

The Pomeranchuk Singularity in a Reggeon
Field Theory with Quartic Couplings*

by

HENRY D. I. ABARBANEL[†]

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, 91109

and

J. B. BRONZAN^{††}

National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, 60510

ABSTRACT

We employ the methods of the renormalization group to investigate the structure of Pomeron Green's functions near $\ell = 1$, $t = 0$ in a theory where only four Pomeron couplings are present. When certain conditions on the renormalized couplings are met, the $\ell \rightarrow 1$, $t \rightarrow 0$ behavior of the theory is governed by free effective couplings (the theory is "infrared free"). In this situation the renormalized Pomeron trajectory is of the form $\alpha(t) = 1 + At + Bt/(\log Ct)^5$ for $t > 0$, where A, B and C are constants; the total cross section behaves as $\sigma_T(s) \sim \gamma_1 + \gamma_2/(\log \log s)^5$, with γ_1 and γ_2 positive.

[†] Visitor from the National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, 60510.

^{††} Visitor from Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey, 08903.

*Work supported in part by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Prepared under Contract AT(11-1)-68 for the San Francisco Operations Office, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

1. Introduction

The Reggeon calculus or Reggeon field theories whose study was initiated by Gribov¹⁾ several years ago provides a constructive procedure for investigating the detailed interaction among Regge poles and cuts. It also yields an automatic and natural way to satisfy the discontinuity relations across Reggeon cuts.

In this paper we continue our discussion of the structure of the Pomeron singularity which arises in interacting Reggeon field theories. As in our earlier work²⁾ we employ the renormalization group to provide a non-perturbative tool for the analysis of the renormalized Reggeon Green's functions in the neighborhood of $\ell = 1$ and $t = 0$. Our previous work pointed out the large ambiguity in choosing the appropriate Reggeon field theory within which one ought to cast the Pomeron problem. We encourage the reader to review the detailed motivation for Reggeon field theories as given in Reference 2 and only remember here that one must choose both a non-interacting Reggeon to begin with, and then a precise form of the interaction. In Ref. 2 we studied the physically very interesting case of a linear trajectory $\alpha(t) = 1 + \alpha'_0 t$ whose interactions were given by a triple Pomeron coupling only. Because of the wide range of possibilities in formulating the field theories (a situation hardly special to Reggeons), we feel it is important to study a variety of other theories even when their clear connection to physical processes may be vague.

We shall present here our analysis of the Reggeon theory in which the non-interacting Reggeon has the energy $E = 1 - \ell$, momentum, $t = |\vec{k}|^2$ relation

$$E = \alpha'_0 \vec{k}^2, \quad (1)$$

appropriate to a linear trajectory, and where the interaction is taken to be of the $\lambda\psi^4$ variety. It is easy to see from the outset that such a theory will

never possess a triple Pomeron coupling. That coupling is of direct physical importance, as, for example, in inclusive processes. However, it turns out that there are a variety of amusing aspects to the quartic coupling problem which are not only interesting in themselves but also play a role in the study of the structure of secondary trajectories when Pomeron interactions are accounted for³⁾. The additional feature to note is the presence of more than one coupling constant (arising here because of the absence of crossing symmetry in non-relativistic theories with $E \propto \vec{k}^2$) which makes the infrared behavior of the proper Reggeon vertex functions ($E \rightarrow 0$, $|\vec{k}| \rightarrow 0$) depend in detail on the direction of approach to the limit and on the precise values of the renormalized couplings.

We find that when certain conditions on couplings are met, the infrared nature of the Green's functions is governed by the effective coupling constants evaluated at zero. That is, the field theory is infrared free. Under these conditions very mild modifications of the trajectories and Green's functions are present. For example, the bare linear trajectory

$$\alpha(t) = 1 + \alpha'_0 t \quad (2)$$

is modified for t small and positive to

$$\alpha(t) = 1 + At + Bt/\log(Ct)^5. \quad (3)$$

A constant total cross section which would come from (1) is then reached as

$$\sigma_T(s) \underset{2 \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 / (\log \log s)^5, \quad (4)$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$.

2. Reggeon Field Theory with ψ^4 Couplings

We begin by recalling that the Reggeon calculus is a technique for building partial wave amplitudes out of the propagation and interaction of quasi-particles (Reggeons) in two space and one time dimension. The theory we consider here starts with a linear trajectory

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + \alpha'_0 t . \quad (5)$$

Following Ref. 2 we choose to cast this in the form

$$E(\vec{q}^2) = 1 - \alpha(\vec{q}^2) = (1 - \alpha_0) + \alpha'_0 \vec{q}^2 , \quad (6)$$

where, clearly,

$$t = -\vec{q}^2 . \quad (7)$$

This is the bare energy-momentum relation of our quasi-particle. It is described by the free action

$$A_0 = \int d^D x dt \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \psi^+(\vec{x}, t) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_t \psi(\vec{x}, t) - \alpha'_0 \nabla \psi^+ \cdot \nabla \psi - (1 - \alpha_0) \psi^+ \psi \right\} = \int d^D x dt L_0(\vec{x}, t) \quad (8)$$

where the Reggeon field operator $\psi(\vec{x}, t)$ has been written in D space dimensions conjugate to \vec{q} and one time dimension conjugate to E. Physics takes place at D = 2. The interaction we choose is described by the addition to the free Lagrangian

$$L_I(\vec{x}, t) = - \frac{\lambda_0}{(2!)^2} (\psi^+)^2 (\psi)^2 - \frac{\lambda_0}{3!} [\psi^+ \psi^3 + (\psi^+)^3 \psi] . \quad (9)$$

The first term represents the two-to-two process in Figure 1 while the second term gives the one Pomeron in-three Pomeron out (and vice versa) amplitude in Figure 2. The absence of crossing symmetry means that the couplings λ_{10} and λ_0 for these transitions need not be equal.

