employed. The dual sub-amplitudes so defined and calculated have many remarkable properties that are generally expected only of the full amplitude. The most important property being the factorization of the sub-amplitudes in the soft gluon limit, in the two gluon collinear limit and on the three gluon poles. The simple form of the sub-amplitudes and their many surprising and beautiful properties suggests that there is a hidden simplicity in QCD which is yet to be discovered. Also, the results obtained in this paper are the first time the explicit matrix element squared has been derived for any six parton scattering process in QCD.

These sub-amplitudes and their squares are also useful for Monte Carlo studies of multi-jet physics. The present (Cern SppS and Fermilab Tevatron) and future hadron colliders (SSC or LHC) have or will have many multi-jet events. These events hold great promise for quantitative tests of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) as well as being significant backgrounds to many other processes of interest in the standard model and to the discovery of new physics [1]. Up to now only the two and three jet final states cross sections have been given analytically [2], although, numerical codes exist for evaluating all the four jet QCD cross sections. The use of the squared amplitude contained in this paper represents a significant improvement over previous calculations of the six gluon process [3].

This paper is the complete version of a conference presentation [4] and is organized as follows; first, we write the amplitude for any tree level multi-gluon scattering process in terms of a sum of gauge invariant dual sub-amplitudes and discuss the properties of these sub-amplitudes. Second, we introduce the polarization vectors that we used to evaluate the dual sub-amplitudes. Next, we give simple and beautiful analytic expressions for the
sub-amplitudes for four, five and six gluon scattering. The next section demonstrates the remarkable factorization properties of the sub-amplitudes and finally the square of the full amplitude summed over color and helicities is given.

2 Duality and Gauge Invariance

In perturbative QCD the calculation of multi-gluon scattering amplitudes, even at tree level, is very challenging. Part of the reason for the difficulty is that up to now there has been no systematic way to efficiently identify the appropriate gauge invariant subsets of the full amplitude. Here we propose that the appropriate way to make this division is to insure that the gauge invariant subsets are invariant under cyclic permutations of the external gluons. This results in tremendous cancellations occurring at the amplitude level and the sub-amplitudes so defined have remarkable factorization properties.

Consider an $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theory, then at tree level in perturbation theory, any vector particle scattering amplitude, with colors $a_1, a_2 \ldots a_n$, external momenta $p_1, p_2 \ldots p_n$ and helicities $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \ldots \epsilon_n$, can be written as

$$M_n = \sum_{\text{perm}'} \text{tr}(\lambda^{a_1} \lambda^{a_2} \ldots \lambda^{a_n}) m(p_1, \epsilon_1; p_2, \epsilon_2; \ldots; p_n, \epsilon_n),$$

(2.1)

where the sum, $\text{perm}'$, is over all $(n - 1)!$ non-cyclic permutations of $1, 2, \ldots, n$ and the $\lambda$’s are the matrices of the symmetry group in the fundamental representation. The proof of this statement is very simple using the identities $[\lambda^a, \lambda^b] = i f_{abc} \lambda^c$ and $\text{tr}(\lambda^a \lambda^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$. In any tree level Feynman diagram, replace the color structure function at some vertex using $f_{abc} = -2i \text{tr}(\lambda^a \lambda^b \lambda^c - \lambda^c \lambda^b \lambda^a)$. Now each leg attached to this vertex has a $\lambda$ matrix associated with it. At the other end of each of these legs there is either another vertex or this is an external leg. If there is another vertex, use the $\lambda$ associated with this internal leg to write the structure function of this vertex $f_{cde} \lambda^e$ as $-i [\lambda^d, \lambda^e]$. Continue this processes until all vertices have been treated in this manner. Then this Feynman diagram has been placed in the form of eqn(2.1). Repeating this procedure for all Feynman diagrams for a given process completes the proof.

The sub-amplitudes $m(1, 2, \ldots, n) \equiv m(p_1, \epsilon_1; p_2, \epsilon_2; \ldots p_n, \epsilon_n)$ of eqn(2.1) satisfy a number of important properties and relationships.
(1) \( m(1, 2, \ldots, n) \) is gauge invariant.

(2) \( m(1, 2, \ldots, n) \) is invariant under cyclic permutations of 1, 2, \ldots, \( n \).

(3) \( m(n, n-1, \ldots, 1) = (-1)^n m(1, 2, \ldots, n) \)

(4) The Ward Identity:

\[
m(1, 2, 3, \ldots, n) + m(2, 1, 3, \ldots, n) + m(2, 3, 1, \ldots, n) \\
+ \cdots + m(2, 3, \ldots, 1, n) = 0
\] (2.2)

(5) Factorization of \( m(1, 2, \ldots, n) \) on multi-gluon poles.

(6) Incoherence to leading order in number of colors:

\[
\sum_{\text{colors}} |M_n|^2 = \frac{N^{n-2}(N^2 - 1)}{2^n} \sum_{\text{perm}'} \left\{ |m(1, 2, \ldots, n)|^2 + O(N^{-2}) \right\}.
\] (2.3)

This set of properties for the sub-amplitudes, we will refer to as duality and the expansion in terms of these dual sub-amplitudes the dual expansion. Properties (1) and (2) can be seen directly from the properties of linear independence, for arbitrary \( N \), and invariance under cyclic permutations of \( tr(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \ldots \lambda_n) \). Whereas (3) and (4) follow by studying the sum of Feynman diagrams which contribute to each sub-amplitude. The sum of Feynman diagrams which make the Ward Identity is such that each diagram is paired with another with opposite sign so that the combination contained in eqn(2.2) trivially vanishes. Property (5) will be discussed in great detail in section 6 and the incoherence to leading order in the number of colors (6) follows from the color algebra of the \( SU(N) \) gauge group.

