

Submitted to Phys. Rev. Letters, October, 1976

E-2BEvidence for Local Compensation of Transverse
Momentum in pp Collisions at 200 and 300 GeV/c

†
D. Weingarten, E. W. Anderson, H. B. Crawley, A. Firestone,
W. J. Kernan, D. L. Parker, B. Y. Oh, M. Pratap, G. Sionakides,
G. A. Smith, J. Whitmore, D. G. Hill**, M. Kazuno, G. McClellan,
H. L. Price, B. Sechi-Zorn, G. A. Snow, F. Svrcek, R. J. Miller,
J. J. Phelan, P. F. Schultz, L. Voyvodic, and R. Walker

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester*,
Rochester, New York 14627; Ames Laboratory - ERDA and Depart-
ment of Physics, Iowa State University*, Ames, Iowa 50011;
Department of Physics, Michigan State University†, East Lansing,
Michigan 48824; Department of Physics, University of Maryland*,
College Park, Maryland 20742; Argonne National Laboratory*,
Argonne, Illinois 60439; and Fermi National Accelerator Labora-
tory*, Batavia, Illinois 60510

ABSTRACT

Evidence is presented for local compensation of transverse momentum in pp collisions at 200 and 300 GeV/c. We compare the data with a model which contains no dynamical transverse momentum correlations. These data are used to determine a lower bound on the slope of the Pomeranchuk trajectory.

* Work supported by the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

† Work supported by the National Science Foundation.

‡ Present address: Department of Physics, Indiana University,
Bloomington, Indiana 47401.

** Present address: Pfizer Medical Systems, Columbia, Maryland 21045.

In general terms, local compensation of some conserved, additive quantum number in high energy particle production⁽¹⁻³⁾ requires that each final-state particle carrying a value q be accompanied by a small group of particles carrying a total value of $-q$ located nearby in rapidity space. Recent data^(4,5) support the hypothesis of local compensation of electric charge. In this letter we describe data from 200 and 300 GeV/c pp collisions which strongly suggest that local compensation of transverse momentum (LCTM) is also a characteristic of strong interaction dynamics. With a plausible assumption concerning the behavior of the undetected neutral particles, these data determine a lower bound^(2,3) on the slope of the Pommeranchuk trajectory.

A formulation of LCTM which can be tested by experiments which detect only charged particles has been presented in Ref. (2): Let $\vec{Z}_>(y)$ [$\vec{Z}_<(y)$] be the total transverse momentum carried by all final-state charged particles with rapidities greater [less] than y in an inelastic collision. Define the correlation function $C(y_1, y_2)$, for $y_2 \geq y_1$ by

$$C(y_1, y_2) = -\langle \vec{Z}_<(y_1) \cdot \vec{Z}_>(y_2) \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ represents an average over all inelastic events. LCTM requires that as the total center of mass energy, \sqrt{s} , increases without limit:

(a) $C(y_1, y_2)$ approaches an energy independent limit; and (b) $C(y_1, y_2)$ falls rapidly to zero as $y_2 - y_1$ becomes greater than some energy independent correlation length, λ .

A model which contains no dynamical correlations among transverse momenta and which may be compared with the data can be constructed as

follows: Consider events in which all neutral and charged particles are detected. In each event assign to each particle the longitudinal rapidity at which it is actually observed, but reassign random transverse momenta subject to the requirements (i) that the probability distribution of transverse momentum for each individual particle be proportional to the observed inclusive single-particle transverse momentum distribution (averaged over rapidity), and (ii) that the set of transverse momenta $\vec{p}_{\perp 1}, \dots, \vec{p}_{\perp N}$, for each N-particle event conserve momentum. Then, by momentum conservation, for any $i \neq k$, the averages $\langle |\vec{p}_{\perp i}|^2 \rangle_N$ and $\langle \vec{p}_{\perp i} \cdot \vec{p}_{\perp k} \rangle_N$ taken over all N-particle events obey the relation:

$$\langle |\vec{p}_{\perp i}|^2 \rangle_N = - \sum_{j \neq i} \langle \vec{p}_{\perp i} \cdot \vec{p}_{\perp j} \rangle_N = -(N-1) \langle \vec{p}_{\perp i} \cdot \vec{p}_{\perp k} \rangle_N \quad (2)$$