As with the ψ^3 theory it is useful to perform some ordinary dimensional analysis on the quantities in $L = L_0 + L_I$. Noting separately dimensions of time as (energy) $^{-1}$ and space as (momentum) $^{-1}$ we write

$$[t] = E^{-1} , \quad (10)$$

$$[x] = k^{-1} . \quad (11)$$

Requiring the action to be dimensionless yields

$$[\psi] = k^{D/2} , \quad (12)$$

$$[\lambda] = [\lambda_1] = E k^{-D} , \quad (13)$$

and, of course,

$$[\alpha'] = E k^{-2} . \quad (14)$$

It is clear that the couplings λ_1 and λ are not dimensionless. We will shortly define some dimensionless coupling constants to replace the λ 's.

Our procedure will be to examine the renormalized proper vertex functions $\Gamma_R^{(n,m)}$ for n incoming and m outgoing Pomerons. These vertex functions are defined as the fourier transforms of the renormalized Green's functions

$$\begin{aligned} G_R^{(n,m)}(\vec{x}_1, t_1, \dots, \vec{x}_{n+m}, t_{n+m}) \\ = Z^{-\frac{(n+m)}{2}} \langle 0 | T(\psi^+(\vec{x}_{n+m}, t_{n+m}) \dots \psi^+(\vec{x}_{n+1}, t_{n+1}) \times \\ \psi(\vec{x}_n, t_n) \dots \psi(\vec{x}_1, t_1) | 0 \rangle , \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with the external legs amputated by multiplication by

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+m} [G_R^{(1,1)}(E_i, \vec{k}_i)^{-1}] . \quad (16)$$

The renormalization constant Z relates the renormalized field operator to ψ by

$$\psi_R = Z^{-1/2} \psi . \quad (17)$$

The unrenormalized theory is defined in terms of λ_{10} , λ_0 , α'_0 , α_0 , and a possible cutoff we'll call Λ . We choose $\alpha_0 = 1$ which means we are dealing with "massless" quasi-particles; there is no energy gap at $\vec{k}^2 = 0$. We will parameterize the renormalized theory by a set of numbers λ_1 , λ , α' and α . These numbers are determined by normalization conditions on a selected set of vertex functions. We choose $\alpha = 1$, which means that in the renormalized theory the singularities of the propagator, $G_R^{(1,1)}$, will occur at $E = 0$, $\vec{k}^2 = 0$. This is guaranteed by requiring

$$\Gamma_R^{(1,1)}(E, \vec{k}^2, \alpha', \lambda, \lambda_1, E_N, k_N^2) \Big|_{\substack{E=0 \\ \vec{k}^2=0}} = 0 . \quad (18)$$

Since there is no "mass" scale in the renormalized theory because $\alpha = 1$, we have to provide one by choosing a normalization point somewhere in the E, \vec{k}^2 plane. We will choose the point $E = -E_N < 0$ and $\vec{k}^2 = k_N^2 > 0$. This choice keeps us away from the various branch points which arise in perturbation theory in λ_{10} and λ_0 . This is pictured in Figure 3. Normalizing away from $E = 0, \vec{k}^2 = 0$ keeps us from any infrared problems. All the vertex functions $\Gamma_R^{(n,m)}$ will depend on E_N and k_N^2 (as indicated in Eq. (18) for $\Gamma_R^{(1,1)}$) as will the renormalized parameters λ_1 , λ , and α' .

The remainder of our normalization conditions are given by

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial E} i \Gamma_R^{(1,1)}(E, \vec{k}^2, \alpha', \lambda, \lambda_1, E_N, k_N^2) \right|_{\substack{E = -E_N \\ \vec{k}^2 = k_N^2}} = 1, \quad (19)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial k^2} i \Gamma_R^{(1,1)}(E, \vec{k}^2, \alpha', \lambda, \lambda_1, E_N, k_N^2) \right|_{\substack{E = -E_N \\ \vec{k}^2 = k_N^2}} = -\alpha'(E_N, k_N^2), \quad (20)$$

which are not specific to the quartic interaction but are requirements coming from our choice of a linear E, \vec{k}^2 relation. Further we set λ_1 by

$$\left. \Gamma_R^{(2,2)}(E_1, \vec{k}_1, \alpha', \lambda, \lambda_1, E_N, k_N^2) \right|_{\substack{E_1 = -E_N \\ \vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{k}_j = \frac{k_N^2}{3} (4\delta_{ij} - \eta_1 \eta_j)}} = \frac{-i\lambda_1(E_N, k_N^2)}{(2\pi)^{D+1}}, \quad (21)$$