To the string theorist this expansion and the duality properties (1) to (6), see [5], are quite familiar since the string amplitude, in the zero slope limit, reproduces the Yang-Mills amplitude on mass shell [6]. Each sub-amplitude is then represented by the zero slope limit of a string diagram, and the sub-amplitude could be obtained by using the usual Koba-Nielsen formula [7]. The traces of \( \lambda \) matrices are just the Chan-Paton factors. For the string amplitude the properties (1) through (6) are satisfied even before the zero slope limit is taken. Also from the string diagrams it is simple to see which Feynman diagrams contribute to a given sub-amplitude, e.g. Fig. 1. The coefficients for the contributing diagrams are obtained by the procedure developed earlier in this section for re-writing the color factors. The relationship between the string diagram and our dual sub-amplitudes suggests that a Yang-Mills amplitude expressed in terms of these dual sub-amplitudes will assume a particularly simple form.

The gauge invariance and properties under cyclic and reverse permutations allows the calculation of far fewer than the \((n-1)!\) sub-amplitudes that appear in the dual expansion. In
fact the number of sub-amplitudes that are needed is just the number of different orderings of positive and negative helicities around a circle. Of course some of the sub-amplitudes vanish because of the partial helicity conservation of tree level Yang-Mills and others are simply related to one another through the properties (2) through (4).

Figure 1: The zero-slope limit of the four gluon string diagram in terms of Feynman diagrams (tri-gluon couplings only).

3 Evaluation of the Sub-Amplitudes

We use the helicity basis for the polarization vectors which was introduced by Xu, Zhang and Chang[8] which is an important improvement over the CALKUL technique [9]. This is achieved by introducing massless spinors, \(|p\pm\rangle\), which have momentum \(p\) and helicity \(\pm 1\). The adjoint of this spinor is \(\langle p\mp|\). The spinor products are the scalar quantities obtained by multiplying \(\langle p−|\) with \(|q+\rangle\) or \(\langle p+|\) with \(|q−\rangle\).

The properties of the spinor products that we want to recall here are the following:

\[
S_{pq} \equiv (p+q)^2 = \langle p−|q+\rangle \langle q+|p−\rangle = \langle p+|q−\rangle \langle q−|p+\rangle, \tag{3.1}
\]

\[
\langle p+|q−\rangle = \langle q−|p+\rangle^*, \tag{3.2}
\]

and

\[
\langle p+|q+\rangle = \langle p−|q−\rangle = 0. \tag{3.3}
\]

Thus the spinor products, \(\langle p−|q+\rangle\) and \(\langle p+|q−\rangle\), are square roots of the Lorentz invariant, \(S_{pq}\), and differ only in a phase [10]. Many other properties of the spinor products [8], mainly due to Fierz identities, are very useful in simplifying the expressions e.g.

\[
p \cdot \gamma = |p+\rangle \langle p+| + |p−\rangle \langle p−|, \tag{3.4}
\]
\[ \langle p + |\gamma^\mu|q+ \rangle \langle p' - |\gamma_\mu|q' - \rangle = 2 \langle p + |q' - \rangle \langle p' - |q+ \rangle. \] (3.5)

\[ \langle p - |q+ \rangle \langle p' - |q' + \rangle = \langle p - |q' + \rangle \langle p' - |q+ \rangle + \langle p - |p' + \rangle \langle q - |q' + \rangle. \] (3.6)

By using these massless spinors, the two helicity eigenstates of a gluon with momentum \( k \) are given by:

\[ \epsilon_+^\mu(k, q) = \frac{\langle q-|\gamma^\mu|k- \rangle}{\sqrt{2}\langle q-|k- \rangle}, \quad \epsilon_-^\mu(k, q) = -\frac{\langle q+|\gamma^\mu|k+ \rangle}{\sqrt{2}\langle q+|k- \rangle}. \] (3.7)

The momentum \( q \) is arbitrary, provided it satisfies \( q^2 = 0 \) and \( q \cdot k \neq 0 \). This freedom in choosing the \textit{reference momentum}, \( q \), stems from gauge invariance of the theory. These polarization vectors satisfy a number of identities which are extremely helpful in simplifying the calculations.

\begin{enumerate}
    \item \( k \cdot \epsilon_\pm(k, q) = 0 \),
    \item \( \epsilon_\pm(k, q) \cdot \epsilon_\mp^*(k, q) = 0 \) and \( \epsilon_\pm(k, q) \cdot \epsilon_\pm^*(k, q) = -1 \).
    \item \( q \cdot \epsilon_\pm(k, q) = 0 \).
    \item \( \epsilon_\pm(k_1, q) \cdot \epsilon_\pm(k_2, q) = 0 \).
    \item \( \epsilon_\pm(k_1, k_2) \cdot \epsilon_\mp(k_2, q) = 0 \).
\end{enumerate}

The properties in (1) are the standard properties of polarization vectors. Whereas (2) together with the gauge invariance of the sub-amplitudes, i.e. \( m(1, 2, \cdots, n)|_{\epsilon_i = p^i} = 0 \), implies that \( \beta \) is irrelevant and hence we can choose different reference momenta for each of the gluons and different reference momenta for a given gluon in different sub-amplitudes. Property (3) eliminates many terms if the reference momenta are chosen to be other light-like momentum vectors in the calculation. Whereas, (4) and (5) suggest that for a given sub-amplitude calculation all gluons with the same helicity should have the same reference momentum and that this reference momentum should be the momentum of a gluon with opposite helicity. Of course for a given sub-amplitude it is an art to choosing the reference momenta of the gluons so as to minimize the complexity of the resulting expression, but in general minimizing the number of nonzero \( \epsilon_i \cdot \epsilon_j \)'s is the most useful choice.

