



Higher Order ϵ -Terms in the Renormalization Group
Approach to Reggeon Field Theory[†]

J. B. BRONZAN*

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

and

JAN W. DASH[†]

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

ABSTRACT

We calculate the $O(\epsilon^2)$ terms in a Wilson ϵ -expansion of the scaling exponents in Reggeon field theory. We find that these corrections are comparable to the $O(\epsilon)$ terms.

* Visitor from Department of Physics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903.

[†] Address after 1 October 1974: Department of Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403.



The technique of using the renormalization group¹ and the Wilson ϵ -expansion² to derive scaling properties of proper vertices in Reggeon field theory³ was introduced by Migdal, Polyakov and Ter-Martirosyan,⁴ and by Abarbanel and Bronzan.⁵ In their work the behavior of the proper vertices in the infrared limit $j \approx 1$ and $t \approx 0$ was examined, and a number of conclusions were reached. The most important of these was a prediction that in a theory with a linear unrenormalized Pomeron trajectory and a triple-Pomeron coupling, the asymptotic behavior of the elastic amplitude is

$$T(s, t) = S(\ln s)^{-\gamma} F[t(\ln s)^z] \quad (1)$$

with $\gamma < 0$. This behavior arises from the coincidence at $j = 1$ and $t = 0$ of an infinite number of branch points, and the exponents γ and z are determinable in an ϵ -expansion. Here $\epsilon = 4 - D$ is the difference between the number D of transverse momentum components and the natural scaling dimension of the theory; we want answers for $\epsilon = 2$. Although ϵ is a large, it was shown^{4, 5} that to $0(\epsilon)$, $-\gamma = \epsilon/12 = 1/6$, $z = 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{24} = \frac{13}{12}$ and so it seemed that a natural expansion parameter was really $\epsilon/12$. Hence it was plausible that the ϵ -expansion might be rapidly convergent.

We have determined that⁶

$$-\gamma = \frac{\epsilon}{12} + \left[\frac{257}{12} \ln \frac{4}{3} + \frac{37}{24} \right] \left(\frac{\epsilon}{12} \right)^2 + 0(\epsilon^3) \quad (2)$$

$$z = 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{24} + \left[\frac{155}{24} \ln \frac{4}{3} + \frac{79}{48} \right] \left(\frac{\epsilon}{12} \right)^2 + O(\epsilon^3) .$$

Since the coefficients of the $(\epsilon/12)^2$ terms are 7.7 and 3.1, respectively, the $O(\epsilon^2)$ terms are larger than the $O(\epsilon)$ terms at $\epsilon = 2$. It would therefore seem that the ϵ -expansion is a questionable means of calculating γ and z at $\epsilon = 2$. Our results agree with those obtained independently by M. Baker.⁷

The Lagrangian we use for the Reggeon field theory is the same one used in Ref. 5:

$$= \frac{i}{2} \psi^\dagger \frac{\overleftrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial t} \psi - \alpha'_0 \vec{\nabla} \psi^\dagger \cdot \vec{\nabla} \psi - \frac{ir_0}{2} [(\psi^\dagger)^2 \psi + \psi^\dagger (\psi)^2] \quad (3)$$

In the ϵ expansion no mass or "gap" term is required. Proper renormalized vertex functions $\Gamma_R^{(n,m)}$ are expressed in terms of renormalized parameters r and α' , which are chosen by the normalization conditions

$$\left. \frac{\partial i\Gamma_R^{(1,1)}}{\partial \vec{k}^2} \right|_{P_A} = -\alpha'(E_N), \quad \left. \Gamma_R^{(1,2)} \right|_{P_B} = \frac{r(E_N)}{(2\pi)^{(D+1)/2}}, \quad (4)$$

$$P_A: E = -E_N, \vec{k} = 0; \quad P_B: E_1 = -E_N, E_2 = E_3 = -\frac{1}{2}E_N, \vec{k}_1 = 0$$

Here $E = 1 - j$ and $t = -\vec{k}^2$ connect these variables to the usual variables of a t -channel partial wave amplitude. A renormalization constant Z is introduced so that

$$\left. \frac{\partial i\Gamma_R^{(1,1)}}{\partial E} \right|_{P_A} = 1. \quad (5)$$

Finally, we choose to replace r by the dimensionless coupling

$$g = \frac{r E_N^{-\epsilon/4}}{(\alpha')^{1-\epsilon/4}} \quad . \quad (6)$$

With these conventions, the proper vertices satisfy the renormalization group equation

$$\left[E_N \frac{\partial}{\partial E_N} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha'} - \frac{\gamma}{2} (n+m) \right] \Gamma_R^{(n,m)}(E_i, \vec{k}_i, r, \alpha', E_N) = 0, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\beta = E_N \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial E_N} \right|_{P_c}, \quad \frac{\zeta}{\alpha'} = E_N \left. \frac{\partial \ln \alpha'}{\partial E_N} \right|_{P_c} \quad (8)$$

$$\gamma = E_N \left. \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial E_N} \right|_{P_c}, \quad P_c: r_0, \alpha_0' \text{ fixed}$$

