FERMILAB-PUB-78-137-E

E-45A

RECEIVED
SEP 11 1978
DIRECTORS OFFICE
FERMILAB

The University of Michigan

THE HARRISON M. RANDALL LABORATORY OF PHYSICS • ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109
(313) 764-4437

UMBC 78-8
(Submitted to Phys.Rev.Lett.)

A STUDY OF THE REACTION $\nu p \to \mu^- \Delta^{++}$ AT HIGH ENERGIES AND COMPARISONS WITH THEORY

J. Bell, C.T. Coffin, R.N. Diamond, H.T. French, W. C. Louis, B.P. Roe, R.T. Ross, A.A. Seidl, J.C. Vander Velde, E. Wang

University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

J.P. Berge, D.V. Bogert, F.A. DiBianca, R. Endorf, R. Hanft, C. Kochowski, J.A. Malko, G.I. Moffatt, F.A. Nezrick, W.G. Scott, W. Smart

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
Batavia, Illinois 60510

R.J. Cence, F.A. Harris, M. Jones, M.W. Peters, V.Z. Peterson, V.J. Stenger

University of Hawaii at Manoa
Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

G.R. Lynch, J.P. Marriner, M.L. Stevenson

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
Berkeley, CA. 94720

August 1978

A study of the reaction $\nu p \to \mu^- \Delta^{++}$ at high energies and comparisons with theory.

J. Bell, J. P. Berge, D. V. Bogert, R. J. Cence,
C. T. Coffin, R. N. Diamond, F. A. DiBianca,
R. Endorf, H. T. French, R. Hanft, F. A. Harris,
M. Jones, C. Kochowski, W. C. Louis, G. R. Lynch,
J. A. Malko, J. P. Marriner, G. I. Moffatt, F. A. Nezrick,
M. W. Peters, V. Z. Peterson, B. P. Roe,
R. T. Ross, W. G. Scott, A. A. Seidl, W. Smart,
V. J. Stenger, M. L. Stevenson,
J. C. Vander Velde, and E. Wang

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720
University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

Abstract

We present production and decay distributions from a sample of 138 events from the reaction $\nu p \to \mu^- p \pi^+$ with $M_{\pi^+ p} < 1.4$ GeV. These events correspond predominantly to the reaction $\nu p \to \mu^- \Delta^{++}$, but we find some evidence for the presence of non-Δ background amplitudes for $Q^2 > 1$ GeV^2. The data are fit to various theoretical models in terms of the nucleon axial vector form factor. For $Q^2 < 1$ GeV^2 the best fit yields the value $M_A = 1.25^{+.15}_{-.13}$ GeV, using a dipole form factor in the most recent version of the Adler model, although neither this model nor the others considered successfully reproduce the observed Δ decay distributions.

Several theoretical models for weak single pion production, and in particular, for the reaction $\nu p \to \mu^- \Delta^{++}$ have been published and fitted to data below 1.5 GeV.[1,2,3] In this paper we present new data on this reaction at energies from 5 to 100 GeV and fit our data to the same parametrized models used in Ref. 1, and also to a more recent version of the full Adler model[2].

From a sample of 201 events with kinematic fits to the reaction $\nu p \to \mu^- p \pi^+$, we obtain 138 events with the $\pi^+ p$ invariant mass, $W < 1.4$ GeV, corresponding to an average cross section of $0.55 \pm .08 \times 10^{-38}$ cm^2 between 15 and 40 GeV, as described in the preceeding paper[4]. The Q^2 distribution $[Q^2 = -q^2 = -(p_\nu - p_\mu)^2]$ from these events, predominantly from the reaction $\nu p \to \mu^- \Delta^{++}$, is shown in Fig. 1.