Our rule for assigning transverse momenta implies that $\langle |\vec{p}_{\perp i}|^2 \rangle_N = \langle |\vec{p}_{\perp}|^2 \rangle$, where $\langle |\vec{p}_{\perp}|^2 \rangle$ is the overall observed average of transverse momentum squared. If $N_c^>(y)$ [$N_c^<(y)$] is the total number of charged particles with rapidities greater [less] than y in an event, then for this model,

$$C(y_1, y_2) = \left\langle \frac{N_c^<(y_1) N_c^>(y_2)}{N-1} \right\rangle \langle |\vec{p}_{\perp}|^2 \rangle. \quad (3)$$

Let $\langle N_o \rangle_{N_c}$ be the average number of final-state neutral particles for a fixed number of charged particles N_c . Introducing the approximation,

$$C(y_1, y_2) = \left\langle \frac{N_c^<(y_1) N_c^>(y_2)}{N_c + \langle N_o \rangle_{N_c} - 1} \right\rangle \langle |\vec{p}_{\perp}|^2 \rangle, \quad (4)$$

we obtain a prediction which can be evaluated⁽⁶⁾ using charged particle data combined with published results for $\langle N_o \rangle_{N_c}$ ⁽⁷⁾. Models suggest that Eqn. (4) is about 3% smaller than Eqn. (3). This model duplicates the actual data except for possible dynamical correlations among transverse

momenta, which have been replaced by a random distribution.

The data used for this analysis come from a study of 6329 (4060) pp interactions with four or more charged particles at 200 (300) GeV/c in the 30-inch hydrogen bubble chamber and wide-gap spark chamber hybrid facility at Fermilab. Bubble chamber tracks and tracks in the downstream spark chambers were fully reconstructed in space and track matching was then attempted. For successfully matched tracks a hybrid track with momentum resolution greatly improved over the bubble chamber measurement alone is produced.⁽⁸⁾ Particles which do not enter the downstream spectrometer are relatively slow in the laboratory and may therefore be measured reliably in the bubble chamber. Fast forward particles without a successful match with the spark chamber data generally scatter in the exit window of the bubble chamber.

To reduce biases caused by particles with poorly determined momenta, we have discarded all events with one or more charged particles with transverse momentum greater than 4 GeV/c. We have performed calculations without this cut, and have also placed this cut at 1.5 GeV/c, and find no significant change in any results. In addition we have restricted the sample to (1) only those events with spark chamber hookup tracks (37% at 200 GeV/c and 31% at 300 GeV/c) and to (2) only those events in the upstream half of the fiducial volume, and have again found no significant change in any results. Furthermore, a Peyrou plot of the data shows reasonable symmetry ($F-B/F+B = 0.013 \pm 0.014$) about $y_{cm} = 0$, indicating the absence of any significant bias. Our analysis also has a built-in mechanism for detecting bias, as described below. We are thus left with a sample of 5998 (3847) events at 200 (300) GeV/c for the subsequent analysis. These

events have been weighted to restore the measured inelastic multiplicity distributions.

