



**Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory**

FERMILAB-Pub-77/70-EXP/THY  
2000.000

(Submitted to Physical Review)

THE SCREENING CORRECTION FOR HADRON-DEUTERON  
ABSORPTION CROSS SECTIONS NEAR 200 GeV/c

J. E. A. Lys\*

September 1977

\* Presently at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California.



THE SCREENING CORRECTION FOR HADRON-DEUTERON ABSORPTION  
CROSS SECTIONS NEAR 200 GeV/c

J. E. A. Lys  
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory  
Batavia, Illinois

ABSTRACT

We have determined the screening correction factor  $G_a$  for proton-deuteron and positive pion-deuteron absorption cross sections near 200 GeV/c. The determination uses measured cross sections on nucleon and deuteron targets, with an assumption about the one and two-prong absorption cross sections on deuterons. The values found for  $G_a$  are larger than the corresponding total cross section screening correction factors, but are in reasonable agreement with a simple geometrical prediction.

## I. Introduction

We have used measured cross sections to determine the screening correction  $\delta\sigma_a$ , and thence the correction factor  $G_a$ , for proton-deuteron and pion-deuteron absorption cross sections near 200 GeV/c incident momentum. Here the absorption cross section corresponds to all processes in which the incident hadron disappears during the collision or reappears with one or more produced particles. The quantities  $\delta\sigma_a$  and  $G_a$  are defined, for an incident hadron  $h$ , as follows:

$$\delta\sigma_a = \sigma_a(hd) - \sigma_a(hp) - \sigma_a(hn) \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_a &= \frac{-\delta\sigma_a}{\sigma_a(hp) + \sigma_a(hn)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\sigma_a(hd)}{\sigma_a(hp) + \sigma_a(hn)} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

(Note that the denominator in Eq. (2) contains the sum of the nucleon cross sections rather than the deuteron cross section; the choice is arbitrary)

We know of no previous accurate determination of  $\delta\sigma_a$  or  $G_a$ . An experimental problem that hinders any such determination is the difficulty in separating the pseudo-elastic reaction  $hd \rightarrow hpn$  from topologically similar absorption reactions such as  $hd \rightarrow hpn\pi^0$ . That is, the one and two-prong contribution to the absorption cross section is difficult to measure (prong counts, or final state charge multiplicities, assume a charged incident hadron).

Over twenty years ago, Glauber<sup>1</sup> predicted, from simple geometrical considerations:

$$\delta\sigma_a = -\sigma_a(\text{hp})\sigma_a(\text{hn}) \langle r^{-2} \rangle / 2\pi \quad (3)$$

where  $\langle r^{-2} \rangle$  is the mean inverse square deuteron radius. The resulting prediction for  $G_a$  is in general different from the prediction for the total cross section correction factor,  $G_T$ , which follows from the simple formula<sup>1, 2</sup> (which neglects iso-spin complications,<sup>3</sup> and assumes purely imaginary forward scattering amplitudes with a momentum transfer dependence much smaller than that for the deuteron form factor):

$$\delta\sigma_T = -\sigma_T(\text{hp})\sigma_T(\text{hn}) \langle r^{-2} \rangle / 4\pi \quad (4)$$

We note that the ratio between  $\delta\sigma_a$  and  $\delta\sigma_T$  given by Equations (3) and (4) is also obtained, to a very good approximation (within 5% at 200 GeV/c) from the detailed formulas given by Franco and Glauber.<sup>2</sup>

It is clearly of interest to compare the predictions of Eq. (3) with experimental results. In addition, a measurement of  $G_a$  is important to experiments that attempt to extract free-neutron inelastic cross sections from measurements on deuterons.<sup>4</sup> Such experiments often assume that  $G_a \approx G_T$ . Finally,  $G_a$  may be relevant to rescattering studies;<sup>5</sup> for example, in deuterium the parameter  $\bar{v} = A\sigma_a(\text{hp})/\sigma_a(\text{hA})$ , which is used extensively in studies of hadron-nucleus interactions,<sup>6</sup> is related to  $G_a$  by

the Equation:

$$1 - G_a = 1/\bar{v} \quad (5)$$

## II. Determination of $G_a$

Recently, bubble chamber experiments<sup>7, 8</sup> have reported accurate measurements of  $\sigma_a(hd)$ , for incident protons and negative pions with momenta near 200 GeV/c, for three and more prongs. At this high energy, the one and two-prong absorption cross sections are relatively smaller than at lower energies, and reasonable estimates of their magnitudes can be made. Then, with the help of measured hadron-nucleon cross sections, we can determine the quantity  $G_a$ .