The decay angle distributions from the events with $W < 1.4$ GeV are shown in Fig. 2 and are summarized in Table I for various Q^2 regions. The decay angles, θ, Φ, are calculated for the π^+ in the $\pi^+ p$ rest frame with respect to a Gottfried-Jackson system of right handed axes with the polar axis, z along the momentum transfer direction, $\hat{z} = \underline{q} = \underline{p}_\nu - \underline{p}_\mu$ and with the y axis along the production plane normal, $\hat{y} = \underline{p}_\nu \times \underline{p}_\mu$. For $Q^2 < 1$ GeV^2 both the cos θ and Φ distributions are symmetric, as required for pure Δ^{++} production, but for $Q^2 > 2$ GeV^2 there is an excess of events with forward π^+, (as observed in the data with $W > 1.4$ GeV) and for $1 < Q^2 < 2$ GeV^2 there is an asymmetry which is evidence for a parity violating production process. These results suggest that background amplitudes may be comparable with the Δ^{++} amplitudes for $Q^2 > 1$ GeV^2. The decay angle distributions for $Q^2 < 1$ GeV^2, and $W < 1.4$ GeV are well described with the spherical harmonic expansion up to $\ell = 2$.

The coefficients calculated by the moments method are given in Table 2. The related density matrix elements (also for $Q^2 <$ 1 GeV^2) assuming a pure spin 3/2 state are $\tilde{\rho}_{33} = .90\pm.12$, $\tilde{\rho}_{31} = .01\pm.05$, $\tilde{\rho}_{3-1} = -.07\pm.07$, where the decay angle distribution is given by:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta d\Phi} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left[Y_0^0 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} (\tilde{\rho}_{33} - 1/2) Y_2^0 - \frac{4}{\sqrt{10}} \tilde{\rho}_{3-1} \, \mathrm{Re}Y_2^2 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{10}} \tilde{\rho}_{31} \, \mathrm{Re}Y_2^1 \right]$$

We have fit our data to various parametrized models[1] listed in Table 3 and also using the full Adler model[2,10]. Since we have evidence that background amplitudes, not included in the parametrized models, are present at high Q^2, we first study the fits to events with $Q^2 < 1$ GeV^2 before attempting to fit the full Q^2 range. In the parametrized models we use the Rarita-Schwinger formalism for pure Δ^{++} production, as described in Ref. 1. The form factors involved are given in Table 3. For each model the value of M_A was found using the maximum likelihood method. The fit includes the values of Q^2, θ, Φ, W and E_ν for each event, as well as the measured average cross section for the process. The results are given in Table 4 with the predicted cross section and, as an indication of the fit quality, the χ^2 of the projection of the fit to the Q^2 distribution. For the fits restricted to $Q^2 < 1$ GeV^2 the models of Adler[6] and Bijtebier[7] give good fits to the Q^2 distribution,[12] but the structure in the decay angle distributions is not well reproduced in any of the parametrized models, as shown in Fig. 2 for Adler's model. In terms of the average density matrix element $\tilde{\rho}_{33}$ Adler's and Bijtebier's models predict 0.59 and 0.62 respectively, compared with our value of 0.90±.12. Comparing the values

of M_A obtained, only that from Adler's model, $M_A = 1.00^{+.14}_{-.11}$ GeV, is consistent with the value 0.95±.08 GeV obtained from the reaction $\nu n \to \mu^- p$[13] and therefore we have only considered this model for the fits over the full Q^2 region.

The parametrized Adler model with $M_A = 1.15\pm.10$ GeV yields an acceptable fit to the full Q^2 distribution as shown in Fig. 1. It should be pointed out, however, that both in the case of the $Q^2 <$ 1 GeV^2 fits and the full Q^2 fits the value of M_A obtained is strongly influenced by the cross section term in the likelihood function. If the measured cross section is not included in the likelihood the best fit over the full Q^2 range using the parametrized Adler model gives $M_A = 1.45\pm.20$ GeV and predicts $\sigma = 0.88\pm.11\times10^{-38}$ cm^2. As in the case of the restricted Q^2 fits, the decay angle distributions are poorly fitted.