where $E_1, \vec{k}_1, E_2, \vec{k}_2$ are incoming while E_3, \vec{k}_3 and E_4, \vec{k}_4 are outgoing, (see Figure 4), and $\eta_1 = +1$ for an incoming Reggeon and $= -1$ for an outgoing Reggeon. Finally with E_1, \vec{k}_1 incoming and $E_2, \vec{k}_2 \dots E_4, \vec{k}_4$ outgoing, as in Figure 5, we set

$$\left. \Gamma_R^{(1,3)}(E_1, \vec{k}_1, \alpha', \lambda, \lambda_1, E_N, k_N^2) \right|_{\substack{E_1 = -E_N, E_2 = E_3 = E_4 = -\frac{E_N}{3} \\ \vec{k}_i \cdot \vec{k}_j = \frac{k_N^2}{3} (4\delta_{ij} - \eta_1 \eta_j)}} = \frac{-i\lambda(E_N, k_N^2)}{(2\pi)^{D+1}}. \quad (22)$$

Now for convenience we shall eliminate the dimensional couplings λ and λ_1 in favor of the dimensionless combinations

$$y = \frac{\lambda}{(\alpha')^{D/2}} E_N^{D/2-1}, \quad (23)$$

and

$$y_1 = \frac{\lambda_1}{(\alpha')^{D/2}} E_N^{D/2-1}. \quad (24)$$

The space dimension $D = 2$ seems slated for a special role here. Recall that in the theory with a triple Pomeron coupling, $D = 4$ played this special role. We found there a particular simplicity at $D = 4$ and were able to make a perturbation expansion in $4 - D = \epsilon$ for all vertex functions. Here the physical number of dimensions is singled out. We can view this special role in a somewhat more general light by noting that if we identify dimensions in time and space (so α' is dimensionless), then at $D = 4$ the triple Pomeron coupling is dimensionless while at $D = 2$ the quartic coupling constants are dimensionless. Further each of the theories is scale invariant in its special dimensions.

3. Renormalization Group Equations for the Vertex Functions

The unrenormalized vertex functions $\Gamma_U^{(n,m)}(E_i, \vec{k}_i, \alpha', \lambda_0, \lambda_{10}, \Lambda)$ are related to the $\Gamma_R^{(n,m)}$ by

$$\Gamma_R^{(n,m)}(E_i, \vec{k}_i, \alpha', \lambda, \lambda_1, E_N, k_N^2) = Z^{\frac{n+m}{2}} \Gamma_U^{(n,m)}(E_i, \vec{k}_i, \alpha', \lambda_0, \lambda_{10}, \Lambda). \quad (25)$$

The simple observation that the $\Gamma_U^{(n,m)}$ cannot know about the normalization points E_N, k_N^2 for the renormalized Γ_R leads to two independent conditions on Γ_R

$$\left[k_N^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_N^2} + \beta_k(y, y_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \beta_{1k}(y, y_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + \zeta_k(\alpha', y, y_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha'} - \frac{n+m}{2} \gamma_k(y, y_1) \right] \times \chi \Gamma_R^{(n,m)}(E_i, \vec{k}_i, \alpha', y, y_1, E_N, k_N^2) = 0 \quad , \quad (26)$$

where

$$\beta_k(y, y_1) = k_N^2 \frac{\partial y}{\partial k_N^2} \Big|_{\alpha'_0, \lambda_0, \lambda_{10}, \Lambda \text{ fixed}} \quad , \quad (27)$$

$$\beta_{1k}(y, y_1) = k_N^2 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial k_N^2} \Big|_{\alpha'_0, \lambda_0, \lambda_{10}, \Lambda \text{ fixed}} \quad , \quad (28)$$

$$\zeta_k(\alpha', y, y_1) = k_N^2 \frac{\partial \alpha'}{\partial k_N^2} \Big|_{\alpha'_0, \lambda_0, \lambda_{10}, \Lambda \text{ fixed}} \quad , \quad (29)$$

and

$$\gamma_k(y, y_1) = k_N^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_N^2} \log Z \Big|_{\alpha'_0, \lambda_0, \lambda_{10}, \Lambda \text{ fixed}} \quad , \quad (30)$$

These functions could also depend on $\alpha' k_N^2 / E_N$, but we choose not to display it.

Also one requires

$$\left[E_N \frac{\partial}{\partial E_N} + \beta_E(y, y_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \beta_{1E}(y, y_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + \zeta_E(\alpha', y, y_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha'} - \frac{n+m}{2} \gamma_E(y, y_1) \right] \times \chi \Gamma_R^{(n,m)}(E_i, \vec{k}_i, \alpha', y, y_1, E_N, k_N^2) = 0 \quad , \quad (31)$$

with β_E , β_{1E} , ζ_E and γ_E defined just as in Equations (27) ... (30) with $E_N \partial/\partial E_N$ replacing $k_N^2 \partial/\partial k_N^2$.