The solutions of the renormalization group equation lead to the scaling laws discussed in Refs. 4 and 5, and to Eq. (1). The exponents γ and z are determined by

$$\beta(g_1) = 0 \quad (\text{with } \beta'(g_1) > 0) \quad (9)$$

$$\gamma = \gamma(g_1), \quad z = 1 - \frac{\zeta}{\alpha'}(g_1).$$

We have calculated β , γ , and ζ/α' to the accuracy required to obtain Eq. (2),

$$\beta(g) = -\frac{\epsilon}{4}g + \left[\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} (-3\gamma_{em} + 3\ell n\pi + \frac{15}{4} + 5\ell n 2) \right] \left(\frac{g}{8\pi} \right)^2$$

$$- \left[\frac{157}{32} + \frac{149}{16} \ln \frac{4}{3} \right] \left(\frac{g}{8\pi} \right)^5, \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(g) &= \left[-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} (\gamma_{\text{em}} - \ln \pi - 3 \ln 2) \right] \left(\frac{g}{8\pi} \right)^2 + \left[-\frac{5}{2} \ln 2 + \frac{9}{4} \ln 3 - \frac{5}{8} \right] \left(\frac{g}{8\pi} \right)^4 \\ \frac{\zeta}{\alpha'}(g) &= \left[-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{8} (\gamma_{\text{em}} - \ln \pi - 3 \ln 2) \right] \left(\frac{g}{8\pi} \right)^2 + \left[\frac{7}{8} \ln 2 + \frac{1}{16} \ln 3 - \frac{17}{32} \right] \left(\frac{g}{8\pi} \right)^4 \end{aligned}$$

γ_{em} is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

Solving the first equation, to order ϵ^2

$$\left(\frac{g_1}{8\pi} \right)^2 = \frac{\epsilon}{6} + \left[\gamma_{\text{em}} - \ln \pi + \frac{356 \ln 2 - 298 \ln 3 - 23}{144} \right] \frac{\epsilon^2}{12} + O(\epsilon^3), \quad (11)$$

and we obtain Eq. (2) by substitution.

The careful reader will note that, at $\epsilon = 2$, $\left(\frac{g_1}{8\pi} \right)^2 \approx -0.09$.

This does not mean that the Gell-Mann-Low zero of β has disappeared.

To see this, suppose we define a new coupling constant

$$G = (1 + A\epsilon)g. \quad (12)$$

This substitution does not change the scaling exponents, as given by Eq. (2), but by adjusting A we can change the coefficients in $\tilde{\beta}(G)$ so that $(G_1/8\pi)^2$ is positive. We learn by this example that the value of $(g_1/8\pi)^2$ carries no information about the existence of a Gell-Mann-

Low zero when the coefficients of β are evaluated in the ϵ -expansion

and ϵ is finite. Similarly, setting $\epsilon = 2$ and counting real zeroes

yields no information about the existence of a Gell-Mann-Low zero

because the number of real zeroes can change with A . All we know for

certain is that for sufficiently small ϵ , β has a real zero which approaches the origin.

The choice $A = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{em} - \ln 8\pi)$ illustrates these points. Now $(G_1/8\pi)^2$ is positive $\tilde{\beta}(G)$ has only one real zero at $\epsilon = 2$, as compared with the five real zeroes of $\beta(g)$.

The proper vertices $\Gamma^{(2,2)}$ and $\Gamma^{(1,3)}$, with four external Pomerons, are $O(\epsilon^2)$ at the Gell-Mann-Low eigenvalue. (Vertices with more legs are smaller.) One might suppose that four Pomeron terms should be added to Eq. (3) to ensure that the $O(\epsilon^2)$ terms of Eq. (2) are correct. However, Wilson² has pointed out that the two-charge theory will have the same scaling exponents as the theory we examine, basically because the induced four-Pomeron vertices simulate the bare coupling when energies and momenta are scaled down.

REFERENCES

- ¹S. Coleman, 1974 International Summer School of Physics, Ettore Majorana.
- ²See, e.g., K. Wilson and J. Kogut, Lectures to be published in Physics Reports.
- ³V. N. Gribov, Soviet Physics J. E. T. P., 26, 414 (1968).
- ⁴A. A. Migdal, A. M. Polyakov, and K. A. Ter-Martirosyan, Phys. Lett. 48B, 239 (1974).
- ⁵H. D. I. Abarbanel and J. B. Bronzan, Phys. Lett. 48B, 345 (1974).
- ⁶J. B. Bronzan and J. W. Dash, to be published.
- ⁷M. Baker, Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow preprints (1974).

Higher Order ϵ -Terms in the Renormalization Group Approach
to Reggeon Field Theory

J. B. BRONZAN

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

and

JAN W. DASH

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

ERRATUM

Eq. (10) should read:

$$\beta(g) = -\frac{\epsilon}{4}g + \left[\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{4}(-3\gamma_{\text{em}} + 3\ln \pi + \frac{15}{4} + 5\ln 2) \right] \frac{g^3}{(8\pi)^2}$$
$$- \left[\frac{157}{32} + \frac{149}{16} \ln \frac{4}{3} \right] \frac{g^5}{(8\pi)^4}$$