In an attempt to obtain better fits we have also used the full Adler model[2] (Adler 75) which includes non-Δ amplitudes. The best fits with this model give $M_A = 1.25^{+.15}_{-.13}$ GeV for $Q^2 <$ 1 GeV^2, and $M_A = 1.43^{+.07}_{-.09}$ GeV over the full Q^2 range, as summarized in Table 4 and shown in Fig. 1. The quality of these fits is very similar to that of the parametrized model. In particular there is no improvement in the fits to the decay distribution, although the model introduces asymmetries in the integrated cos θ, Φ distributions as shown in Fig. 2.

To summarize, we have obtained new data on the reaction $\nu p \to \mu^- \Delta^{++}$ at higher energies and hence higher Q^2 values than previously studied. The decay distributions show evidence that non Δ^{++} amplitudes may be significant at higher Q^2. The full Adler model[2] using a dipole form[14] for the nucleon axial

vector form factor provides a good fit to the Q^2 distribution below $Q^2 = 1\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$ with $M_A = 1.25^{+.15}_{-.13}$ and adequately describes the full Q^2 region with $M_A = 1.43^{+.07}_{-.09}$ GeV. Fitting with the parametrized Adler model yields lower values of M_A, but gives very similar quality fits. None of the models considered successfully reproduces the observed decay distributions.

The work was supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation.

Figure Captions

1. The $d\sigma/dQ^2$ distribution. The solid and dashed curves are the projections of the fits to the full Adler model with $M_A = 1.43$ GeV and $M_A = 1.25$ GeV respectively. The dotted curve shows where the fit to the parametrized Adler model with $M_A = 1.15$ GeV differs from the solid curve. In all cases the curves are absolute predictions.

2. Decay angle distributions from events with $W < 1.4$ GeV (a) $\cos\theta$. (b) Φ , (c) Φ for $\cos\theta > 0$, (d) Φ for $\cos\theta < 0$. The shaded areas correspond to events with $Q^2 < 1\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$. The solid curve is from the full Adler model with $M_A = 1.43$ GeV averaged over all Q^2, and the dashed curve is from the parametrized Adler model with $M_A = 1.0$ GeV for $Q^2 < 1\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$.

References and footnotes

1) P. Schreiner and F. Von Hippel, Phys. Rev. Lett 30, 339 (1973) and Nuc. Phys. B58, 333 (1973).

2) S. Adler, Phys. Rev. D12, 2644 (1975)

3) T. Alevizos, A. Celikel, N. Dombey, Journal of Physics G3, 1179 (1977)

4) J. Bell et al., "Cross section measurements for the reactions $\nu p \to \mu^- \pi^+ p$ and $\nu p \to \mu^- K^+ p$ at high energies." (Preceeding paper)

5) P. Salin, Nuovo Cimento 48A, 506 (1967).

6) S. Adler, Ann. Phys. (New York) 50, 189 (1968).

7) J. Bijtebier, Nuc. Phys. B21, 158 (1970).

8) P. Zucker, Phys. Rev. D4, 3350 (1971).

9) A. Dufner and Y. Tsai, Phys. Rev. 168, 1801 (1968).

10) We wish to thank S. Adler for providing his original version of this program and G. Kane, E. Monsay and P. Schreiner for helping us update this program to correspond to model of Ref. 2.

11) To extend the parametrization of Ref. 1. to higher Q^2 their factor $\{1 + \frac{cQ^2}{d+Q^2}\}$ has been replaced by $\{\exp(\frac{aQ^2}{1+bQ^2})\}$ with the constants a and b chosen such that the factors have the same value and slope at $Q^2=0$, and value at $Q^2 = 0.4$ GeV^2.

12) The poor fit with Zucker's model is due to the cross section term forcing M_A much lower than that required by the shape of the Q^2 distribution.

13) S. J. Barish et al., Phys. Rev. D16, 3108 (1977).

14) Although we have only presented results for the dipole form of the axial vector form factor it should be noted that similar fits are obtained using a monopole form and these data cannot distinguish between the two forms.