### 4 Four and Five Gluon Scattering

In the rest of this paper we will use the shorthand notation for the spinor products, \( \langle ij \rangle = \langle p_i - |p_j + \rangle \) and \( [ij] = \langle p_i + |p_j - \rangle \); then using the techniques of the last section it is easy to derive the following results. For the \textit{four} gluon process, expand the color factors for the Feynman diagrams in terms of the trace of four \( \lambda \)'s using

\[ f^{abX} f^{Xcd} = -2 \text{ tr}(\lambda^a \lambda^b \lambda^c \lambda^d). \]
Thus, the diagrams which contribute to the $m(1, 2, 3, 4)$ sub-amplitude are just the Feynman diagrams of Fig. 1 plus the parts of the four-gluon vertex diagram with the same color factors as these diagrams. With the appropriate choice of reference momenta for the external gluons, this weighted sum of diagrams either vanishes or contains one term. The only sub-amplitudes which are non-zero have equal numbers of positive and negative helicity gluons. Consider the sub-amplitude $m(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, 4^+)$ with reference momenta for gluons $(1, 2, 3, 4)$ as the momenta of gluons $(3, 3, 2, 2)$, respectively. For this choice of reference momenta the only non-zero $\epsilon_i \cdot \epsilon_j$ is $\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_4$ and the one term contributing is

$$m(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, 4^+) = -8ig^2 \frac{\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_4 \cdot k_1 \cdot k_4}{S_{12}},$$

$$= -4ig^2 \frac{\langle 12 \rangle [34]^2}{S_{12} S_{23}},$$

if the properties of the spinor dot products are used.

In general the helicity conserving sub-amplitudes are given by

$$m(1, 2, 3, 4) = -4ig^2 \frac{\langle IJ \rangle^2 [KL]^2}{S_{12} S_{23}} = 4ig^2 \frac{\langle IJ \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

The momenta I and J (K and L) in the numerator are the momenta of the negative (positive) helicity gluons independent of their ordering in the sub-amplitude, whereas the order of the spinor products in the denominator is only determined by the order of the momenta in the sub-amplitude. Using the properties of the spinor product is simple to demonstrate that eqn (4.1) satisfies the four particle Ward Identity (2.2).

In squaring the four gluon amplitude and summing over colors the $\mathcal{O}(N^{-2})$ terms in eqn (2.3) can be shown to vanish by using only the general properties, especially the Ward Identity, of the sub-amplitudes. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\text{colors}} |\mathcal{M}_4|^2 = \frac{N^2(N^2 - 1)}{16} \sum_{\text{perm'}} |m(1, 2, 3, 4)|^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

and the square of each sub-amplitude is very simple because the spinor product is the square root of twice the dot product. The final result is the standard four gluon matrix element squared.

$$\sum_{\text{hel. colors}} \sum_{\text{colors}} |\mathcal{M}_4|^2 = N^2(N^2 - 1) g^4 \left( \sum_{i>j} S_{ij}^4 \right) \sum_{\text{perm'}} \frac{1}{S_{12} S_{23} S_{34} S_{41}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)
Here we have not averaged over incoming helicities or colors.

For five gluon scattering only those Feynman diagrams, or part there of, with color structure the same as the diagrams of Fig. 2 contribute to the $m(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ sub-amplitude. This is easily seen by rewriting the color factors for the Feynman diagrams as

$$f^{abX} f^{XcY} f^{Yde} = 2i \text{tr}([\lambda^a, \lambda^b][\lambda^e, [\lambda^d, \lambda^e]]).$$

Again, it is a straight forward, simple calculation [4] to show that the only nonzero sub-amplitudes have either two or three negative helicity gluons and that the three positive - two negative helicity sub-amplitude is given by

$$m_{3+2-}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = 4\sqrt{2}g^3\frac{(IJ)^4}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 34\rangle\langle 45\rangle\langle 51\rangle}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

Where I and J are again the momenta of the negative helicity gluons and the denominator ordering is determined by the order of the momenta in the sub-amplitude. The two positive - three negative helicity amplitude is obtained from this last equation by complex conjugation. By using the Fierz properties of the spinor product it is easy to demonstrate that eqn(4.4) satisfies the five particle Ward Identity, eqn(2.2).

![Figure 2: The zero-slope limit of the five gluon string diagram in terms of Feynman diagrams (tri-gluon couplings only).](image)

Again, the general properties of the sub-amplitude can be used to show that the $O(N^{-2})$ terms in eqn(2.3) vanish for the five gluon process giving the following standard result [2] that

$$\sum_{\text{hel. colors}} |M_5|^2 = 2 \cdot N^3(N^2 - 1) \cdot g^6 \left( \sum_{i>j} S^4_{ij} \right) \sum_{\text{perm}} \frac{1}{S_{12}S_{23}S_{34}S_{45}S_{51}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

Here we have not averaged over incoming helicities or colors.
5 The Six Gluon Process