Data for $C(y_1, y_2)$ as a function of $\delta y = (y_1 - y_2)$, with $y_0 = \frac{y_1 + y_2}{2} = 0$, are shown in Figure 1 for pp scattering at 200 and 300 GeV/c.⁽⁹⁾ The errors shown in Fig. 1 include approximately equal contributions from statistical and systematic effects. The latter arise from errors in measuring p_{\perp} and y for some forward hemisphere tracks and were determined by examining forward-backward asymmetries in the correlation functions. The curves in Fig. (1) are the predictions of the random model described above. At 200 (300) GeV/c the model is more than 40% (60%) above the data. These differences are explicitly demonstrated through the values of $C(0,0) = 0.202 \pm 0.009$ (0.208 ± 0.008) at 200 (300) GeV/c for the data and $C(0,0) = 0.289 \pm 0.010$ (0.331 ± 0.015) for the model. This is a deviation from randomness in the direction required by LCTM. At high energy, the average multiplicity rises approximately as $\ln(s)$, and thus the quantity given by Eqn. (4) will also rise asymptotically as $\ln(s)$. Condition (a), however, requires $C(y_1, y_2)$ to approach a constant as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $C(y_1, y_2)$ for the random model will asymptotically exceed $C(y_1, y_2)$ for the data which obeys LCTM, and this difference will grow with energy. This is qualitatively just what is observed⁽¹⁰⁾. The data and random model have also been calculated for $y_0 = \pm 1$ and ± 2 , and graphs similar to those in Fig. 1 have been produced. In all cases $C(y_1, y_2)$ for the model is significantly higher than the data and this difference grows with increasing energy.

If the data at $y_0 = 0$ are fitted to a function of the form $C(-y/2, +y/2) = A \exp(-y/\lambda)$, we obtain the value $\lambda = 1.60 \pm 0.05$ (1.63 ± 0.07) at 200 (300) GeV/c. Condition (b), in effect, requires λ to be bounded

with energy. Within errors, λ for the data is energy independent. However, the prediction of the random model, $\lambda = 1.81 \pm 0.05$ (1.97 ± 0.06), is larger than the data and this difference increases with increasing energy. This deviation from randomness and its energy dependence are in the direction required by LCTM. It is worth noting that the correlation length which we obtain here is somewhat larger than the corresponding parameter, $\lambda_c \sim 1.2$, obtained from charge transfer correlation functions.⁽⁵⁾

We believe that these data are significant evidence in favor of LCTM. This being the case, these data yield a lower bound^(2,3) on the slope of the Pomeron:

$$\alpha'_{\mathbb{P}} \geq [8 \int_0^\infty C'_\infty(-y/2, +y/2) dy]^{-1} \quad (5)$$

The function $C'_\infty(y_1, y_2)$ is the limit as $s \rightarrow \infty$ of the correlation function which would have been given by equation (1) if $\vec{Z}_>(y)$ and $\vec{Z}(y)$ had been obtained from the transverse momenta of all the particles rather than only from the transverse momenta of the charged particles. Suppose, however, that $\vec{Z}_>^q(y)$ and $\vec{Z}_<^q(y)$ are the total transverse momenta carried by particles with charge q and rapidities, respectively, greater than y and less than y . Then it seems reasonable that at high energy the integrated average

$$\int_0^\infty \langle \vec{Z}_<^{q_1}(-y/2) \cdot \vec{Z}_>^{q_2}(+y/2) \rangle dy \quad (6)$$

will be approximately independent of q_1 and q_2 . This assumption combined with (5) yields

$$\alpha'_{\mathbb{P}} \geq [8 \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty C_\infty(-y/2, +y/2) dy]^{-1} \quad (7)$$

where $C_\infty(y_1, y_2)$ is the limit as $s \rightarrow \infty$ of $C(y_1, y_2)$.⁽¹¹⁾ Since $C(y_1, y_2)$ for the data does not change significantly from 200 to 300 GeV/c, we may assume that the data have reached approximate stability, and thus the 300 GeV/c data reasonably approximate $C_\infty(y_1, y_2)$. We therefore assume that the correct asymptotic form of Eqn. (7) would yield a bound on $\alpha'_{\mathbb{P}}(0)$ about as strong as the bound given by the data at 300 GeV/c. With these assumptions, we obtain the bound⁽¹²⁾ $\alpha'_{\mathbb{P}}(0) > 0.16 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$, compared with a typical phenomenologically determined value⁽¹³⁾ of $\alpha'_{\mathbb{P}}(0) \sim 0.28 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$.