Table 1. π^+p decay angle distributions for $W < 1.4$ GeV

Q^2 (GeV)^2	Number of events	cos θ (c) < 0	cos θ (c) > 0	|c| <.5	|c| >.5	Φ (0 - 2π) < π	Φ > π	Φ <π/2 or >3 π/2	Φ π/2 to 3 π/2	Φ < π/2	Φ >3 π/2
0 - 1	111	52	59	74	37	58	53	64	47	32	32
1 - 2	18	8	10	10	8	3	15	10	8	0	10
> 2	9	1	8	5	4	6	3	5	4	4	1
0 - 0.3	60	24	36	40	20	32	28	34	26	17	17

Table 2. Y_l^m coefficients for the decay angle distribution for events with $W < 1.4$ GeV and $Q^2 < 1$ GeV^2.

	Re	Im
a_{10}	.08 ± .08	-
a_{11}	-.12 ± .07	-.04 ± .07
a_{20}	-.36 ± .08	-
a_{21}	-.01 ± .06	.01 ± .06
a_{22}	.09 ± .07	-.04 ± .08


1.0
0.1
0.01
$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}$ $(10^{-38}\,cm^2/GeV^2)$
0
1
2
3
Q^2 (GeV^2)

Fig. 1


(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)
EVENTS
30
20
10
0
15
5
-1.0
0.0
1.0
cos θ
π
2π
Φ

Fig. 2

Table 3. Form factors following Ref. 1.

Vector[9]

$$C_6^V = 0 \quad C_4^V = \frac{-M_P}{W} C_3^V(Q^2) \quad C_5^V(Q^2) = 0$$

$$|C_3^V|^2 = (2.05)^2 (1 + 9\sqrt{Q^2}) \exp(-6.3\sqrt{Q^2})$$

Axial vector.[11]

$$C_1^A(Q^2) = C_1^A(0) \exp(aQ^2/(1+bQ^2))/D$$

where $D = (1+Q^2/M_A^2)^2$

Model	$C_4^A(0)$	a	b	$C_5^A(0)$	a	b
Salin	2.7	0		0		
Adler	-.3	-.61	.19	1.2	-.61	.19
Bijtebier	3.	-.61	.19	1.2	-.61	.19
Zucker	-1.8	-1.36	.57	1.9	-.84	.32

Zucker: $C_3^A(0) = 1.8$, $a = -1.76$, $b = 0.62$

All other models: $C_3^A(0) = 0$.

All models:

$$C_6^A(Q^2) = \frac{M_p\, g_\Delta\, f_\pi}{2\sqrt{3}(M_\pi^2+Q^2)D} = \frac{-1.07}{0.019+Q^2}\,\frac{1}{D}$$

(g_Δ is $\Delta p\pi^+$ coupling constant, f_π is π decay constant)

Table 4. Results of fits using the dipole form of the nucleon axial vector form factor for $Q^2 < 1$ GeV^2 and all Q^2. Adler 75 refers to the full Adler model.[2,10] All the other models are in the parametrized form as described in the text.

Model	M_A (GeV)	Cross section* predicted from fit x 10^{-38} cm^2	χ^2/DF to $d\sigma/dQ^2$
$Q^2 < 1$ GeV^2:			
Adler	$1.0^{+.14}_{-.11}$	$.50^{+.04}_{-.06}$	10.1/10
Zucker	$.62^{+.09}_{-.04}$	$.55^{+.10}_{-.04}$	35.7/10
Bijtebier	$.50 \pm .04$	$.40^{+.05}_{-.04}$	12.3/10
Salin	$.42 \pm .03$	$.24^{+.07}_{-.10}$	21.3/10
Adler 75	$1.25^{+.15}_{-.13}$	$.45 \pm .05$	10.9/10
All Q^2			
Adler	$1.15 \pm .10$	$.67^{+.04}_{-.06}$	20.9/13
Adler 75	$1.43^{+.07}_{-.09}$	$.66^{+.04}_{-.03}$	19.1/13

*for W < 1.4 GeV and over Q^2 range fitted. For $Q^2 < 1$ GeV^2 the measured cross section is $(0.44 \pm .07) \times 10^{-38}$ cm^2.