These are the important equations in our work. We will turn them into equations governing the E_i , \vec{k}_i variation of the $\Gamma_R^{(n,m)}(E_i, \vec{k}_i)$ by using ordinary dimensional analysis. The dimensions of $\Gamma_R^{(n,m)}$ are

$$[\Gamma_R^{(n,m)}] = E(k^2)^{\frac{D}{4}(2-n-m)}, \quad (32)$$

which allows us to write

$$\Gamma_R^{(n,m)}(E_i, \vec{k}_i, \alpha', y, y_1, E_N, k_N^2) = E_N \left[\frac{E_N}{\alpha'} \right]^{\frac{D}{4}(2-n-m)} \psi_{n,m} \left(\frac{E_i}{E_N}, \frac{\vec{k}_i}{k_N}, \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{E_N}, y, y_1 \right), \quad (33)$$

and leads directly to the result

$$\Gamma_R^{(n,m)}(\xi^\nu E_i, \xi^{\sigma/2} \vec{k}_i, \alpha', y, y_1, E_N, k_N^2) = \xi^{\nu+\sigma\frac{D}{4}(2-n-m)} \Gamma_R^{(n,m)}\left(E_i, \vec{k}_i, \xi^{\sigma-\nu} \alpha', y, y_1, \frac{E_N}{\xi^\nu}, \frac{k_N^2}{\xi^\sigma}\right). \quad (35)$$

Using this together with Equations (26) and (30) yields our key result

$$\left\{ \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} - (\sigma\beta_k + \nu\beta_E) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - (\sigma\beta_{1k} + \nu\beta_{1E}) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + \right. \\ \left. [(\nu - \sigma)\alpha' - (\sigma\beta_k + \nu\beta_E)] \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha'} + \frac{n+m}{2} (\sigma\gamma_k + \nu\gamma_E) \right. \\ \left. - \nu - \sigma \frac{D}{4} (2-n-m) \right\} \Gamma_R^{(n,m)}(\xi^\nu E_i, \xi^{\sigma/2} \vec{k}_i, \alpha', y, y_1, E_N, k_N^2) = 0 \quad (36)$$

The solution to this equation is fairly standard.⁴⁾ Define $t = \log \xi$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_R^{(n,m)} (\xi^{\nu} E_1, \xi^{\sigma/2} \vec{k}_1, \alpha', y, y_1, E_N, k_N^2) = \\ & \Gamma_R^{(n,m)} (E_1, \vec{k}_1, \tilde{\alpha}'(-t), \tilde{y}(-t), \tilde{y}_1(-t), E_N, k_N^2) \times \\ & \times \exp \int_{-t}^0 dt' \left[\nu + \sigma \frac{D}{4} (2 - n - m) - \frac{n+m}{2} (\sigma \gamma_k(\tilde{y}(t'), \tilde{y}_1(t')) + \right. \\ & \left. + \nu \gamma_E(\tilde{y}(t'), \tilde{y}_1(t'))) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Where the effective slope and coupling parameters satisfy the auxiliary equations

$$\frac{1}{\alpha'(t)} \frac{d\tilde{\alpha}'(t)}{dt} = (\nu - \sigma) - \left(\sigma \frac{\zeta_k}{\alpha'} + \nu \frac{\zeta_E}{\alpha'} \right), \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}(t)}{dt} = -(\sigma \beta_k + \nu \beta_E), \quad (39)$$

and
$$\frac{d\tilde{y}_1(t)}{dt} = -(\sigma \beta_{1k} + \nu \beta_{1E}), \quad (40)$$

which are to be solved with the boundary conditions: $\tilde{y}(0) = y$, $\tilde{y}_1(0) = y_1$, and $\tilde{\alpha}'(0) = \alpha'$. By dimensional arguments, the β 's and the ζ/α' 's can depend only on y and y_1 .

If we knew the renormalization group functions β , ζ and γ and could integrate (38), (39) and (40), then we could solve for the detailed variation of the $\Gamma_R^{(n,m)}$ in the E_1 and \vec{k}_1 . These functions can only be known in perturbation theory unless one is capable of solving the full blown field theory directly. We will proceed as usual, then, and evaluate each of these functions in lowest order perturbation theory. With them known to this order we will

solve the auxiliary equations and then determine the behavior of the Γ_R .

To determine Z and α' we need $\Gamma^{(1,1)}$. The lowest order perturbation correction to the free propagator is shown in Figure 6. We find for this

$$i\Gamma_U^{(1,1)}(E, \vec{k}^2, \alpha'_0, \lambda_0, \lambda_{10}) = E - \alpha'_0 \vec{k}^2 + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{(\alpha'_0)^D} \left(\frac{\alpha'_0 \vec{k}^2}{3} - E \right)^{D-1} \frac{\Gamma(1-D)}{(\sqrt{8}\pi)^D (3!)^{D/2+1}}, \quad (41)$$

which gives

$$\frac{1}{Z} = 1 + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{(\alpha'_0)^D} \left(\frac{\alpha'_0 k_N^2}{3} + E_N \right)^{D-2} \frac{\Gamma(2-D)}{(3!)^{D/2+1} (\sqrt{8}\pi)^D}. \quad (42)$$

From this we evaluate γ_k and γ_E to lowest order

$$\gamma_k(y, y_1) = y^2 \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N} \right)^{D-3} \frac{\Gamma(3-D)}{(\sqrt{8}\pi)^D (3!)^{D/2+1}}, \quad (43)$$

and

$$\gamma_E(y, y_1) = y^2 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N} \right)^{D-3} \frac{\Gamma(3-D)}{(\sqrt{8}\pi)^D (3!)^{D/2+1}}. \quad (44)$$

In arriving at these expressions we have not introduced an explicit cutoff Λ to regularize the perturbation theory. Instead we have simply used the dimension D to provide a way to stay away from infinities. The renormalization group functions are quite regular at $D = 2$.