Equation (5) is derived^(2,3) by using unitarity to relate elastic scattering to multiparticle production, and then showing that if multiparticle production obeys LCTM, a minimum rate of shrinkage is necessarily generated in elastic diffraction peaks. We find that the minimum thus obtained accounts for about 60% of the observed rate of shrinkage. Thus our data suggest that the underlying dynamical mechanism responsible for the shrinkage of the elastic diffraction peak is largely local compensation of transverse momentum.

We thank the staff of the Fermilab accelerator and 30-inch bubble chamber for their help with the run. We also thank T. Ferbel for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- (1) A. Krzywicki and D. Weingarten, Phys. Lett. 50B, 265 (1974);
 P. Grassberger et al., Phys. Lett. 52B, 60 (1974); A. Krzywicki,
Proceedings of the X Rencontre de Moriond, Ed. by J. Tran Thahn
 Van (Universite de Paris-Sud, Orsay, 1975), Vol. I, p. 309; and
 P. Grassberger and H. I. Miettinen, Nucl. Phys. B92, 309 (1975).
- (2) D. Weingarten, Phys. Rev. D11, 1924 (1975); Phys. Rev. D13, 1474,
 1494 (1976).
- (3) A. Krzywicki, Nucl. Phys. B86, 296 (1975).
- (4) J. Derré et al., French-Soviet Collaboration, preprint M-12 (1974);
 D. Fong et al., Phys. Lett. 61B, 99 (1976); and J. W. Lamsa et al.,
 Duke University preprint (1975).
- (5) C. Bromberg et al., Phys. Rev. D12, 1224 (1975).
- (6) The numbers we use for $\langle |\vec{p}_\perp|^2 \rangle$ (0.202 ± 0.007 (GeV/c)² at 200 GeV/c
 and 0.226 ± 0.010 (GeV/c)² at 300 GeV/c) are the experimental
 values for backward hemisphere charged particles only and repre-
 sent our best estimates of the true values of $\langle |\vec{p}_\perp|^2 \rangle$.
- (7) K. Jaeger et al., Phys. Rev. D11, 12405 (1975); A. Sheng et al.
 Phys. Rev. D11, 1733 (1975); and F. T. Dao et al., Phys. Rev. D10,
 3588 (1974). $\langle N_o \rangle_{N_c}$ was then obtained by summing data for $\langle \pi^0 \rangle$,
 $\langle K^0 \rangle$, $\langle \Lambda^0 \rangle$, and $\langle n \rangle$.
- (8) G. A. Smith, "The NAL 30-inch Bubble Chamber-Wide Gap Spark Cham-
 ber Hybrid System," in AIP Conference Proceedings No. 14, Particles
 and Fields - 1973 (APS/DPF Berkeley), Ed. by H. H. Bingham,
 M. Davier, and G. R. Lynch, p. 500.

- (9) $C(-y/2, y/2)$ for π^-p scattering at 147 GeV/c is given in Fong et al., Ref. (4).
- (10) Alternatively, it follows from Ref. (2) that $C(y,y)$ is proportional to the mean squared transverse momentum transfer across the value y . Thus our data show that transverse momentum transfer actually occurs less easily than a random model would predict. This is a trend in the direction required by LCTM.
- (11) Strictly speaking, $C'_\infty(y_1, y_2)$ in Eqn. (5) and $C_\infty(y_1, y_2)$ in Eqn. (7) should be obtained from averages restricted to nondiffractive final states. Following Ref. (2), however, we expect the integrals of correlation functions from all final states to differ from those from nondiffractive states by at most a few percent.
- (12) We do not quote errors on the lower bound for $\alpha'_{pp}(0)$, because such errors are primarily theoretical, and arise from the assumption concerning neutrals, which was used to replace Eqn. (5) with Eqn. (7). We expect this uncertainty to be at most 10%.
- (13) S. E. Egli et al., Phys. Rev. D9, 1365 (1974); V. Bartenev et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 1088 (1973).

FIGURE CAPTION

- (1) Plot of $C\left(\frac{-\delta y}{2}, \frac{+\delta y}{2}\right)$ as a function of δy at (a) 200 and (b) 300 GeV/c. The smooth curves indicate the values of C for the random uncorrelated model.