For the six gluon process only those Feynman diagrams, or part there of, with the same color structure as the diagrams of Fig. 3 contribute to the $m(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ sub-amplitude. To see this, expand the Feynman diagram color factors in terms of the trace of the $\lambda$'s using

$$f^{abX} f^{YcZ} f^{Zeg} = 2 \text{tr}([\lambda^a, \lambda^b, \lambda^c][\lambda^d, \lambda^e, \lambda^g])$$

or

$$f^{abX} f^{cdY} f^{egZ} f^{XYZ} = 2 \text{tr}([\lambda^a, \lambda^b][\lambda^c, \lambda^d][\lambda^e, \lambda^g]) - 2 \text{tr}([\lambda^e, \lambda^g][\lambda^c, \lambda^d][\lambda^a, \lambda^b]).$$

Then, by using the appropriate reference momenta for the polarization vectors it is easy to see that the only non-zero sub-amplitudes are those with four positive - two negative, two positive - four negative and three positive - three negative helicities. After a lengthy calculation we have obtained the following expressions for the six gluon sub-amplitudes.

$$m_{4+2}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) = 8i g^4 \frac{\langle IJ \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle}.$$ (5.1)

Figure 3: The zero-slope limit of the six gluon string diagram in terms of Feynman diagrams (tri-gluon couplings only).

The sub-amplitudes for the four positive - two negative helicity processes are a straightforward generalization of the four and five-gluon sub-amplitudes;
the denominator. The two positive - four negative helicity sub-amplitude is obtained from eqn(5.1) by complex conjugation.

The three positive - three negative helicity sub-amplitudes are not as simple, but like the two positive - two negative helicity sub-amplitudes they can be written down in two different ways. First, to exhibit the factorization on the three particle channels these sub-amplitudes are

\[ m_{3+3-}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) = 8ig^4 \left[ \frac{\alpha^2}{t_{123}S_{12}S_{23}S_{45}S_{56}} + \frac{\beta^2}{t_{234}S_{23}S_{34}S_{56}S_{61}} + \frac{\gamma^2}{t_{345}S_{34}S_{45}S_{61}S_{12}} + \frac{t_{123}\beta\gamma + t_{234}\gamma\alpha + t_{345}\alpha\beta}{S_{12}S_{23}S_{34}S_{45}S_{56}S_{61}} \right] \]  

(5.2)

where the \( t_{ijk} \equiv (p_i + p_j + p_k)^2 = S_{ij} + S_{jk} + S_{ki} \). The coefficients \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \gamma \) for the three distinct orderings of the helicities are given in Table I. With this representation it is a simple exercise to show that these sub-amplitudes factorize on the three particle pole into a product of two four particle sub-amplitudes, eqn(4.1), times the three particle propagator.

Table I

| Coefficients for the \( m_{3+,3-} \) Sub-amplitudes: |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| where \( \langle I|K|J \rangle \equiv \langle I + |K \cdot \gamma|J+ \rangle \), which is linear in \( K \) and if \( K^2 = 0 \) is given by \[ IK \] \[ KJ \]. |
| \( X = 1 + 2 + 3 \) | \( Y = 1 + 2 + 4 \) | \( Z = 1 + 3 + 5 \) |
| \( 1^+2^+3^+4^-5^-6^+ \) | \( 1^+2^+3^-4^+5^-6^- \) | \( 1^+2^-3^-4^+5^-6^+ \) |
| \( \alpha \) | 0 | \(-[12]\langle 56|4|Y|3 \rangle \) | \([13]\langle 46|5|Z|2 \rangle \) |
| \( \beta \) | \([23]\langle 56|1|X|4 \rangle \) | \([24]\langle 56|1|Y|3 \rangle \) | \([51]\langle 24|3|Z|6 \rangle \) |
| \( \gamma \) | \([12]\langle 45|3|X|6 \rangle \) | \([12]\langle 35|4|Y|6 \rangle \) | \([35]\langle 62|1|Z|4 \rangle \) |

There exist many identities that allow these expressions to be rewritten e.g.

\[ \langle 2 + | \hat{3}(\hat{4} + \hat{5})\hat{6} + \hat{1}(\hat{2} + \hat{3})\hat{4}| 5+ \rangle = (1 + 2 + 3)^2 \langle 2 + | \hat{3} + \hat{4}| 5+ \rangle, \]  

(5.3)

where \( \hat{i} = p_i \cdot \gamma \) and \( \sum p_i = 0 \). An alternative representation for the \( m_{3+,3-} \), which is especially useful in exhibiting the two particle factorization of the sub-amplitudes and hence the Altarelli-Parisi [13] behaviour of the squared amplitude when two gluons become collinear, is

\[ m_{3+3-}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) = \frac{8ig^4}{t_{123}t_{234}t_{345}} \]
\[
\left[ \frac{a_1}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle} + \frac{a_2}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle} + \frac{a_3}{\langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle \langle 12 \rangle} + \frac{a_4}{\langle 45 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle} + \frac{a_5}{\langle 56 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle} + \frac{a_6}{\langle 61 \rangle \langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle} \right].
\]

(5.4)

where the coefficients \(a_1\) through \(a_6\) are given in Table II. In this representation the two particle propagators always appear as a spinor product, i.e. as a square root of the propagator, therefore the square of this sub-amplitude only diverges like a single power of the propagator when two gluons become collinear. This is the Altarelli-Parisi behaviour for the sub-amplitudes. Further properties of these amplitudes will be discussed in the next section.