Equation (41) also yields

$$\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha'_0} = 1 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\lambda_0^2}{(\alpha'_0)^D} \left(\frac{\alpha'_0 k_N^2}{3} + E_N \right)^{D-2} \frac{\Gamma(2-D)}{(\sqrt{8}\pi)^D (3!)^{D/2+1}}, \quad (45)$$

and

$$\zeta_k = \frac{2}{9} \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{E_N} \alpha' y^2 \frac{\Gamma(3-D)}{(\sqrt{8} \pi)^D (3!)^{D/2+1}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N}\right)^{D-3}, \quad (46)$$

and

$$\zeta_E = \frac{2}{3} \alpha' y^2 \frac{\Gamma(3-D)}{(3!)^{D/2+1} (\sqrt{8} \pi)^D} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N}\right)^{D-3}. \quad (47)$$

To find the functions β we need to compute the graphs shown in Figure 7 for $\Gamma^{(1,3)}$ and those in Figure 8 for $\Gamma^{(2,2)}$. This gives

$$\Gamma_U^{(1,3)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Normalization} \\ \text{Point} \end{array} \right. = \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^{D+1}} \times \left[\lambda_0 - \frac{9\lambda_{10}\lambda_0}{4(\alpha'_0)^{D/2}} \frac{\pi^{D/2} \Gamma(1-D/2)}{3^{D/2} (2\pi)^D} \left(E_N + \alpha'_0 k_N^2\right)^{D/2-1} \right], \quad (48)$$

and

$$\Gamma_U^{(2,2)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Normalization} \\ \text{Point} \end{array} \right. = \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^{D+1}} \times \left[\lambda_{10} - \frac{\lambda_{10}^2}{4(\alpha'_0)^{D/2}} \frac{\pi^{D/2} \Gamma(1-D/2)}{(2\pi)^D} \left(E_N + \frac{\alpha'_0 k_N^2}{3}\right)^{D/2-1} - \frac{\lambda_0^2}{(\alpha'_0)^{D/2}} \left[\frac{\alpha'_0 k_N^2}{3} \right]^{D/2-1} \frac{\pi^{D/2} \Gamma(1-D/2)}{(2\pi)^D} \right]. \quad (49)$$

From these we find

$$\beta_k = \frac{9y_1 y}{4} \frac{\pi^{D/2} \Gamma(2 - D/2)}{(2\pi)^D 3^{D/3}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{E_N}\right)^{D/2 - 2} \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{E_N} \\ + \left(2 - \frac{D}{3}\right) y^3 \frac{\Gamma(3 - D)}{(\sqrt{8} \pi)^D (3!)^{D/2+1}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N}\right)^{D-3} \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N}, \quad (50)$$

$$\beta_E = \left(\frac{D}{2} - 1\right) y + \frac{9}{4} y_1 y \frac{\pi^{D/2} \Gamma(2 - D/2)}{(2\pi)^D 3^{D/2}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{E_N}\right)^{D/2 - 2} \\ + (2 - D/3) y^3 \frac{\Gamma(3 - D)}{(\sqrt{8} \pi)^D (3!)^{D/2 + 1}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N}\right)^{D-3}, \quad (51)$$

$$\beta_{1k} = \frac{y_1^2}{4} \frac{\pi^{D/2} \Gamma(2 - D/2)}{(2\pi)^D} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N}\right)^{D/2 - 2} \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N} \\ + y^2 \left(\frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N}\right)^{D/2 - 1} \frac{\pi^{D/2} \Gamma(2 - D/2)}{(2\pi)^D} \\ + (2 - D/3) y^2 y_1 \frac{\Gamma(3 - D)}{(\sqrt{8} \pi)^D (3!)^{D/2+1}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N}\right)^{D-3} \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N}, \quad (52)$$

and

$$\beta_{1E} = \left(\frac{D}{2} - 1\right) y_1 + \frac{y_1^2}{4} \frac{\pi^{D/2} \Gamma(2 - D/2)}{(2\pi)^D} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N}\right)^{D/2 - 2} \\ + (2 - D/3) y^2 y_1 \frac{\Gamma(3 - D)}{(\sqrt{8} \pi)^D (3!)^{D/2+1}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N}\right)^{D-3}. \quad (53)$$

Note that for $D \neq 2$ β_E and β_{1E} have a linear zero at y or y_1 equal zero.

This disappears at $D = 2$ leaving a higher order zero.

