Paper #2
FERMILAB-PUB-75-142-E
2
#358

Dimuon Production By Neutrons

B. KNAPP, W. LEE, P. LEUNG, S.D. SMITH, A. WIJANGCO

Columbia University, New York, N.Y. 10027 *

J. KNAUER, D. YOUNT

University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii †

D. NEASE

Cornell University, Ithaca, New York *

J. BRONSTEIN, R. COLEMAN, L. CORMELL, G. GLADDING,

M. GORMLEY, R. MESSNER, T. O'HALLORAN,

J. SARRACINO, A. WATTENBERG, D. WHEELER,

University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois †

M. BINKLEY, R. ORR, J. PEOPLES‡, L. READ

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois †

---

* Research supported by the National Science Foundation.

† Research supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

‡ Sloan Foundation Fellow.

ABSTRACT

A very narrow resonance with a mass of 3.1 GeV/c^2 is observed in the reaction $n + Be \rightarrow \mu^+ + \mu^- + X$. The total cross section for this process, as well as its $P_\perp^2$ and x distribution, are given.

This letter describes measurements of dimuon production in the reaction

$$n + Be \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- + \text{anything} . \qquad (1)$$

The experiment was performed at the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory with neutrons of approximately 250 GeV energy. We report the observation of an enhancement in the dimuon mass distribution at 3.1 GeV/c^2.

In the production of massive muon pairs in nucleon-nucleon collisions in the experiment of J. Christenson et al[1] at the BNL AGS, the differential cross section in the dimuon effective mass appeared to exhibit a "shoulder" above 3 GeV/c^2. Recently a strikingly narrow mass peak was reported at 3.1 GeV/c^2 by J.J. Aubert et al[2] in the reaction $p + Be \rightarrow e^+e^-$ + anything.

In the preceding letter[3] we have reported the photoproduction of this narrow resonance at 3.1 GeV/c^2. The neutron experiment used the same detectors but differed from the photon experiment in two respects. First, the 34 meters of liquid deuterium was emptied and 3.8 cm of Pb was placed upstream in the neutral beam line, thereby selectively attenuating the photons. The neutral beam was then predominantly neutrons. Secondly, the multiwire proportional chamber, P1, was moved 75 cm downstream in order to insert an absorber, 61 cm of Be followed by 183 cm of Fe, into the beam. It attenuates reaction products other than muons and reduces the decay path for pions produced in the target to 150 cm. This improves the experimental

sensitivity to reaction (1).

The first 60 cm of neutron absorber is subdivided into 12 layers of 6 cm thick plastic scintillator, interspersed with 4.4 cm thick sheets of steel, which are viewed by a single phototube. This calorimeter was calibrated in a 50 GeV pion beam and found to have a resolution of $\pm$ 25%. It is used at low intensities to determine the incident neutron energy spectrum.

Figure 1 shows the energy spectrum unfolded from the pulse height distribution of the calorimeter. The spectrum of photons surviving the 3.8 cm Pb is also shown for the corresponding number of proton interactions in the primary target.

Because of the additional absorber, analysis of these experimental data differs from that described in the preceding letter. Only 4 proportional chambers downstream of the absorbers are used to measure the direction and momentum of charged particles emerging from the absorber. Since muons typically lose more than 3 GeV and multiple scatter by about 170 MeV/c rms transverse momentum in the absorber, the dimuon mass resolution is worse. The neutron analysis is checked by restoring the photon beam and observing a comparable number of photoproduced dimuons with the absorber still in place. Thus, by comparing to the photoproduced dimuons described in the preceding letter, we directly measure the effect of the absorber on our resolution in the kinematical variables.

To calculate the dimuon mass $M_{\mu\mu}$, we use the high energy, small angle formula.

$$M_{\mu\mu}^{2} = m^{2}(2+\frac{E_1}{E_2}+\frac{E_2}{E_1}) + E_1E_2\theta_{12}^{2} \qquad (2)$$

where m is the muon mass, E_1 and E_2 are the muon energies corrected for loss in the absorber, and θ_{12} is the opening angle between the two muons. If the production point is known, the measurement of θ_{12} least affected by multiple scattering is obtained by dividing the apparent separation of the two muons when they are extrapolated back to the plane 50 cm downstream from the middle of the Fe absorber by the distance from the production point to that plane. Mistakenly assuming the dimuon originating in the absorber had been produced in the target would seriously underestimate the dimuon mass.

The invariant mass distribution calculated for oppositely charged dimuons of total energy greater than 70 GeV is shown in Fig. 2. We see clear peaks at 0.76 GeV/c^2 and 3.1 GeV/c^2 with widths consistent with the expected experimental resolution. There are 43 events in the 3.1 GeV/c^2 region. To be included in the mass plot, the reconstructed vectors must pass within 2.5 cm of one another when extrapolated to their point of closest approach and their mean transverse separation from the beam axis weighted by energy must be less than 7.5 cm at that point.

For dimuons of mass above 1 GeV our resolution in the distance of closest approach is sufficient to separate

pairs produced in the dump from those produced in the target. The large enhancement seen at the ρ mass in Fig. 2 clearly indicates ρ production in the target, but any ρ produced by interactions in the absorber would be lost in the continuous distribution at lower masses. Similarly, low mass dimuons produced in the target are indistinguishable from those produced in the dump by photons from π^o decay.