The six gluon sub-amplitudes satisfy the three distinct Ward Identities obtained from the following equation

\[
m(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) + m(2, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6) + m(2, 3, 1, 4, 5, 6)
+ m(2, 3, 4, 1, 5, 6) + m(2, 3, 4, 5, 1, 6) = 0
\]

(5.5)

using the helicity ordering of the first term as either \(m(1+, 2+, 3+, 4+, 5-, 6-), m(1+, 2+, 3+, 4-, 5-, 6-)\) or \(m(1+, 2-, 3+, 4-, 5+, 6-)\). These three Identities are extremely powerful and relate sub-amplitudes with different orderings of the helicities.
Table II
Coefficients for the alternative representation of $m_{3,3}$ Sub-amplitudes:
where $\langle I|K|J \rangle \equiv \langle I + |K \cdot \gamma|J + \rangle$, which is linear in $K$
and if $K^2 = 0$ is given by $[IK]\langle KJ \rangle$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$1^+2^+3^+4^-5^-6^-$</th>
<th>$1^+2^+3^-4^+5^-6^-$</th>
<th>$1^+2^-3^-4^+5^+6^-$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X = 1 + 2 + 3$</td>
<td>$t_{123}^2(1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>4)(3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y = 1 + 2 + 4$</td>
<td>$-t_{123}^2(12)(45)(1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>4)^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z = 1 + 3 + 5$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$[12]^4(56)(35)^2(1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given the simplicity of the sub-amplitudes with two negative helicities and all the others positive, equations (4.1), (4.4) and (5.1), it is obvious that the generalization to arbitrary $n$ is

$$ m(1, 2, \ldots, n) = 2^{n/2}i g^{n-2} \frac{\langle IJ \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \cdots \langle n1 \rangle} \quad (5.6) $$

where once again $I$ and $J$ are the momenta of the negative helicity gluons. Apart from this being the natural square root of the expression given by Parke and Taylor [11], [12], it also satisfies the Ward Identity for arbitrary $n$. Once again the proof is simple, multiply the $(n-1)$-gluon Ward Identity for this helicity structure by

$$ \langle (n-1) \ 2 \rangle $$

then all but the first term are part of the $n$-gluon Ward Identity. For the first term multiply the numerator and denominator by $\langle n \ 1 \rangle$ and use the Fierz Identity to write the numerator as

$$ \langle (n-1) \ 2 \rangle \langle n \ 1 \rangle = \langle (n-1) \ 1 \rangle \langle n \ 2 \rangle + \langle (n-1) \ n \rangle \langle 1 \ 2 \rangle. $$

The two terms thus generated are exactly the extra terms needed for the $n$-gluon Ward Identity for this helicity structure. This provides further evidence that this is indeed the sub-amplitude for the $(n - 2)$ positive - two negative helicity gluon scattering process.
6 Factorization Properties of the Sub-Amplitudes

The most important and remarkable properties of the Yang-Mills dual sub-amplitudes are their factorization properties, whose origin can be traced back to the string picture. In this section we show that the sub-amplitudes discussed in this paper factorize in
(1) the soft gluon limit,
(2) when two gluons become collinear and
(3) when three gluons add to form an on mass-shell gluon
i.e. on the three gluon pole.

For arbitrary \(n\)-gluon scattering these factorization properties of the sub-amplitudes will extend up to factorization on the \([n/2]\)-gluon poles.

First, we consider the soft gluon limit. Consider the sub-amplitudes when gluon 1 has an energy which is small compared to all the other energies in the process. Then the five and six gluon sub-amplitudes calculated here, satisfy

\[
m(1^+, 2, \ldots, n)  \xrightarrow{1^+ \text{ soft}} \left\{ g \sqrt{2} \frac{\langle n \, 2 \rangle}{\langle n \, 1 \rangle \langle 1, 2 \rangle} \right\} m(2, 3, \ldots, n)
\]

\[
m(1^-, 2, \ldots, n)  \xrightarrow{1^- \text{ soft}} \left\{ g \sqrt{2} \frac{\langle n \, 2 \rangle}{\langle n \, 1 \rangle \langle 1, 2 \rangle} \right\} m(2, 3, \ldots, n).
\]

The factors in braces are square roots of the eikonal factor

\[
\frac{g^2 (p_n \cdot p_2)}{(p_n \cdot p_1) (p_1 \cdot p_2)}.
\]

This soft gluon factorization and the Incoherence of these sub-amplitudes to leading order in the number of colors, \(N\), leads to the soft gluon factorization of the full matrix element squared as proposed by Bassetto, Ciafaloni and Marchesini \[12\],

\[
\sum_{\text{colors}} |\mathcal{M}_n|^2  \xrightarrow{1 \text{ soft}} \sum_{ij} \left( \frac{g^2 (p_i \cdot p_j)}{(p_i \cdot p_1) (p_1 \cdot p_j)} \right) |A_{ij}(2, \ldots, n)|^2.
\]

In the limit when two gluons become collinear, Altarelli and Parisi \[13\] demonstrated that the double poles associated with this collinear pair do not appear in the full amplitude squared i.e. there is a cancellation of one power of the propagator of the sum of the two collinear gluons. This cancellation occurs at the amplitude level rather than the square of the amplitude in this dual formulation. In the collinear limit each sub-amplitude diverges no more rapidly than the square root of the propagator formed from the sum of the collinear gluons, see eqn(5.4). The cancellation has occurred explicitly in each sub-amplitude Therefore
the sub-amplitudes square diverges no more rapidly than a single power of the propagator for the collinear gluons, this is the Altarelli and Parisi observation. The origin of this behaviour of the dual sub-amplitudes stems from the factorization properties of string amplitudes.