The slope of the functions β near y or y_1 equal zero is positive for $D = 2$. This means that zero coupling may be a stable point of our auxiliary equations in the infrared region⁴⁾. More precisely as we study the $\xi \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow -\infty$) limit of (37) the effective couplings $\tilde{y}(-t)$ and $\tilde{y}_1(-t)$ will approach zero. In such a case we may ignore the cubic terms in our β 's since they will be negligible in the ξ region of interest. With this in mind we write the characteristic or auxiliary equations for \tilde{y} and \tilde{y}_1 needed in Equation (37):

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}(t)}{dt} = - \frac{3\tilde{y}(t)y_1(t)}{16\pi} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{E_N}\right)^{-1} \left(\sigma \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{E_N} + \nu\right), \quad (54)$$

and

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}_1(t)}{dt} = - \frac{\tilde{y}_1^2}{16\pi} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N}\right)^{-1} \left(\sigma \frac{\alpha' k_N^2}{3E_N} + \nu\right) - \frac{\sigma \tilde{y}^2}{4\pi}, \quad (55)$$

where we have specialized to $D = 2$.

Rather than solve these equations in general, we may now note that the presence of k_N^2 has served only to assure that the last term in (55) was not missed. That is, had we chosen to normalize at $E = -E_N$, $k_N^2 = 0$ as we did in the triple coupling problem²⁾ we would have encountered an infrared divergence in the second graph of Figure 8 at $D = 2$. However, at this stage we may with impunity set $k_N^2 = 0$ resulting in

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}(t)}{dt} = - \frac{3\nu}{16\pi} \tilde{y} \tilde{y}_1, \quad (56)$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}_1(t)}{dt} = - \frac{\nu \tilde{y}_1^2}{16\pi} - \frac{\sigma \tilde{y}^2}{4\pi}. \quad (57)$$

To solve these let $\rho = \tilde{y}_1/\tilde{y}$, then

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{v\tilde{y}}{8\pi} \left[\rho^2 - \frac{2\sigma}{v} \right], \quad (58)$$

and

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}}{dt} = -\frac{3v}{16\pi} \tilde{y}^2 \rho, \quad (59)$$

or

$$\frac{d\rho^2}{d\tilde{y}} = -\frac{4}{3} \frac{\left[\rho^2 - \frac{2\sigma}{v} \right]}{\tilde{y}}. \quad (60)$$

We will seek solutions with a positive effective coupling constant $\tilde{y}(t)$. The relation between y and ρ we see to be

$$\tilde{y}(t) = y \left[\frac{\rho(0)^2 - 2\sigma/v}{\rho(t)^2 - 2\sigma/v} \right]^{3/4}. \quad (61)$$

If $\rho(0)^2 = y_1^2/y^2 = \lambda_1^2 / \lambda^2 \leq 2\sigma/v$, then

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\frac{vy}{8\pi} \left[\frac{2\sigma}{v} - \rho(0)^2 \right]^{3/4} \left[\frac{2\sigma}{v} - \rho(t) \right]^{1/4} \quad (62)$$

is always negative and $\rho(t) \rightarrow 2\sigma/v$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\tilde{y}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as we see from (61). This is a case where dropping the higher order terms in the auxiliary equations for \tilde{y} and \tilde{y}_1 is hardly justified. Furthermore any confidence one might have in a perturbation expansion in λ or y must be minimal.

If $\rho(0) > \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma}{v}}$, then we see from

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{vy}{8\pi} \left[\rho(0)^2 - \frac{2\sigma}{v} \right]^{3/4} \left[\rho(t)^2 - \frac{2\sigma}{v} \right]^{1/4} \quad (63)$$

that $\rho(t)$ is ever increasing and for large t , which is the regime of interest,

$$\rho(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \left(\frac{yvt}{16\pi} \right)^2 \left[\rho(0)^2 - \frac{2\sigma}{v} \right]^{3/2} \quad (64)$$

Clearly in this case $y(t)$ goes to zero for large t as t^{-3} . This means that the neglect of higher order terms in β is allowed and more interestingly the behavior of Γ_R for small energies and momenta is governed by zero coupling. This theory is infrared free. It is amusing to note that the condition of infrared freedom on the behavior of Γ_R ($\xi^v E_1, \xi^{\sigma/2} \vec{k}_1, \alpha', y, y_1, E_N$)

$$(y_1^2/y^2)(v/2\sigma) > 1 \quad (65)$$

depends on the path (that is σ and v) one takes in reaching the infrared point as well as the values of the renormalized couplings y_1 and y .

The behavior of $\tilde{y}(t)$ and $\tilde{y}_1(t)$ for large t is

$$\tilde{y}(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{y^2 t^3} \left(\frac{16\pi}{v} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\left[\rho(0)^2 - \frac{2\sigma}{v} \right]^{3/2}} \quad (66)$$

and

$$\tilde{y}_1(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{16\pi}{vt} \quad (67)$$

From the equation for $\tilde{\alpha}'(t)$ and the perturbation expression for ζ we have

$$\tilde{\alpha}'(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \alpha' C_\alpha e^{(v-\sigma)t} [1 + C/t^5 + \dots], \quad (68)$$

where

$$C = \frac{y}{135\pi} \left(\frac{16\pi}{yv} \right)^5 \left[\rho(0)^2 - \frac{2\sigma}{v} \right]^{-3} \quad (69)$$

and

$$C_\alpha = \exp - \frac{y}{54\pi} \left(\rho(0)^2 - \frac{2\sigma}{v} \right)^{3/4} \int_{\rho(0)}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(x^2 - 2\sigma/v)^{7/4}} \quad (70)$$

We need one more ingredient for the study of $\Gamma_R(\xi^{\nu} E_i, \xi^{\sigma/2} \vec{k}_i, \dots)$.