We estimate the one and two-prong absorption cross sections with the formulas:

$$\sigma_a(hd, N=1,2) = (1-G_a) [\sigma_a(hn, N=1) + \sigma_a(hp, N=2) + \Delta] (1-\alpha R) \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta = \int \frac{d\sigma}{dt} (hp \rightarrow pX, N=2) 2S(t) dt \quad (7)$$

$$\alpha = 0.5 \pm 0.5 \quad (8)$$

Here  $R$  equals the fraction of  $hd$  events with  $N \geq 3$  that rescatter,  $N$  equals the prong count, and  $S(t)$  is the deuteron form factor. Equation (6) follows from a spectator model of the hadron-deuteron interaction, with a screening correction and

with allowance for multiplicity-increasing rescattering. We assume that the screening correction factor is just  $G_a$ , and we assume that the probability of a multiplicity-increasing rescatter following a one or two-prong hadron-nucleon inelastic interaction is between zero and  $R$ . The term  $\Delta$  arises from the symmetry requirements of the two-nucleon wave function.<sup>9</sup> The expression for  $\Delta$  in Eq. (7) assumes that nucleon spin-flip and charge-flip contributions to  $\sigma_a(\text{hp}, N=2)$  are negligible at values of the four-momentum transfer  $t$  where  $S(t)$  is non-negligible.

We believe that Eq. (6), with the rather generous errors on the multiplicity-increasing rescatter probability, should be valid. Similar formulas are almost always implied, at least at beam momenta above  $\sim 1$  GeV/c, when free-neutron cross sections are extracted from deuterium data. At Fermilab energies, studies of multiplicity distributions<sup>5, 7, 8, 10</sup> indicate at most small differences between the multiplicity distributions of hadron-deuteron interactions that have a spectator nucleon and of free hadron-nucleon interactions, in agreement with the primary assumption in Eq. (6). Also, the observation<sup>5, 7, 8, 10</sup> that rescattering produces rather small increases in mean multiplicity over that for hadron-nucleon interactions indicates a value for  $\alpha$  of  $\sim 0.5$  rather than  $\sim 1.0$ .

Combining Eq. (2) and Eq. (6) yields:

$$1-G_a = \frac{\sigma_a(\text{hd}, N \geq 3)}{\sigma_a(\text{hn}) + \sigma_a(\text{hp}) - [\sigma_a(\text{hn}, N=1) + \sigma_a(\text{hp}, N=2) + \Delta]} (1-\alpha R) \quad (9)$$

This is the equation we actually use to determine  $G_a$ . We have neglected  $\sigma_a(\pi^-d, N=0)$ , which we expect to be  $\sim 0.01$  mb since<sup>11</sup> at 205 GeV/c  $\sigma_a(\pi^-p, N=0) \approx 0.01$  mb.

There are no direct measurements available of  $\sigma_a(hn, N=1)$ , so we estimate values as follows:

$$\sigma_a(\pi^-n, N=1) = (0.6 \pm 0.1) \sigma_a(\pi^+p, N=2) \quad (10)$$

$$\sigma_a(pn, N=1) = (0.6 \pm 0.1) \sigma_a(pp, N=2) \quad (11)$$

Relations of this form follow<sup>10</sup> from charge symmetry and, in the incident proton case, vertex independence considerations. The numerical factor in each case represents the probability that, in a two-prong inelastic interaction, a struck proton remain a proton or yield a hyperon-positive kaon pair. Values of  $0.6 \pm 0.1$  are suggested by 100 GeV/c data,<sup>10</sup> and are expected to vary little with energy. We take  $\sigma_a(pp, N=2)/\sigma_a(pp)$  from Ref. 12, and  $\sigma_a(\pi^+p, N=2)/\sigma_a(\pi^+p)$  from Ref. 11, assuming<sup>13</sup> that  $\pi^+p$  and  $\pi^-p$  multiplicity distributions are the same near 200 GeV/c.