To demonstrate this square root divergence of the sub-amplitudes in the collinear limit, consider the case when the momenta of particles 1 and 2 become parallel. Let \( 1 \rightarrow z P \) and \( 2 \rightarrow (1 - z) P \) with \( P^2 = 0 \), and \( z \) is the momentum fraction of particle 1. Then the sub-amplitudes become

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}(1^+, 2^+, 3, \ldots) & \xrightarrow{1^+ \parallel 2^+} \frac{ig\sqrt{2} \langle 12 \rangle}{\sqrt{z(1 - z)}} \frac{-i}{S_{12}} \mathcal{M}(P^+, 3, \ldots) \\
\mathcal{M}(1^+, 2^-, 3, \ldots) & \xrightarrow{1^+ \parallel 2^-} \left\{ \frac{ig\sqrt{2} z^2(12)}{\sqrt{z(1 - z)}} + \frac{ig\sqrt{2} (1 - z)^2 [12]}{\sqrt{z(1 - z)}} \right\} \frac{-i}{S_{12}} \mathcal{M}(P^-, 3, \ldots) \\
\mathcal{M}(1^-, 2^-, 3, \ldots) & \xrightarrow{1^- \parallel 2^-} \frac{ig\sqrt{2} \langle 12 \rangle}{\sqrt{z(1 - z)}} \frac{-i}{S_{12}} \mathcal{M}(P^-, 3, \ldots).
\end{align*}
\]

Note that either \( \langle 12 \rangle \) or \( [12] \) appears in the numerator of each term. Also, it is useful to interpret the factor in braces as the “three gluon sub-amplitude” in the limit when two gluons become collinear. This three gluon sub-amplitude has the square root suppression of the pole as well as having the square root of the appropriate Altarelli-Parisi gluon-fusion function. From this result and the incoherence of the sub-amplitudes in the square of the matrix element the standard results of Altarelli and Parisi are obtained in a simple manner.

The sub-amplitudes also factorize in the three particle channel; here let \( P = 1 + 2 + 3 \), then as \( P^2 \rightarrow 0 \) it is easy to see that

\[
\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(1, 2, 3, -P) \frac{-i}{P^2} \mathcal{M}(P, 4, 5, 6)
\]

for the helicity structure three positive and three negative. Since helicity is conserved in the four gluon process, the helicity of the intermediate gluon is determined for this helicity structure and the four positive - two negative helicity sub-amplitude has no three particle poles.

Of course the full matrix element must also factorize. This is trivial in Feynman diagram language but here it is not so obvious because of the way we have added diagrams together.
The color factors almost factorizes for an \(SU(N)\) gauge group,
\[
tr (\lambda^1 \lambda^2 \ldots \lambda^n) = 2 \sum_x tr (\lambda^1 \ldots \lambda^m \lambda^x) tr (\lambda^x \lambda^{m+1} \ldots \lambda^n)
+ \frac{1}{N} tr (\lambda^1 \ldots \lambda^m) tr (\lambda^{m+1} \ldots \lambda^n).
\] (6.9)

This “factorization” property of the traces follows from the identity
\[
\sum_a \lambda_{ij}^a \lambda_{kl}^a = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{il} \delta_{jk} - \frac{1}{N} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}).
\] (6.10)

The \(1/N\) term could destroy the full factorization, but it does not. Terms proportional to \(1/N\) vanish at the pole because of the Ward Identity for the sub-amplitudes. Therefore, all the gluon amplitudes discussed in this paper satisfy, as expected, the factorization property
\[
\mathcal{M}_{n+n'} \rightarrow \sum \mathcal{M}_{n+1} \frac{-i}{P^2} \mathcal{M}_{n+1}
\] (6.11)
as \(P^2 \rightarrow 0\) for \(n, n' \geq 2\). The sum is over the color and helicity of the intermediate state.

7 The Square of the Six Gluon Amplitude

The complete square of the six-gluon amplitude, including the non-leading color terms is
\[
\sum_{\text{colors}} |\mathcal{M}_6|^2 = \frac{N^4(N^2 - 1)}{64} \sum_{\text{perm}'} H(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)
\] (7.1)
where the function
\[
H(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) = |m(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)|^2
+ \frac{1}{N^2} \left( m^* (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) [m(1, 3, 5, 2, 6, 4)
+ m(1, 3, 6, 4, 2, 5) + m(1, 4, 2, 6, 3, 5)] + c.c \right).
\] (7.2)

Thus, the complete matrix element squared, summed over helicities and colors, is given by
\[
\sum_{\text{hel. colors}} |\mathcal{M}_6|^2 = \frac{N^4(N^2 - 1)}{32} \sum_{\text{perm}'} \sum_{i>j} H_{ij}^0(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)
+ \sum_{\text{all perm}} \left\{ \frac{1}{6} H_1(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) + H_2(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)
+ \frac{1}{2} H_3(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) \right\}
\] (7.3)
where the subscripts on the functions, \(H\), determine the helicity structure of the squared sub-amplitudes. \(H_{ij}^0\) is the four positive - two negative (gluons I and J) helicity structure,
$H_1$ is the alternating helicity structure $(1^+2^-3^+4^-5^+6^-)$, $H_2$ is the mixed helicity structure $(1^+2^+3^-4^-5^+6^-)$ and $H_3$ is the adjacent structure $(1^+2^+3^+4^-5^-6^-)$. These $H$ functions can be calculated either numerically from the sub-amplitudes, eqns(5.1, 5.2), or for the leading color terms from the analytic form of the square of the sub-amplitudes given below.