That is the integral

$$\exp - \int_{-t}^0 dt' \frac{n+m}{2} [\sigma \gamma_k + \nu \gamma_E] \quad (71)$$

Using our expressions for γ_k (zero when $k_N^2 = 0$) and γ_E we find (71) behaves as

$$(C_\alpha)^{-\frac{3(n+m)}{4}} [1 - \frac{3(n+m)}{4} C/(-t)^5 + \dots] \quad (72)$$

for large t .

3. Properties of the Renormalized Trajectory

We have now discovered that by a propitious choice of renormalized coupling constants, we can determine the small E_i , small \vec{k}_i behavior of the renormalized vertex functions in a perturbative manner since the effective couplings entering the right hand side of our expression (37) for Γ_R go to zero as $\xi \rightarrow 0$. A function of particular interest is the inverse propagator, for its zeros determine the Regge trajectories.

We are instructed to take the renormalized propagator $\Gamma_R^{(1,1)}$ determined to some order in perturbation theory (lowest order will do in our case) and place it into Eq. (37). $\Gamma_R^{(1,1)}$ to $O(y^2)$ is

$$i\Gamma_R^{(1,1)}(E, \vec{k}^2, \alpha', y, y_1, E_N) = E - \alpha' \vec{k}^2 + \frac{y^2}{288\pi^2} \left[\frac{\alpha' \vec{k}^2}{3} - E \right] \left\{ \log \left(\frac{\alpha' \vec{k}^2}{3E_N} - \frac{E}{E_N} \right) - 1 \right\} \quad (73)$$

in $D = 2$ dimensions.

We now set $\nu = \sigma = 1$, for we expect the zero of the inverse propagator will remain approximately linear in the interacting theory. This means that we can confirm the existence of a Pomeron pole in this theory only if $\lambda_1/\lambda = \rho(0) \geq \sqrt{2}$. The quartic coupling theory is otherwise not infrared free. The requirement that $\lambda_1/\lambda \geq \sqrt{2}$ is not as stringent as it first appears since, when λ_1 and λ are small, the vacuum is unstable unless $\lambda_1/\lambda \geq 4/3$.⁵⁾

The renormalized $\Gamma_R^{(1,1)}$ with $\nu = \sigma = 1$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\Gamma_R^{(1,1)}(\xi E, \xi \vec{k}^2, \alpha', y, y_1, E_N) &= \xi (C_\alpha)^{-3/2} \times \\
 &\times \left[1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{C}{(-\log \xi)^5} \right] \left\{ E - \alpha' C_\alpha \vec{k}^2 \left(1 + \frac{C}{(-\log \xi)^5} \right) \right. \\
 &+ \frac{15}{2} \frac{C}{(-\log \xi)^6} \left[\left(\frac{\alpha' C_\alpha \vec{k}^2}{3} - E \right) \left(\log \left(\frac{\alpha' C_\alpha \vec{k}^2}{3E_N} - \frac{E}{E_N} \right) - 1 \right) \right] \left. \right\}, \quad (74) \\
 &= (C_\alpha)^{-3/2} \xi \left[E - C_\alpha \alpha' \vec{k}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{C}{(-\log \xi)^5} \left(\frac{\alpha' C_\alpha \vec{k}^2}{3} - E \right) \right. \\
 &+ \left. \frac{15}{2} \frac{C}{(-\log \xi)^6} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha' C_\alpha \vec{k}^2}{3} - E \right) \log \left(\frac{\alpha' C_\alpha \vec{k}^2}{3E_N} - \frac{E}{E_N} \right) - 1 \right\} + \dots \right]. \quad (75)
 \end{aligned}$$

The left hand side is a function of ξE and $\xi \vec{k}^2$ only. To cast the right hand side in this form we write

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{(-\log \xi)^5} + \frac{5}{(-\log \xi)^6} \left[\log \left(\frac{C_\alpha \alpha' \vec{k}^2}{3E_N} - \frac{E}{E_N} \right) - 1 \right] = \\
 &\left[-\log \left(\frac{C_\alpha \alpha' \vec{k}^2}{3eE_N} - \frac{E}{eE_N} \right) \right]^5 + O \left(\left(\frac{1}{-\log \xi} \right)^7 \right). \quad (76)
 \end{aligned}$$