Figs. 3a and 3b show the $P_\perp^2$ distribution of the dimuons in the two mass regions: $0.6 < M_{\mu\mu} < 0.82$ GeV/c^2 and the high mass region $2.4 < M_{\mu\mu}$. Note that the 3.1 GeV/c^2 object is produced with a considerably larger average value of $P_\perp^2$ than the ρ meson. We show the total momentum distribution of the dimuon in Fig. 3c.

We have compared our data with Monte Carlo simulations of events generated according to

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dP_\perp^2} \propto e^{-\alpha x} e^{-\beta P_\perp^2} \qquad (3)$$

where x is the ratio of the dimuon momentum to that of the incoming neutron. The simulated events were then multiply scattered through the absorber and accepted by the apparatus. The resulting distributions for $P_\perp^2$ and $P_\parallel$ are shown as the solid curves in Figs. 3a, b, c, for different values of β as indicated and for $\alpha = 10$.

The total cross section is calculated as follows: The total number of interactions was recorded. Each interaction was assumed to be 40 mb per nucleon. After correcting

for electronic deadtime and geometric acceptance, we obtain:

$$\sigma(n+Be \rightarrow 3.1+X) = 3.6 \times 10^{-33}\ cm^2/\text{nucleon for } |x| > 0.24$$
$$\hookrightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$$
$$= 1.7 \times 10^{-33}\ cm^2/\text{nucleon for } |x| > 0.32 \quad .$$

In calculating geometric acceptance, we used $\alpha = 10$ and $\beta = 2$. We also calculated the acceptance for $\alpha = 7.5$, $\alpha = 12.5$, and $\beta = 1$, $\beta = 4$. Based on these calculations, we believe that we measured the total cross section to within a factor of 2.

Since the geometric acceptance of the experiment by J.J. Aubert et al is very different from ours, a direct comparison of the total cross section is difficult. However, it is clear that the cross section measured in our experiment is substantially larger than that observed at BNL.

Our ratio of signal to continuum at $M = 3.1\ GeV/c^2$ is $\gtrsim 2$. If our mass resolution were 2 MeV instead of 200 MeV, this ratio would become 200, which is very much larger than the value 6 measured at SPEAR.[4] Therefore the production of $3.1\ GeV/c^2$ resonance in Be is not an electromagnetic process.

We are pleased to acknowledge the support of Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory. We are particularly indebted to the staff of the Proton Laboratory, Cryogenic Group, and Technical Services, for their help in mounting these experiments. We wish to thank R. Currier, E. Lavallie,

A. Guthke, W. Strickland, E. Tilles, A. Visser for their engineering assistance. Further, we wish to thank R. Bailey, R. Bennett, R. Fast, M. Otavka, and G. Smith for construction and operation of the neutron absorber. We thank the staff of Nevis Laboratories for their support, in particular, we wish to thank Y. Au and W. Sippach. We thank the staff of the University of Illinois for their support. In particular, we wish to thank Professors B.W. Lee and T.D. Lee for many stimulating discussions.

References

[1] J. Christenson et al, Phys. Rev. 25, 1523 (1970).

[2] J.J. Aubert et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1404 (1974).

[3] Preceding Letter.

[4] J.E. Augustin et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1406 (1974).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Neutron energy spectrum (raw distribution of neutron calorimeter pulse height) with the spectrum of surviving photons superimposed.

Fig. 2 Invariant mass distribution of pairs of muons with opposite charge and total energy greater than 70 GeV.

Fig. 3 Distributions for events in Fig. 2: (a) $P_\perp^2$ for $0.7 < M_{\mu\mu} < 0.85$ GeV/c^2; (b) $P_\perp^2$ and (c) $P_{||}$ for $2.6 < M_{\mu\mu} < 3.4$ GeV/c^2.


0 MR NEUTRON ENERGY SPECTRUM
FROM 300 GeV PROTONS ON Be.
NEUTRONS
SURVIVING PHOTONS
X 100
YIELD
(ARBITRARY
UNITS)
800
700
600
500
400
300
200
100
0
50
100
150
200
250
300
350
ENERGY (GeV)


n+Be → μ⁺+μ⁻+x
4000
3000
2000
1000
dN/dM (Events/0.05 GeV)
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
1.2
1.4
1.6
MASS(GeV)
30
25
20
15
10
5
dN/dM (Events/0.2 GeV)
1.4
2.0
3.0
4.0
MASS (GeV)


100
20
10
n+Be → μ⁺μ⁻ x
2.6 ≤ M μ⁺μ⁻ ≤ 3.4
e^{-2P⊥²}
e^{-6P⊥²}
0.0
1.0
2.0
3.0
4.0
5.0
P⊥² (GeV²)


10^4
10^3
10^2
10
$n + Be \rightarrow \rho^0 + x$
$\mu^+\mu^-$
$e^{-6P_\perp^2}$
$e^{-2P_\perp^2}$
$\frac{dN}{dP_\perp^2}$
$\left(\frac{\text{Events}}{0.2\,\text{GeV}^2}\right)$
1.0
2.0
3.0
$P_\perp^2$



n + Be → μ⁺μ⁻ x
2.6 ≤ M μ⁺μ⁻ 3.4
e^{-0.04 P∥}
dN/dP∥
(Events / 20 GeV/c)
0
5
10
15
20
0
50
100
150
200
P∥ (GeV/c)