To calculate the squares of the sub-amplitudes many properties of the spinor products developed by Xu et al[8] were used. In fact very compact expression in terms of the Lorentz invariants, $S_{ij}$, were obtained for two out of the four sub-amplitudes squared. The other two sub-amplitude structures are not as compact but consist of less than two hundred terms when expressed purely in terms of the elementary kinematical invariants $S_{ij}$ and $t_{ijk}$. Here, we give the two simpler squares (the others are in the Appendix).

First, the four positive - two negative helicity sub-amplitude squared is

$$|m_{4+2^-(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)}|^2 = 64 g^8 \frac{S^4_{IJ} S_{12} S_{23} S_{34} S_{45} S_{56} S_{61}}{S_{12} S_{23} S_{34} S_{45} S_{56} S_{61}}$$

where $I$ and $J$ are the negative helicity gluons. Of course the two positive - four negative helicity sub-amplitudes are given by the same expression with $I$ and $J$ now being the positive helicity gluons. For the three positive - three negative helicity sub-amplitude, the square is given by

$$|m(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^-, 5^-, 6^-)|^2 = 64 g^8 \left[ \frac{t^3_{123}(t_{123} S_{34} S_{61} + t_{234} S_{45} S_{12} + t_{345} S_{56} S_{23})}{t_{234} t_{345} S_{12} S_{23} S_{34} S_{45} S_{56} S_{61}} \right]$$

$$- \frac{4 t^2_{123}}{t_{234} t_{345} S_{34} S_{61}} + \frac{(t_{123} t_{234} t_{345} - t_{234} S_{45} S_{12} - t_{345} S_{56} S_{23})^2}{t^2_{234} t^2_{345} S^2_{34} S^2_{61}}.$$

Note that the sub-amplitudes add Incoherently to leading order in the number of colors and the simplicity of the non-leading color terms is achieved by the properties of the sub-amplitudes, especially the Ward Identity equation (2.2). This result together with the expressions for the sub-amplitudes, eqn(5.1) and (5.2), can be used to calculate the matrix element squared by evaluating the sub-amplitudes as complex numbers. Owing to the simplicity of the sub-amplitudes and the simplicity of the leading and non-leading terms in the number of colors this method of calculation is appreciable faster than previous numerical algorithms [3].

The ordering of the gluons in the non-leading color terms is of particular import. These terms are the only possible ones which have no two or three particle propagators in common.
with the original ordering \((1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)\) and as such are less singular in the collinear limit than the leading part in \(N\). In fact the non-leading color terms are finite in the collinear limit so that in this limit they are completely irrelevant compared to the leading color terms. Also by comparing numerically the leading to non-leading pieces for \(N=3\), the non-leading terms contribute in general only a few percent to the total cross-section. This result is even true in the soft gluon limit. Therefore the non-leading terms can be ignored given that this calculation is only to tree level, and the other uncertainties in any Monte Carlo application are much larger than this uncertain. The smallness of the non-leading color terms and the fact that the leading color terms are just the squares of the simple sub-amplitudes implies that the square of this matrix element is easy to obtain.

The double poles of \(S_{34}\) and \(S_{61}\) in \(|m(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^-, 5^-, 6^-)|^2\), eqn(7.5), are only apparent. This can be seen by using the identity

\[
tr(\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{3}\hat{4}\hat{5}\hat{6}) = t_{123}t_{234}t_{345} - t_{123}S_{34}S_{61} - t_{234}S_{45}S_{12} - t_{345}S_{56}S_{23},
\]

here \(\hat{i} = p_i \cdot \gamma_i\), and realizing that for adjacent momenta this trace goes to zero as the square root of the Lorentz invariant, \(S_{ij}\), as this invariant goes to zero. The Altarelli-Parisi relationship can be obtained from this squared sub-amplitude by using

\[
\int \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \; tr^2(\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{3}\hat{4}\hat{5}\hat{6}) \rightarrow 2 \; S_{12}S_{23}S_{34}S_{45}S_{56}S_{61}
\]

as any two momenta that are adjacent in the trace become parallel and the integral is the standard azimuthal averaging for these two momenta.

8 Conclusion

Here we have presented an extremely powerful technique for evaluating multi-gluon scattering processes by using an analogue with string theories to identify gauge invariant sub-amplitudes. Not only are these sub-amplitudes straight forward to calculate but they are simple and satisfy many important properties. The most remarkable properties are their factorization in the soft gluon limit, the two gluon collinear limit and on multi-particle poles. This suggests that there is a hidden simplicity in QCD yet to be discovered. We have demonstrated the power of these techniques and simplicity of the results by presenting the amplitude and its square for the four, five and six gluon scattering processes. These results are also useful for Monte-Carlo studies of multi-jet events at hadron colliders.
We have enjoyed many discussions on this topic with E. Eichten, K. Ellis, P. Marchesini and T. Taylor.
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9 Appendix