This enables us to conclude

$$i\Gamma_R^{(1,1)}(E, \vec{k}^2, \alpha', y, y_1, E_N) =$$

$$(C_\alpha)^{-3/2} \left[E - C_\alpha \alpha' \vec{k}^2 + \frac{3}{2} C \frac{\left(\frac{C_\alpha \alpha' \vec{k}^2}{3} - E \right)}{\left[-\log \left(\frac{C_\alpha \alpha' \vec{k}^2}{3eE_N} - \frac{E}{eE_N} \right) \right]^5} + \dots \right] \quad (77)$$

as E, \vec{k}^2 go to zero. This function has a zero very close to

$$E = \alpha' C_\alpha \vec{k}^2 + \frac{CC_\alpha \alpha' \vec{k}^2}{\left[-\log \left(\frac{-2C_\alpha \alpha' \vec{k}^2}{3eE_N} \right) \right]^5} \quad (78)$$

which yields a Regge trajectory for $y \geq 0$

$$\alpha(t) = 1 + C_\alpha \alpha' t + \frac{C C_\alpha \alpha' t}{\left[-\log \left(\frac{2C_\alpha \alpha' t}{3e E_N} \right) \right]^5}, \quad (79)$$

which is a very moderate modification of the original linear trajectory.

For $t \leq 0$ the trajectory becomes complex and there are two trajectories

at complex conjugate positions.

5. Conclusions

We have investigated the behavior of the renormalized Green's functions for Pomerons when the interaction among the Pomerons is only of the ψ^4 type given explicitly in Equation (9). Under the condition that the ratio of two renormalized couplings be larger than a given value, we found that the effective couplings that determine the infrared behavior of the field theory approach zero. That is, the infrared behavior of a Pomeron field theory with quartic couplings can be determined to high accuracy by the use of perturbation theory around the coupling constants equal to zero.

Within this framework we examined in detail the inverse propagator and found that the interactions give rise to a very mild modification of the non-interacting linear trajectory. If one couples in particles by simply tacking them on the ends of Reggeons (as in Figure 9), then our $\Gamma_R^{(1,1)}$ of Equation (77) gives rise to

$$\sigma_T(s) \sim \gamma_1 \left[1 + \frac{3}{2} C / (\log \log s)^5 + \dots \right] \quad (80)$$

where γ_1 is positive and factorizes. Since the present theory is infrared free, corrections to this result from multiple Pomerons being emitted from the particles are essentially like that of the non-interacting theory; that is, each correction is smaller by powers of $\log s$ than the term exhibited.

The ψ^4 theory is of a medium amount of interest in its own right but fails to provide a model of significant physical consequence because, by its very definition, it lacks a triple Pomeron coupling. (The problem of combining both a ψ^3 and ψ^4 is intriguing and is relatively easy to


formulate. Its solution has somewhat eluded us because it seems natural to investigate the ψ^3 theory in an expansion about $D = 4$ space dimensions while we have just demonstrated in this paper how the ψ^4 theories are simple in the physical dimensions $D = 2$.) Nevertheless, the quartic coupling problem has proved instructive in itself by demonstrating how one may substantially alter the infrared behavior of the Green's functions or choosing an inappropriate path by which to approach $E_1 \rightarrow 0$, $\vec{k}_1 \rightarrow 0$ or having an unfortunate ratio of renormalized coupling constants. Such trickery did not appear in the ψ^3 theory which possesses a single coupling and provides a richness of solutions not explorable there.

References

1. V.N. Gribov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 53, 654 (1967) [Soviet Physics JETP 26, 414 (1968)].
2. H.D.I. Abarbanel and J.B. Bronzan, NAL Preprint (73/91-THY), December, to be published. Further references to work on the Reggeon calculus and references to work on the renormalization group may be found in this paper.
3. H.D.I. Abarbanel and R.L. Sugar, to be published.
4. This solution and other lore on the renormalization group can be found in the lectures by S. Coleman: "Dilations", given at the 1971 International Summer School of Physics "Ettore Majorana".
5. S. Coleman and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D7, 1888 (1972);
G. Jona-Lasinio, Nuovo Cimento 34, 1790 (1964).


Figure Captions

- Figure 1: The transition Two Pomerons \rightarrow Two Pomerons which has a bare coupling constant λ_{10} .
- Figure 2: The transitions Three Pomerons \rightarrow One Pomeron or One Pomeron \rightarrow Three Pomerons. Both have a bare coupling λ_0 .
- Figure 3: The E, \vec{k}^2 showing the lowest order perturbation theory cut along $E = \alpha_0' k^2/3$ and the normalization point $(-E_N, k_N^2)$ used to define the renormalized theory.
- Figure 4: The definition of momenta in the vertex function $\Gamma_R^{(2,2)}$.
- Figure 5: The definition of momenta in the vertex function $\Gamma_R^{(1,3)}$.
- Figure 6: The lowest order correction to $\Gamma^{(1,1)}$.
- Figure 7: The lowest order correction to $\Gamma^{(1,3)}$.
- Figure 8: The lowest order correction to $\Gamma^{(2,2)}$.
- Figure 9: The coupling of particles (heavy lines) to Pomerons (wavy lines) which interact in all possible ways. This set of graphs gives $\sigma_T(s) = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2/(\log \log s)^5$. Multiple Pomeron emission by particles is smaller asymptotically by powers of $\log s$.



λ_{10}

Fig. 1



λ_0

λ_0

Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8




Fig. 9