We take  $\sigma_a(hp) = \sigma_T(hp) - \sigma_{el}(hp)$ , and use measured total cross sections<sup>14, 15</sup> at 200 GeV/c. We assume that the elastic to total cross section ratios<sup>16</sup> at 200 GeV/c are the same as those at 175 GeV/c (they exhibit little change between 100 GeV/c and 175 GeV/c), and are the same for pn as for pp. Charge symmetry allows the use of  $\pi^+p$  total and elastic cross sections

for  $\pi^-n$  cross sections. We evaluate the quantity  $\Delta$  using exponential fits to the  $d\sigma/dt$  data<sup>17, 18</sup> and using a sum of Gaussians<sup>19</sup> for  $S(t)$ .

Finally, the values we insert into Eq. (9), and the resulting values of  $G_a$ , are given in Table I. The major contribution to the error in  $G_a$  comes from the error in  $\sigma_a(\text{hd}, N \geq 3)$ , for both  $\pi^-d$  and  $pd$ . Also given in Table I are the values of  $\sigma_a(\text{hd}, N=1, 2)$  and of  $P_{a,2}(\text{hd}) = \sigma_a(\text{hd}, N=1, 2) / \sigma_a(\text{hd})$  obtained from Eq. (6). To indicate the sensitivity of  $G_a$  to  $\sigma_a(\text{hd}, N=1, 2)$ , we note that a 10% change in the latter would alter  $G_a$  by 0.006, for both  $\pi^-d$  and  $pd$ .

### III. Discussion

In Table II our experimental values of  $G_a$  are compared to values predicted by Eq. (3) and to values of  $G_T$  (as discussed above, the experimental  $G_a$  values do have a theoretical component, via Eq. (6)). The  $G_T$  values are obtained from measured  $\pi^\pm p$ ,  $\pi^\pm d$ ,  $pn$ ,  $pp$ , and  $pd$  total cross sections,<sup>14, 15</sup> The predictions for  $G_a$  use the values of  $\langle r^{-2} \rangle$ , given in Table II, which follow from the  $G_T$  values and Eq. (4). Since the derivation of Eq. (4) involves some assumptions (as mentioned above) and also neglects an inelastic screening term which may be non-negligible,<sup>15</sup> our  $\langle r^{-2} \rangle$  values should be considered "effective" values. The errors in the predicted  $G_a$  values are almost wholly due to the errors in  $\langle r^{-2} \rangle$ .

Table II shows that the experimental  $G_a$  values are larger than the  $G_T$  values, by several standard deviations in the  $\pi^-d$

case. Also, the experimental and predicted values of  $G_a$  agree in the pd case, and differ by 1.5 standard deviations in the  $\pi^-d$  case, where the errors are smaller.

We conclude that Eq. (3) provides a better estimate of  $G_a$  than does the assumption that  $G_a = G_T$ . The question of the accuracy of Eq. (3), or of what value of  $\langle r^{-2} \rangle$  to use in Eq. (3), must await more accurate measurements of  $\sigma_a(\text{hd})$ . However, the use of Eq. (3), with  $\langle r^{-2} \rangle$  taken from Eq. (4), does not lead any strong disagreement with the present data.

REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup>R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. 100, 242 (1955).
- <sup>2</sup>V. Franco and R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. 142, 1195 (1966).
- <sup>3</sup>C. Wilkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17, 561 (1966).
- <sup>4</sup>A. Fridman, Fortschr. Phys. 23, 243 (1975).
- <sup>5</sup>J. E. A. Lys et al., Phys. Rev. D 15, 1857 (1977).
- <sup>6</sup>W. Busza, in High Energy Physics and Nuclear Structure - 1975.  
proceedings of the Sixth International Conference, Santa Fe  
and Los Alamos, edited by D. E. Nagle, et al., (American In-  
stitute of Physics, New York, 1975), p. 211.
- <sup>7</sup>T. Dombek et al., Argonne Report No. HEP-PR-76-62, 1976 (to  
be published).
- <sup>8</sup>K. Dziunikowska et al., Phys. Lett. 61B, 316 (1976).
- <sup>9</sup>N. W. Dean, Phys. Rev. D 5, 2832 (1972).
- <sup>10</sup>S. Dado et al., Phys. Lett. 60B, 397 (1976), J. E. A. Lys  
et al., (to be published).
- <sup>11</sup>D. Ljung et al., Fermilab Report No. Pub-76/92, 1976 (to be  
published).
- <sup>12</sup>S. Barish et al., Phys. Rev. D 9, 2689 (1974).
- <sup>13</sup>See footnote 4 of Ref. 8.
- <sup>14</sup>A. S. Carroll et al., Phys. Lett. 61B, 303 (1976).
- <sup>15</sup>P. V. R. Murthy et al., Nucl. Phys. B92, 269 (1975).
- <sup>16</sup>Fermilab Single Arm Spectrometer Group, Fermilab Report No.  
Pub-76/66, 1976 (to be published).
- <sup>17</sup>S. Barish et al., Phys. Rev. D 9, 1171 (1974).
- <sup>18</sup>F. C. Winkelmann et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 121 (1974).
- <sup>19</sup>V. Franco and G. K. Varma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 44 (1974).

TABLE I

Quantities involved in the determination of  $G_a$ . Values of  $\Delta$ ,  $G_a$ , and  $\sigma_a(\text{hd}, N=1,2)$  are calculated from Equations 7, 9, and 6 respectively (see text).

Quantity	205 GeV/c $\pi^-d$	200 GeV/c pd
$\sigma_a(\text{hd}, N \geq 3), \text{mb}$	35.43±0.33 Ref. 8	55.05±0.78 Ref. 7
R	0.14±0.01 Ref. 8	0.174±0.018 Ref. 7
$\sigma_T(\text{hp}) \text{ mb}$	24.33±0.10 Ref. 14	38.97±0.16 Ref. 14
$\sigma_T(\text{hn}) \text{ mb}$	23.84±0.10 Ref. 14	39.67±0.24 Ref. 15
$\sigma_{e1}(\text{hp})/\sigma_T(\text{hp})$	0.140±0.005 Ref. 16	0.182±0.007 Ref. 16
$\sigma_{e1}(\text{hn})/\sigma_T(\text{hn})$	0.143±0.004 Ref. 16	0.182±0.007 <sup>a</sup>
$\sigma_a(\text{hp}, N=2)/\sigma_a(\text{hp})$	0.080±0.004 Ref. 11	0.089±0.008 Ref. 12
$\sigma_a(\text{hn}, N=1)/\sigma_a(\text{hn})$	0.048±0.008 <sup>b</sup>	0.053±0.010 <sup>c</sup>
$\Delta \text{ mb}$	0.26±0.04	0.36 0.07
$G_a$	0.083±0.011	0.080±0.018
$\sigma_a(\text{hd}, N=1,2) \text{mb}$	2.49±0.25	4.13±0.54
$P_{a,2}(\text{hd})^d$	0.066±0.006	0.070±0.008

<sup>a</sup> Assumed equal to pp value

<sup>b</sup> Via Eq. (10)

<sup>c</sup> Via Eq. (11)

<sup>d</sup>  $\sigma_a(\text{hd}, N=1,2)/\sigma_a(\text{hd})$

TABLE II

Comparison of experimental  $G_a$  values with predicted values and with  $G_T$  values

Quantity	205 GeV/c $\pi^-d$	200 GeV/c $pd$
$G_a$ (expt.)	$0.083 \pm 0.011$	$0.080 \pm 0.018$
$G_T$ (expt.)	$0.038 \pm 0.002$	$0.059 \pm 0.006$
$\langle r^{-2} \rangle^a \text{ mb}^{-1}$	$0.040 \pm 0.002$	$0.037 \pm 0.004$
$G_a^b$	$0.066 \pm 0.003$	$0.095 \pm 0.010$

<sup>a</sup>Via Eq. (4)

<sup>b</sup>Via Eq. (3)