The fundamental relations used in calculating the square of the matrix elements are the following:

\[ [i_1 i_2] \langle i_2 i_3 \rangle \langle i_3 i_4 \rangle \cdots \langle i_{2n} i_1 \rangle = \text{tr} (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2n} P_+), \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.1)

\[ \langle i_1 i_2 \rangle [i_2 i_3] \langle i_3 i_4 \rangle \cdots [i_{2n} i_1] = \text{tr} (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{2n} P_-), \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.2)

where $P_\pm = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \gamma_5)$ , $\langle i j \rangle \equiv \langle i- | j+ \rangle$ and $[i j] \equiv \langle i+ | j- \rangle$. Equations (9.1) and (9.2) are complex conjugates of each other. These equations are used to obtain the square of the independent sub-amplitudes for the three positive - three negative helicity structure which are given in Table A. The first column of this Table is the product of poles multiplying the terms appearing in the other columns. The following definitions were used in this Table;

\[ T_1 = t_{123}S_{12}S_{23}S_{45}S_{56}, \]
\[ T_2 = t_{234}S_{23}S_{34}S_{56}S_{61}, \]
\[ T_3 = t_{345}S_{34}S_{45}S_{61}S_{12}, \]
\[ S = S_{12}S_{23}S_{34}S_{45}S_{56}S_{61}, \]
\[ \{i j \cdots k\} = \text{tr} (i j \cdots k), \]
\[ X = p_1 + p_2 + p_3, \quad Y = p_1 + p_2 + p_4 \quad \text{and} \quad Z = p_1 + p_3 + p_5. \]
The symbols $\pi_{\pm}$ and $\pi_r$ generate permutations of the momenta according to the following rules:

$$\pi_+ : (123456) \rightarrow (234561),$$
$$\pi_- : (123456) \rightarrow (612345),$$
$$\pi_r : (123456) \rightarrow (654321).$$

$\pi_r$ is a symmetry of all of the three matrix elements squared, while $\pi_{\pm}$ are symmetries of $|m(+-+-+-)|^2$ only. Whenever either of the $\pi$’s appears as an entry in Table A, this entry has to be filled in such a way as to enforce these symmetries. For example, the term proportional to $T_{3-2}$ in $|m(+-+-+-)|^2$ is given by $S_{35}^2 S_{26}^2 \{1Z4Z\}^2$, and in $|m(+-+-+-)-|\gamma_5|^2$ is given by $S_{12}^2 S_{45}^2 \{3X6X\}^2$.

Finally, the symbol $\chi.c.$ after a product of traces is the chiral conjugate and its meaning is clear from the following example:

$$\{356Y4Y\}\{124Y3Y\} + \chi.c. = \{356Y4Y\}\{124Y3Y\} + \{356Y4Y\gamma_5\}\{124Y3Y\gamma_5\}.$$

To express the amplitude squared in terms of the elementary kinematical invariants $S_{ij}$ and $t_{ijk}$, it is necessary to expand the traces appearing in the Table. Below we give the set of identities that we have used to carry out this expansion which generates eqn(7.5) for $|m(1+, 2+, 3+, 4-, 5-, 6-)|^2$ and fewer than two hundred terms for the other sub-amplitudes squared.

As an immediate consequence of (9.1) and (9.2)

$$\text{tr}^2 (i_1i_2\cdots i_{2n}) - \text{tr}^2 (i_1i_2\cdots i_{2n}\gamma_5) = 4 S_{i_1i_2} S_{i_2i_3} \cdots S_{i_{2n}i_1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.3)

A straightforward generalization of this identity is

$$\text{tr}(i_1i_2\cdots i_{2n}\gamma_5) \text{tr}(j_1j_2\cdots j_{2m}\gamma_5) = \text{tr}(i_1i_2\cdots i_{2n}) \text{tr}(j_1j_2\cdots j_{2m})$$

$$- 2 \left[ \langle i_1 i_2 | i_2 i_3 \rangle \cdots \langle i_{2n} i_1 | j_1 j_2 \rangle \langle j_2 j_3 \rangle \cdots \langle j_{2m} j_1 \rangle + \text{c.c.} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.4)

These two identities reduce all of the traces containing a $\gamma_5$ and thus one can show that

$$\{462135\gamma_5\}\{642315\gamma_5\} = \{462135\}\{642315\}$$

$$- S_{13} S_{46} \left( \{1235\}\{4562\} - \{1235\gamma_5\}\{4562\gamma_5\} \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (9.5)

$$\{356Y4Y\gamma_5\}\{356Y421Y\gamma_5\} = - t_V^2 \left( \{356X\}\{124356\} + 2 S_{56} S_{35}\{124356\} + 2 S_{34} S_{35} S_{56}\{1246\} \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (9.6)
where we have defined:

\[(p_1 + p_2 + p_3)^2 = t_{123} \equiv t_U, \quad (p_1 + p_2 + p_4)^2 \equiv t_V, \quad (p_1 + p_3 + p_5)^2 \equiv t_W.\]

The traces of eight gamma-matrices and a $\gamma_5$ can be reduced by using the following identity together with its permutations and equation (9.3):

\[
\{321X456X\gamma_5\} = t_U\{123456\gamma_5\}. \tag{9.7}
\]

The proper reduction formulae for the other traces appearing in the Table and in the former relations are given by:

\[
\{1X4X\} = 2t_{123}t_{234} - 2S_{23}S_{56} \tag{9.8}
\]

\[
\{124X\} = t_{234}S_{12} - t_VS_{23} + t_{123}S_{24} \tag{9.9}
\]

\[
\{123456\} = t_{123}t_{234}t_{345} - t_{123}S_{34}S_{61} - t_{123}S_{12}S_{45} - t_{345}S_{23}S_{56} \tag{9.10}
\]

\[
\{124Y3Y\} = -t_V\{124X\} + 2S_{12}S_{24}S_{56} \tag{9.11}
\]

\[
\{124Y3Y\gamma_5\} = t_V\{1234\gamma_5\} \tag{9.12}
\]

\[
\{321X456X\} = -t_U\{123456\} - 2S_{12}S_{23}S_{45}S_{56} \tag{9.13}
\]

This completes all definitions needed to reduce the traces of Table A to the elementary kinematical invariants.